

## SOME NEW OPIAL-TYPE INEQUALITIES

ILKO BRNETIĆ AND JOSIP PEČARIĆ

*Abstract.* In [3] Agarwal, Pečarić and Brnetić improved many known Opial-type inequalities in  $n$  independent variables. Here we shall use the same basic idea as used in [3] to improve some Opial-type inequalities in one variable proved by Agarwal and Pang [1]. We shall also make some remarks on the results proved in [3] and give some simple generalizations.

### 1. Introduction

First, we state the results we shall improve:

**THEOREM A.** [1, Lemma 9.1.] *Let  $\lambda \geq 1$  be a given real number and let  $p$  be a non-negative and continuous function on  $[0, h]$ . Further, let  $x$  be an absolutely continuous function on  $[0, h]$ , with  $x(0) = x(h) = 0$ . Then, the following inequality holds:*

$$\int_0^h p(t)|x(t)|^\lambda dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^h (t(h-t))^{\frac{\lambda-1}{2}} p(t) dt \right) \int_0^h |x'(t)|^\lambda dt. \quad (1)$$

For  $p(t) = \text{const.}$  the inequality (1) reduces to

$$\int_0^h |x(t)|^\lambda dt \leq \frac{h^\lambda}{2} B \left( \frac{\lambda+1}{2}, \frac{\lambda+1}{2} \right) \int_0^h |x'(t)|^\lambda dt, \quad (2)$$

where  $B$  is the beta function.

**THEOREM B.** [1, Theorem 9.2.] *Assume that*

- (i)  $l, m, \mu$  and  $\lambda$  are non-negative real numbers such that  $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\lambda} = 1$  and  $l\mu \geq 1$ ,
- (ii)  $q$  is a non-negative and continuous function on  $[0, h]$ ,
- (iii)  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are absolutely continuous functions on  $[0, h]$ , with  $x_1(0) = x_1(h) = x_2(0) = x_2(h) = 0$ .

Then, the following inequality holds

$$\int_0^h q(t) (|x_1(t)|^l |x_2'(t)|^m + |x_2(t)|^l |x_1'(t)|^m) dt \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_0^h (t(h-t))^{\frac{l\mu-1}{2}} q^\mu(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \times \\ \times \int_0^h \left( \frac{1}{\mu} (|x_1'(t)|^{l\mu} + |x_2'(t)|^{l\mu}) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (|x_1(t)|^{m\lambda} + |x_2(t)|^{m\lambda}) \right) dt.$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (1991): 26D10, 26D15.

*Key words and phrases:* Opial-type inequality, convex function, Hölder inequality, Jensen inequality.

**THEOREM C.** [1, Theorem 10.1.] *Let  $r_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ , and  $l$  be non-negative real numbers such that  $l\alpha \geq 1$ , where  $\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k$  and let  $p$  be a non-negative continuous function on  $[0, h]$ . Further, let  $x \in C^{(n-1)}[0, h]$  be such that  $x^{(i)}(0) = x^{(i)}(h) = 0$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , and  $x^{(n-1)}$  is absolutely continuous. Then, the following inequality holds*

$$\int_0^h p(t) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} |x^{(k)}(t)|^{r_k} \right)^l dt \leq \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \int_0^h (t(h-t))^{\frac{l\alpha-1}{2}} p(t) dt \right) \times \\ \times \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k \int_0^h |x^{(k+1)}(t)|^{l\alpha} dt.$$

## 2. The main results

An improvement of Theorem A is the following:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\lambda \geq 1$  be a given real number and let  $p$  be a non-negative and continuous function on  $[0, h]$ . Further, let  $x$  be an absolutely continuous function on  $[0, h]$ , with  $x(0) = x(h) = 0$ . Then, the following inequality holds:*

$$\int_0^h p(t) |x(t)|^\lambda dt \leq \left( \int_0^h (t^{1-\lambda} + (h-t)^{1-\lambda})^{-1} p(t) dt \right) \int_0^h |x'(t)|^\lambda dt. \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* As in [1] from the hypotheses of theorem we have

