

ON SEVERAL NEW RESULTS RELATED TO RICHARD'S INEQUALITY

CRISTIAN CONDE AND NICUȘOR MINCULETE*

(Communicated by M. Krnić)

Abstract. The main preoccupation of this article is the characterization of Richard's inequality, which is closely related to Buzano's inequality. Finally, we present a new approach for Richard's inequality, where we use the Selberg operator.

1. Introduction

Lagrange showed the following identity:

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2. \quad (1)$$

A consequence of Lagrange's identity is the classical Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, in discrete case, which states: if $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ are two n -tuples of real numbers, then

$$(a_1 b_1 + \dots + a_n b_n)^2 \leq (a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2) (b_1^2 + \dots + b_n^2), \quad (2)$$

with the equality holding if and only if $\mathbf{a} = \lambda \mathbf{b}$. This result is called the *Cauchy-Buniakowski-Schwarz inequality*.

Several refinements of the Cauchy-Buniakowski-Schwarz inequality can be found in some papers (see [3], [6], [9] and [17]). We gave one of them: Ostrowski [17], showing the following: if $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ are n -tuples of real numbers such that \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} are not proportional and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n y_k z_k = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^n x_k z_k = 1, \text{ then} \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2 \Big/ \sum_{k=1}^n z_k^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 \sum_{k=1}^n y_k^2 - \left(\sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k \right)^2.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 46C05, 26D15, 26D10.

Keywords and phrases: Inner product space, Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, Buzano's inequality, Richard's inequality, Ostrowski's inequality.

* Corresponding author.

In the framework of an inner product space $\mathcal{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} or real numbers \mathbb{R} , the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality (C-S), is given by the following:

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \tag{4}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$. The equality holds in (4) if and only if the vectors x and y are linearly dependent, i.e., there exists a nonzero constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $x = \lambda y$.

Buzano [5] proved an extension of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, given by the following:

$$|\langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 (|\langle a, b \rangle| + \|a\| \cdot \|b\|) \tag{5}$$

for any $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$.

For $a = b$ in inequality (5) we deduce the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality.

Precupanu [20] mentioned an inequality related to the Buzano inequality. For any $x, y, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$, $x, y \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (\langle a, b \rangle - \|a\| \cdot \|b\|) &\leq \frac{\langle x, a \rangle \langle x, b \rangle}{\|x\|^2} - \frac{\langle y, a \rangle \langle y, b \rangle}{\|y\|^2} - \frac{2 \langle x, a \rangle \langle y, b \rangle \langle x, y \rangle}{\|x\|^2 \|y\|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\langle a, b \rangle + \|a\| \cdot \|b\|). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In [12], Gavrea proved an extension of Buzano’s inequality in an inner product space. For a real inner space \mathcal{X} , Richard [21], gave the following inequality

$$\left| \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\| \tag{7}$$

for any $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$.

In [19], Popa and Raşa showed that, for any $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$, we have

$$\left| \Re \left(\langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \sqrt{\|a\|^2 \cdot \|b\|^2 - (\Im \langle a, b \rangle)^2}, \tag{8}$$

where $z = \Re(z) + i\Im(z) \in \mathbb{C}$.

In [14], Lupu and Schwarz gave the following inequality:

$$\|a\|^2 |\langle b, x \rangle|^2 + \|b\|^2 |\langle x, a \rangle|^2 + \|x\|^2 |\langle a, b \rangle|^2 \leq \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 \|x\|^2 + 2 |\langle a, b \rangle \langle b, x \rangle \langle x, a \rangle|, \tag{9}$$

for any vectors $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$. This inequality gives us another refinement of the (C-S) inequality

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{\|x\|^2} (\|a\| |\langle b, x \rangle| - \|b\| |\langle x, a \rangle|)^2 \leq \|a\|^2 \cdot \|b\|^2 - |\langle a, b \rangle|^2,$$

for any vectors $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$, $x \neq 0$.

These inequalities, mentioned above, were applied to the theory of Hilbert \mathbb{C}^* -modules over non-commutative \mathbb{C}^* -algebras, see Aldaz [1], Pečarić and Rajić [18] and Dragomir [8], [9].

The main preoccupation of this article is the characterization of Richard's inequality, in connection with Buzano's inequality. In Section 2 we look at some bounds of the expression $\alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle$ which is used in the study of some important inequalities, such as those given by Buzano, Richard, Ostrowski, Dragomir, Khosravi, Drnovšek and Moslehian. In Section 3, we present a new approach for Richard's inequality, where we use the Selberg operator. We also give a result which is the corresponding complex version of Precupanu's inequality.

2. Main results

First, we look at the expression $\alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle$ as the scalar product of two vectors and we give an important identity.

