

## INEQUALITIES FOR THE ZEROS OF THE ASSOCIATED ULTRASPHERICAL POLYNOMIALS

P. D. SIAFARIKAS

(communicated by A. Laforgia)

*Abstract.* Using a functional analytic method based on the three-term recurrence relation of orthogonal polynomials, we study the monotonicity of the zeros of the associated ultraspherical (or Gegenbauer) polynomials, and we give some inequalities for the largest zeros.

### 1. Introduction

The orthogonal polynomials  $P_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ , of degree  $n$ , with respect to a positive Borel measure on the real line with infinite mass points, can be defined by the recurrence relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n P_{n+1}(x) + \alpha_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) + b_n P_n(x) &= x P_n(x) \\ P_{-1}(x) &= 0, \quad P_0(x) = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\alpha_n > 0$  and  $b_n$  real sequences.

The associated polynomials  $P_n(x; c)$  of the above polynomials are obtained when we replace  $n$  by  $n + c$  in the coefficients  $\alpha_n$  and  $b_n$  of (1.1), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{n+c} P_{n+1}(x; c) + \alpha_{n+c-1} P_{n-1}(x; c) + b_{n+c} P_n(x; c) &= x P_n(x; c) \\ P_{-1}(x; c) &= 0, \quad P_0(x; c) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for arbitrary real  $c \geq 0$  or  $c > -1$ .

The associated polynomials are called associated of order  $c$ , if  $c$  is an integer greater than 1 and numerator polynomials, if  $c = 1$ . One of the most important results for the last case was given by A. Elbert and A. Laforgia in [5]. Results for particular cases of associated polynomials concerning explicit forms, orthogonality measures, monotonicity properties and differential inequalities for their zeros were given in [1], [3], [7], [8], [9], [16], [24], [26], [30]. We point out that the above associated polynomials do not satisfy a second order differential equation of Sturm-Liouville type. Therefore the effective methods which are based on properties of differential equations of Sturm-Liouville type cannot be applied. They satisfy a 4th-order differential equation. For particular cases of associated polynomials, many authors [28], [29], [31], and the references therein] gave explicitly the corresponding 4th-order differential equations.

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (1991): 33C45.

*Key words and phrases:* Inequalities, associated ultraspherical polynomials.

In this paper we study monotonicity of the zeros of the associated ultraspherical (or Gegenbauer) polynomials and we present some inequalities for the largest zeros. In section 2 we present the method we shall use, and in section 3 we give the main results.

## 2. The method

We consider the general recurrence relation

$$\alpha_n P_{n+1}(x) + \alpha_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) + b_n P_n(x) = x P_n(x) \quad (2.1)$$

$$P_{-1}(x) = 0, \quad P_0(x) = 1, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\alpha_n$ ,  $b_n$  real sequences, with  $\alpha_n > 0$ ,  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and present briefly the method we shall use.

Let  $e_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  be an orthonormal basis in a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $H_N$  and let  $V$  be the truncated shift operator

$$V e_k = e_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \quad V e_{n-1} = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

The adjoint of  $V$  satisfies

$$V^* e_k = k e_{k-1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \quad V^* e_0 = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $A, B$  be the diagonal operators

$$A e_k = \alpha_k e_k, \quad B e_k = b_k e_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1. \quad (2.5)$$

Then we can see easily the following [13].

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The zeros of the polynomial  $P_n(x)$  of degree  $n$  defined by*

$$\alpha_n P_{n+1}(x) + \alpha_{n-1} P_{n-1}(x) + b_n P_n(x) = x P_n(x)$$

$$P_{-1}(x) = 0, \quad P_0(x) = 1$$

are the eigenvalues of the operator

$$T = AV^* + VA + B$$

i.e.,

$$(AV^* + VA + B)x_k = \lambda_k x_k$$

or

$$\lambda_k = ((AV^* + VA + B)x_k, x_k), \quad \|x_k\| = 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

and vice versa.

**REMARK 2.1.** The identification of zeros of orthogonal polynomials as eigenvalues of a tridiagonal matrix is a very old result. What is new in our approach is the separation of this tridiagonal matrix as a sum of products of simple matrices in the operator form

$$T = AV^* + VA + B.$$

Some results have been found very easily, due to this separation. We think that these results do not follow easily from the usual tridiagonal matrix form of  $T$ .