$$x(t) = \int_0^t x'(s) ds, \quad x(t) = - \int_t^h x'(s) ds.$$

Using Hölder's inequality with indices  $\lambda$  and  $\frac{\lambda}{\lambda-1}$ , we obtain

$$|x(t)|^\lambda \leq t^{\lambda-1} \int_0^t |x'(s)|^\lambda ds, \quad (4)$$

and, similarly,

$$|x(t)|^\lambda \leq (h-t)^{\lambda-1} \int_t^h |x'(s)|^\lambda ds. \quad (5)$$

Multiplying (4) by  $t^{1-\lambda}$  and (5) by  $(h-t)^{1-\lambda}$  and adding these inequalities, we find

$$(t^{1-\lambda} + (h-t)^{1-\lambda}) |x(t)|^\lambda \leq \int_0^h |x'(t)|^\lambda dt,$$

i.e.

$$|x(t)|^\lambda \leq (t^{1-\lambda} + (h-t)^{1-\lambda})^{-1} \int_0^h |x'(t)|^\lambda dt. \quad (6)$$

Now multiplying (6) by  $p$  and integrating on  $[0, h]$  we obtain the inequality (3).

REMARK 1. By the harmonic-geometric inequality, we have

$$2(t^{1-\lambda} + (h-t)^{1-\lambda})^{-1} \leq (t^{\lambda-1}(h-t)^{\lambda-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence, it is clear that (3) improves (1).

COROLLARY 2. Let  $\lambda \geq 1$  be a given real number and let  $x$  be an absolutely continuous function on  $[0, h]$ , with  $x(0) = x(h) = 0$ . Then, the following inequality holds:

$$\int_0^h |x(t)|^\lambda dt \leq h^\lambda I(\lambda) \int_0^h |x'(t)|^\lambda dt, \quad (7)$$

where

$$I(\lambda) = \int_0^1 (s^{1-\lambda} + (1-s)^{1-\lambda})^{-1} ds.$$

*Proof.* By putting  $p(t) = \text{const.}$  in (3) we obtain

$$\int_0^h |x(t)|^\lambda dt \leq \left( \int_0^h (t^{1-\lambda} + (h-t)^{1-\lambda})^{-1} dt \right) \int_0^h |x'(t)|^\lambda dt.$$

The inequality (7) is now clear.

COROLLARY 3. Let  $\lambda \geq 1$  be a given real number and let  $x$  be an absolutely continuous function on  $[0, h]$ , with  $x(0) = x(h) = 0$ . Then, the following inequalities hold:

$$\int_0^h |x(t)|^2 dt \leq \frac{h^2}{6} \int_0^h |x'(t)|^2 dt, \quad (8)$$

$$\int_0^h |x(t)|^3 dt \leq \frac{3\pi-8}{24} h^3 \int_0^h |x'(t)|^3 dt, \quad (9)$$

$$\int_0^h |x(t)|^4 dt \leq \frac{20\sqrt{3}\pi-81}{1215} h^4 \int_0^h |x'(t)|^4 dt. \quad (10)$$

*Proof.* It is a special case of Corollary 2 for  $\lambda = 2, 3, 4$ . The integrals  $I(2) = \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $I(3) = \frac{3\pi-8}{24}$  and  $I(4) = \frac{20\sqrt{3}\pi-81}{1215}$  are computed easily.

REMARK 2. It is interesting to compare this results with the inequalities which follow from Theorem A by taking  $p(t) = \text{const.}$  and  $\lambda = 2, 3, 4$ . From (2), the inequalities corresponding to (8) - (10) will have corresponding constants  $\frac{\pi h^2}{16}$ ,  $\frac{h^3}{12}$  and  $\frac{3\pi h^4}{256}$ .

Now we shall improve the result of Theorem B.

THEOREM 4. Assume that

- (i)  $l, m, \mu$  and  $\lambda$  are non-negative real numbers such that  $\frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\lambda} = 1$  and  $l\mu \geq 1$ ,
- (ii)  $q$  is a non-negative and continuous function on  $[0, h]$ ,
- (iii)  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are absolutely continuous functions on  $[0, h]$ , with  $x_1(0) = x_1(h) = x_2(0) = x_2(h) = 0$ .