LEMMA 1. *In an inner product space \mathcal{X} over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , we have*

$$\|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \left(|\langle a, x \rangle|^2 |\beta - \alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 \left\| \|x\| a - \frac{\langle a, x \rangle}{\|x\|} x \right\|^2 \right) \tag{10}$$

for all $a, x \in \mathcal{X}$, $x \neq 0$, and for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. For $\beta = 0$ we obtain the equality in relation to the statement. Next, we consider $\beta \neq 0$. In [16] we found the following identity:

$$\|x + \alpha y\|^2 = \left| \alpha \|y\| + \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|y\|} \right|^2 + \left\| x - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|y\|^2} y \right\|^2$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, $y \neq 0$, and for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

If we replace α by $-\frac{\alpha \langle a, x \rangle}{\beta \|x\|^2}$ (because $x \neq 0$), x by a and y by x in the above identity, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| a - \frac{\alpha \langle a, x \rangle}{\beta \|x\|^2} x \right\|^2 &= \left| -\frac{\alpha \langle a, x \rangle}{\beta \|x\|^2} \|x\| + \frac{\langle a, x \rangle}{\|x\|} \right|^2 + \left\| a - \frac{\langle a, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2} x \right\|^2 \\ &= \frac{|\langle a, x \rangle|^2 |\beta - \alpha|^2}{|\beta|^2 \|x\|^2} + \left\| a - \frac{\langle a, x \rangle}{\|x\|^2} x \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, multiplying by $|\beta|^2 \|x\|^4$, in the above relation, we deduce the identity of the statement. \square

REMARK 1. Taking into account that $|\beta|^2 \left\| \|x\| a - \frac{\langle a, x \rangle}{\|x\|} x \right\|^2 \geq 0$, then, from equality (10), we find

$$\|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a\| \geq \|x\| |\langle a, x \rangle| |\beta - \alpha| \tag{11}$$

for all $a, x \in \mathcal{X}$, and for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. The case $x = 0$ is checked separately. Since, we have $\left\| \|x\|a - \frac{\langle a, x \rangle}{\|x\|}x \right\|^2 = \|a\|^2\|x\|^2 - |\langle a, x \rangle|^2$, equality (10) becomes

$$\|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a\|^2 = \|x\|^2 (|\alpha - \beta|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 + |\beta|^2 \|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 - |\beta|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2) \tag{12}$$

for all $a, x \in \mathcal{X}$, and for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, with separate verification for the case $x = 0$.

For $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1$ in identity (10) we obtain the following [16]:

$$\|\langle a, x \rangle x - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 a\| = \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \tag{13}$$

for all $a, x \in \mathcal{X}$.

We know the algebraic inequality $pp_1 + qq_1 \leq \max\{p, q\}(p_1 + q_1)$ for all $p, p_1, q, q_1 \geq 0$. If we take $p = |\alpha - \beta|^2$, $q = |\beta|^2$, $p_1 = |\langle a, x \rangle|^2$ and $q_1 = \|a\|^2\|x\|^2 - |\langle a, x \rangle|^2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a\|^2 &\leq \|x\|^2 \max\{|\alpha - \beta|^2, |\beta|^2\} (|\langle a, x \rangle|^2 + \|a\|^2\|x\|^2 - |\langle a, x \rangle|^2) \\ &= \max\{|\alpha - \beta|^2, |\beta|^2\} \|a\|^2 \|x\|^4, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a\| \leq \max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \|a\| \|x\|^2 \tag{14}$$

for all $a, x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Also here, it should be mentioned that, combining inequalities (11) and (14), we obtain an improvement of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. Thus

$$|\langle a, x \rangle| \leq \frac{\|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a\|}{|\alpha - \beta| \|x\|} \leq \|a\| \|x\| \tag{15}$$

for all $a, x \in \mathcal{X}$, $x \neq 0$ and for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|\alpha - \beta| \geq |\beta| > 0$.

THEOREM 1. *In an inner product space \mathcal{X} over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , we have*

$$|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle| \leq \max\{|\beta|, |\alpha - \beta|\} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| \tag{16}$$

for all $a, b, x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. For $\beta = 0$ the inequality of the statement is true. For $\beta \neq 0$, using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and inequality (14), we deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle| &= |\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a, b \rangle| \\ &\stackrel{(C-S)}{\leq} \| \langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a \| \|b\| \\ &\stackrel{(14)}{\leq} \max\{|\beta|, |\alpha - \beta|\} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the inequality of the statement was proven. \square

REMARK 2. We take $\beta = 1$ in inequality (16). Thus we show that

$$|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle| \leq \max\{1, |\alpha - 1|\} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| \tag{17}$$

for all $a, b, x \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. This inequality is given by Khosravi, Drnovšek and Moslehian [13] as an extension of Buzano's inequality given as a particularization in the context of Hilbert C^* -modules. We mentioned the fact that this inequality was studied by Dragomir in [9], when $|\alpha - 1| = 1$.

