

MEAN-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR THE NUMERICAL RADIUS AND THE OPERATOR NORM

AMIN HOSSEINI, MAHMOUD HASSANI AND HAMID REZA MORADI

(Communicated by S. Furuichi)

Abstract. In this paper, utilizing the Hadamard product of matrices, we show several new bounds for the numerical radius in a way that extends some known bounds for the operator norm. However, the presented results treat special cases to overcome the general case, invalid for the numerical radius. As a consequence of our discussion, we find relations between the numerical radii of the Aluthge and Duggal transformations. Then, we show some bounds for the product of three Hilbert space operators, and some mean-like terms are treated using operator matrices techniques.

1. Introduction

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a complex Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . In the case when $\dim \mathcal{H} = n$, we identify $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the matrix algebra \mathcal{M}_n of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries in the complex field \mathbb{C} . Given an orthonormal basis $\{e_j\}$ of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , the Hadamard product $A \circ B$ of two operators A, B is defined by $\langle A \circ B e_i, e_j \rangle = \langle A e_i, e_j \rangle \langle B e_i, e_j \rangle$. For matrices, one easily observes that the Hadamard product of $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ is $A \circ B = (a_{ij} b_{ij})$, a principal submatrix of the tensor product $A \otimes B = (a_{ij} b_{kl})_{1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n}$. If $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the real and imaginary parts of T are defined by $\Re T = \frac{T+T^*}{2}$ and $\Im T = \frac{T-T^*}{2i}$, respectively. We call a norm on operators or matrices weakly unitarily invariant if its value at operator T is not changed by replacing T by $U^* T U$, provided only that U is unitary.

The numerical range of an operator T in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is defined as $W(T) = \{\langle T x, x \rangle : \|x\| = 1\}$. The numerical radius and the usual operator norm of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are defined respectively as $\omega(T) = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |\langle T x, x \rangle|$ and $\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|T x\|$. It is well-known that $\omega(\cdot)$ defines a norm on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, which is equivalent to the usual operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. Namely, for $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|T\| \leq \omega(T) \leq \|T\|. \quad (1.1)$$

Other facts about the numerical radius can be found in [7].

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 47A30, 47A12; Secondary 47B15, 15A60, 47A50.

Keywords and phrases: Numerical radius, operator norm, inner product, polar decomposition.

The inequalities in (1.1) have been improved considerably by many authors, (see, e.g., [8, 10, 12, 13, 18, 25]). Kittaneh [16, 17] has shown the following precise estimates of $\omega(T)$ by using several norm inequalities and ingenious techniques:

$$\omega(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\|T\| + \|T^2\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right), \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{4} \left\| |T|^2 + |T^*|^2 \right\| \leq \omega^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| |T|^2 + |T^*|^2 \right\|. \tag{1.3}$$

In [5], Dragomir gave the following estimate of the numerical radius which refines the second inequality in (1.1): For every T ,

$$\omega^2(T) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\omega(T^2) + \|T\|^2 \right).$$

We refer the reader to [1, 11, 21, 23, 24] as a list of references that treated numerical radius inequalities, with attempts to sharpen the above and other bounds.

Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T . The Aluthge transform \tilde{T} of T is defined by $\tilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}U|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ [2]. The Duggal transform T^D of T is specified by $T^D = |T|U$ which is first referred to in [6]. The mean transform \hat{T} of T is represented by $\hat{T} = \frac{T+T^D}{2}$. This transform was first raised in [19]. A type of operator transform is the generalized mean transform $\hat{T}(v)$ of T , presented recently in [3], by

$$\hat{T}(v) = \frac{|T|^vU|T|^{1-v} + |T|^{1-v}U|T|^v}{2}; \quad 0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In this paper, we first discuss some related bounds for the numerical radius and then new types of operator norm inequalities. In particular, we present possible upper bounds for the numerical radius of Heinz-type quantities and a mean-type inequality for the numerical radius. An application will include a new relation between the Aluthge and Duggal transforms.

