

## A NEW HALF-DISCRETE MULTIDIMENSIONAL HILBERT-TYPE INEQUALITY INVOLVING ONE HIGHER-ORDER DERIVATIVE FUNCTION

LING PENG\*, BICHENG YANG AND RAHELA ABDUL RAHIM

(Communicated by Q.-H. Ma)

*Abstract.* This paper presents a new half-discrete multidimensional Hilbert-type inequality involving one higher-order derivative function utilizing transfer formula and Hermite–Hadamard’s inequality. The inequality investigates a general intermediate variable in kernel  $\frac{1}{(x+\|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}}$  ( $x, \lambda > 0$ ) than previous work. The research explores the best value related to certain parameters. Finally, the equivalence forms and operator expressions are also presented.

### 1. Introduction

Assuming that  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $a_m, b_n \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$ , we have the following Hardy–Hilbert’s inequality (cf. [3], Theorem 315):

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (1)$$

where,  $\pi / \sin(\frac{\pi}{p})$  is the best value.

In 2006, Krnić (see. [10]) provided an extension of (1) below by using the Euler–Maclaurin’s summation formula:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n)^\lambda} < B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} a_m^p \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} b_n^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (2)$$

where,  $\lambda_i \in (0, 2]$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda \in (0, 4]$ . The best value  $B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$  is expressed by the beta function as follows:

$$B(u, v) = \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{u-1}}{(1+t)^{u+v}} dt \quad (u, v > 0). \quad (3)$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): 26D15.

*Keywords and phrases:* Half-discrete multidimensional Hilbert-type inequality, weight function, best value, parameter, operator expression.

\* Corresponding author.

The half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with a nonhomogeneous kernel was first described by Hardy et al. in 1934. (cf. [3], Theorem 351): If the function  $K(t)$  is a decreasing,  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $0 < \phi(s) = \int_0^\infty K(x)x^{s-1}dx < \infty$ ,  $f(x) \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx < \infty$ , then we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^\infty n^{p-2} \left( \int_0^\infty K(nx)f(x)dx \right)^p < \phi^p \left( \frac{1}{q} \right) \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx. \tag{4}$$

Based on (4), M. You obtained some special functions such as hyperbolic functions (cf. [20]), and the cotangent function (cf. [15]) in half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality. In a 2016 publication, Y. Hong [8] discussed the equivalent statements of these inequalities and explored the best values. Subsequently, Y. Hong [7] expanded his theoretical research on multiple Hilbert-type integral inequalities. The quasi-homogeneous kernel involving half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality was also discussed in [5]. According to this theory, some extension works about the equivalent statements on half-discrete inequalities were brought up by [4, 6, 13, 17, 19].

In addition, some applications about Hilbert-type inequality were obtained by [1, 2, 16, 18]. Recently, Y. Hong et al. [9] came up with the idea of weight functions and used the transfer formula and Hermite–Hadamard’s inequality to derive a half-discrete multidimensional Hilbert-type inequality with a homogeneous kernel.

Utilizing the extension transfer formula and the approach from [9], this paper comes up with a new half-discrete multidimensional Hilbert-type inequality involving one higher-order derivative function. The inequality investigates a general intermediate variable in kernel  $\frac{1}{(x+\|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}}$  ( $x, \lambda > 0$ ) than previous work [9]. The equivalent statements outline the comparable expressions for the optimal constant factor associated with certain parameters. Finally, the equivalent forms and the operator expressions are considered.

### 2. Some Lemmas

Let  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda_1 \in (0, \lambda)$ ,  $\lambda_2 \in (0, \lambda) \cap (0, n]$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}_0 = \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\xi \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $v(y) = (v_1(y_1), \dots, v_n(y_n))$ ,  $y \in A_\xi := \{y = \{y_1, \dots, y_n\}; y_i \in (\xi, \infty)\}$ , such that  $v_i(y_i) > 0$ ,  $v'_i(y_i) > 0$ ,  $v''_i(y_i) \leq 0$ ,  $v'''_i(y_i) \geq 0$ ,  $v_i(\xi^+) = 0$ ,  $v_i(\infty) = \infty$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ).  $\tilde{\lambda}_1 := \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}_2 := \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p}$ . We also suppose that  $f(x) \geq 0$ ,  $f^{(m)}(x)$  ( $m \in \mathbf{N}_0$ ) is a nonnegative continuous function except at finite points in  $\mathbf{R}_+ := (0, \infty)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(k-1)}(x) &= o(e^{tx}) \quad (t > 0; x \rightarrow \infty), \\ f^{(k-1)}(0^+) &= 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, m \quad (m \in \mathbf{N}), \\ a_k &= (a_{k_1}, \dots, a_{k_n}) \geq 0 \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}_+, k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbf{N}^n), \end{aligned}$$

satisfying for  $m \in \mathbf{N}_0$ ,

$$0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\tilde{\lambda}_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\tilde{\lambda}_2)-n}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} a_k^q < \infty.$$

Assuming that  $M > 0$ ,  $\psi(u)$  ( $u > 0$ ) is a nonnegative measurable function, we have the following transfer formula (cf. [14]):