For $\alpha = 2$ in relation (17), we obtain Richard's inequality.

THEOREM 2. *In an inner product space \mathcal{X} over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , we have*

$$0 \leq \frac{\|x\|^2}{\|b\|^2} \left| \alpha \frac{\langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle}{\|x\|^2} - \beta \langle a, b \rangle \right|^2 \leq |\alpha - \beta|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 + |\beta|^2 (\|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 - |\langle a, x \rangle|^2) \tag{18}$$

for all $a, b, x \in \mathcal{X}$, $b, x \neq 0$, and for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. For $x \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$, we make the following calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle|^2 \\ &= |\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a, b \rangle|^2 \\ &\stackrel{(C-S)}{\leq} \|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a\|^2 \|b\|^2 \\ &\stackrel{(12)}{=} \|x\|^2 \|b\|^2 (|\alpha - \beta|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 + |\beta|^2 (\|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 - |\langle a, x \rangle|^2)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x\|^4 \left| \alpha \frac{\langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle}{\|x\|^2} - \beta \langle a, b \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 \|b\|^2 (|\alpha - \beta|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 + |\beta|^2 (\|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 - |\langle a, x \rangle|^2)). \end{aligned}$$

But, since $b, x \neq 0$, we divide by $\|x\|^2 \|b\|^2$ and we deduce the inequality of the statement. \square

COROLLARY 1. *In an inner product space \mathcal{X} over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , the following inequality*

$$0 \leq \frac{\|x\|^2}{\|b\|^2} \left| \frac{\langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle}{\|x\|^2} - \langle a, b \rangle \right|^2 \leq \|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 - |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 \tag{19}$$

holds, for all $a, b, x \in \mathcal{X}$, $x \neq 0, b \neq 0$.

Proof. In relation (18), we take $\alpha = \beta \neq 0$ and we deduce the inequality of the statement. \square

REMARK 3. If we take $\langle x, b \rangle = 0$, in inequality (19), then we obtain

$$\frac{\|x\|^2}{\|b\|^2} |\langle a, b \rangle|^2 \leq \|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 - |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 \tag{20}$$

for all $a, b, x \in \mathcal{X}$, $b \neq 0$, $x \neq 0$. This inequality was obtained by Dragomir and Goša in [10].

In addition, if we consider $\langle a, b \rangle = 1$ (or $|\langle a, b \rangle| = 1$) in inequality (20), then we find the inequality of Ostrowski for inner product spaces over the field of complex numbers,

$$\frac{\|x\|^2}{\|b\|^2} \leq \|a\|^2 \|x\|^2 - |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 \tag{21}$$

for all $a, b, x \in \mathcal{X}$, $b \neq 0$, $x \neq 0$.

The inequality of Ostrowski for inner product spaces over the field of real numbers was studied in [16]. It is easy to see that for $a, b, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we obtain inequality (3).

THEOREM 3. In an inner product space \mathcal{X} over the field of real or complex numbers, for any vectors $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$, $a \neq 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha \neq \beta$, where $|\alpha - \beta| \leq |\beta|$ with $\beta \neq 0$, we have

$$|\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| - |\alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle| \geq \frac{(|\beta|^2 - |\alpha - \beta|^2) \|b\| |\langle a, x \rangle|^2}{2|\beta| \|a\|} \geq 0. \tag{22}$$

Proof. If we have $x = 0$ or $b = 0$, then the relation of the statement is true. For $x \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$, we make the following calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} & |\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| - |\alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle| \\ &= |\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| - |\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a, b \rangle| \\ &= \frac{|\beta|^2 \|x\|^4 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a, b \rangle|^2}{|\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| + |\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a, b \rangle|} \\ &\stackrel{(C-S)}{\geq} \frac{|\beta|^2 \|x\|^4 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - \|\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a\|^2 \|b\|^2}{|\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| + |\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a, b \rangle|} \\ &\stackrel{(12)}{=} \frac{|\beta|^2 \|x\|^4 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\alpha - \beta|^2 \|x\|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\beta|^2 \|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 \|x\|^4}{|\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| + |\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a, b \rangle|} \\ &\quad + \frac{|\beta|^2 \|x\|^2 \|b\|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2}{|\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| + |\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a, b \rangle|} \\ &= \frac{(|\beta|^2 - |\alpha - \beta|^2) \|x\|^2 \|b\|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2}{|\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| + |\langle \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a, b \rangle|} \\ &\stackrel{(14)}{\geq} \frac{(|\beta|^2 - |\alpha - \beta|^2) \|x\|^2 \|b\|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2}{|\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\| + \max\{|\beta|, |\alpha - \beta|\} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\|} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(|\beta|^2 - |\alpha - \beta|^2) \|x\|^2 \|b\|^2 |\langle a, x \rangle|^2}{2|\beta| \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\|} \\
&= \frac{(|\beta|^2 - |\alpha - \beta|^2) \|b\| |\langle a, x \rangle|^2}{2|\beta| \|a\|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the inequality of the statement is true. \square