Among many results, we show that

$$\omega(\hat{T}(v)) \leq \omega(2r\tilde{T} + (1-2r)\hat{T}),$$

where $r = \min\{v, 1-v, |\frac{1}{2}-v|\}$. Moreover, we show an arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for the numerical radius in Theorem 2.4. As for the norm results, we show that if $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}$ is a positive operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$, then

$$\|BCA + AC^*B\| \leq \frac{\|A^2 + B^2\|}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \right\|.$$

This presents a new mean inequality for the product of three operators.

As a technical lemma, we state the following simple observation when simplifying the products.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $T, X \in M_n$ be such that $T = \text{diag}(\lambda_i)$ is a diagonal matrix. If $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, then $T^\alpha X T^\beta = (\lambda_i^\alpha x_{ij} \lambda_j^\beta)$.*

Proof. Letting $r_i(\cdot)$ and $c_j(\cdot)$ be the i -th row and j -th column, respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r_i(T^\alpha X) &= r_i(T^\alpha)X \\ &= [\lambda_i^\alpha x_{i1}, \lambda_i^\alpha x_{i2}, \dots, \lambda_i^\alpha x_{in}]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is evident that

$$r_i(T^\alpha X) c_j(T^\beta) = \lambda_i^\alpha x_{ij} \lambda_j^\beta,$$

which is the desired conclusion. \square

2. Numerical radii inequalities

Before expressing the first main result of this section, recall that a continuous real-valued function f defined on an interval $J \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called operator monotone if $A \leq B$ implies that $f(A) \leq f(B)$ for all self-adjoint operators A, B with spectra in J .

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $T, X \in M_n$ such that T is positive definite and let f be an operator monotone function on $(0, \infty)$. Then*

$$\omega(f(T)X - Xf(T)) \leq \omega(f'(T)) \omega(TX - XT).$$

Proof. We focus on case $T = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \geq 0$. If T is not diagonal, then using the spectral decomposition $T = U \text{diag}(\lambda_i) U^*$ and noting that $\omega(\cdot)$ is weakly unitarily invariant imply the result. Letting $Z = f(T)X - Xf(T)$, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} z_{ij} &= \begin{cases} x_{ij}(f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j)), & i \neq j \\ 0, & i = j \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} x_{ij}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j), & i \neq j \\ f'(\lambda_i) x_{ii}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j), & i = j \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that this can be written as $Z = Y \circ (TX - XT)$ where $Y = f^{[1]}(T)$, i.e.,

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{f(\lambda_i) - f(\lambda_j)}{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}; & \text{when } i \neq j \\ f'(\lambda_i); & \text{when } i = j \end{cases}.$$

By [4, Theorem V.3.4], $f^{[1]}(T) \geq O$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(f(T)X - Xf(T)) &= \omega(Y \circ (TX - XT)) \\ &\leq \max y_{ii} \omega(TX - XT) \\ &= \|f'(T)\| \omega(TX - XT) \\ &= \omega(f'(T)) \omega(TX - XT). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 2.1. It follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\omega(T^r X - XT^r) \leq r \|T^{r-1}\| \omega(TX - XT); 0 \leq r \leq 1.$$

In particular,

$$\omega(|T|^r U - U|T|^r) \leq r \||T|^{r-1}\| \omega(T^D - T); 0 \leq r \leq 1.$$

THEOREM 2.2. Let $T, X \in M_n$ such that T be positive definite. Then for any $0 \leq v \leq 1$,

$$\omega(T^v XT^{1-v} + T^{1-v} XT^v) \leq \omega\left(4rT^{\frac{1}{2}}XT^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-2r)(TX + XT)\right)$$

where $r = \min\{v, |\frac{1}{2} - v|, 1 - v\}$.

