

ON C -HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

EUNGIL KO, JI EUN LEE AND MEE-JUNG LEE

(Communicated by M. Kian)

Abstract. A bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a C -hyponormal operator if $T^*T - CTT^*C \geq 0$ for a conjugation C on \mathcal{H} . In this paper, we study properties of C -hyponormal operators. Especially, we prove that for $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(T)$ and a conjugation $C = C_1 \oplus C_2$ on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$, if T is C -hyponormal, then $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -hyponormal. Moreover, we show that $T - \lambda I$ is C -hyponormal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if T is a complex symmetric operator. Finally, we prove that if T^* is p -hyponormal for $0 < p \leq 1$ and C is a conjugation on \mathcal{H} , then T is C -hyponormal if and only if T is normal.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on a separable (complex) Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, let T^* , $\ker(T)$, and $\text{ran}(T)$ denote the adjoint of T , the kernel, and range of T , respectively. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be an *isometry* if $T^*T = I$, *unitary* if $T^*T = TT^* = I$, *normal* if $T^*T = TT^*$, *hyponormal* if $T^*T \geq TT^*$, and *p -hyponormal* operator if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$ for $0 < p < \infty$, respectively. It is well known that

$$\text{hyponormal} \Rightarrow p\text{-hyponormal} \quad (0 < p \leq 1).$$

A *conjugation* C on \mathcal{H} is said to be an antilinear operator satisfying $\langle Cx, Cy \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ and $C^2 = I$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is called a *complex symmetric* operator if $T = CT^*C$ for a conjugation C on \mathcal{H} (see [5]).

If T is an antilinear (or linear) operator, then a *Hermitian adjoint* operator of T on \mathcal{H} is an antilinear operator $T^\# : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ given by

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle = \overline{\langle x, T^\#y \rangle} \quad (1)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 47A05, 47B15, 47B20.

Keywords and phrases: C -normal operator, C -hyponormal operator, hyponormal.

These authors contributed equally to this work.

The first author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (2019R1F1A1A1058633). The second author was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT) (No. 2022R1H1A2091052). The third author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education and (2020R111A1A01064575).

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. For a bounded antilinear operator T , the Hermitian adjoint of T exists and is unique by the Riesz representation theorem ([2, p. 90]). If T and R are antilinear operators, then it turns out, by (1), that

$$(T^\#)^\# = T, \quad (T + R)^\# = T^\# + R^\#, \quad \text{and} \quad (TR)^\# = R^\#T^\#.$$

An operator T in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ has the unique polar decomposition $T = U|T|$, where $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and U is the appropriate partial isometry satisfying $\ker U = \ker |T| = \ker T$ and $\ker U^* = \ker T^*$. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is C -normal if CT and $(CT)^\#$ commute where C is a conjugation on \mathcal{H} . Notice that by the definition of C -normal operators, $C|T|^2C = |T^*|^2 \Leftrightarrow C|T|C = |T^*|$ and hence T is C -normal if and only if so is T^* .

It is well known from [1, Theorem 4.1] that for a conjugation C on \mathcal{H} , the Hermitian adjoint of C is the conjugation C , i.e., $C^\# = C$. Note that if $A \geq 0$, then $CAC \geq 0$. A bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be C -hyponormal if there exists a conjugation C on \mathcal{H} such that

$$[(CT)^\#, CT] = [T^*C, CT] = T^*T - CTT^*C \geq 0$$

where $[R, S] := RS - SR$, or equivalently, $\|Tx\| = \|CTx\| \geq \|T^*Cx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. From the definition of C -hyponormal operators, if $|T|^2 \geq C|T^*|^2C$ holds, then by Löwner's Lemma, we have

$$C|T^*|C \leq C(C|T|^2C)^{\frac{1}{2}}C = C(C|T|C|T|C)^{\frac{1}{2}}C = |T|.$$

In 2020, the authors in [10] introduced the concept of C -normal operators. The C -symmetric operators and C -skew-symmetric operators are contained in the class of C -normal operators. Recently, C. Wang, J. Zhao, and S. Zhu [11] studied the structure of C -normal operators. Recently, we also studied properties of C -normal operators (see [8] and [9]). In this paper, we introduce the concept of C -hyponormal operators. To some extent, C -hyponormal operators are close to C -normal operators. Since the class of C -hyponormal operators contains C -normal operators as a subclass, we want to know the properties of C -hyponormal operators which are similar to those of C -normal operators. Moreover, we want to investigate the phenomena which only occur in the case of C -nonnormal operators.

The aim of this paper is to study several properties of C -hyponormal operators. Let $\text{Lat}(T)$ be the set of T -invariant subspaces of \mathcal{H} , which is called the invariant-subspace lattice of T . In particular, we show that for $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(T)$ and a conjugation $C = C_1 \oplus C_2$ on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$, if T is C -hyponormal, then $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -hyponormal. Moreover, we demonstrate that $T - \lambda I$ is C -hyponormal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if T is a complex symmetric operator. Finally, we show that if T^* is p -hyponormal for $0 < p \leq 1$ and C is a conjugation on \mathcal{H} , then T is C -hyponormal if and only if T is normal.

2. Main results

In this section we study various properties of C -hyponormal operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Recall that $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is C -hyponormal if

$$[(CT)^\#, CT] = [T^*C, CT] = T^*T - CTT^*C \geq 0,$$

for a conjugation C on \mathcal{H} , or equivalently, $\|Tx\| \geq \|T^*Cx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. We remark that there are examples of C -hyponormal operators which are not hyponormal.