THEOREM 4. *In an inner product space \mathcal{X} over the field of real or complex numbers, for any nonzero vectors $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \neq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\| - \left| \alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle \right| \\
&\geq \frac{A(\alpha, \beta)}{2 \max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\|} \geq 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where

$$A(\alpha, \beta) = \left(|\alpha| |\langle a, x \rangle| \left(\|x\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle x, b \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - |\beta| \|x\|^2 \left(\|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle a, b \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2.$$

Proof. For all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$, and $y \neq 0$, we have the following equality:

$$\left\| \|y\| x - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|y\|} y \right\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \|y\|^2 - |\langle x, y \rangle|^2.$$

Hence, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the denominator, we have the relation

$$\|x\| \cdot \|y\| - |\langle x, y \rangle| = \frac{\left\| \|y\| x - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|y\|} y \right\|^2}{\|x\| \cdot \|y\| + |\langle x, y \rangle|} \geq \frac{\left\| \|y\| x - \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|y\|} y \right\|^2}{2 \|x\| \cdot \|y\|}.$$

In this inequality, we replace x and y by $\alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a$ and b in the above inequality and using inequality (14), i.e. $\|\alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a\| \leq \max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \|a\| \|x\|^2$, implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\| \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a \right\| \|b\| - \left| \alpha \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \beta \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle \right| \\
&\geq \frac{\|u - v\|^2}{2 \left\| \alpha \langle a, x \rangle x - \beta \|x\|^2 a \right\| \|b\|} \geq \frac{\|u - v\|^2}{2 \max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \|a\| \|b\| \|x\|^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where $u = \alpha \langle a, x \rangle \left(\|b\| x - \frac{\langle x, b \rangle}{\|b\|} b \right)$ and $v = \beta \|x\|^2 \left(\|b\| a - \frac{\langle a, b \rangle}{\|b\|} b \right)$.

But, we have that $\|u\| = |\alpha| |\langle a, x \rangle| \left(\|x\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle x, b \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$\|v\| = |\beta| \|x\|^2 \left(\|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle a, b \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, since $\|u - v\|^2 \geq (\|u\| - \|v\|)^2$, $u, v \in X$, we obtain the inequality of the statement. \square

REMARK 4. For $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ we obtain an important inequality given in [16]. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\| - \left| \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle \right| \\ & \geq \frac{A}{\|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\|} \geq 0, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A \left(1, \frac{1}{2} \right) \\ &= \left(|\langle a, x \rangle| \left(\|x\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle x, b \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \left(\|a\|^2 \|b\|^2 - |\langle a, b \rangle|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality represents an improvement of Richard’s inequality, given thus:

$$\left| \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \langle a, b \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\| - \frac{A}{\|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\|}.$$

COROLLARY 2. In an inner product space \mathcal{X} over the field of real or complex numbers, for any nonzero vectors $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\beta \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|x\|^2 (|\beta| |\langle a, b \rangle| - \max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \|a\| \cdot \|b\|) + \frac{A(\alpha, \beta)}{2 \max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\|} \\ & \leq |\alpha| |\langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle| \\ & \leq \|x\|^2 (|\beta| |\langle a, b \rangle| + \max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \|a\| \cdot \|b\|) - \frac{A(\alpha, \beta)}{2 \max\{|\alpha - \beta|, |\beta|\} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\|}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Proof. By using inequality (23), and from the continuity property of the modulus, i.e., $|u - v| \geq ||u| - |v||$, $u, v \in \mathbb{C}$, we easily deduce the desired inequality. \square

We obtain from inequality (25) a refinement of Buzano’s inequality, as follows.

PROPOSITION 1. In an inner product space \mathcal{X} over the field of real or complex numbers, for any nonzero vectors $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle| \\ & \leq \|x\|^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} |\langle a, b \rangle| + \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \cdot \|b\| \right) - \frac{1}{\|x\|^2 \|a\| \cdot \|b\|} \max \left\{ A \left(1, \frac{1}{2} \right), \frac{1}{4} A(2, 1) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Proof. The refinement of Buzano’s inequality follows from inequality (25), when $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 1$. \square

3. A new approach for Richard's inequality

Throughout this section, we denote by \mathcal{X} a complex Hilbert space, i.e. a complete and inner product space, where the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the corresponding norm $\| \cdot \|$ are defined. We denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on \mathcal{X} as $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ and the identity operator is represented by I .