Proof. First, we consider the case $0 \leq v \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $T = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \geq 0$. Of course, if T is not diagonal, then using the spectral decomposition $T = U \text{diag}(\lambda_i) U^*$ and noting that $\omega(\cdot)$ is weakly unitarily invariant imply the result. By Lemma 1.1, we conclude that for $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{4}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (T^v XT^{1-v} + T^{1-v} XT^v)_{ij} \\ &= \lambda_i^v x_{ij} \lambda_j^{1-v} + \lambda_i^{1-v} x_{ij} \lambda_j^v \\ &= \lambda_i^v \left(\lambda_j^{1-2v} + \lambda_i^{1-2v} \right) \lambda_j^v x_{ij} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i^v \left(\lambda_j^{1-2v} + \lambda_i^{1-2v} \right) \lambda_j^v}{4r \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-2r)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)} \left(4r \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-2r)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j) \right) x_{ij} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i^v \left(\lambda_j^{1-2v} + \lambda_i^{1-2v} \right) \lambda_j^v}{4r \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-2r)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)} \left(4r \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} x_{ij} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-2r)(\lambda_i x_{ij} + x_{ij} \lambda_j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$T^v XT^{1-v} + T^{1-v} XT^v = W \circ \left(4rT^{\frac{1}{2}}XT^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-2r)(TX + XT) \right)$$

where W is a Hermitian matrix with entries

$$w_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_i^v (\lambda_i^{1-2v} + \lambda_j^{1-2v}) \lambda_j^v}{4r \lambda_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \lambda_j^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-2r)(\lambda_i + \lambda_j)}; & \text{when } i \neq j \\ 1; & \text{when } i = j \end{cases}.$$

From [14, Theorem 4.4], we know that $W \geq O$ whenever $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(T^v XT^{1-v} + T^{1-v} XT^v) &= \omega\left(W \circ \left(4rT^{\frac{1}{2}}XT^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-2r)(TX + XT) \right)\right) \\ &\leq \omega\left(4rT^{\frac{1}{2}}XT^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1-2r)(TX + XT) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof for the case $0 \leq \nu \leq \frac{1}{2}$. For the case $\frac{1}{2} \leq \nu \leq 1$, replacing ν by $1 - \nu$ in the first case implies the desired conclusion. \square

REMARK 2.2. It observes from Theorem 2.2 that

$$\omega \left(|T|^\nu U |T|^{1-\nu} + |T|^{1-\nu} U |T|^\nu \right) \leq \omega \left(4r |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 - 2r) (|T|U + U|T|) \right)$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 2\omega \left(\widehat{T}(\nu) \right) &\leq \omega \left(4r \widehat{T} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) + 2(1 - 2r) \widehat{T}(0) \right) \\ &= \omega \left(4r \widetilde{T} + 2(1 - 2r) \widehat{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\omega \left(\widehat{T}(\nu) \right) \leq \omega \left(2r \widetilde{T} + (1 - 2r) \widehat{T} \right).$$

Integral inequalities have attracted several researchers' attention in operator theory, as found in [14]. In the following result, we present possible bounds for the numerical radius of the integral of the Heinz means.

THEOREM 2.3. Let $T, X \in M_n$ such that T be positive definite. Then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\omega \left(T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} + T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|\beta - \alpha|} \omega \left(\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (T^\nu X T^{1-\nu} + T^{1-\nu} X T^\nu) d\nu \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \omega \left(T^\alpha X T^{1-\alpha} + T^{1-\alpha} X T^\alpha + T^\beta X T^{1-\beta} + T^{1-\beta} X T^\beta \right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that $\alpha < \beta$. Let $T = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \geq 0$. Of course, if T is not diagonal, then using the spectral decomposition $T = U \text{diag}(\lambda_i) U^*$ and noting that $\omega(\cdot)$ is weakly unitarily invariant imply the result.

Lemma 1.1 implies that, for $i \neq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} + T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \right)_{ij} &= \lambda_i^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} x_{ij} \lambda_j^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} + \lambda_i^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} x_{ij} \lambda_j^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \\ &= \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}} (\log \lambda_i - \log \lambda_j) \lambda_j^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}}{\lambda_i^{\beta-\alpha} - \lambda_j^{\beta-\alpha}} \eta_{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{ij} &= \frac{x_{ij}}{\log \lambda_i - \log \lambda_j} \left(-\lambda_i^\beta \lambda_j^{1-\beta} + \lambda_i^{1-\beta} \lambda_j^\beta + \lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_j^{1-\alpha} - \lambda_i^{1-\alpha} \lambda_j^\alpha \right) \\ &= x_{ij} \int_\alpha^\beta \left(\lambda_i^v \lambda_j^{1-v} + \lambda_i^{1-v} \lambda_j^v \right) dv \\ &= \int_\alpha^\beta \left(\lambda_i^v x_{ij} \lambda_j^{1-v} + \lambda_i^{1-v} x_{ij} \lambda_j^v \right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} + T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} = Y \circ \left(\int_\alpha^\beta (T^v X T^{1-v} + T^{1-v} X T^v) dv \right)$$