Then, the following inequality holds

$$\int_0^h q(t) (|x_1(t)|^l |x_2'(t)|^m + |x_2(t)|^l |x_1'(t)|^m) dt \leq \left( \int_0^h (t^{1-l\mu} + (h-t)^{1-l\mu})^{-1} q^\mu(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \times \\ \times \int_0^h \left( \frac{1}{\mu} (|x_1'(t)|^{l\mu} + |x_2'(t)|^{l\mu}) + \frac{1}{\lambda} (|x_1'(t)|^{m\lambda} + |x_2'(t)|^{m\lambda}) \right) dt. \quad (11)$$

*Proof.* From Hölder's inequality with indices  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  we have

$$\int_0^h q(t) |x_1(t)|^l |x_2'(t)|^m dt \leq \left( \int_0^h q^\mu(t) |x_1(t)|^{l\mu} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \left( \int_0^h |x_2'(t)|^{m\lambda} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}.$$

Now, from (3) we find

$$\int_0^h q(t) |x_1(t)|^l |x_2'(t)|^m dt \leq \left( \int_0^h (t^{1-l\mu} + (h-t)^{1-l\mu})^{-1} q^\mu(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \times \\ \times \left( \int_0^h |x_1'(t)|^{l\mu} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \left( \int_0^h |x_2'(t)|^{m\lambda} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}},$$

and from Young's inequality it follows that

$$\int_0^h q(t) |x_1(t)|^l |x_2'(t)|^m dt \leq \left( \int_0^h (t^{1-l\mu} + (h-t)^{1-l\mu})^{-1} q^\mu(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \times \\ \times \int_0^h \left( \frac{1}{\mu} |x_1'(t)|^{l\mu} + \frac{1}{\lambda} |x_2'(t)|^{m\lambda} \right) dt. \quad (12)$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\int_0^h q(t) |x_1'(t)|^m |x_2(t)|^l dt \leq \left( \int_0^h (t^{1-l\mu} + (h-t)^{1-l\mu})^{-1} q^\mu(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \times \\ \times \int_0^h \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} |x_1'(t)|^{m\lambda} + \frac{1}{\mu} |x_2'(t)|^{l\mu} \right) dt. \quad (13)$$

An addition of (12) and (13) gives the inequality (11).

Now we will establish Opial-type inequality involving higher order derivatives which improves the result of Theorem C.

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $r_k$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ , and  $l$  be non-negative real numbers such that  $l\alpha \geq 1$ , where  $\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k$  and let  $p$  be a non-negative continuous function on  $[0, h]$ . Further, let  $x \in C^{(n-1)}[0, h]$  be such that  $x^{(i)}(0) = x^{(i)}(h) = 0$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n-1$ , and  $x^{(n-1)}$  is absolutely continuous. Then, the following inequality holds

$$\int_0^h p(t) \left( \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} |x^{(k)}(t)|^{r_k} \right)^l dt \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \left( \int_0^h (t^{1-l\alpha} + (h-t)^{1-l\alpha})^{-1} p(t) dt \right) \times \\ \times \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} r_k \int_0^h |x^{(k+1)}(t)|^{l\alpha} dt. \quad (14)$$

*Proof.* Using some well-known elementary inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} |x^{(k)}(t)|^{r_k}\right)^l &= \left(\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} |x^{(k)}(t)|^{\frac{r_k}{\alpha}}\right)^{l\alpha} \leq \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{r_k}{\alpha} |x^{(k)}(t)|\right)^{l\alpha} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{r_k}{\alpha} |x^{(k)}(t)|^{l\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

The inequality (14) now follows from (15) and (3).

Let  $\Omega = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i]$  and let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be a general point in  $\Omega$  and  $dx$  stands for  $dx_1 \dots dx_n$ . Let  $G_n(a_i)$  stands for geometric mean and  $H_n(a_i)$  stands for harmonic mean of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , and generally, let  $M^{[k]}(a_i)$  stands for mean of order  $k$  of  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , i.e.  $M^{[k]}(a_i) = \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^k}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$ ,  $k \neq 0$ .