For an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, the nullspace of T is denoted as $\mathcal{N}(T)$, and T^* represents its adjoint. We define a positive operator, denoted as $T \geq 0$, as an operator that satisfies $\langle Tx, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Moreover, the order relation $T \geq S$ is introduced for self-adjoint operators, which holds when $T - S \geq 0$.

Given a bounded linear operator T defined on \mathcal{X} , recall that the numerical radius, denoted as $\omega(T)$, is defined as the supremum (or maximum) of the absolute values of the numbers in the numerical range $W(T)$, more precisely

$$\omega(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in W(T)\},$$

where $W(T) = \{\langle Tx, x \rangle : x \in \mathcal{H}, \|x\| = 1\}$. The operator norm of T is given by

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1, x \in \mathcal{H}\} = \sup\{|\langle Tx, y \rangle| : \|x\| = \|y\| = 1, x, y \in \mathcal{H}\}.$$

For the subsequent discussion, it is important to recall that expression $x \otimes y$ represents a rank one operator defined by $x \otimes y(z) = \langle z, y \rangle x$, where x, y , and z are vectors in space \mathcal{X} . So, we can rewrite inequality (16), as follows:

$$|\langle [\alpha(x \otimes x) - \beta \|x\|^2 I] a, b \rangle| \leq \max\{|\beta|, |\alpha - \beta|\} \|x\|^2 \|a\| \|b\|, \tag{27}$$

where $a, b, x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Taking the supremum in relation (27) for $\|a\| = \|b\| = 1$, we deduce

$$\|\alpha(x \otimes x) - \beta \|x\|^2 I\| \leq \max\{|\beta|, |\alpha - \beta|\} \|x\|^2.$$

It is well-known that if $x \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\|x\| = 1$, then $P_x = x \otimes x$ is the orthogonal projection on $\text{span}\{x\}$.

REMARK 5. If in inequality (27), we assume that $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is a norm one vector, then

$$\|2P_x - I\| = \|2(x \otimes x) - I\| \leq \max\{|1|, |2 - 1|\} = 1.$$

Fuji and Kubo [11], used this inequality to give a simpler proof of Buzano's inequality.

A significant inequality was discovered by A. Selberg ([15, p. 394]). If we consider vectors x, z_1, \dots, z_n in \mathcal{X} , where $z_i \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, we can consider Selberg's inequality, which asserts that:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\langle x, z_i \rangle|^2}{\sum_{j=1}^n |\langle z_i, z_j \rangle|} \leq \|x\|^2. \tag{28}$$

In [2], was introduced the Selberg operator defined as follows: given a subset $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of nonzero vectors in space \mathcal{X} , the Selberg operator $S_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is defined by

$$S_{\mathcal{Z}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{z_i \otimes z_i}{\sum_{j=1}^n |\langle z_i, z_j \rangle|} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}).$$

REMARK 6. Selberg’s inequality gives us another refinement of the (C-S) inequality, since if $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ with a and b nonzero vectors in \mathcal{X} , then

$$0 \leq (\|a\|^2 - \langle S_{\{b\}}a, a \rangle) (\|b\|^2 - \langle S_{\{a\}}b, b \rangle) \leq \|b\|^2 (\|a\|^2 - \langle S_{\{b\}}a, a \rangle),$$

or equivalently,

$$0 \leq (\|a\|^2 - \langle S_{\{b\}}a, a \rangle) (\|b\|^2 - \langle S_{\{a\}}b, b \rangle) \leq \|b\|^2 \|a\|^2 - |\langle a, b \rangle|^2.$$

Now, we will express Richard’s inequality using an appropriate Selberg operator, more precisely

$$\left| \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}}a, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\|, \tag{29}$$

where $\mathcal{Z} = \{x\}$, $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\|x\| = 1$.

Before we point out some generalization of (29), we collect some results recently obtained by one of the authors in [2, 4].

LEMMA 2. Let $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ be a subset of nonzero vectors in \mathcal{X} , then

1. $S_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a positive operator and $\|S_{\mathcal{Z}}\| \leq 1$.
2. $\|2S_{\mathcal{Z}} - I\| \leq 1$.
3. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\| \|b\| &\geq |\langle a, b \rangle - \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}}a, b \rangle| + \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}}a, a \rangle^{1/2} \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}}b, b \rangle^{1/2} \\ &\geq |\langle a, b \rangle| - |\langle S_{\mathcal{Z}}a, b \rangle| + \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}}a, a \rangle^{1/2} \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}}b, b \rangle^{1/2} \\ &\geq |\langle a, b \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we generalize Richard’s inequality for any subset \mathcal{Z} contained in \mathcal{X} , and we characterize when the equality holds.