**Upper bounds for the zeros  $\lambda_{n,k}$  of the polynomial  $P_n(x)$ .**

Using the relation

$$\lambda_{n,k} = ((AV^* + VA + B)x_{n,k}, x_{n,k}), \quad \|x_{n,k}\| = 1, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 \quad (2.7)$$

we can easily obtain *upper bounds for all the zeros  $\lambda_{n,k}$ .*

If fact from (2.7) we have:

$$\lambda_{n,k} \leq \|A\| \cdot \|V^*\| + \|V\| \cdot \|A\| + \|B\| = 2\|A\| + \|B\| = 2 \max_k |\alpha_k| + \max_k |b_k|,$$

since  $\|V\| = \|V^*\| = 1$ .

One can find more stringent upper bounds using chain sequences [9].

**Lower bounds for the largest zero  $\lambda_{\max}$ .**

Since the operator

$$AV^* + VA + B$$

is self-adjoint, we have that:

$$\lambda_{\max} = \|AV^* + VA + B\| = \sup_{f \in H_n} |((AV^* + VA + B)f, f)| \geq ((AV^* + VA + B)f, f), \quad \|f\| = 1. \quad (2.8)$$

Choosing  $f = 1/\sqrt{2}(e_{n-1} + e_{n-2})$ , we find from (2.8)

$$\lambda_{\max} \geq \frac{1}{2}[2\alpha_{n-2} + b_{n-2} + b_{n-1}], \quad n \geq 2. \quad (2.9)$$

We can find sharper lower bounds if we use the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\max}^{(i)} &= \|AV^* + VA + B\|^{(i)} = \|(AV^* + VA + B)^i\| = \sup_{f \in H_n} |((AV^* + VA + B)^i f, f)| \\ &\geq ((AV^* + VA + B)^i f, f), \quad \|f\| = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

So, from (2.9) for  $i = 2, f = 1/\sqrt{2}(e_{n-1} + e_{n-2})$  we obtain

$$\lambda_{\max}^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}[2\alpha_{n-3}^2 + 2\alpha_{n-2}^2 + b_{n-2}^2 + b_{n-1}^2]. \quad (2.11)$$

**Differentiability of the Eigenvalues.**

If the operators  $A$  and  $B$  of the operator  $T = AV^* + VA + B$  depend on a real parameter  $v$  and  $A(v), B(v)$  are uniformly bounded for  $v$  in some interval and differentiable in the operator norm, then the eigenvectors  $x_k(v)$  of the self-adjoint operator

$$T(v) = A(v)V^* + VA(v) + B(v)$$

are strongly differentiable and the derivative of the corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_k(v)$  is given by

$$\frac{d\lambda_k(v)}{dv} \equiv \lambda'_k(v) = ((A'(v)V^* + VA'(v) + B'(v))x_k(v), x_k(v)), \quad \|x_k(v)\| = 1$$

where  $A'(v)e_k = \alpha'_k e_k, B'(v)e_k = b'_k e_k, k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$  and primes mean differentiation with respect to  $v$ . For details see [10].

When we want to examine the sign of  $\frac{d\lambda_k(v)}{dv}$  a difficulty appears in the scalar product  $((A'(v)V^* + VA'(v) + B'(v))x_k(v), x_k(v))$ , because the operator  $(A'(v)V^* + VA'(v))$  is not positive although in many interesting cases the sequence  $\alpha'_n(v)$ , is strictly positive.

From a more general result proved in [12], we know that the components of the eigenvector  $x_{\max}$  which corresponds to the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\max}$  of the operator  $AV^* + VA + B$  are strictly positive numbers. Therefore the scalar product in

$$\frac{d\lambda_k(v)}{dv} = ((A'(v)V^* + VA'(v) + B'(v))x_k(v), x_k(v))$$

is greater than zero provided that  $a'(v) > 0$  and  $b'(v) > 0$ . For the largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_{\max}(v)$  the following relation also holds

$$\frac{d^2\lambda_{\max}(v)}{dv^2} \geq ((A''(v)V^* + VA''(v) + B''(v))x_{\max}(v), x_{\max}(v))$$

provided that  $A(v)$  is twice differentiable [11].