where Y is the Hermitian matrix with entries

$$y_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_i^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}} (\log \lambda_i - \log \lambda_j) \lambda_j^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}}}{\lambda_i^{\beta-\alpha} - \lambda_j^{\beta-\alpha}}; & \text{when } i \neq j \\ \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha}; & \text{when } i = j \end{cases}.$$

Notice that $\frac{1}{\beta-\alpha}$ follows from the above integral when $i = j$, up to a scalar factor. It has been shown in [14, Theorem 4.1] that $Y \geq O$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \left(T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} + T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \right) &= \omega \left(Y \circ \left(\int_\alpha^\beta (T^v X T^{1-v} + T^{1-v} X T^v) dv \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\beta-\alpha} \omega \left(\int_\alpha^\beta (T^v X T^{1-v} + T^{1-v} X T^v) dv \right). \end{aligned}$$

So, for arbitrary α, β ,

$$\omega \left(T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} + T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} X T^{\frac{\alpha+\beta}{2}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{|\beta-\alpha|} \omega \left(\int_\alpha^\beta (T^v X T^{1-v} + T^{1-v} X T^v) dv \right).$$

To prove the second inequality, by Lemma 1.1 and an argument similar to that in the proof of the first inequality, we have

$$\int_\alpha^\beta (T^v X T^{1-v} + T^{1-v} X T^v) dv = Z \circ \left(T^\alpha X T^{1-\alpha} + T^{1-\alpha} X T^\alpha + T^\beta X T^{1-\beta} + T^{1-\beta} X T^\beta \right)$$

where Z is the Hermitian matrix with entries

$$z_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_i^{\beta-\alpha} - \lambda_j^{\beta-\alpha}}{(\log \lambda_i - \log \lambda_j) (\lambda_i^{\beta-\alpha} + \lambda_j^{\beta-\alpha})}; & \text{when } i \neq j \\ \frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}; & \text{when } i = j \end{cases}.$$

From [14, Theorem 4.1] we know that $Z \geq O$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \left(\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} (T^{\nu}XT^{1-\nu} + T^{1-\nu}XT^{\nu}) d\nu \right) \\ &= \omega \left(Z \circ (T^{\alpha}XT^{1-\alpha} + T^{1-\alpha}XT^{\alpha} + T^{\beta}XT^{1-\beta} + T^{1-\beta}XT^{\beta}) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2} \omega \left(T^{\alpha}XT^{1-\alpha} + T^{1-\alpha}XT^{\alpha} + T^{\beta}XT^{1-\beta} + T^{1-\beta}XT^{\beta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

as required. \square

REMARK 2.3. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that

$$\omega \left(\widehat{T} \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{2} \right) \right) \leq \frac{1}{|\beta - \alpha|} \omega \left(\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \widehat{T}(\nu) d\nu \right) \leq \frac{\omega(\widehat{T}(\alpha)) + \omega(\widehat{T}(\beta))}{2}.$$

A possible arithmetic-geometric mean inequality for the numerical radius can be stated as follows. We should remark that, in the next result, a similar bound for $\omega(TSX)$ cannot be found similarly. This idea was discussed in [20].

THEOREM 2.4. Let $T, X \in M_n$. Then for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (2 + t) \omega(TXT^*) &\leq \omega(|T|^2X + t|T|X|T| + X|T|^2) \\ &\leq \frac{2+t}{2} \omega(|T|^2X + X|T|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $T = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \geq 0$. By Lemma 1.1, we have for $r \geq \nu > 0$ or $r \leq \nu < 0$, or $r \geq 0 \geq \nu$, or $r \leq 0 \leq \nu$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$T^{\nu}X + t \left(T^{\mu\nu}XT^{\nu(1-\mu)} + T^{\nu(1-\mu)}XT^{\mu\nu} \right) + XT^{\nu} = H \circ (T^rXT^{\nu-r} + T^{\nu-r}XT^r)$$

where

$$h_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda_i^{\nu+t}(\lambda_i^{\mu\nu}\lambda_j^{\nu(1-\mu)} + \lambda_i^{\nu(1-\mu)}\lambda_j^{\mu\nu} + \lambda_j^{\nu})}{\lambda_i^r\lambda_j^{\nu-r} + \lambda_i^{\nu-r}\lambda_j^r}; & \text{when } i \neq j \\ 1 + t; & \text{when } i = j \end{cases}$$