THEOREM 5. For any $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ a subset of nonzero vectors in \mathcal{X} , it holds

$$\left| \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}}a, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\|. \tag{30}$$

The case of equality holds in (30) if and only if

$$S_{\mathcal{Z}}a = \frac{1}{2}a + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\|a\|}{\|b\|} e^{i\theta} b, \tag{31}$$

for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

Proof. The first inequality is a simple consequence of Lemma 2, but to make this article complete, we have included the proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$, then from Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle S_{\mathcal{X}}a, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| &= \left| \left\langle \left(S_{\mathcal{X}} - \frac{1}{2}I \right) a, b \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|2S_{\mathcal{X}} - I\| \|a\| \|b\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the equality holds, for $b \neq 0$, if and only if

$$S_{\mathcal{X}}a = \frac{1}{2}a + \delta b, \tag{32}$$

for some $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$. Using expression (32), we deduce that $\delta = \frac{\|a\|}{\|b\|} e^{i\theta}$ for some $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$. \square

Incidentally, if $\{a, b\}$ is linearly dependent, then the equality in (30), holds for any subset \mathcal{X} , if and only if $S_{\mathcal{X}}b = \frac{1}{2}(1 + e^{i\beta})b$ for some $\beta \in [0, 2\pi)$.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let \mathcal{X} be a finite subset of nonzero vectors in \mathcal{X} . If there exists $e \in \mathcal{X}^\perp$ with $\|e\| = 1$, then for any $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle S_{\mathcal{X}}a, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| &\leq \left| \langle S_{\mathcal{X}}a, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle \right| + \frac{1}{2} |\langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\|. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}_1 = \{e\}$, then $S_{\mathcal{X}_1} = e \otimes e$ and by Lemma 2 we have

$$|\langle a, b \rangle| \leq |\langle a, b \rangle - \langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle| + |\langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle| \leq \|a\| \|b\|, \tag{34}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$. Now, if in the last inequality, which is a refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we replace a by $(S_{\mathcal{X}} - \frac{1}{2}I)a$ and we use that $S_{\mathcal{X}}e = 0$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \left(S_{\mathcal{X}} - \frac{1}{2}I \right) a, b \right\rangle \right| &\leq \left| \langle S_{\mathcal{X}}a, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle \right| + \frac{1}{2} |\langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|2S_{\mathcal{X}} - I\| \|a\| \|b\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\| \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$. \square

REMARK 7. Notice that (34) is also established by Dragomir in [7]. However, our approach here is different from his.

We can obtain a refinement of Richard's inequality, from the previous statement, by considering the positivity of $S_{\mathcal{X}}$ and appropriate set \mathcal{X} .

COROLLARY 3. For any $x, a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\|x\| = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| &\leq \left| \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle \right| + \frac{1}{2} |\langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \cdot \|b\|, \end{aligned}$$

where $e \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\langle x, e \rangle = 0$.

Proof. We consider $\mathcal{Z} = \{x\}$, then $e \in \mathcal{Z}^\perp$ and by Proposition 2, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| &= \left| \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}} a, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left| \langle a, x \rangle \langle x, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle \right| + \frac{1}{2} |\langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \cdot \|b\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2, we also obtain the following refinement of the Buzano type inequality.

COROLLARY 4. Let \mathcal{Z} be a finite subset of nonzero vectors in \mathcal{X} . If there exists $e \in \mathcal{Z}^\perp$ with $\|e\| = 1$, then for any $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle S_{\mathcal{Z}} a, b \rangle| &\leq \left| \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}} a, b \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle \right| + \left| \frac{1}{2} \langle a, e \rangle \langle e, b \rangle \right| + \frac{1}{2} |\langle a, b \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|\langle a, b \rangle| + \|a\| \|b\|). \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Using the argument of the proof of Theorem 5, with different subsets \mathcal{Z} contained in \mathcal{X} , we get the following result, which is the corresponding complex version of Precupanu’s inequality.

PROPOSITION 3. Let $a, b, w, z \in \mathcal{X}$ with w and z nonzero vectors. Then

$$\left| \frac{\langle a, w \rangle \langle w, b \rangle}{\|w\|^2} + \frac{\langle a, z \rangle \langle z, b \rangle}{\|z\|^2} - 2 \frac{\langle a, w \rangle \langle w, z \rangle \langle z, b \rangle}{\|w\|^2 \|z\|^2} - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\|. \tag{36}$$

Proof. We consider the following sets $\mathcal{Z}_1 = \{w\}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_2 = \{z\}$ contained in \mathcal{X} . Thus,

$$\frac{\langle a, w \rangle \langle w, b \rangle}{\|w\|^2} + \frac{\langle a, z \rangle \langle z, b \rangle}{\|z\|^2} - 2 \frac{\langle a, w \rangle \langle w, z \rangle \langle z, b \rangle}{\|w\|^2 \|z\|^2} = \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}_1} a, b \rangle + \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}_2} a, b \rangle - 2 \langle S_{\mathcal{Z}_1} a, S_{\mathcal{Z}_2} b \rangle.$$