EXAMPLE 2.1. (i) Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is normal and J is a conjugation on \mathcal{H} . Then A is J -normal from [10]. If $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, then T is not hyponormal. Set $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & J \\ J & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then C is a conjugation on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Since A is J -normal, it follows that

$$T^*T - CTT^*C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A^*A - JAA^*J \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Hence T is C -normal, and hence is C -hyponormal.

(ii) Assume that $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is the unilateral shift given by $S(a_0, a_1, \dots) = (0, a_0, a_1, \dots)$ on $\mathcal{H} = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and J is a canonical conjugation on \mathcal{H} given by $J(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) = (\overline{a_0}, \overline{a_1}, \overline{a_2}, \dots)$. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & S \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & J \\ 0 & J & 0 \\ J & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then C is clearly a conjugation on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. Since $I - SS^* = e_0 \otimes e_0$ is positive and $SJ = JS$, we have

$$T^*T - CTT^*C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I - SS^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I - SS^* \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Therefore T is C -hyponormal, but is not hyponormal. Furthermore, T is not C -normal.

Recall that the Hardy space H^2 consists of all analytic functions $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ on the unit disc \mathbb{D} so that $\|f\|_2 := (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$. Recall that for nonzero $u, v \in \mathcal{H}$, we write $u \otimes v$ for the rank one operator defined by

$$(u \otimes v)x = \langle x, v \rangle u, \quad x \in \mathcal{H}$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the inner product in \mathcal{H} . We next consider an example of a C -hyponormal operator defined on a function space.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an orthonormal basis of H^2 and let $\mathcal{C} = J \oplus J$ where J is a conjugation defined by $Jf(z) = \overline{f(\overline{z})}$. Assume that

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} S & e_0 \otimes e_0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(H^2 \oplus H^2)$$

where S is the unilateral shift given by $Se_n = e_{n+1}$. Then T is C -hyponormal. Indeed, since for $h = f \oplus g \in H^2 \oplus H^2$,

$$Th = \begin{pmatrix} S & e_0 \otimes e_0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Sf + \langle g, e_0 \rangle e_0 \\ g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) e_{n+1} + \hat{g}(0) e_0 \\ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{g}(n) e_n \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $\|Th\| = \sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{g}(n)|^2 + |\hat{g}(0)|^2}$. On the other hand, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} T^*Ch &= \begin{pmatrix} S^* & 0 \\ e_0 \otimes e_0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} S^*J & 0 \\ (e_0 \otimes e_0)J & J \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} S^*Jf \\ (e_0 \otimes e_0)Jf + Jg \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} S^* \overline{f(\bar{z})} \\ (e_0 \otimes e_0) \overline{f(\bar{z})} + \overline{g(\bar{z})} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n) e_{n-1} \\ \hat{f}(0) e_0 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{\hat{g}(n)} e_n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, $\|T^*Ch\| = \sqrt{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\hat{g}(n)|^2 + |\hat{f}(0)|^2 + |\hat{g}(0)|^2}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*Ch\| &= \sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{g}(n)|^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\hat{g}(n)|^2 + |\hat{g}(0)|^2} = \|Th\| \end{aligned}$$

for each $h \in H^2 \oplus H^2$. Hence T is C -hyponormal.

We next study the structure of C -hyponormal operators.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be C -hyponormal with a conjugation C . If $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(T)$ and $C = C_1 \oplus C_2$ on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$, then the following statements hold.*

- (i) $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -hyponormal.
- (ii) If $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -normal, then \mathcal{M} reduces T .

Proof. (i) Let $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(T)$ and let $C = C_1 \oplus C_2$ on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$. Set

$$T := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp).$$

Since T is C -hyponormal, we have

$$[(CT)^\#, CT] = \begin{pmatrix} A^*A - C_1AA^*C_1 - C_1BB^*C_1 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

where $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$. This gives from [4] that

$$A^*A - C_1AA^*C_1 - C_1BB^*C_1 \geq 0.$$

Therefore $A^*A - C_1AA^*C_1 \geq C_1BB^*C_1 \geq 0$ which means that A is C_1 -hyponormal. Hence $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -hyponormal.

(ii) Suppose that $T = \begin{pmatrix} T|_{\mathcal{M}} & X \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp)$. Since $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -normal and T is C -hyponormal, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (CT)^\#(CT) - (CT)(CT)^\# = T^*T - CTT^*C \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} (T|_{\mathcal{M}})^* & 0 \\ X^* & Y^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T|_{\mathcal{M}} & X \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T|_{\mathcal{M}} & X \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (T|_{\mathcal{M}})^* & 0 \\ X^* & Y^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & 0 \\ 0 & C_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} (T|_{\mathcal{M}})^*T|_{\mathcal{M}} - C_1T|_{\mathcal{M}}(T|_{\mathcal{M}})^*C_1 - C_1XX^*C_1 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get $(T|_{\mathcal{M}})^*T|_{\mathcal{M}} - C_1T|_{\mathcal{M}}(T|_{\mathcal{M}})^*C_1 - C_1XX^*C_1 \geq 0$ from [4]. Moreover, since $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -normal, $C_1XX^*C_1 \leq 0$ and so $X^* = 0$. Hence $T = \begin{pmatrix} T|_{\mathcal{M}} & 0 \\ 0 & Y \end{pmatrix}$. Thus \mathcal{M} reduces T . \square

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be C -normal with a conjugation C . If $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Lat}(T)$ and $C = C_1 \oplus C_2$ on $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$, then $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -normal $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M}$ reduces T .*

Proof. Assume that $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -normal. If T is C -normal, then it is C -hyponormal. By Theorem 2.3, \mathcal{M} reduces T . Conversely, if \mathcal{M} reduces T , then $T = T|_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus T|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$. Since T is C -normal, $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -normal and $T|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ is C_2 -normal. \square

In the following lemma, we recapture the theorem of R. G. Douglas ([3]).