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \cdots \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; 0 < \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{y_i}{M})^\alpha \leq 1\}} \psi \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i}{M}\right)^\alpha \right) dy_1 \cdots dy_n \\ &= \frac{M^n \Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^n \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_0^1 \psi(u) u^{\frac{n}{\alpha}-1} du. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Particularly, (i) for  $\|y\|_\alpha = M[\sum_{k=1}^n (\frac{y_i}{M})^\alpha]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ ,  $\psi(u) = \phi(Mu^{\frac{1}{\alpha}})$ , by (5), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^n} \phi(\|y\|_\alpha) dy \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \int \cdots \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; 0 < \sum_{i=1}^n (\frac{y_i}{M})^\alpha \leq 1\}} \phi \left( M \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i}{M}\right)^\alpha \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \right) dy_1 \cdots dy_n \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^n \Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^n \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_0^1 \phi(Mu^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) u^{\frac{n}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_0^\infty \phi(v) v^{n-1} dv \quad (v = Mu^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

(ii) For  $\psi(u) = \phi(Mu^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) = 0$ ,  $0 < u < \frac{b^\alpha}{M^\alpha}$  ( $b > 0$ ), by (5), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; \|y\|_\alpha \geq b\}} \phi(\|y\|_\alpha) dy \\ &= \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \frac{M^n \Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^n \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_{\frac{b^\alpha}{M^\alpha}}^1 \phi(Mu^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) u^{\frac{n}{\alpha}-1} du \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_b^\infty \phi(v) v^{n-1} dv. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose that  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , define the following function

$$h_x(y) := \frac{\|v(y)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(y_i)}{(x + \|v(y)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} \quad (x > 0, y \in A_\xi, y_i \in (\xi, \infty)).$$

Then  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} h_x(y) < 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} h_x(y) > 0$  ( $y \in A_\xi; j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

*Proof.* Since  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\xi \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $y \in A_\xi$ , we define

$$g_x(y) := \frac{1}{(x + \|v(y)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} = \frac{1}{[x + (\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\alpha(y_i))^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}]^\lambda},$$

$$f_1(y) := \|v(y)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2-n} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\alpha(y_i)\right)^{\frac{\lambda_2-n}{\alpha}},$$

$$f_2(y) := \prod_{i=1}^n v_i'(y_i).$$

Since  $v_j'(y_j) > 0$ ,  $v_j''(y_j) \leq 0$ ,  $v_j'''(y_j) \geq 0$ , we derive

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} g_x(y) = \frac{-\lambda (\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\alpha(y_i))^{(1/\alpha)-1} v_j^{\alpha-1}(y_j) v_j'(y_j)}{[x + (\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\alpha(y_i))^{1/\alpha}]^{\lambda+1}} < 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} f_1(y) = (\lambda_2 - n) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^\alpha(y_i)\right)^{\frac{\lambda_2-n}{\alpha}-1} v_j^{\alpha-1}(y_j) v_j'(y_j) \leq 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} f_2(y) = v_j''(y_j) \prod_{i=1, (i \neq j)}^n v_i'(y_i) \leq 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} f_1(y) \geq 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} f_2(y) \geq 0.$$

We still can find that  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} g_x(y) > 0$ , and then in the same way,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} h_x(y) = f_1(y) f_2(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} g_x(y) + g_x(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (f_1(y) f_2(y)) < 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} h_x(y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left[ f_1(y) f_2(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} g_x(y) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left[ g_x(y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} (f_1(y) f_2(y)) \right]$$

$$> 0 \quad (y_j \in (\xi, \infty), j = 1, \dots, n).$$

The lemma has been shown.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $c > 0$ ,

$$b = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{v_i(1)\}, \quad e = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{v_i(1)\} (> 0),$$

there exists a constant  $a_n \in \mathbf{R}_+$ , such that the following inequalities hold:

$$\frac{e^{-c} \Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \left( \frac{1}{c} - \frac{n-1}{1+c} \right)$$

$$< \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-c-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v_i'(k_i) < a_n + \frac{b^{-c} \Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{c \alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})}, \tag{8}$$

where  $a_1 := v^{-c-1}(1)v'(1)$ ,  $a_n := \sum_{i=1}^n M_i \in \mathbf{R}_+$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$ ), satisfying

$$M_i = \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_{i-1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k_{i+1}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{k_n=1}^{\infty} [v_1^\alpha(k_1) + \cdots + v_{i-1}^\alpha(k_{i-1}) + v_i^\alpha(1) + v_{i+1}^\alpha(k_{i+1}) + \cdots + v_n^\alpha(k_n)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}(-c-n)} v_i'(1) \prod_{j=1(j \neq i)}^n v_j'(k_j) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

*Proof.* Since  $c > 0$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $v_i'(y_i) > 0$ ,  $v_i''(y_i) \leq 0$ ,  $v_i'''(y_i) \geq 0$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \left[ \|v(y)\|_\alpha^{-c-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v_i'(y_i) \right] &< 0, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_j^2} \left[ \|v(y)\|_\alpha^{-c-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v_i'(y_i) \right] &> 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned}$$