As is shown in the proof of Theorem 4.4 in [22], $H \geq O$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \left(T^{\nu}X + t \left(T^{\mu\nu}XT^{\nu(1-\mu)} + T^{\nu(1-\mu)}XT^{\mu\nu} \right) + XT^{\nu} \right) \\ &= \omega \left(H \circ (T^rXT^{\nu-r} + T^{\nu-r}XT^r) \right) \\ &\leq (1 + t) \omega \left(T^rXT^{\nu-r} + T^{\nu-r}XT^r \right) \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega \left(T^{\nu}X + t \left(T^{\mu\nu}XT^{\nu(1-\mu)} + T^{\nu(1-\mu)}XT^{\mu\nu} \right) + XT^{\nu} \right) \\ &\leq (1 + t) \omega \left(T^rXT^{\nu-r} + T^{\nu-r}XT^r \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we set $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ and replace t by $\frac{t}{2}$, in the above inequality, we obtain

$$2\omega\left(T^vX + tT^{\frac{v}{2}}XT^{\frac{v}{2}} + XT^v\right) \leq (2+t)\omega\left(T^rXT^{v-r} + T^{v-r}XT^r\right). \tag{2.1}$$

In particular, the case $v = 2$ gives,

$$2\omega\left(T^2X + tTXT + XT^2\right) \leq (2+t)\omega\left(T^2X + XT^2\right). \tag{2.2}$$

On the other hand, one can see that for any $-2 < t \leq 2$ and $1 \leq 2r \leq 3$,

$$(2+t)\omega\left(T^rXT^{2-r} + T^{2-r}XT^r\right) \leq 2\omega\left(T^2X + tTXT + XT^2\right).$$

Indeed, this inequality is a consequence of the observation that (see Lemma 1.1)

$$(2+t)\left(T^rXT^{2-r} + T^{2-r}XT^r\right) = K \circ (T^2X + tTXT + XT^3),$$

where

$$k_{ij} = (2+t) \left(\frac{\lambda_i^r \lambda_j^{2-r} + \lambda_i^{2-r} \lambda_j^r}{\lambda_i^2 + t\lambda_i \lambda_j + \lambda_j^2} \right).$$

The matrix K is positive definite by [26, Theorem 6]. Thus, when $r = 1$, we have

$$(2+t)\omega(TXT) \leq \omega(T^2X + tTXT + XT^2). \tag{2.3}$$

Combining inequalities (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2+t)\omega(TXT) &\leq \omega(T^2X + tTXT + XT^2) \\ &\leq \frac{2+t}{2}\omega(T^2X + XT^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Now, if we assume T is an arbitrary matrix with the Cartesian decomposition $T = U|T|$, we get from (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} (2+t)\omega(TXT^*) &= (2+t)\omega(U|T|X|T|U^*) \\ &= (2+t)\omega(|T|X|T|) \\ &\leq \omega\left(|T|^2X + t|T|X|T| + X|T|^2\right) \\ &\leq \frac{2+t}{2}\omega\left(|T|^2X + X|T|^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

REMARK 2.4. Assume that $T = U|T|$ is the polar decomposition of T . The second inequality in Theorem 2.4 can be written in the following form

$$\omega(TXT^*) \leq \frac{1}{2+t}\omega(T|T|XU^* + UX|T|T^* + tTXT^*), \tag{2.5}$$

due to

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(TXT^*) &\leq \frac{1}{2+t} \omega(|T|^2X + X|T|^2 + t|T|X|T|) \\ &= \frac{1}{2+t} \omega(U(|T||T|X + X|T||T| + t|T|X|T|)U^*) \\ &= \frac{1}{2+t} \omega(T|T|XU^* + UX|T|T^* + tTXT^*). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that (2.5) is a generalization and refinement of [20, Lemma 2.1].