LEMMA 2.5. ([3]) *Let C be a conjugation on \mathcal{H} and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i) T is C -hyponormal.
- (ii) $\text{ran}(CT) \subset \text{ran}(T^*)$.
- (iii) There exists a contraction antilinear operator D on \mathcal{H} such that $T = CT^*D$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iii) Assume that T is C -hyponormal. Define an antilinear mapping D from $\text{ran}(T)$ to $\text{ran}(T^*C)$ such that $D(Tf) = T^*Cf$. Since $T^*T \geq CTT^*C$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D(Tf)\|^2 &= \|T^*Cf\|^2 = \langle CTT^*Cf, f \rangle \\ &\leq \langle T^*Tf, f \rangle = \|Tf\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus D is well-defined and it can be uniquely extended to $\overline{\text{ran}(T)}$. If we define D on $\text{ran}(T)^\perp$ to be 0, then $DT = T^*C$. Hence $CT = T^*D^*$ for a contraction operator D .

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) If $T = CT^*D$ for a contraction antilinear operator D , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 TT^* &= (CT^*D)(CT^*D)^* \\
 &= CT^*DD^*TC \\
 &= \|D\|^2 CT^*(CT^*)^* - CT^*(\|D\|^2 I - DD^*)(CT^*)^* \\
 &\leq \|D\|^2 CT^*(CT^*)^* \\
 &\leq \|D\|^2 CT^*TC \\
 &\leq CT^*TC.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore T is C -hyponormal.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose that $\text{ran}(CT) \subset \text{ran}(T^*)$. Define an antilinear operator D on \mathcal{H} as follows; for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $CTf \in \text{ran}(CT) \subset \text{ran}(T^*)$, there exists $h \in \ker(T^*)^\perp$ such that $T^*h = CTf$. Set $Df = h$. Then $CT = T^*D$. Since D is defined on all of \mathcal{H} , we show that D has a closed graph. If $\{(f_n, h_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of elements in the graph of D such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f_n, h_n) = (f, h)$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} CTf_n = CTf$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^*h_n = T^*h$. Since $\ker(T^*)$ is closed, $CTf = T^*h$. Thus $h \in \ker(T^*)^\perp$ such that $Df = h$. Hence D is bounded.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $CT = T^*D$, it is trivial that $\text{ran}(CT) \subset \text{ran}(T^*)$. So we complete the proof. \square

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be C -hyponormal with a conjugation C . Assume that $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix}$ on $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp = \mathcal{H}$ where C_j are antilinear and at least one C_j is zero for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Then the following arguments hold.*

(i) $C\ker(T) \subset \ker(T^*)$ and $\overline{C\text{ran}(T)} \subset \overline{\text{ran}(T^*)}$.

(ii) If \mathcal{M} is a reducing subspace for a C -hyponormal operator T on \mathcal{H} , then $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -hyponormal where C_1 is a conjugation on \mathcal{M} and $T|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ is C_4 -hyponormal where C_4 is a conjugation on \mathcal{M}^\perp .

(iii) If T is an idempotent, then T is a projection.

Proof. (i) Since T is C -hyponormal, $\|Tx\| \geq \|T^*Cx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $x \in \ker T$. Then $T^*Cx = 0$ and so $Cx \in \ker T^*$. Hence $C\ker T \subset \ker T^*$. Since T is C -hyponormal, $\text{ran}(CT) \subset \text{ran}(T^*)$ from Lemma 2.5. If $y \in \text{ran}(CT)$, then there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\text{ran}(CT)$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $y_n \in \overline{CT\mathcal{H}}$ and $y_n \in \text{ran}(CT) \subset \text{ran}(T^*)$. Since $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $y \in \text{ran}(T^*)$. We claim that $\overline{\text{ran}(CT)} = \overline{C\text{ran}(T)}$. If $y \in \overline{\text{ran}(CT)}$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in $\text{ran}(CT)$ such that $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $y_n = CTx_n$ and $Cy_n = Tx_n \in \text{ran}(T)$. Therefore $Cy \in \text{ran}(T)$. Hence $\overline{\text{ran}(CT)} \subset \overline{C\text{ran}(T)}$. If $y \in \overline{C\text{ran}(T)}$, then $Cy \in \text{ran}(T)$. Thus there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ in $\text{ran}(T)$ such that $z_n \rightarrow Cy$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $Cz_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $Cz_n = CTx_n \in \text{ran}(CT)$. So $y \in \overline{\text{ran}(CT)}$. Hence $\overline{\text{ran}(CT)} \subset \overline{\text{ran}(T^*)}$ by the above claim.

(ii) Let \mathcal{M} be a reducing subspace for a C -hyponormal operator on \mathcal{H} where $T = T|_{\mathcal{M}} \oplus T|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$. Set $A = T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and $D = T|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$. Then

$$T := \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \text{ on } \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp.$$

Since T is C -hyponormal,

$$\begin{aligned} & [(CT)^\#, CT] \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A^*A - C_1AA^*C_1 - C_2DD^*C_3 & * \\ * & D^*D - C_4DD^*C_4 - C_3AA^*C_2 \end{pmatrix} \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix}$ is a conjugation on $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$. Since C is a conjugation, it follows from [7] that $C_3 = C_2^\#$ and C_1, C_4 are conjugations. This gives from [4] that

$$\begin{cases} A^*A - C_1AA^*C_1 - C_2DD^*C_2^\# \geq 0 & \text{and} \\ D^*D - C_4DD^*C_4 - C_2^\#AA^*C_2 \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $C_3 = C_2^\#$ is the Hermitian adjoint of C_2 . Thus

$$A^*A - C_1AA^*C_1 \geq C_2DD^*C_2^\# \geq 0,$$

which means that A is C_1 -hyponormal. Also,

$$D^*D - C_4DD^*C_4 \geq C_2^\#AA^*C_2 \geq 0,$$

which means that D is C_4 -hyponormal. Hence $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is C_1 -hyponormal and $T|_{\mathcal{M}^\perp}$ is C_4 -hyponormal.