REMARK. Formally, the differentiability of the eigenvalues with respect to a parameter is an old result, known as the Hellmann-Feynman theorem [R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev 56(1939) 340–343, H. Hellmann, Einführung in die Quantenchemie, (Deuticke, Vienna 1937)]. The first application of this theorem to the zeros of orthogonal polynomials, to the best of our knowledge, is that of M. E. H. Ismail, [22]. Previously, this theorem has been applied by J. T. Lewis and M. E. Muldoon, [27], for the zeros of Bessel functions of the first kind and of order  $\nu > -1$ . Rigorous proofs of the Hellmann-Feynman theorem were given with different assumptions, in [10], [11], [22].

Finally we point out that the above method is general and is valid not only for the particular case considered in this paper. It has been used successfully by E. K. Ifantis and the author in previous work [10, 13–19].

### 3. Main Results

The associated Ultraspherical (or Gegenbauer) Polynomials

$$P_n^\lambda(x; c), \quad c \geq 0, \quad \lambda \geq -1/2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} (n+c+1)P_{n+1}^\lambda(x; c) + (n+c+2\lambda-1)P_{n-1}^\lambda(x; c) &= 2x(n+c+\lambda)P_n^\lambda(x; c) \\ P_{-1}^\lambda(x; c) &= 0, \quad P_0^\lambda(x; c) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The associated Legendre polynomials obtained with  $c = \frac{1}{2}$  were studied in [2]. Setting in (3.1)

$$U_{-1} = 0, \quad U_0 = 1, \quad U_n = \frac{n+c+2\lambda-1}{n+c} U_{n-1}, \quad n \geq 1$$

so that  $U_n > 0$ , and

$$P_n^\lambda(x; c) = \left(\frac{U_n}{n+c+\lambda}\right)^{1/2} Q_n^\lambda(x; c)$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(n+c+1)(n+c+2\lambda)}{(n+c+1+\lambda)(n+c+\lambda)} \right]^{1/2} Q_{n+1}^\lambda(x; c) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(n+c)(n+c+2\lambda-1)}{(n+c+\lambda)(n+c+\lambda-1)} \right]^{1/2} Q_{n-1}^\lambda(x; c) = xQ_n^\lambda(x; c) \\ & Q_{-1}^\lambda(x; c) = 0, \quad Q_0^\lambda(x; c) = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The polynomials  $Q_n^\lambda(x; c)$  and the associated ultraspherical polynomials  $P_n^\lambda(x; c)$ , have the same zeros, say  $x_{nk}^\lambda(c)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, [n/2]$ , in decreasing order. According to our approach the zeros,  $x_{nk}^\lambda(c)$  are eigenvalues of the operator:

$$A(\lambda, c)V^* + VA(\lambda, c)$$

i.e.

$$\frac{1}{2}(A(\lambda, c)V^* + VA(\lambda, c))f_{nk}^\lambda(c) = x_{nk}^\lambda(c)f_{nk}^\lambda(c), \quad \|f_{nk}^\lambda(c)\| = 1$$

or

$$x_{nk}^\lambda(c) = \frac{1}{2}((A(\lambda, c)V^* + VA(\lambda, c))f_{nk}^\lambda(c), f_{nk}^\lambda(c)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, [n/2], \|f_{nk}^\lambda(c)\| = 1 \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$A(\lambda, c)e_j = a_j(\lambda, c)e_j, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\alpha_j(\lambda, c) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(j+c+1)(j+c+2\lambda)}{(j+c+1+\lambda)(j+c+\lambda)} \right]^{1/2} \tag{3.4}$$

**Upper and lower bounds for the largest zero  $x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$ .**

From (3.3) we obtain the upper bounds:

$$x_{nk}^\lambda(c) \leq \|A\| = \max_j |a_j(\lambda, c)| = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(n+c)(n+c+2\lambda-1)}{(n+c+\lambda)(n+c+\lambda-1)} \right]^{1/2}$$

for all zeros  $x_{nk}^\lambda(c)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

For  $c = 0$  we obtain upper bounds for all zeros  $x_{nk}^\lambda$  of the classical ultraspherical polynomials  $P_n^\lambda(x)$ .