Supposed that  $f(x) := (x + d)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} - d^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$  ( $x, d \geq 0$ ), we have

$$f'(x) := \frac{1}{\alpha} [(x + d)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} - x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1}] \geq 0 \quad (\alpha \in (0, 1]).$$

For  $f(0) = 0$ , we find  $(x + d)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \geq x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + d^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ . Then for  $n = 1$ , we find  $a_1 = v^{-c-1}(1)v'(1) \in \mathbf{R}_+$ ; for  $n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , by (6) and the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < M_n &< \int_{\{y_i \geq \frac{1}{2}, i=1, \dots, n-1\}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} v_j^\alpha(y_j) + v_n^\alpha(1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(c+n)} \\ &\quad \times v_n'(1) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} v_i'(y_i) dy \\ &\stackrel{u=v(y)}{=} v_n'(1) \int_{\{u_i \geq v_i(\frac{1}{2}), i=1, \dots, n-1\}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j^\alpha + v_n^\alpha(1) \right)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}(c+n)} du \\ &\leq v_n'(1) \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{n-1}} \left[ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j^\alpha \right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} + v_n(1) \right]^{-c-n} du \\ &= v_n'(1) \frac{\Gamma^{n-1}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-2} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{\alpha})} \int_0^\infty (x + v_n(1))^{-c-n} x^{(n-1)-1} dx \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^{n-1}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-2} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{\alpha})} \frac{v_n'(1)}{v_n^{c+1}(1)} \int_0^\infty \frac{y^{n-2}}{(1+y)^{c+n}} dy \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^{n-1}(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-2} \Gamma(\frac{n-1}{\alpha})} \frac{v_n'(1)}{v_n^{c+1}(1)} B(n-1, c+1) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Above all,  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) is a positive constant, then,  $a_n$  ( $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ) is a positive constant.

For  $n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , setting  $k' = (k'_1, \dots, k'_n)$ , ( $k'_i \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ), by (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \|v(k)\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) &\leq a_n + \sum_{k'} \|v(k')\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k'_i) \\ &< a_n + \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; y_i \geq 1\}} \|v(y)\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(y_i) dy \\ &= a_n + \int_{\{u \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; u_i \geq v_i(1)\}} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} du \\ &\leq a_n + \int_{\{u \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; u_i \geq b\}} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} du \\ &\leq a_n + \int_{\{u \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; \|u\|_{\alpha} \geq b\}} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} du \\ &= a_n + \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_b^{\infty} x^{-c-n} x^{n-1} dx \\ &= a_n + \frac{b^{-c} \Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{c \alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})}. \end{aligned}$$

We can find that the above result is satisfied for  $n = 1$ .

On the other hand, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_k \|v(k)\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \\ &> \int_{\{y \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; y_i \geq 1\}} \|v(y)\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(y_i) dy = \int_{\{u \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; u_i \geq v_i(1)\}} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} du \\ &\geq \int_{\{u \in \mathbf{R}_+^n; u_i \geq e\}} \|u\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} du \stackrel{w=u-e}{=} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^n} \|w+e\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} dw. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\phi(x) := x^{-c-n}$  ( $x > 0$ ), by (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbf{R}_+^n} \|w+e\|_{\alpha}^{-c-n} dw = \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_0^{\infty} \phi(x+e) x^{n-1} dx \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \left[ \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(x+e)^{n-1} dx}{(x+e)^{c+n}} - \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(x+e)^{n-1} - x^{n-1}}{(x+e)^{c+n}} dx \right] \\ &= \frac{e^{-c} \Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \left( \frac{1}{c} - A_n(c) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we indicate that

$$A_n(c) := e^c \int_0^{\infty} \frac{(x+e)^{n-1} - x^{n-1}}{(x+e)^{c+n}} dx.$$

For  $n = 1$ , we find  $A_1(c) = 0$ ; for  $n \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{1\}$ , by the mid-value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(c) &= (n-1)e^{1+c} \int_0^\infty \frac{(x + \theta_x e)^{n-2} dx}{(x + e)^{c+n}} \quad (\theta_x \in (0, 1)) \\ &\leq (n-1)e^{1+c} \int_0^\infty \frac{(x + e)^{n-2}}{(x + e)^{c+n}} dx = \frac{n-1}{1+c}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-c-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) &> \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^n} \|w + e\|_\alpha^{-c-n} dw \\ &\geq \frac{e^{-c} \Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \left( \frac{1}{c} - \frac{n-1}{1+c} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Inequalities (8) are valid.