(iii) If $T^2 = T$, then $\text{ran}(T) = \{x \in \mathcal{H} : Tx = x\}$. Hence $\text{ran}(T)$ is closed and $\text{ran}(T) \in \text{Lat}(T)$. Therefore, T has the following form with respect to $\text{ran}(T) \oplus \text{ran}(T)^\perp$;

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} I & S \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $T^*T = \begin{pmatrix} I & S \\ S^* & S^*S \end{pmatrix}$ and $TT^* = \begin{pmatrix} I + SS^* & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since $C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \\ C_3 & C_4 \end{pmatrix}$ is a conjugation on $\text{ran}(T) \oplus \text{ran}(T)^\perp = \mathcal{H}$, it follows from [7] that C_1 and C_4 are conjugations. Then

$$T^*T - CTT^*C = \begin{pmatrix} I - C_1(I + SS^*)C_1 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \geq 0.$$

Thus we get from [4] that $C_1SS^*C_1 \leq 0$, and hence $S = 0$. So $T = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, which means that T is a projection. \square

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be C -normal with a conjugation C . Then $C\ker(T) = \ker(T^*)$ and $C\text{ran}(T) = \text{ran}(T^*)$.*

Proof. If T is C -normal, then C -hyponormal and so $C\ker(T) \subset \ker(T^*)$ by Theorem 2.6. Since T is C -normal, $C\ker(T^*) \subset \ker T$ from [8, Corollary 4]. Then $C\ker T \subset \ker T^* \subset C\ker T$. Hence $\ker T^* = C\ker T$. Since T is C -hyponormal, by Theorem 2.6 $C\text{ran}(T) \subset \text{ran}(T^*)$. If $y \in [C\ker(T^*)]^\perp$, then $0 = \langle y, Cx \rangle = \langle x, Cy \rangle$ for all

$x \in \ker(T^*)$. Thus $Cy \in [\ker(T^*)]^\perp$ and so $y \in C[\ker(T^*)]^\perp$. Therefore, $[C\ker(T^*)]^\perp \subseteq C[\ker(T^*)]^\perp$. Since $\ker T^* = [\text{ran}T]^\perp$ for $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\overline{\text{ran}(T^*)} = [\ker T]^\perp = [C\ker(T^*)]^\perp \subseteq C[\ker(T^*)]^\perp = \overline{C\text{ran}T}.$$

Hence $\overline{C\text{ran}(T)} = \overline{\text{ran}(T^*)}$. \square

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be C -hyponormal with a conjugation C . Then T^* is an isometry $\Leftrightarrow T$ is unitary.*

Proof. It suffices to show that \Rightarrow holds. If T^* is an isometry, then it is bounded below. Since $\ker(T^*) = \{0\}$, $\ker(T) = \{0\}$ by Theorem 2.6. Hence $\overline{\text{ran}(T^*)} = (\ker T)^\perp = \mathcal{H}$. Since T^* has dense range and is bounded below, T^* is invertible. Hence T^* is unitary. Thus T is unitary. \square

We next state several basic properties of C -hyponormal operators.

PROPOSITION 2.9. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and let C be a conjugation on \mathcal{H} . Then the following properties hold.*

- (i) *If T is C -hyponormal, then λT is C -hyponormal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.*
- (ii) *If T is invertible, then T is C -hyponormal if and only if so is T^{-1} .*
- (iii) *The class $\mathcal{H}_C(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \mid T \text{ is } C\text{-hyponormal}\}$ is closed in norm.*

Proof. (i) If T is C -hyponormal, then

$$(\lambda T)^*(\lambda T) - C(\lambda T)(\lambda T)^*C = |\lambda|^2(T^*T - CTT^*C) \geq 0.$$

Thus λT is C -hyponormal.

(ii) Note that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is positive and invertible, then $T \geq I$ implies $T^{-1} \leq I$. Since $(CTC)^{-1} = CT^{-1}C$ for T , it follows that

$$I \geq (T^{-1})^*(CTC)(CT^*C)T^{-1}.$$

Equivalently,

$$T(CT^*C)^{-1}(CTC)^{-1}T^* \geq I.$$

Hence we get that

$$C(T^{-1})^*T^{-1}C - T^{-1}(T^{-1})^* \geq 0,$$

that is, T^{-1} is C -hyponormal. Conversely, if T^{-1} is C -hyponormal, then $T = (T^{-1})^{-1}$ is C -hyponormal by the previous proof.