Applying the relations (2.9) and (2.11) for  $b_n = 0$  and  $\alpha_j(\lambda, c)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  given by (3.4), we obtain the following lower bounds for the largest zero  $x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$ .

$$x_{n1}^\lambda(c) \geq \alpha_{n-2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(n+c-1)(n+c+2\lambda-2)}{(n+c+\lambda-1)(n+c+\lambda-2)} \right]^{1/2}, \quad \lambda \geq 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} (x_{n1}^\lambda(c))^2 & \geq \alpha_{n-2}^2 + \alpha_{n-3}^2 = \\ & = \frac{1}{4(n+c+\lambda-2)} \left[ \frac{(n+c-1)(n+c+2\lambda-2)}{(n+c+\lambda-1)} + \frac{(n+c-2)(n+c+2\lambda-3)}{(n+c+\lambda-3)} \right] \\ & = \frac{(n+c-1)(n+c+2\lambda-2)(n+c+\lambda-3) + (n+c-2)(n+c+2\lambda-3)(n+c+\lambda-1)}{4(n+c+\lambda-1)(n+c+\lambda-2)(n+c+\lambda-3)}, \quad \lambda \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

We can obtain more stringent lower bounds for the largest zero  $x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$  if we use relation (2.10) for  $i = 3, 4, \dots$

For  $c = 0$ , in the above inequalities, we obtain the corresponding lower bounds for the largest zero  $x_{n1}^\lambda$ , of the classical Ultraspherical polynomials.

**Monotonicity of the largest zero  $x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$ .**

The differential equation for the largest zero  $x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$  with respect to  $\lambda$ , according to our approach, is the following

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda}A(\lambda, c)V^* + V\frac{d}{d\lambda}A(\lambda, c)f_{n1}^\lambda(c) \right), f_{n1}^\lambda(c) \right)$$

or, since  $\left( \frac{d}{d\lambda}A(\lambda, c)V^*f_{n1}^\lambda(c), f_{n1}^\lambda(c) \right)$  is real

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) = \left( \frac{d}{d\lambda}A(\lambda, c)V^*f_{n1}^\lambda(c), f_{n1}^\lambda(c) \right) \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda}A(\lambda, c)e_j &= \frac{d}{d\lambda}a_j(\lambda, c)e_j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \\ \frac{d}{d\lambda}A(\lambda, c) &= -A(\lambda, c)\Gamma(\lambda, c) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Gamma(\lambda, c)e_j = \gamma_j(\lambda, c)e_j, \quad \gamma_j(\lambda, c) = \frac{\lambda^2 + (\lambda - 1/2)(j + c)}{(j + c + 2\lambda)(j + c + \lambda + 1)(j + c + \lambda)}. \quad (3.6)$$

The differential equation (3.5) now is written as

$$-\frac{d}{d\lambda}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) = (\Gamma(\lambda, c)A(\lambda, c)V^*f_{n1}^\lambda(c), f_{n1}^\lambda(c)). \quad (3.7)$$

From (3.7) it follows immediately that

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < 0, \quad \text{for } \lambda \geq 1/2, \quad \text{since } (f_{n1}^\lambda(c), e_n) > 0.$$

Also, since

$$\gamma_1(\lambda, c) < \frac{1}{2(j + c + \lambda + 1)}, \quad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$$

it follows from the differential equation (3.7) that

$$-\frac{d}{d\lambda}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < \frac{1}{c + \lambda + 1} (A(\lambda, c)V^*f_{n1}^\lambda(c), f_{n1}^\lambda(c)) = \frac{1}{c + \lambda + 1} x_{n1}^\lambda, \quad \lambda \geq 1/2$$

or

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}[(c + \lambda + 1)^{1/2}x_{n1}^\lambda(c)] > 0, \quad \lambda \geq 1/2.$$

From the above we obtain the following:

**THEOREM 3.1.** For any fixed  $n, c$  and  $\lambda \geq 1/2$  we have

- (i) the largest zero  $x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$  decreases with respect to  $\lambda$ .
- (ii) The function  $(c + \lambda + 1)^{1/2}x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$ , increases with respect to  $\lambda$ .