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.3. Define the following weight functions:

$$\tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\lambda_2, x) := x^{\lambda-\lambda_2} \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i)}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}_+), \tag{9}$$

$$\omega_\lambda(\lambda_1, k) := \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda-\lambda_1} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\lambda_1-1}}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} dx \quad (k \in \mathbf{N}^n). \tag{10}$$

(i) For  $\lambda_2 \leq n$ ,  $0 < \lambda_2 < \lambda$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\lambda_2, x) < \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}_+. \tag{11}$$

(ii) For  $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda$ , the following expression holds:

$$\omega_\lambda(\lambda_1, k) = B(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1), \quad k \in \mathbf{N}^n. \tag{12}$$

*Proof.* (i) For  $\lambda_2 \leq n$ ,  $0 < \lambda_2 < \lambda$ , by employing Lemma 2.1 and Hermite-Hadamard’s inequality (cf. [11]), putting  $u = v(y)$ ,  $du = \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(y_i) dy$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\lambda_2, x) &< x^{\lambda-\lambda_2} \int_{A_{1/2}} \frac{\|v(y)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(y_i)}{(x + \|v(y)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} dy \\ &\leq x^{\lambda-\lambda_2} \int_{A_\xi} \frac{\|v(y)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(y_i)}{(x + \|v(y)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} dy \\ &\stackrel{u=v(y)}{=} x^{\lambda-\lambda_2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^n} \frac{\|u\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2-n}}{(x + \|u\|_\alpha)^\lambda} du. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\phi(s) := \frac{s^{\lambda_2-n}}{(x+s)^\lambda}$ , by (6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\lambda_2, x) &< x^{\lambda-\lambda_2} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^n} \phi(\|u\|_\alpha) du \\ &= x^{\lambda-\lambda_2} \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_0^\infty \phi(s) s^{n-1} ds \\ &= x^{\lambda-\lambda_2} \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{\lambda_2-1}}{(x+s)^\lambda} ds \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \int_0^\infty \frac{t^{\lambda_2-1}}{(1+t)^\lambda} dt \quad (t = s/x) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then (11) is proved.

(ii) Setting  $s = \frac{x}{\|v(k)\|_\alpha}$ , by (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\lambda(\lambda_1, k) &= \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda-\lambda_1} \int_0^\infty \frac{(s\|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda_1-1} \|v(k)\|_\alpha}{(s\|v(k)\|_\alpha + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} ds \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{\lambda_1-1}}{(s+1)^\lambda} ds = B(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1). \end{aligned}$$

Then (12) is proved.

The lemma has been shown.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.4. For  $t > 0$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{N}_0$ , the following expression holds (cf. [9]):

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-tx} f(x) dx = t^{-m} \int_0^\infty e^{-tx} f^{(m)}(x) dx. \tag{13}$$

LEMMA 2.5. For  $m \in \mathbf{N}_0$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} I_\lambda &:= \sum_k \int_0^\infty \frac{f^{(m)}(x) a_k}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} dx \\ &< \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1) \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\tilde{\lambda}_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\tilde{\lambda}_2)-n}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} a_k^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$



*Proof.* By employing Hölder inequality (cf. [11]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_\lambda &= \sum_k \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} \left[ \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{(\lambda_2-n)/p} (\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{1/p}}{x^{(\lambda_1-1)/q}} f^{(m)}(x) \right] \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \frac{x^{(\lambda_1-1)/q}}{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{(\lambda_2-n)/p} (\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{1/p}} a_k \right] dx \\
 &\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty \left[ \sum_k \frac{1}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i)}{x^{(\lambda_1-1)(p-1)}} (f^{(m)}(x))^p \right] dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \sum_k \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{(\lambda_2-n)(1-q)}}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} \frac{x^{\lambda_1-1} dx}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} \right] a_k^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= \left[ \int_0^\infty \tilde{\omega}_\lambda(\lambda_2, x) x^{p(1-\tilde{\lambda}_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \sum_k \omega_\lambda(\lambda_1, k) \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\tilde{\lambda}_2)-n}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} a_k^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (11) and (12), (14) follows.

The lemma has been shown.  $\square$

### 3. Main results

**THEOREM 3.1.** *A new half-discrete multidimensional Hilbert-type inequality involving one derivative function of  $m$ -order holds as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &:= \sum_k \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)a_k}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} dx \\
 &< \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1) \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\tilde{\lambda}_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\tilde{\lambda}_2)-n}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} a_k^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{15}
 \end{aligned}$$

where, for  $m = 0$ , we denote  $\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) = 1$ .

In particular, for  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I < \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\
 \times \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\lambda_2)-n} a_k^q}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{16}
 \end{aligned}$$

where the value

$$\left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

is the best.

*Proof.* For  $\lambda, x > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + m)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda+m-1} e^{-(x+\|v(k)\|_\alpha)t} dt.$$

By employing (13) and Lebesgue term by term integration theorem (cf. [12]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + m)} \sum_k \int_0^\infty f(x) a_k \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda+m-1} e^{-(x+\|v(k)\|_\alpha)t} dt \right] dx \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + m)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda+m-1} \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} f(x) dx \right) \sum_k e^{-\|v(k)\|_\alpha t} a_k dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + m)} \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda+m-1} \left( t^{-m} \int_0^\infty e^{-xt} f^{(m)}(x) dx \right) \sum_k e^{-\|v(k)\|_\alpha t} a_k dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda + m)} \sum_k \int_0^\infty f^{(m)}(x) a_k \left[ \int_0^\infty t^{\lambda-1} e^{-(x+\|v(k)\|_\alpha)t} dt \right] dx \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda + m)} \sum_k \int_0^\infty \frac{f^{(m)}(x) a_k dx}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^\lambda} = \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} I_\lambda.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by (14), we obtain (15). Particularly, for  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , we obtain (16).