3. Norm bounds

In this section, we present some bounds for the operator norm of certain operators.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $A, B,$ and C be operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where A and B are positive. If $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}$ is a positive operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\|BCA + AC^*B\| \leq \frac{\|A^2 + B^2\|}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \right\|.$$

Proof. Let x, y not both equal to zero, and let $z = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}}{\sqrt{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}}$. Then, z is a unit vector in $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, notice that [15, Lemma 1]

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O \Leftrightarrow |\langle Cx, y \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\langle Ax, x \rangle \langle By, y \rangle}; \quad (\forall x, y \in \mathcal{H}). \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\Re \langle Cx, y \rangle}{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2} &\leq \frac{2|\langle Cx, y \rangle| + 2\Re \langle Cx, y \rangle}{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2} \quad (\text{since } \Re a \leq |a| \text{ for any } a \in \mathbb{C}) \\ &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{\langle Ax, x \rangle \langle By, y \rangle} + 2\Re \langle Cx, y \rangle}{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2} \quad (\text{by (3.1)}) \\ &\leq \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle + \langle By, y \rangle + 2\Re \langle Cx, y \rangle}{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2} \\ &\quad (\text{by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality}) \\ &= \frac{\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle}{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2} \\ &= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} z, z \right\rangle \\ &\leq \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\Re \langle Cx, y \rangle \leq \left(\frac{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}{4} \right) \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \right\| \quad (3.2)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$. Now, replacing x and y by Ax and Bx , in (3.2), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \langle BCx, x \rangle &= \Re \langle CAx, Bx \rangle \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|Ax\|^2 + \|Bx\|^2}{4} \right) \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \frac{\langle (A^2 + B^2)x, x \rangle}{4} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|A^2 + B^2\|}{4} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \right\| \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\Re \langle BCx, x \rangle \leq \frac{\|A^2 + B^2\|}{4} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \right\|.$$

So,

$$\|\Re(BCA)\| \leq \frac{\|A^2 + B^2\|}{4} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \right\|$$

as desired. \square

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where A is self-adjoint, $B \geq O$, and $\pm A \leq B$. Then*

$$\|BAB\| \leq \frac{\|B\|^2}{2} \max(\|B + A\|, \|B - A\|).$$

In particular,

$$\| |A| A |A| \| \leq \frac{\|A\|^2}{2} \max(\| |A| + A \|, \| |A| - A \|).$$

Proof. Let $X = \begin{bmatrix} B & A \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}$. The matrix $\begin{bmatrix} B & A \\ A & B \end{bmatrix}$ is unitarily equivalent to $\begin{bmatrix} B+A & O \\ O & B-A \end{bmatrix}$, indeed,

$$U \begin{bmatrix} B & A \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} U^* = \begin{bmatrix} B+A & O \\ O & B-A \end{bmatrix}; \quad U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} I & I \\ -I & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Meanwhile, $\pm A \leq B$, so it follows that X is positive. Thus, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|BAB\| &\leq \frac{\|B^2\|}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} B & A \\ A & B \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \frac{\|B^2\|}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} B+A & O \\ O & B-A \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \frac{\|B^2\|}{2} \max(\|B + A\|, \|B - A\|), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

To prove the second inequality, notice that if A is a self-adjoint operator, then $\pm A \leq |A|$. Now, the result follows from the first inequality. \square

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $A, B,$ and C be operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, where A and B are positive. If $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix}$ is a positive operator in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H})$, then*

$$\|(A+B)(\Re C)(A+B)\| \leq \frac{\|A+B\|^2}{4} \max(\|A+B+2\Re C\|, \|A+B-2\Re C\|).$$