**REMARKS.** (i) For  $c = 0$ , we have the corresponding result for the classical ultraspherical polynomials  $P_n^\lambda(x)$  [14], [4].

(ii) Recently it is proved [6] that the function  $\left[\lambda + \frac{2n^2 + 1}{4n + 2}\right]^{1/2} x_{nk}^\lambda, k = 1, 2, \dots$  where  $x_{n,k}^\lambda$  are the zeros of the classical Ultraspherical polynomials increases as  $\lambda$  increases for  $\lambda > -1/2$ . This result answers and improves the following conjectures

**LAFORGIA'S CONJECTURE** [25]. For  $n \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq k \leq \left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$  the function  $\lambda x_{nk}^\lambda, k = 1, 2, \dots$  increases as  $\lambda$  increases for  $\lambda > 0$ .

**ISMAIL-LETTESSIER-ASKEY CONJECTURE** [21]. For  $n \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq k \leq [n/2]$  the function  $(\lambda + 1)^{1/2}x_{nk}^\lambda, k = 1, 2, \dots$  increases as  $\lambda$  increases for  $\lambda > -1/2$ .

Similarly, in the same way as for the differential equation (37) we can find the differential equation for the largest zero  $x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$ , with respect to  $c$ .

$$\frac{d}{dc}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) = (\Gamma(\lambda, c)A(\lambda, c)V^*f_{n1}^\lambda(c), f_{n1}^\lambda(c)) \tag{3.8}$$

where:

$$\Gamma(\lambda, c)e_j = \gamma_j(\lambda, c)e_j, \quad \gamma_j(\lambda, c) = \frac{[2(j + c + \lambda) + 1]\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{(j + c + 1)(j + c + 2\lambda)(j + c + \lambda + 1)(j + c + \lambda)} \tag{3.9}$$

and  $\alpha_j(\lambda, c) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{(j + c + 1)(j + c + 2\lambda)}{(j + c + 1 + \lambda)(j + c + \lambda)} \right]^{1/2} > 0$ .

From (3.8) and (3.9) we obtain the following:

**THEOREM 3.2.** The largest zero  $x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$  of  $P_n^\lambda(x, c)$ , satisfy the differential inequalities:

$$(i) \quad 0 < \frac{2\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{(n + c + 2\lambda - 1)^3}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < \frac{d}{dc}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < \frac{2\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{(n + c)^3}x_{n1}^\lambda(c), \quad \lambda > 1, \quad -\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 0 \tag{3.10}$$

$$(ii) \quad \frac{2\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{(n + c + 2\lambda - 1)^3}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < \frac{d}{dc}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < \frac{2\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{(n + c + \lambda)^3}x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < 0, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1. \tag{3.11}$$

*Proof.* Since  $(f_{n1}^\lambda(c), e_n) > 0$  and  $\gamma_j(\lambda, c) > 0$ , for  $\lambda > 1$   $\gamma_j(\lambda, c) < 0$ , for  $0 < \lambda < 1$  it follows from (3.8) that

$$\frac{dx_{n1}^\lambda(c)}{dc} > 0 \quad \text{for } \lambda > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dx_{n,1}^\lambda(c)}{dc} < 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

Also since for  $\lambda > 1$

$$\gamma_j(\lambda, c) < \frac{2(j + c + \lambda + 1)\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{(j + c + 1)(j + c + 2\lambda)(j + c + \lambda + 1)(j + c + \lambda)} < \frac{2\lambda(\lambda - 1)}{(j + c + 1)^3}$$

and

$$\gamma_j(\lambda, c) > \frac{2(j+c+\lambda)\lambda(\lambda-1)}{(j+c+1)(j+c+2\lambda)(j+c+\lambda+1)(j+c+\lambda)} > \frac{2\lambda(\lambda-1)}{(j+c+2\lambda)^3}$$

it follows from (3.8) that

$$0 < \frac{2\lambda(\lambda-1)}{(n+c+2\lambda-1)^3} x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < \frac{d}{dc} x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < \frac{2\lambda(\lambda-1)}{(n+c)^3} x_{n1}^\lambda(c), \quad \lambda > 1.$$