For any  $0 < \varepsilon < p\lambda_1$ , we set  $\hat{a}_k := \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i)$  ( $k \in \mathbf{N}^n$ ), and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{f}^{(m)}(x) &= \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < x \leq 1, \\ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda_1 + i - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}) x^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1}, & x > 1, \end{cases} \\
 \hat{f}^{(m-k)}(x) &= \int_0^x \left( \int_0^{t_k} \dots \int_0^{t_2} \hat{f}^{(m)}(t_1) dt_1 \dots dt_{k-1} \right) dt_k \\
 &\geq 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, m).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\widehat{f}(x) := 0, 0 < x < 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(x) &:= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \lambda_1 + i - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) \int_1^x \left( \int_1^{t_m} \cdots \int_1^{t_2} t_1^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} dt_1 \cdots dt_{m-1} \right) dt_m \\ &= x^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} + m - 1} - p_{m-1}(x), \quad x \geq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $p_{m-1}(x)$  is a nonnegative polynomial of  $(m - 1)$ -order with  $p_{m-1}(1) = 1$ ; for  $m = 0$ ,  $p_{m-1}(x) := 0$ . We observe that for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\widehat{f}^{(k-1)}(x) = o(e^{tx}) \quad (t > 0; x \rightarrow \infty), \quad \widehat{f}^{(k-1)}(0^+) = 0 \quad (k = 1, \dots, m).$$

If there exists a positive constant

$$M \leq \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2),$$

such that (16) is valid when we replace

$$\left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

by  $M$ , then in particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{I} &:= \sum_k \int_0^\infty \frac{\widehat{f}(x) \widehat{a}_k}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} dx \\ &< M \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} (\widehat{f}^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\lambda_2)-n}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} \widehat{a}_k^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

By (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{J} &= \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} (\widehat{f}^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\lambda_2)-n}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} \widehat{a}_k^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \lambda_1 + i - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) \left( \int_1^\infty x^{-\varepsilon-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-\varepsilon-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &< \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \lambda_1 + i - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) \left( \varepsilon a_n + \frac{b^{-\varepsilon} \Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

We also derive

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{I} &= \sum_k \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} + m - 1} - O(x^{m-1})}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) dx \\ &= I_1 - I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where, we indicate that

$$I_1 := \sum_k \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} + m - 1}}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda + m}} \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) dx,$$

$$I_2 := \sum_k \int_1^\infty \frac{O(x^{m-1})}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda + m}} \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) dx.$$

By (8), we derive

$$\frac{1}{c - \varepsilon} \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-c - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) = O(1) \quad \left( c = \lambda_1 + m + \frac{\varepsilon}{q} \right).$$

Replacing  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda_1$ ) by  $\lambda + m$  ( $\lambda_1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} + m$ ) in (10) and (12), by (8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-\varepsilon - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \left[ \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \int_1^\infty \frac{x^{\lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} dx}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda + m}} \right] \\ &= \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-\varepsilon - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \left[ \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{\lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} dx}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda + m}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1}}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda + m}} dx \right] \\ &\geq \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-\varepsilon - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \\ &\quad \times \left[ \omega_{\lambda + m} \left( \lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, k \right) - \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p} - 1} dx}{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda + m}} \right] \\ &= \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-\varepsilon - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \omega_{\lambda + m} \left( \lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, k \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}} \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-(\lambda_1 + m + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}) - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \\ &= B \left( \lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{-\varepsilon - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) - O(1) \\ &> B \left( \lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p} \right) \frac{e^{-\varepsilon} \Gamma^n \left( \frac{1}{\alpha} \right)}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma \left( \frac{n}{\alpha} \right)} \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} - \frac{n-1}{1+\varepsilon} \right) - O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for  $m = 0$ ,  $I_2 = 0$ ; for  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < I_2 &= \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i)}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}}} \int_1^\infty \frac{O(x^{m-1})}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + m}} dx \\ &\leq \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 - \frac{\varepsilon}{q} - n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i)}{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}}} \int_1^\infty \frac{O(x^{m-1})}{x^{\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + m}} dx \leq C < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (17), we derive

$$\begin{aligned} & B\left(\lambda_1 + m - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}, \lambda_2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right) \frac{e^{-\varepsilon} \Gamma^n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)} \left(1 - \varepsilon \frac{n-1}{1+\varepsilon}\right) \\ & - \varepsilon O(1) - \varepsilon I_2 < \varepsilon \widehat{I} < \varepsilon M \widehat{J} \\ & \leq M \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \left(\lambda_1 + i - \frac{\varepsilon}{p}\right) \left(\varepsilon a_n + \frac{b^{-\varepsilon} \Gamma^n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , since the beta function is continuous, we derive

$$B(\lambda_1 + m, \lambda_2) \frac{\Gamma^n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)} \leq M \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda_1 + i) \left(\frac{\Gamma^n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

namely,

$$\left[\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i)\right]^{-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma^n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \leq M,$$

then

$$M = \left[\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i)\right]^{-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma^n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$$

is the best value in (16).