Proof. If $\begin{bmatrix} A & C^* \\ C & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$, then $\begin{bmatrix} B & C \\ C^* & A \end{bmatrix} \geq O$. So, $\begin{bmatrix} A+B & 2\Re C \\ 2\Re C & A+B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$. Now, applying Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A+B)(\Re C)(A+B)\| &\leq \frac{\|A+B\|^2}{4} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A+B & 2\Re C \\ 2\Re C & A+B \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \frac{\|A+B\|^2}{4} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A+B+2\Re C & O \\ O & A+B-2\Re C \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \frac{\|A+B\|^2}{4} \max(\|A+B+2\Re C\|, \|A+B-2\Re C\|) \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be positive operators. Then*

$$\|AB+BA\| \leq \frac{\|A^{\frac{4}{3}}+B^{\frac{4}{3}}\| \|A^{\frac{2}{3}}+B^{\frac{2}{3}}\|}{2}.$$

Proof. Let $X = \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}$. Then $X^*X = \begin{bmatrix} A & A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B \end{bmatrix} \geq O$. Now, by employing Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\|B^{\frac{3}{2}}A^{\frac{3}{2}}+A^{\frac{3}{2}}B^{\frac{3}{2}}\| \leq \frac{\|A^2+B^2\|}{2} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B \end{bmatrix} \right\|.$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A & A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B \end{bmatrix} \right\| &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & O \\ B^{\frac{1}{2}} & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & B^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A^{\frac{1}{2}} & O \\ B^{\frac{1}{2}} & O \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \begin{bmatrix} A+B & O \\ O & O \end{bmatrix} \right\| \\ &= \|A+B\|, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,

$$\left\| A^{\frac{3}{2}} B^{\frac{3}{2}} + B^{\frac{3}{2}} A^{\frac{3}{2}} \right\| \leq \frac{\|A^2 + B^2\| \|A + B\|}{2}.$$

Replacing A and B by $A^{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $B^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we deduce the desired result. \square

If A and B are arbitrary, then letting $X = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ O & O \end{bmatrix}$ and use the positivity of the matrix $X^*X = \begin{bmatrix} |A|^2 & A^*B \\ B^*A & |B|^2 \end{bmatrix}$. The same arguments imply that

$$\left\| |A|^2 A^* B |B|^2 + |B|^2 B^* A |A|^2 \right\| \leq \frac{\left\| |A|^4 + |B|^4 \right\| \left\| |A|^2 + |B|^2 \right\|}{2}.$$

REMARK 3.1. Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T . Replacing $A = |T|^t$ and $B = |T|^{1-t}$ in Corollary 3.3 with $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |T|^t |T|^{1-t} + |T|^{1-t} |T|^t \right\| &= \left\| U \left(|T|^t |T|^{1-t} + |T|^{1-t} |T|^t \right) U^* \right\| \\ &= \left\| U |T|^t |T|^{1-t} U^* + U |T|^{1-t} |T|^t U^* \right\| \\ &= 2 \|U|T|U^*\| \\ &= 2 \| |T^*| \| \quad (\text{by [7, p. 58]}) \\ &= 2 \|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\|T\| \leq \frac{\left\| |T|^{\frac{4}{3}t} + |T|^{\frac{4}{3}(1-t)} \right\| \left\| |T|^{\frac{2}{3}t} + |T|^{\frac{2}{3}(1-t)} \right\|}{4}; \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1)$$

for any $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. The equality holds when $t = \frac{1}{2}$. Indeed, in this case, we obtain $\|T\| \leq \left\| |T|^{\frac{2}{3}} \right\| \left\| |T|^{\frac{1}{3}} \right\|$, but the right side is equal to $\|T\|$ (remember, if $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and if f is a non-negative increasing function on $[0, \infty)$, then $\|f(|X|)\| = f(\|X\|)$).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ABU-OMAR, F. KITTANEH, *Upper and lower bounds for the numerical radius with an application to involution operators*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **45**(4) (2015), 1055–1065.
- [2] A. ALUTHGE, *On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$* , Integral Equ. Oper. Theory., **13** (1990), 307–315.
- [3] C. BENHIDA, M. CHÖ, E. KO, AND J. E. LEE, *On the generalized mean transforms of complex symmetric operators*, Banach J. Math. Anal., **14** (2020), 842–855.
- [4] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [5] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Some inequalities for the norm and the numerical radius of linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, Tamkang J. Math., **39** (1) (2008), 1–7.
- [6] C. FOIAS, I. JUNG, E. KO, AND C. PEARCY, *Complete contractivity of maps associated with the Aluthge and Duggal transformations*, Pacific J. Math., **209** (2003), 249–259.
- [7] T. FURUTA, *Invitation to Linear Operators*, Taylor and Francis, London, 2001.