We denote by  $G(\Omega)$  the class of sufficiently smooth real functions which vanish on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  of  $\Omega$ . Further, let  $u(x; t_i)$  stands for  $u(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, t_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$  and define

$$\|grad \ u(x)\|_{\mu} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} u(x)\right|^{\mu}\right)^{\frac{1}{\mu}}.$$

The generalization of Corollary 2 for the case of several independent variables is given in [3]. Let's repeat this result:

**THEOREM D.** [3, Theorem 1] *Let  $\lambda, \mu \geq 1$  be real numbers and let  $u \in G(\Omega)$ . Then, the following inequality holds*

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{\lambda} dx \leq K(\lambda, \mu) \int_{\Omega} \|grad \ u(x)\|_{\mu}^{\lambda} dx,$$

where

$$K(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{n} I(\lambda) C\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}\right) H_n((b_i - a_i)^{\lambda}),$$

$$I(\lambda) = \int_0^1 (s^{1-\lambda} + (1-s)^{1-\lambda})^{-1} ds,$$

$$C(\alpha) = 1, \text{ for } \alpha \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad C(\alpha) = n^{1-\alpha}, \text{ for } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1.$$

Moreover, the following theorem is a consequence of Theorem D:

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $\mu_k > 0$ ,  $\lambda_k \geq 1$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ , be real numbers such that  $\sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\mu_k}{\lambda_k} = 1$  and let  $u_k \in G(\Omega)$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, m$ . Then, the following inequality holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \prod_{k=1}^m |u_k(x)|^{\mu_k} dx &\leq \frac{M^{[1-2]}(b_i - a_i)}{\sqrt{6n}} \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\mu_k}{\lambda_k} \left(\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2(\lambda_k-1)} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ &\times \left(\int_{\Omega} \|grad \ u(x)\|_2^2 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

*Proof.* By applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the result of Theorem D for  $\lambda = 2$  and  $\mu = 2$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{\lambda_k} dx &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2(\lambda_k-1)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{M^{[-2]}(b_i - a_i)}{\sqrt{6n}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2(\lambda_k-1)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \|\text{grad } u(x)\|_2^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The inequality (16) now follows from (17) by using weighted arithmetic-geometric inequality.

REMARK 3. In [3, Remark 12] a special case of Theorem 6 (for  $m = 2$  and  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 1$ ) is proved. In [4] Agarwal and Sheng obtained the same type of inequality for  $m = 2$  and with the right-hand side of the inequality (16) multiplied by  $\sqrt{\frac{3\pi}{8}}$  and the term  $G_n(b_i - a_i)$  instead of  $M^{[-2]}(b_i - a_i)$ . On the other hand, in [5], Cheung obtained the same type of inequality with the right-hand side of the inequality (16) multiplied by  $\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}$ , the term  $\max\{b_i - a_i : i = 1, \dots, m\}$  instead of  $M^{[-2]}(b_i - a_i)$  and the term  $\mu_k$  instead of  $\mu_k/\lambda_k$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. AGARWAL AND P. Y. H. PANG, *Remarks on the generalization of Opial's inequality*, Journal of Math. Analysis and Appl. **190** (1995), 559–577.
- [2] ———, *Opial Inequalities with Applications in Differential and Difference Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London., 1995.
- [3] R. P. AGARWAL, J. PEČARIĆ AND I. BRNETIĆ, *Improved integral inequalities in  $n$  independent variables*, Computers Math. Applic. **33** (8) (1997), 27–38.
- [4] R. P. AGARWAL AND Q. SHENG, *Sharp integral inequalities in  $n$  independent variable*, Nonlinear Analysis **26** (2) (1996), 179–210.
- [5] W. S. CHEUNG, *On integral inequalities of the Sobolev type*, Acquatones Mathematicae **49** (1995), 153–159.

I. Brnetić  
Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing  
University of Zagreb  
Unska 3, 10000 Zagreb  
Croatia  
e-mail: ilko.brnetic@fer.hr

J. Pečarić  
Faculty of Textile Technology  
University of Zagreb  
Pierottijeva 6, 10000 Zagreb  
Croatia  
e-mail: pecaric@hazu.hr