(iii) Let $T \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_C(\mathcal{H})}$. Then there is a sequence $\{T_n\}$ in $\mathcal{H}_C(\mathcal{H})$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n - T\| = 0.$$

Thus we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T^*T - CTT^*C\| &\leq \|T^*T - T_n^*T\| + \|T_n^*T - T_n^*T_n\| \\
&\quad + \|T_n^*T_n - CT_nT_n^*C\| + \|CT_nT_n^*C - CTT_n^*C\| \\
&\quad + \|CTT_n^*C - CTT^*C\| \\
&\leq \|T^* - T_n^*\| \|T\| + \|T_n^*\| \|T - T_n\| + 0 \\
&\quad + \|C\| \|T_n - T\| \|T_n^*C\| + \|CT\| \|T_n^* - T^*\| \|C\| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $T \in \mathcal{N}_C(\mathcal{H}) \subset \mathcal{H}_C(\mathcal{H})$, which means that the class $\mathcal{H}_C(\mathcal{H})$ is norm closed in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ where $\mathcal{N}_C(\mathcal{H}) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \mid T \text{ is } C\text{-normal}\}$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Let C be a conjugation on \mathcal{H} and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be C -hyponormal. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) $\|(CT)^n\| = \|T\|^n$ for each $n \geq 1$, and hence $\|T\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(CT)^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$.
- (ii) If $\mathcal{M} = \{Cx : \|Tx\| = \|T\|\|x\|\}$, then $TC\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$, and hence $(CT)(C\mathcal{M}) \subset C\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. (i) Assume that T is C -hyponormal. Then $\|T^*Cx\| \leq \|Tx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore we get that for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|CTx\|^2 &= \langle Tx, Tx \rangle = \langle T^*Tx, x \rangle \\
&\leq \|T^*Tx\| \|x\| \\
&= \|T^*C(CTx)\| \|x\| \\
&\leq \|T(CTx)\| \|x\| \\
&= \|CT(CTx)\| \|x\| = \|(CT)^2x\| \|x\|. \tag{2}
\end{aligned}$$

Replace x by CTx in (2). Then $\|(CT)^2x\|^2 \leq \|(CT)^3x\| \|CTx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. By a similar way, we have

$$\|(CT)^n x\|^2 \leq \|(CT)^{n+1} x\| \|(CT)^{n-1} x\| \leq \|(CT)^{n+1}\| \|(CT)^{n-1}\| \|x\|^2$$

for $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore

$$\|(CT)^n\|^2 \leq \|(CT)^{n+1}\| \|(CT)^{n-1}\|. \tag{3}$$

We claim that $\|(CT)^n\| = \|CT\|^n$ for all $n \geq 1$. If $n = 1$, it is true. Assume that $\|(CT)^n\| = \|CT\|^n$ holds. Since $\|(CT)^n\|^2 \leq \|(CT)^{n+1}\| \|(CT)^{n-1}\|$ by (3), the induction hypothesis implies that

$$\|CT\|^{2n} = \|(CT)^n\|^2 \leq \|(CT)^{n+1}\| \|(CT)^{n-1}\|$$

and so $\|(CT)^{n+1}\| \leq \|(CT)^{n+1}\|$. By claim, $\|(CT)^n\| = \|CT\|^n = \|T\|^n$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(CT)^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = \|T\|.$$

So we complete the proof.

(ii) For all $y \in \mathcal{M}$, set $y = Cx$ for $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\|Tx\| = \|T\|\|x\|$ holds. Thus

$$\|CT(CTx)\| \leq \|CT\|\|CTx\| \leq \|T\|\|Tx\| = \|T\|^2\|x\| = \|\|T\|^2x\|. \quad (4)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*Tx - \|T\|^2x\|^2 &= \|T^*Tx\|^2 - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle T^*Tx, \|T\|^2x \rangle + \|\|T\|^4x\|^2 \\ &= \|T^*Tx\|^2 - 2\|T\|^2\|Tx\|^2 + \|\|T\|^4x\|^2 \\ &= \|T^*Tx\|^2 - 2\|T\|^4\|x\|^2 + \|\|T\|^4x\|^2 \\ &= \|T^*Tx\|^2 - \|\|T\|^4x\|^2 \\ &\leq \|T^*T\|^2\|x\|^2 - \|\|T\|^4x\|^2 \\ &= \|\|T\|^4x\|^2 - \|\|T\|^4x\|^2 = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since $CT^*TC \geq TT^*$, we have

$$\|T^*x\| \leq \|TCx\| \text{ for } x \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (6)$$

Hence we get from (4), (5), and (6) that

$$\|CT(CTx)\| \leq \|\|T\|^2x\| = \|T^*(Tx)\| \leq \|TC(Tx)\| = \|(CT)^2x\| \quad (7)$$

for $x \in \mathcal{H}$. From (4) and (7), $\|(CT)^2x\| = \|CT\|\|CTx\|$. Therefore, $C(CTx) \in \mathcal{M}$ and so $Tx = TCy \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence $TC\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $(TC)(C\mathcal{M}) \subset C\mathcal{M}$. \square

PROPOSITION 2.11. *If $T = u \otimes v$ is C -hyponormal with a conjugation C , then $|\langle Cu, v \rangle| = \|u\|\|v\|$.*

Proof. Let $T = u \otimes v$ be C -hyponormal. Then $\|Tx\| \geq \|T^*Cx\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $T^* = v \otimes u$, we have

$$\|\langle x, v \rangle u\| \geq \|\langle Cx, u \rangle v\| \quad (8)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Take $x = v$ in (8). Then

$$\|u\|\|v\| \geq |\langle Cu, v \rangle|. \quad (9)$$

Take $x = Cu$ in (8). Then

$$\|u\|\|v\| \leq |\langle Cu, v \rangle|. \quad (10)$$

From (9) and (10), we have $|\langle Cu, v \rangle| = \|u\|\|v\|$. \square

The C -hyponormality and hyponormality are equivalent for weighted shifts with respect to the given conjugation C as in Proposition 2.12.