Similarly we find that

$$\frac{2\lambda(\lambda-1)}{(n+c+2\lambda-1)^3} x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < \frac{d}{dc} x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < \frac{2\lambda(\lambda-1)}{(n+c+\lambda)^3} x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < 0, \quad 0 < \lambda < 1.$$

**COROLLARY.** *For the largest zeros  $x_{n1}^\lambda(c)$  of the associated Ultraspherical polynomials the following inequalities hold.*

$$(i) \quad e^{\lambda(\lambda-1) \left[ \frac{1}{(n+2\lambda-1)^2} - \frac{1}{(n+2\lambda+c-1)^2} \right]} x_{n1}^\lambda < x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < e^{\lambda(\lambda-1) \left[ \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{(n+c)^2} \right]} x_{n1}^\lambda,$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < 0, \quad \lambda > 1$$

$$(ii) \quad e^{\lambda(\lambda-1) \left[ \frac{1}{(n+\lambda-1)^2} - \frac{1}{(n+\lambda+c-1)^2} \right]} x_{n1}^\lambda < x_{n1}^\lambda(c) < e^{\lambda(\lambda-1) \left[ \frac{1}{(n+\lambda)^2} - \frac{1}{(n+c+\lambda)^2} \right]} x_{n1}^\lambda,$$

$$0 < \lambda < 1.$$

*Proof.* It follows by integration with respect to  $c$ , from 0 to  $c$ , from the inequalities (3.10), (3.11). The above inequalities become equalities when  $c = 0$ , and therefore are stringent when  $c$  is near to zero in the interval  $(-1, +\infty)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. ASKEY AND J. WIMP, *Associated Laguerre and Hermite polynomials*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **96** (1984), 15–37.
- [2] P. BARRUCAND AND D. DICKINSON, *On the associated Legendre Polynomials, Orthogonal Expansions and Their Continuous Analogues*, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, 1967, pp. 43–50.
- [3] J. BUSTOZ AND M. E. ISMAIL, *The associated Ultraspherical Polynomials and their  $q$ -Analogues*, Canad. I. Math **34** (1982), 718–736.
- [4] D. K. DIMITROV, *On a conjecture concerning monotonicity of zeros of Ultraspherical polynomials*, J. Approx. theory **85(1)** (1996), 88–97.
- [5] A. ELBERT AND A. LAFORGIA, *On the zeros of associated polynomials of classical orthogonal polynomials*, Differential and Integral Equations **6** (1993), 1137–1143.
- [6] A. ELBERT AND P. D. SIAFARIKAS, *Monotonicity properties of the zeros of Ultraspherical Polynomials*, J. Appr. Theory (to appear).
- [7] C. C. GROSJEAN, *Theory of recursive generation of systems of orthogonal polynomials: An Illustrative example*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **12, 13** (1985), 299–318.
- [8] C. C. GROSJEAN, *The weight functions, generating functions and miscellaneous properties of the sequences of orthogonal polynomials of the second kind associated with Jacobi and the Gegenbauer polynomials*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **16(3)** (1986), 299–318.
- [9] W. HAHN, *Under Orthogonaladpolyome mit drei parameteren*, Deutsche Math. **5** (1940–41), 373–378.