- [8] I. H. GÜMÜŞ, H. R. MORADI, M. SABABHEH, *On positive and positive partial transpose matrices*, Electron. J. Linear Algebra., **38** (2022), 792–802.
- [9] K. E. GUSTAFSON, D. K. M. RAO, *Numerical Range. The Field of Values of Linear Operators and Matrices*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [10] M. HASSANI, M. E. OMI DVAR, AND H. R. MORADI, *New estimates on numerical radius and operator norm of Hilbert space operators*, Tokyo J. Math., **44** (2) (2021), 439–449.
- [11] Z. HEYDARBEGYI, M. SABABHEH AND H. R. MORADI, *A convex treatment of numerical radius inequalities*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **72** (147) (2022), 601–614.
- [12] O. HIRZALLAH, F. KITTANEH, K. SHEBRAWI, *Numerical radius inequalities for commutators of Hilbert space operators*, Numer Funct Anal Optim., **32** (2011) 739–749.
- [13] O. HIRZALLAH, F. KITTANEH, AND K. SHEBRAWI, *Numerical radius inequalities for certain 2×2 operator matrices*, Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory., **71** (2011), 129–147.
- [14] R. KAUR, M. S. MOSLEHIAN, M. SINGH, AND C. CONDE, *Further refinements of the Heinz inequality*, Linear Algebra Appl., **447** (2014), 26–37.
- [15] F. KITTANEH, *Notes on some inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., **24** (1988), 283–293.
- [16] F. KITTANEH, *A numerical radius inequality and an estimate for the numerical radius of the Frobenius companion matrix*, Studia Math., **158** (2003), 11–17.
- [17] F. KITTANEH, *Numerical radius inequalities for Hilbert space operators*, Studia Math., **168** (2005), 73–80.
- [18] F. KITTANEH, H. R. MORADI, AND M. SABABHEH, *Sharper bounds for the numerical radius*, Linear Multilinear Algebra, <https://doi.org/10.1080/03081087.2023.2177248>.
- [19] S. LEE, W. LEE, AND J. YOON, *The mean transform of bounded linear operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **410** (2014), 70–81.
- [20] M. SABABHEH, *Numerical radius inequalities via convexity*, Linear Algebra Appl., **549** (2018), 67–78.
- [21] M. SABABHEH, *Heinz-type numerical radii inequalities*, Linear multilinear algebra., **67** (5) (2019), 953–964.
- [22] M. SINGH, J. S. AUJLA, AND H. L. VASUDEVA, *Inequalities for Hadamard product and unitarily invariant norms of matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra., **48** (2001), 247–262.
- [23] A. SHEIKHOSSEINI, *An arithmetic-geometric mean inequality related to numerical radius of matrices*, Konuralp J. Math., **5** (1) (2017), 85–91.
- [24] S. SHEYBANI, M. SABABHEH, AND H. R. MORADI, *Weighted inequalities for the numerical radius*, Vietnam J. Math., **51** (2023), 363–377.
- [25] T. YAMAZAKI, *On upper and lower bounds of the numerical radius and an equality condition*, Studia Math., **178** (2007), 83–89.
- [26] X. ZHAN, *Inequalities for unitarily invariant norms*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., **20** (2) (1998), 466–470.

(Received August 28, 2024)

Amin Hosseini
 Department of Mathematics
 Kashmar Higher Education Institute
 Kashmar, Iran
 e-mail: a.hosseini@kashmar.ac.ir

Mahmoud Hassani
 Department of Mathematics
 Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University
 Mashhad, Iran
 e-mail: mhassanimath@gmail.com

Hamid Reza Moradi
 Department of Mathematics
 Mashhad Branch, Islamic Azad University
 Mashhad, Iran
 e-mail: hrmoradi@mshdiau.ac.ir