PROPOSITION 2.12. *Let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis on ℓ^2 and let $C : \ell^2 \rightarrow \ell^2$ be a conjugation given by $Ce_n = e_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $W \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is the weighted shift given by $We_n = \alpha_n e_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 1$, then W is C -hyponormal if and only if W is hyponormal.*

Proof. If W is C -hyponormal, then for all $x \in \ell^2$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle W^*Wx, x \rangle - \langle CWW^*Cx, x \rangle \\
&= \langle W^*W \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n - CWW^*C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n \rangle \\
&= \langle W \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n, W \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n \rangle - \langle C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n, WW^*C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n \rangle \\
&= \langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \alpha_n e_{n+1}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \alpha_n e_{n+1} \rangle - \langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{x}_n e_n, W \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{x}_n \overline{\alpha_{n-1}} e_{n-1} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 |\alpha_n|^2 - \langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{x}_n e_n, \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \bar{x}_n |\alpha_{n-1}|^2 e_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 |\alpha_n|^2 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 |\alpha_{n-1}|^2 \\
&= |x_1|^2 |\alpha_1|^2 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 (|\alpha_n|^2 - |\alpha_{n-1}|^2) \geq 0
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $x = e_j$. Then $|\alpha_j| \geq |\alpha_{j-1}|$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots$. Thus W is hyponormal. Conversely, if W is hyponormal, then $|a_{n+1}| \geq |a_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence W is C -hyponormal from (11). \square

Using Proposition 2.12, we can show that there is a C -hyponormal operator which is not C -normal.

EXAMPLE 2.13. If $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is the unilateral shift given by $Se_n = e_{n+1}$ where $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} , then S is hyponormal. If C is a conjugation given by $Ce_n = e_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then S is C -hyponormal by Proposition 2.12. But S is not C -normal from Corollary 3 in [8].

Let C be a conjugation on \mathcal{H} . An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be C -quasinormal with a conjugation C if CT and $(CT)^\#(CT)$ commute. As in the proof of the case for a bounded linear operator, it is obvious that every C -normal operator is C -quasinormal and every C -quasinormal operator is C -hyponormal. We also know that every isometry is C -quasinormal. For example, the unilateral shift S in Example 2.2 is C -quasinormal.

PROPOSITION 2.14. *Let C be a conjugation on \mathcal{H} and let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Then the following statements hold.*

- (i) *If T is C -hyponormal and CT is an isometry on $(\ker(CT))^\perp$, then T is C -quasinormal.*
- (ii) *If nonzero T is a C -quasinormal operator defined on \mathcal{H} with $\dim \mathcal{H} > 1$, then either T is C -normal or $\ker[(CT)^\#, CT]$ and $\text{ran}(CT)$ are nontrivial.*

Proof. (i) Since T is C -hyponormal, $\ker(CT) \subset \ker(CT)^\#$. Thus $(CT)\ker(CT) = \{0\} \subset \ker(CT)$ and $(CT)^\#\ker(CT) = \{0\} \subset \ker(CT)$. Since $CT : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is bounded

and antilinear, it follows that

$$CT : \rightarrow \ker(CT) \oplus (\ker(CT))^\perp \rightarrow \ker(CT) \oplus (\ker(CT))^\perp.$$

Hence as in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, CT has the following matrix form;

$$CT = \begin{pmatrix} (CT)|_{\ker(CT)} & 0 \\ 0 & (CT)|_{\ker(CT)^\perp} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $(CT)|_{\ker(CT)^\perp}$ is an isometry, $T^*T = (CT)^\#(CT) = 0 \oplus I$. Hence $(CT)(T^*T) = (T^*T)(CT)$. Thus T is C -quasinormal.

(ii) Assume that T is C -quasinormal. Then $[(CT)^\#, CT]CT = 0$. Hence either $[(CT)^\#, CT] = 0$ or $[(CT)^\#, CT] \neq 0$. If $[(CT)^\#, CT] = 0$, then T is C -normal. If $[(CT)^\#, CT] \neq 0$, then $\text{ran}(CT) \subset \ker[(CT)^\#, CT]$ and

$$(CT)\text{ran}(CT) \subset (CT)\ker[(CT)^\#, CT] \subset (CT)\mathcal{H} = \text{ran}(CT) \subset \ker[(CT)^\#, CT].$$

Since CT is nonzero, we have $\text{ran}(CT) \neq \{0\}$ and $\ker[(CT)^\#, CT] \neq \{0\}$. Since $[(CT)^\#, CT] \neq 0$, $\ker[(CT)^\#, CT] \not\subseteq \mathcal{H}$ and $\text{ran}(CT) \neq \mathcal{H}$. Therefore $\{0\} = \ker[(CT)^\#, CT] \neq \mathcal{H}$ and $\{0\} \neq \text{ran}(CT) \neq \mathcal{H}$. \square

THEOREM 2.15. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and let C be a conjugation on \mathcal{H} . Then the following arguments are equivalent.*

- (i) $T - \lambda I$ is C -hyponormal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (ii) T is a complex symmetric operator with a conjugation C .
- (iii) $T - \lambda I$ is C -normal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
- (iv) $T - \lambda I$ is C -quasinormal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Since (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) holds from [10] and (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i) are true, it suffices to show that (i) \Rightarrow (ii) holds. If $T - \lambda I$ is C -hyponormal for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq C(T - \lambda I)^*(T - \lambda I)C - (T - \lambda I)(T - \lambda I)^* \\ &= C(T^*T - \bar{\lambda}T - \lambda T^* + |\lambda|^2 I)C - (TT^* - \bar{\lambda}T - \lambda T^* + |\lambda|^2 I) \\ &= CT^*TC - \lambda CTC - \bar{\lambda}CT^*C - TT^* + \lambda T^* + \bar{\lambda}T. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Set $\lambda = re^{i\theta}$ for any $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus (12) becomes

$$CT^*TC - re^{i\theta}CTC - re^{-i\theta}CT^*C \geq TT^* - re^{i\theta}T^* - re^{-i\theta}T.$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{CT^*TC}{r} - e^{i\theta}CTC - e^{-i\theta}CT^*C \geq \frac{TT^*}{r} - e^{i\theta}T^* - e^{-i\theta}T.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$-e^{i\theta}CTC - e^{-i\theta}CT^*C + e^{i\theta}T^* + e^{-i\theta}T \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

