

NORM INEQUALITIES FOR SOME SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS

TAKAHIKO NAKAZI

(communicated by T. Furuta)

Abstract. Let \mathcal{B} be a von Neumann algebra and P a selfadjoint projection. For A and B in \mathcal{B} , set $S_{A,B} = AP + BQ$ where $Q = I - P$. The operator $S_{A,B}$ will be called a singular integral operator. When $\mathcal{B} = L^\infty(T)$ where $L^\infty(T)$ is the usual Lebesgue space on the unit circle and P is an analytic projection, in [6] we established formulae for norms of $S_{A,B}$ and $(S_{A,B})^{-1}$. In this paper, if $\mathcal{A} = \{D \in \mathcal{B} : PDP = DP\}$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property, then we will establish formulae of norms of $S_{A,B}$ and $(S_{A,B})^{-1}$. These formulae are operator theoretic and different from the previous ones. There are several examples such that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property. As result, we give several interesting inequalities.

1. Introduction

Let m denote the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle T . For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L^p(T)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue space on T and $H^p(T)$ denotes the usual Hardy space on T . The canonical example of a singular integral operator is the operator defined by

$$(S_{a,b}F)(\zeta) = \frac{a(\zeta) + b(\zeta)}{2}F(\zeta) + \frac{a(\zeta) - b(\zeta)}{2}(SF)(\zeta)$$

on $L^2(T)$; here, $a(\zeta)$ and $b(\zeta)$ denote functions in $L^\infty(T)$ and

$$(SF)(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_T \frac{F(\eta)}{\eta - \zeta} d\eta \quad (a.e. \zeta \in T),$$

the integral being a Cauchy principal value (cf.[4]). Then $P = (I+S)/2$ is a selfadjoint projection from $L^2(T)$ to $H^2(T)$, $Q = (I-S)/2$ is a selfadjoint projection from $L^2(T)$ to $e^{-i\theta}\overline{H^2(T)}$, and $P + Q = I$ where I denotes the identity operator. Hence

$$S_{a,b} = aP + bQ.$$

The following inequalities are well known and not difficult to establish.

$$\max\{\|a\|_\infty, \|b\|_\infty\} \leq \|S_{a,b}\| \leq \|\sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2}\|_\infty.$$

Mathematics subject classification (1991): 45E10, 47B 35, 46J15, 46K50.

Key words and phrases: Singular integral operator, norm, analytic operator algebra, lifting theorem.

This research was partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, Ministry of Education.

and

$$\inf_{\|F\|_2=1} \|S_{a,b}F\|_2^2 \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_T (\min\{|a|^2, |b|^2\}).$$

In the previous paper, the author and T. Yamamoto [6] showed the following theorems.

THEOREM A. *Let $a, b \in L^\infty(T)$. Then*

$$\|S_{a,b}\|^2 = \inf_{k \in H^\infty(T)} \left\| \frac{|a|^2 + |b|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\bar{a}b + k|^2 + \left(\frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2}\right)^2} \right\|_\infty.$$

THEOREM B. *Let $a, b \in L^\infty(T)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{F \in L^2(T), \|F\|_2=1} \|S_{a,b}F\|_2^2 \\ &= \sup_{k \in H^\infty(T)} \left(\operatorname{ess\,inf}_T \left(\frac{|a|^2 + |b|^2}{2} - \sqrt{|\bar{a}b + k|^2 + \left(\frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2}\right)^2} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we give formulae which are similar to those of Theorems A and B. In fact, we prove them for more general situations.

Let K be a complex Hilbert space and H the closed subspace of K . Let P be a selfadjoint projection from K to H and $Q = I - P$ where I denotes the identity operator on K . \mathcal{B} denotes a von Neumann algebra on K which contains I and \mathcal{A} denotes a (perhaps nonselfadjoint) weakly closed subalgebra of \mathcal{B} which has H as an invariant subspace. For A and B in \mathcal{B} , set

$$S_{A,B} = AP + BQ.$$

The operator $S_{A,B}$ is called a singular integral operator. In this paper, we give formulae of norms of $S_{A,B}$ and $(S_{A,B})^{-1}$. The formulae are little bit complicated. However, as result we give the following simple inequalities.

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\| = \|G\| = 1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle| \right\} \\ & \leq \|S_{A,B}\|^2 \\ & \leq \inf_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\| = \|G\| = 1}} \{ \max(\|AF\|^2, \|BG\|^2) + |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle| \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\| = \|G\| = 1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} - |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle| \right\} \\ & \geq \|S_{A,B}^{-1}\|^2 \\ & \geq \sup_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\| = \|G\| = 1}} \{ \min(\|AF\|^2, \|BG\|^2) - |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle| \}. \end{aligned}$$

In §2, we give a definition of a lifting property of $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and several examples which have such a property. In §3, we study the norm of $S_{A,B}$ when $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property. In §4, we study the norm of $S_{A,B}^{-1}$ when $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property. In §5, we give several remarks.