As $S_{\mathcal{Z}_2}$ is a positive operator and, in particular a selfadjoint operator, we get that

$$\frac{\langle a, w \rangle \langle w, b \rangle}{\|w\|^2} + \frac{\langle a, z \rangle \langle z, b \rangle}{\|z\|^2} - 2 \frac{\langle a, w \rangle \langle w, z \rangle \langle z, b \rangle}{\|w\|^2 \|z\|^2} = \langle (S_{\mathcal{Z}_1} + S_{\mathcal{Z}_2} - 2S_{\mathcal{Z}_2} S_{\mathcal{Z}_1}) a, b \rangle.$$

We remark that

$$S_{\mathcal{X}_1} + S_{\mathcal{X}_2} - 2S_{\mathcal{X}_2}S_{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{1}{2}I = (-2) \left(S_{\mathcal{X}_2} - \frac{1}{2}I \right) \left(S_{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{1}{2}I \right).$$

Therefore, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \left(S_{\mathcal{X}_1} + S_{\mathcal{X}_2} - 2S_{\mathcal{X}_2}S_{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{1}{2}I \right) a, b \right\rangle \right| &= \left| \left\langle (-2) \left(S_{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{1}{2}I \right) \left(S_{\mathcal{X}_2} - \frac{1}{2}I \right) a, b \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq 2 \left\| S_{\mathcal{X}_1} - \frac{1}{2}I \right\| \left\| S_{\mathcal{X}_2} - \frac{1}{2}I \right\| \|a\| \|b\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

From (36), we get the following generalization of Buzano's inequality.

COROLLARY 5. For any $a, b, w, z \in \mathcal{X}$ with $w \neq 0$ and $z \neq 0$, it holds that

$$\left| \frac{\langle a, w \rangle \langle w, b \rangle}{\|w\|^2} + \frac{\langle a, z \rangle \langle z, b \rangle}{\|z\|^2} - 2 \frac{\langle a, w \rangle \langle w, z \rangle \langle z, b \rangle}{\|w\|^2 \|z\|^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\| \langle a, b \rangle \| + \|a\| \|b\|). \quad (37)$$

In particular, if $\langle z, b \rangle = 0$ in (37), then we obtain Buzano's inequality.

Motivated by the proof of Proposition 3, we obtain the following statement.

THEOREM 6. Let $\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_n$ be finite subsets of nonzero vectors in \mathcal{X} , then for any $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ and $z_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, it holds that

$$\left| \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \left(S_{\mathcal{Z}_k} - \frac{1}{2}I \right) a, b \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|}{2} \|a\| \|b\|, \quad (38)$$

and

$$\left| \left\langle \prod_{k=1}^n z_k \left(S_{\mathcal{Z}_k} - \frac{1}{2}I \right) a, b \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n |z_k|}{2^n} \|a\| \|b\|. \quad (39)$$

Proof. It is consequence of the fact that $\| \cdot \|$ is a submultiplicative norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, the triangle inequality and Lemma 2. \square

The inequality (39) is a generalization of Precupanu's inequality.

In particular, if $(-1)^n \prod_{k=1}^n z_k = 2^{n-1}$, then

$$\left| \left\langle \left(\prod_{k=1}^n z_k S_{\mathcal{Z}_k} \right) a, b - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\|. \quad (40)$$

COROLLARY 6. Let $\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_n$ be finite subsets of nonzero vectors in \mathcal{X} and z_1, \dots, z_n complex numbers such that $\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k| = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k = 1$. Then, for any $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ it holds that

$$\left| \left\langle \left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k S_{\mathcal{Z}_k} \right) a, b \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\|. \quad (41)$$

Proof. By hypothesis $\sum_{k=1}^n z_k = 1$ we get

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k S_{\mathcal{Z}_k} \right) - \frac{1}{2}I = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \left(S_{\mathcal{Z}_k} - \frac{1}{2}I \right). \tag{42}$$

Then, for any $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$, we have as consequence of (38)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k S_{\mathcal{Z}_k} \right) a, b \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle a, b \rangle \right| &= \left| \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \left(S_{\mathcal{Z}_k} - \frac{1}{2}I \right) a, b \right\rangle \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k|}{2} \|a\| \|b\| \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|a\| \|b\|. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

□

As consequence of the previous result, we attain a generalization of Buzano’s inequality.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $\mathcal{Z}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Z}_n$ be finite subsets of nonzero vectors in \mathcal{X} and z_1, \dots, z_n complex numbers such that $\sum_{k=1}^n |z_k| = \sum_{k=1}^n z_k = 1$. Then, for any $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ it holds that*

$$\left| \left\langle \left(\sum_{k=1}^n z_k S_{\mathcal{Z}_k} \right) a, b \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} (|\langle a, b \rangle| + \|a\| \|b\|). \tag{44}$$