Taking $\theta = 0$, we get that $-CTC + T^* \geq -T + CT^*C$ and taking $\theta = \pi$, we have $CTC + CT^*C \geq T + T^*$. Hence we have $C(T + T^*)C = T + T^*$. So, $C(\operatorname{Re}(T))C = \operatorname{Re}(T)$. Taking $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then (13) implies

$$-2C(\operatorname{Im}(T))C + 2\operatorname{Im}(T) \geq 0.$$

Taking $\theta = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ we get that (13) implies

$$2C(\operatorname{Im}(T))C - 2\operatorname{Im}(T) \geq 0.$$

Therefore $C(\operatorname{Im}(T))C = \operatorname{Im}(T)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} CTC &= C[\operatorname{Re}(T) + i\operatorname{Im}(T)]C \\ &= C\operatorname{Re}(T)C - iC\operatorname{Im}(T)C \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(T) - i\operatorname{Im}(T) \\ &= [\operatorname{Re}(T) + i\operatorname{Im}(T)]^* = T^*. \end{aligned}$$

Hence T is a complex symmetric operator with the conjugation C . \square

EXAMPLE 2.16. Let C be a conjugation operator on \mathbb{C}^4 defined by

$$C(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (\overline{x_2}, \overline{x_3}, \overline{x_4}, \overline{x_1})$$

and let $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^4$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{C}^4 . Suppose that T has the form

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^4$. Since T is complex symmetric if and only if T is unitarily equivalent to a complex symmetric matrix, it follows from [6, Theorem 1] that the trace of the following matrices must vanish:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad T(TT^{*2} - TT^{*2}T)TT^* &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 49 & -2401 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (2) \quad T^2(TT^{*2} - T^{*2}T)T^2T^* &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -2352 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (3) \quad T[(T^2T^*)^2 - (T^*T^2)^2]TT^* &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad T^2(TT^{*3} - T^{*3}T)T^2T^{*2} &= \begin{pmatrix} 49 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -2401 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(5) \quad T(T^2T^{*2} - T^{*2}T^2)TT^* &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -49 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -49 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\
(6) \quad T(T^2T^{*3} - T^{*3}T^2)TT^* &= \begin{pmatrix} 49 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2401 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \\
(7) \quad T^2T^*(T^*T - TT^*)T^*T^2T^* &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -115248 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

However, these traces do not vanish. Hence T is not unitarily equivalent to a complex symmetric matrix and hence T is not a complex symmetric operator. By Theorem 2.15, $T - \lambda I$ is not C -hyponormal for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Recall that two operators T_1 and T_2 are *doubly commuting* if $T_1T_2 = T_2T_1$ and $T_1^*T_2 = T_2^*T_1^*$ hold.

PROPOSITION 2.17. *Let C be a conjugation on \mathcal{H} . Assume that T_1 and T_2 are C -hyponormal in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and T_1, T_2 are doubly commuting. If $T_1^*T_2$ is complex symmetric with a conjugation C , then $T_1 + T_2$ is C -hyponormal.*

Proof. Since T_1 and T_2 are C -hyponormal, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (T_1 + T_2)^*(T_1 + T_2) - C(T_1 + T_2)(T_1 + T_2)^*C \\
&= T_1^*T_1 + T_1^*T_2 + T_2^*T_1 + T_2^*T_2 - C(T_1T_1^* + T_1T_2^* + T_2T_1^* + T_2T_2^*)C \\
&= (T_1^*T_1 - CT_1T_1^*C) + (T_1^*T_2 - C(T_1T_2^*)C) \\
&\quad + (T_2^*T_1 - C(T_2T_1^*)C) + (T_2^*T_2 - CT_2T_2^*C) \\
&\geq (T_1^*T_2 - C(T_1T_2^*)C) + (T_2^*T_1 - C(T_2T_1^*)C).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since $T_1^*T_2$ is complex symmetric with $T_1^*T_2 = T_2^*T_1^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (T_1 + T_2)^*(T_1 + T_2) - C(T_1 + T_2)(T_1 + T_2)^*C \\
&\geq (T_1^*T_2 - C(T_1T_2^*)C) + (T_2^*T_1 - C(T_2T_1^*)C) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence $T_1 + T_2$ is C -hyponormal. \square

EXAMPLE 2.18. Let $\{e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis and let C be the conjugation such that $Ce_n = e_n$ for n . Assume that D_1 and D_2 are diagonal operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, D_1 and D_2 are doubly commuting, and $D_1^*D_2$ is complex symmetric with a conjugation C . Since D_1 and D_2 are normal, D_1 and D_2 are C -hyponormal. Hence $D_1 + D_2$ is C -hyponormal from Proposition 2.17.

THEOREM 2.19. *Let T^* be p -hyponormal in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ for $0 < p \leq 1$ and let C be a conjugation on \mathcal{H} . Then the following statements equivalent.*

- (i) T is C -hyponormal.
- (ii) T is normal.
- (iii) T is a complex symmetric operator.
- (iv) T is C -normal.