The theorem has been proved.  $\square$

REMARK 3.1. For  $\widetilde{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q}$ ,  $\widetilde{\lambda}_2 = \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1}{q} + \frac{\lambda_2}{p} = \lambda_2 + \frac{\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{q}$ , then  $\widetilde{\lambda}_1 + \widetilde{\lambda}_2 = \lambda$ . Since  $0 < \lambda_1, \lambda_2 < \lambda$ , we have

$$0 < \widetilde{\lambda}_1, \widetilde{\lambda}_2 < \lambda, \text{ and } B(\widetilde{\lambda}_1, \widetilde{\lambda}_2) \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

For  $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq q(n - \lambda_2)$ , we obtain  $\widetilde{\lambda}_2 \leq n$ . Then (16) is rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I < \left[\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i)\right]^{-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma^n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\widetilde{\lambda}_1, \widetilde{\lambda}_2) \\ \times \left[\int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\widetilde{\lambda}_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[\sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\widetilde{\lambda}_2)-n}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} a_k^q\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

THEOREM 3.2. For  $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq q(n - \lambda_2)$ , if

$$\left[\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i)\right]^{-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma^n\left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{\alpha}\right)} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1)$$

is the best value in (15), then  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* By Hölder inequality (cf. [11]), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\tilde{\lambda}_1-1}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda-\lambda_2}{p} + \frac{\lambda_1}{q} - 1}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} u^{\frac{\lambda-\lambda_2-1}{p}} u^{\frac{\lambda_1-1}{q}} du \\
 &\leq \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\lambda-\lambda_2-1}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\lambda_1-1}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= B^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1). \tag{19}
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with the values in (15) and (18), by the assumption, the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1) \\
 &\leq \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2),
 \end{aligned}$$

namely,  $B(\tilde{\lambda}_1, \tilde{\lambda}_2) \geq B^{\frac{1}{p}}(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1)$ , which means that (19) is an equality. We observe that (19) is an equality if and only if there exist two constants  $P$  and  $Q$ , such that they are not both zero and (cf. [11]), satisfying  $Pu^{\lambda-\lambda_2-1} = Qu^{\lambda_1-1}$  a.e. in  $\mathbf{R}_+$ . Assuming that  $P \neq 0$ , then  $u^{\lambda-\lambda_1-\lambda_2} = \frac{Q}{P}$  a.e. in  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , namely,  $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = 0$ , that is,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ .

The theorem has been proved.  $\square$

### 4. Equivalent forms and operator expressions

**THEOREM 4.1.** *The following inequality is equivalent to inequality (15):*

$$\begin{aligned}
 J &:= \left\{ \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\tilde{\lambda}_2-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)dx}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &< \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1) \\
 &\quad \times \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\tilde{\lambda}_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{20}
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular, for  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , we obtain the following inequality equivalent to (16):

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sum_k \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{p\lambda_2-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)dx}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & < \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ & \times \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that (20) is true, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_k \left[ \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\tilde{\lambda}_2-\frac{n}{p}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} dx \right] \\ & \times \left[ \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{\frac{n}{p}-\tilde{\lambda}_2} a_k}{\left( \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right] \\ & \leq J \left[ \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\tilde{\lambda}_2)-n}}{\left( \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \right)^{q-1}} a_k^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Then by (20), we obtain (15).

In contrast, suppose that (15) is valid, we set

$$a_k = \|v(k)\|_\alpha^{p\tilde{\lambda}_2-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)dx}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} \right]^{p-1}, \quad k \in \mathbf{N}^n.$$

If  $J = 0$ , then (20) is true; if  $J = \infty$ , then (20) is not true, implying  $J < \infty$ . For  $0 < J < \infty$ , by (15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\tilde{\lambda}_2)-n}}{\left( \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i) \right)^{q-1}} a_k^q = J^p = I \\ & < \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \times B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1) \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\tilde{\lambda}_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} J^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \sum_k \frac{\|v(k)\|_{\alpha}^{q(n-\tilde{\lambda}_2)-n}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}} a_k^q \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= J < \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad \times B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1) \left[ \int_0^{\infty} x^{p(1-\tilde{\lambda}_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (20) is the equivalent form of (15).

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , then the value*

$$\left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1)$$

in (20) is the best. On contrast, if the same value in (20) is the best, then for  $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq q(n - \lambda_2)$ , we have  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* According to Theorem 3.2, for  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ ,

$$\left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1)$$

in (15) is the best value. The same value in (20) remains the best one. Alternatively, according to (22), it is a contradiction that the value in (15) is not the best.

In addition, if the value in (20) is the best, then using the equivalence between (20) and (15), and by considering  $J^p = I$  as outlined in the proof of Theorem 4.1, the value in (15) is the best. Based on the assumption and Theorem 3.2, we have  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ .

The theorem has been shown.  $\square$

Setting functions  $\phi(x) := x^{p(1-\tilde{\lambda})-1}$ ,  $\psi(k) := \frac{\|v(k)\|_{\alpha}^{q(n-\tilde{\lambda}_2)-n}}{(\prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i))^{q-1}}$ , we have

$$\psi^{1-p}(k) = \|v(k)\|_{\alpha}^{p\tilde{\lambda}_2-n} \prod_{i=1}^n v'_i(k_i), \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}_+, k \in \mathbf{N}^n).$$



We define the real normed spaces as follows:

$$L_{p,\phi}(\mathbf{R}_+) := \left\{ f = f(x); \|f\|_{p,\phi} := \left( \int_0^\infty \phi(x) |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$l_{q,\psi} := \left\{ a = \{a_{k_1, \dots, k_n}\}; \|a\|_{q,\psi} := \left( \sum_k \psi(k) |a_k|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$l_{p,\psi^{1-p}} := \left\{ b = \{b_{k_1, \dots, k_n}\}; \|b\|_{q,\psi} := \left( \sum_k \psi^{1-p}(k) |b_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

and  $\widehat{L}(\mathbf{R}_+) := \{f \in L_{p,\phi}(\mathbf{R}_+)\}$ ;  $f^{(m)}(x)$  is a nonnegative continuous function except at finite points in  $\mathbf{R}_+$ , for  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $f^{(k-1)}(x) = o(e^{tx})$  ( $t > 0$ ;  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ),  $f^{(k-1)}(0^+) = 0$ , ( $k = 1, \dots, m$ ).

For any  $f \in \widehat{L}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , we set  $b_k := \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} dx$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}^n$ . Then (20) is rewritten as follows:

$$\|b\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}} \leq \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1} \Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1) \|f^{(m)}\|_{p,\phi} < \infty,$$

namely,  $b \in l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$ .

DEFINITION 4.3. Define a half-discrete multidimensional Hilbert-type operator

$$T : \widehat{L}(\mathbf{R}_+) \rightarrow l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$$

as follows: For any  $f \in \widehat{L}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ , there exists a unique representation  $b = Tf \in l_{p,\psi^{1-p}}$ , such that for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}^n$ ,  $Tf(k) = b_k$ . Define the formal inner product of  $Tf$  and  $a \in l_{q,\psi}$ , and the norm of  $T$  as follows:

$$(Tf, a) := \sum_k a_k \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x) dx}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} = I,$$

$$\|T\| := \sup_{f^{(m)}(\neq 0) \in \widehat{L}(\mathbf{R}_+)} \frac{\|Tf\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}}{\|f^{(m)}\|_{p,\phi}}.$$

By Theorem 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, and 4.2, we have

THEOREM 4.4. If  $f \in \widehat{L}(\mathbf{R}_+)$ ,  $a (\geq 0) \in l_{q,\psi}$ ,  $\|a\|_{q,\psi} > 0$ ,  $\|f^{(m)}\|_{p,\phi} > 0$ , then

the following equivalent inequalities are hold:

$$\begin{aligned} (Tf, a) &< \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1) \|f^{(m)}\|_{p, \phi} \|a\|_{q, \psi}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{p, \psi^{1-p}} &< \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad \times B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1) \|f^{(m)}\|_{p, \phi}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Futhermore, if  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ , then the value

$$\left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} B(\lambda_2, \lambda - \lambda_2) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B^{\frac{1}{q}}(\lambda_1, \lambda - \lambda_1)$$

in (23) and (24) is the best, that is,

$$\|T\| = \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2).$$

In contrast, if the value in (23) or (24) is the best, then for  $\lambda - \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \leq q(n - \lambda_2)$ , we have  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda$ .

REMARK 4.1. (i) For  $v_\xi(k) = k - \xi$ ,  $\xi \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}^n$ , by (16) and (21), we obtain the following equivalent inequalities [cf. [9]]:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_k \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)a_k}{(x + \|k - \xi\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} dx \\ &< \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \sum_k \|k - \xi\|_\alpha^{q(n-\lambda_2)-n} a_k^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \sum_k \|k - \xi\|_\alpha^{p\lambda_2-n} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)dx}{(x + \|k - \xi\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ &\quad \times \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

(ii) For  $v_\xi(k) = \|\ln(k + 1 - \xi)\|_\alpha$ ,  $\xi \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{N}^n$ , by (16) and (21), we obtain the following equivalent inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)a_k}{(x + \|\ln(k + 1 - \xi)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} dx \\ & < \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \quad \times \left[ \sum_k \|\ln(k + 1 - \xi)\|_\alpha^{q(n-\lambda_2)-n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (k_i + 1 - \xi) \right)^{q-1} a_k^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sum_k \frac{\|\ln(k + 1 - \xi)\|_\alpha^{p\lambda_2-n}}{\prod_{i=1}^n (k_i + 1 - \xi)} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)dx}{(x + \|\ln(k + 1 - \xi)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & < \left[ \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda + i) \right]^{-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma^n(\frac{1}{\alpha})}{\alpha^{n-1}\Gamma(\frac{n}{\alpha})} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \\ & \quad \times \left[ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\lambda_1)-1} (f^{(m)}(x))^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

The value in the above inequalities is the best.