THEOREM 7. *In an Hilbert space \mathcal{X} over the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} , we have*

$$\|\alpha S_{\mathcal{Z}} - \beta I\| \leq \max\{|\beta|, |\alpha - \beta|\} \tag{45}$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \{x\}$, $\|x\| = 1$, and for every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. For $a, b \in \mathcal{X}$ and from inequality (16) we deduce

$$|\langle (\alpha S_{\mathcal{Z}} - \beta I) a, b \rangle| \leq \max\{|\beta|, |\alpha - \beta|\} \|a\| \|b\|. \tag{46}$$

Taking the supremum in the above relation for $\|a\| = \|b\| = 1$, we find the inequality of the statement. □

Acknowledgements. The authors want to thank the anonymous reviewers and editor for their careful reading of the manuscript and for many valuable remarks and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. M. ALDAZ, *Strengthened Cauchy-Schwarz and Hölder inequalities*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **10**, 4 (2009).
- [2] N. ALTWAIJRY, C. CONDE, S. S. DRAGOMIR AND K. FEKI, *Some refinements of Selberg Inequality and related results*, Symmetry **15**, 8 (2023).
- [3] H. ALZER, *A Refinement of the Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **168**, 2 (1992), 596–604.
- [4] T. BOTTAZZI AND C. CONDE, *Generalized Buzano Inequality*, Filomat **37**, 27 (2023).
- [5] M. L. BUZANO, *Generalizzazione della disuguaglianza di Cauchy-Schwarz* (Italian), Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. e Politech. Torino **31**, (1974), 405–409.
- [6] A.-L. CAUCHY, *Cours d'Analyse de l'École Royale Polytechnique, I ère partie, Analyse Algébrique*, Reprinted by Ed. Jacques Gabay, Paris, 1989.
- [7] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Some refinements of Schwartz inequality*, Simpozionul de Matematici și Aplicații, Timișoara, Romania, 1–2 (1985), 13–16.
- [8] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Refinements of Buzano's and Kurepa's inequalities in inner product spaces*, FACTA UNIVERSITATIS (NIŠ) Ser. Math. Inform **20**, (2005), 65–73.
- [9] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *A potpourri of Schwarz related inequalities in inner product spaces (II)*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **7**, 1 (2006).
- [10] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND A. C. GOȘA, *A generalisation of an Ostrowski inequality in inner product spaces, Inequality theory and applications*, vol. 4, Nova Sci. Publ., New York, 2007.
- [11] M. FUJII AND F. KUBO, *Buzano inequality and bounds for roots of algebraic equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **117**, 2 (1993), 359–361.
- [12] I. GAVREA, *An extension of Buzano's inequality in inner product space*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **10**, 2 (2007), 281–285.
- [13] M. KHOSRAVI, R. DRNOVŠEK AND M. S. MOSLEHIAN, *A commutator approach to Buzano's inequality*, Filomat **26**, 4 (2012), 827–832.
- [14] C. LUPU AND D. SCHWARZ, *Another look at some new Cauchy-Schwarz type inner product inequalities*, Appl. Math. Comput. **231**, (2014), 463–477.
- [15] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ AND A. M. FINK, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1993.
- [16] N. MINCULETE, *Considerations about the several inequalities in an inner product space*, J. Math. Ineq. **12**, 1 (2018), 155–161.
- [17] A. OSTROWSKI, *Vorlesungen über Differential und Integralrechnung*, vol. 2, Birkhauser, Basel, 1951.
- [18] J. PEČARIĆ AND R. RAJIĆ, *The Dunkl-Williams equality in pre-Hilbert C^* -modules*, Lin. Algebra Appl. **425**, (2007), 16–25.
- [19] D. POPA AND I. RAȘA, *Inequalities involving the inner product*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **8**, 3 (2007).
- [20] T. PRECUPANU, *On a generalisation of Cauchy-Buniakowski-Schwarz inequality*, Anal. St. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iași **22**, 2 (1976), 173–175.
- [21] R. RICHARD, *Sur des in égalités du type Wirtinger et leurs application aux équationes différentielles ordinaires*, Colloquium of Anaysis held in Rio de Janeiro, 1972, pp. 233–244.

(Received October 9, 2023)

Cristian Conde
 Instituto de Ciencias
 Universidad Nacional de General Sarmiento and
 Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas Técnicas
 Argentina
 e-mail: cconde@campus.ungs.edu.ar

Nicușor Minculete
 Transilvania University of Brașov
 Brașov, 500091, Romania
 e-mail: minculete.nicusor@unitbv.ro