Proof. Since every normal operator is a complex symmetric operator by [5] and any complex symmetric operator is C -normal by [10], it suffices to show that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Assume that T is C -hyponormal and T^* is p -hyponormal. It suffices to consider when $p = \frac{1}{2^n}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $C|T^*|^2C \leq |T|^2$, it follows from Löwner's lemma that

$$(C|T^*|^2C)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |T|.$$

Since $(C|T^*|C)^2 = C|T^*|^2C$, it follows that $C|T^*|C = (C|T^*|^2C)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq |T|$. By induction, we can prove that $C|T^*|^{\frac{1}{2^n}}C \leq |T|^{\frac{1}{2^n}}$. Thus

$$C|T^*|^pC \leq |T|^p \tag{14}$$

for $0 < p \leq 1$. Since T^* is p -hyponormal, $|T|^p \leq |T^*|^p$ holds and so $C|T|^pC \leq C|T^*|^pC$. From (14), $C|T|^pC \leq C|T^*|^pC \leq |T|^p$. Then $C|T|^pC \leq |T|^p$ and so $|T|^p \leq C|T|^pC$. Hence $C|T|^pC = |T|^p$. So, $C|T|^p = |T|^pC$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. Since T is C -hyponormal, $C|T|^2C \geq |T^*|^2$. By Löwner's lemma, $C|T|^{2p}C \geq |T^*|^{2p}$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. Since $C|T|^p = |T|^pC$, $|T|^{2p} \geq |T^*|^{2p}$. Hence T is p -hyponormal. Since T^* is p -hyponormal, $|T|^{2p} = |T^*|^{2p}$ for $0 < p \leq 1$. Now we consider the case when $p = \frac{1}{2^n}$ again. Since

$$(|T|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^2 - (|T^*|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^2 = (|T|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}} + |T^*|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})(|T|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}} - |T^*|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}}) = 0,$$

$$(|T|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^2 = (|T^*|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^2. \text{ Assume that } (|T|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^{2^k} - (|T^*|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^{2^k} \text{ holds. Then}$$

$$(|T|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^{2^{k+1}} - (|T^*|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^{2^{k+1}} = ((|T|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^{2^k} + (|T^*|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^{2^k})(|T|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^{2^k} - (|T^*|^{2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}})^{2^k} = 0.$$

By induction, $|T|^2 = |T^*|^2$. Hence T is normal. \square

COROLLARY 2.20. *Let T be C -hyponormal with a conjugation C . If T^* be p -hyponormal for $0 < p \leq 1$, then $|T|^pC = C|T|^p$.*

Proof. The proof follows from the proof of Theorem 2.19. \square

COROLLARY 2.21. *Let C be a conjugation on \mathcal{H} . Assume that T_1 and T_2 are commuting C -hyponormal operators in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. If T_1^* is p -hyponormal and $T_1^*T_2$ is a complex symmetric operator with a conjugation C , then $T_1 + T_2$ is C -hyponormal.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.19, T_1 is normal. Since $T_1 T_2 = T_2 T_1$, by Fuglede-Putnam Theorem, T_1 and T_2 are doubly commuting. By Proposition 2.17, $T_1 + T_2$ is C -hyponormal. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to thank the referees for their invaluable comments on the original draft.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. BENHIDA, M. CHO, E. KO, AND J. LEE, *On symmetric and skew-symmetric operators*, *Filomat* **32**: 1 (2018), 293–303.
- [2] G. CASSINELLI, E. VITO, A. LEVRERO, AND P. J. LAHTI, *The Theory of Symmetry Actions in Quantum Mechanics*, *Lecture Notes in Physics* **654**, Springer, 2004.
- [3] R. G. DOUGLAS, *On Majorization, Factorization, and Range Inclusion of Operators on Hilbert Space*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (2) (1966), 413–415.
- [4] C. FOIAŞ AND A. E. FRAZHO, *The Commutant Lifting Approach to Interpolation Problems*, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications* **44**, Birkhäuser, 1990.
- [5] S. R. GARCIA AND M. PUTINAR, *Complex symmetric operators and applications*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **358** (2006), 1285–1315.
- [6] S. R. GARCIA, D. E. POORE, AND J. E. TENER, *Unitary equivalence to a complex symmetric matrix: Low dimensions*, *Linear Algebra Appl.* **437** (2012), 271–284.
- [7] E. KO AND J. E. LEE, *Remark on complex symmetric operator matrices*, *Linear Multilinear Algebra.* **67** (9) (2019), 1198–1216.
- [8] E. KO, J. E. LEE, AND M. LEE, *On properties of C -normal operators*, *Banach J. Math. Anal.* **15** (2021), Article 65.
- [9] E. KO, J. E. LEE, AND M. LEE, *On properties of C -normal operators II*, *Annals of Functional Analysis* **14** (2023), Article 29.
- [10] M. PTAK, K. SIMIK, AND A. WICHER, *C -normal operators*, *Electronic J. Linear Alg.* **36** (2020), 67–79.
- [11] C. WANG, J. ZHAO, AND S. ZHU, *Remark on the structure of C -normal operators*, *Linear and Multi. Alg.* **70** (9) (2022), 1682–1696.

(Received March 24, 2023)

Eungil Ko
Department of Mathematics
Ewha Womans University
Seoul, 03760, Republic of Korea
e-mail: eiko@ewha.ac.kr

Ji Eun Lee
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Sejong University
Seoul, 05006, Republic of Korea
e-mail: jieunlee7@sejong.ac.kr

Mee-Jung Lee
College of General Education
Kookmin University
Seoul, 02707, Republic of Korea
e-mail: meejunglee@kookmin.ac.kr
meejung@ewhain.net