### 5. Conclusions

This paper uses the transfer formula and weight functions to develop a half-discrete multidimensional Hilbert-type inequality. It involves a  $m$ -order derivative function and a general intermediate variable in the kernel as

$$\frac{1}{(x + \|v(k)\|_\alpha)^{\lambda+m}} \quad (x, \lambda > 0)$$

in Theorem 3.1. Theorem 3.2 focuses on the equivalence statements of the best value linked to some parameters. Additionally, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.2, and Theorem 4.3 explore the equivalent forms and operator expressions.

*Author Contributions.* L. P. conducted the mathematical investigations, contributed to the sequence alignment process, and prepared the first version of the paper. B. Y. and R. A. R. contributed to the study’s framework and conducted the numerical analysis. All the writers have reviewed and agreed with the work version that was released.

*Funding.* This work received the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 61772140), and the Characteristic Innovation Project of Guangdong Provincial Colleges and Universities (No. 2020KTSCX088).

*Data Availability Statement.* We declare that the data and material in this paper can be used publicly.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors thank the reviewers for their helpful suggestions on how to improve the work.

*Conflicts of interest.* The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] V. ADIYASUREN, T. BATBOLD, L. E. AZAR, *A new discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving partial sums*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2019, **2019**, 1–6.
- [2] V. ADIYASUREN, T. BATBOLD, M. KRNIĆ, *Multiple Hilbert-type inequalities involving some differential operators*, 2016, 320–337.
- [3] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, USA, 1934.
- [4] B. HE, Y. HONG, Z. LI, *Necessary and sufficient conditions and optimal constant factors for the validity of multiple integral half-discrete Hilbert-type inequalities with a class of quasi-homogeneous kernels*, Journal of Applied Analysis & Computation, 2021, **11** (1), 521–531.
- [5] Y. HONG, Q. CHEN, C. Y. WU, *The best matching parameters for semi-discrete Hilbert-type inequality with quasi-homogeneous kernel*, Mathematica Applicata, 2021, **34** (3), 779–785.
- [6] Y. HONG, B. HE, *The optimal matching parameter of half-discrete Hilbert-type multiple integral inequalities with non-homogeneous kernels and applications*, Chin. Quart. J. of Math. 2021, **36** (3), 252–262.
- [7] Y. HONG, Q. L. HUANG, Q. CHEN, *The parameter conditions for the existence of the Hilbert-type multiple integral inequality and its best constant factor*, Annals of Functional Analysis, 2021, **12**, 1–15.
- [8] Y. HONG, Y. M. WEN, *A necessary and sufficient condition of that Hilbert type series inequality with homogeneous kernel has the best constant factor*, Ann. Math. 2016, **37**, 329–336.
- [9] Y. HONG, Y. R. ZHONG, B. C. YANG, *On a more accurate half-discrete multidimensional Hilbert-type inequality involving one derivative function of  $m$ -order*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2023, **1**, 1–15.
- [10] M. KRNIĆ AND J. PEČARIĆ, *Extension of Hilbert's inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 2006, **324** (1), 150–160.
- [11] J. C. KUANG, *Applied inequalities*, Shangdong Science and Technology Press, Jinan, China, 2004.
- [12] J. C. KUANG, *Introduction to real analysis*, Hunan Education Press, Changsha, China, 1996.
- [13] L. PENG, R. A. RAHIM, B. C. YANG, *A new reverse half-discrete Mulholland-type inequality with a nonhomogeneous kernel*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2023, **2023** (1), 114.
- [14] B. C. YANG, *The Norm of Operator and Hilbert-Type Inequalities*, Science Press, Beijing, 2009.
- [15] M. H. YOU, *A half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane with the constant factor related to a cotangent function*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2023, **2023** (1), 1–15.
- [16] M. H. YOU, *A unified extension of some classical Hilbert-type inequalities and applications*, Rocky Mt. J. Math. 2021, **51** (5), 1865–1877.
- [17] M. H. YOU, *More accurate and strengthened forms of half-discrete Hilbert inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2022, **512** (2), 126141.
- [18] M. H. YOU, *On a class of Hilbert-type inequalities in the whole plane involving some classical kernel functions*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. 2022, **65** (3), 833–846.

- [19] M. H. YOU, F. DONG, Z. H. HE, *A Hilbert-type inequality in the whole plane with the constant factor related to some special constants*, *J. Math. Inequal.* 2022, **16** (1), 35–50.
- [20] M. H. YOU, X. SUN, X. FAN, *On a more accurate half-discrete Hilbert-type inequality involving hyperbolic functions*, *Open Mathematics*, 2022, **20** (1), 544–559.

(Received April 14, 2024)

Ling Peng  
*School of Medical Humanity and Information Management*  
*Hunan University of Medicine*  
*Huaihua, Hunan 418000, P. R. China*  
and  
*School of Quantitative Sciences*  
*University Utara Malaysia*  
*Sintok, Kedah 06010, Malaysia*  
e-mail: water16547749@163.com

Bicheng Yang  
*School of Mathematics*  
*Guangdong University of Education*  
*Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P. R. China*  
e-mail: bcyang@gdei.edu.cn

Rahela Abdul Rahim  
*School of Quantitative Sciences*  
*University Utara Malaysia*  
*Sintok, Kedah 06010, Malaysia*  
e-mail: rahela@uum.edu.my