- [10] E. K. IFANTIS, *A Theorem concerning differentiability of eigenvectors and eigenvalues with some applications*, Appl. Anal. **28** (1988), 257–283.
- [11] E. K. IFANTIS, *Concavity and Convexity of eigenvalues*, Appl. Anal. **41** (1991), 209–220.
- [12] E. K. IFANTIS, *Perron-Frobenius-type theorems for tridiagonal operators*, Appl. Anal. **44** (1992), 159–169.
- [13] E. K. IFANTIS AND P. N. PANAGOPOULOS, *On the zeros of a class of polynomials defined by a three term recurrence relation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **182** (2) (1994), 361–370..
- [14] E. K. IFANTIS AND P. D. SIAFARIKAS, *Differential inequalities on the greatest zero of Laguerre and Ultraspherical polynomials*, Actas del VI Simposium on Palinomios Orthoganales y Aplicaciones, Gijon, Spain, 1989, pp. 187–197.
- [15] E. K. IFANTIS AND P. D. SIAFARIKAS, *On the zeros of a class of polynomials including the generalized Bessel polynomials*, in: J. S. Dehesa Ed., *Orthogonal polynomials and their Applications*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **49** (1993), 103–109.
- [16] E. K. IFANTIS AND P. D. SIAFARIKAS, *Differential Inequalities and Monotonicity properties of the zeros of Associated Laguerre and Hermite polynomials*, International joint Symposium on Special functions and Artificial Intelligence, Torino Italy, 1993, in Annals of Numerical Mathem. **2** (1995), 79–91.
- [17] E. K. IFANTIS AND P. D. SIAFARIKAS, *Perturbation of the coefficients in the recurrence relation of a class of polynomials*, 4th International Symposium on Orthogonal polynomials and their Applications, Evian-France, 1992, in J. Comput. Appl. Math. **57** (1995), 163–170.
- [18] E. K. IFANTIS AND P. D. SIAFARIKAS, *An alternative proof of a theorem of Stieltjes and related results*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **65** (1995), 165–172.
- [19] E. K. IFANTIS, C. G. KOKOLOGIANNAKI AND P. D. SIAFARIKAS, *Newton Sum rules and monotonicity properties of the zeros of scaled co-recursive Associated Polynomials*, Math. Anal. Appl. **3(4)** (1996), 486–497.
- [20] M. E. ISMAIL AND X. LI, *Bounds on the extreme zeros of orthogonal polynomials*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 131–140.
- [21] M. E. ISMAIL, *Monotonicity of zeros of orthogonal polynomials*, q-Series and Partitions (D Stanton, ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989, pp. 177–190.
- [22] M. E. H. ISMAIL, *The variation of zeros of certain orthogonal polynomials*, Adv. Appl. Math, **8**, 1987, pp. 111–119.
- [23] M. E. A. ISMAIL AND R. ZHANG, *On the Hellmann-Feynman theorems and the variation of zeros of certain special functions*, Adv. Appl. Math **9** (1988), 439–446.
- [24] M. E. H. ISMAIL AND M. E. MULDOON, *A discrete approach to monotonicity of zeros of orthogonal polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **323(1)** (1991), 65–78.
- [25] A. LAFORGIA, *Monotonicity properties for the zeros of orthogonal polynomials and Bessel functions*, Edited by C. Brezinski, A. Draux, A. Magnus, P. Maroni and A. Ronveaux, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Springer-Verlag, New York (1985), 267–277.
- [26] S. LEWANOWICZ, *Results on the associated Jacobi and Gegenbauer polynomials*, in: J. S. Dehesa Ed., *Orthogonal polynomials and their Applications*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **49** (1993), 137–143.
- [27] J. T. LEWIS AND M. E. MULDOON, *Monotonicity and convexity properties of zeros of Bessel functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **8** (1977), 171–178.
- [28] A. RONVEAUX, *Fourth order differential equations for numerator polynomials*, J. Phys. A **21** (1988), 749–753.
- [29] A. RONVEAUX, *Fourth order differential equations and orthogonal polynomials of the Laguerre-Hahn class*, in: C. Brezinski, L. Gori and A. Ronveaux, Eds., *Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications*, IMACS Ann. Comput. Appl. Math. **9** (Baltzer, basel, 1991), 379–385.
- [30] J. WIMP, *Explicit formulas for the asociated Jacobi polynomials and some applications*, Canad. J. Math. **39** (1987), 983–1000.
- [31] A. ZARZO, A. RONVEAUX AND E. GODOY, *Fourth order differential equation satisfied by the associated of any order of all classical orthogonal polynomials. A study of their distribution of zeros*, In: I. S. Dehesa Ed., *Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications*, in J. Comput. Appl. Math **49** (1993), 349–359.

(Received March 1, 1998)

P. D. Siafarikas  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Patras  
 Patras  
 Greece