2. Lifting theorem

In this section, we recall a special case of a lifting theorem which was proved in [5]. The classical case was proved by Cotlar and Sadosky [1].

Suppose $\mathcal{T} = (T_{ij})$ is a 2×2 operator matrix on $K \oplus K$ where $T_{ij} \in \mathcal{B}$ ($i, j = 1, 2$), $T_{11} \geq 0$, $T_{22} \geq 0$ and $T_{21}^* = T_{12}$. $[\mathcal{B}]$ denotes the set of such operator matrices \mathcal{T} . $[\mathcal{A}]_0$ denotes the subset of $[\mathcal{B}]$ such that $T_{12} \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T_{11} = T_{22} = 0$. Let us denote

$$\mathcal{T}[f_1, f_2] = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \langle T_{ij}f_i, f_j \rangle.$$

If \mathcal{T} satisfies $\mathcal{T}[f_1, f_2] \geq 0$ for all f_1 in H (resp. K) and f_2 in H^\perp (resp. K), then \mathcal{T} is said to be positive on $H \oplus H^\perp$ (resp. $K \oplus K$) where H^\perp is the orthogonal complement of H in K . When \mathcal{T} in $[\mathcal{B}]$ and \mathcal{T} is positive on $H \oplus H^\perp$, if we can find $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ in $\mathcal{T} + [\mathcal{A}]_0$ which is positive on $K \oplus K$ then we say that \mathcal{T} has a lifting $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$. If any positive \mathcal{T} in $[\mathcal{B}]$ on $H \oplus H^\perp$ has a lifting $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$, we say that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property where P is a selfadjoint projection of K onto H .

EXAMPLE. We give several examples of $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ which have a lifting property (cf.[5]).

(1) Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{L}(K)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on K , P a selfadjoint projection from K to H and

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(K) : PAP = AP\}.$$

(2) Let U be a bilateral shift operator on K with $UP = PUP$, where P is a selfadjoint projection from K to H . Suppose $\bigcap_{n=0}^\infty U^n(H) = \{0\}$ and $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty U^{*n}(H)$ is dense in K . Let $\mathcal{B} = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(K) ; UT = TU\}$ and $\mathcal{A} = \{A \in \mathcal{B} ; PAP = AP\}$.

(3) Let \mathcal{B}_1 be a factor with faithful semifinite normal trace τ and let \mathcal{E} be a complete nest of selfadjoint projections in \mathcal{B}_1 . Let $L^p = L^p(\mathcal{B}, \tau)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), be the usual noncommutative Lebesgue spaces and define the noncommutative Hardy space $H^p = H^p(\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{E}, \tau)$ to be the closed subspace of L^p of elements A for which $(1 - P_1)AP_1 = 0$ for all $P_1 \in \mathcal{E}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{B} = L^\infty, \mathcal{A} = H^\infty, K = L^2$ and $H = H^2$.

(4) Let \mathcal{A}_1 be a weak- $*$ Dirichlet algebra of $L^\infty(\mu)$ where μ is a probability measure. The abstract Hardy space $H^p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, associated with \mathcal{A}_1 are defined as follows. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $H^p(\mu)$ is the $L^p(\mu)$ -closure of \mathcal{A}_1 , while $H^\infty(\mu)$ is defined to be the weak- $*$ closure of \mathcal{A}_1 in $L^\infty(\mu)$. Suppose $\mathcal{B} = L^\infty(\mu), \mathcal{A} = H^\infty(\mu), K = L^2(\mu)$ and $H = H^2(\mu)$.

In the latter section, when $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property we study the norms of the singular integral operator and its inverse.

3. Inequality for norm of $S_{A,B}$

In this section, we assume that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property. Assuming that $\|AP\| = \|A\|$ and $\|BQ\| = \|B\|$, we give a formula and inequalities for the norm of $S_{A,B}$. In general,

$$S_{A,B}^* S_{A,B} = (PA^* + QB^*)(AP + BQ) = PA^*AP + QB^*BQ + QB^*AP + PA^*BQ.$$

If B^*A is in \mathcal{A} , then $\|S_{A,B}\| = \max(\|A\|, \|B\|)$. Not assuming that B^*A is in \mathcal{A} , Proposition 1 gives a formula similar to Theorem A.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let A and B be in \mathcal{B} . If $\max(\|A\|, \|B\|) \leq \|S_{A,B}\|$, then*

$$\|S_{A,B}\|^2 = \inf_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\| = \|G\| = 1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2} \right)^2} \right\}.$$

There exists at least a D in \mathcal{A} which gives the infimum.

Proof. Put $\gamma = \|S_{A,B}\|$, then

$$\|Af + Bg\|^2 \leq \gamma^2 \|f + g\|^2$$

where $f \in H$ and $g \in H^\perp$. Hence

$$\langle (\gamma^2 - A^*A)f, f \rangle + \langle (\gamma^2 - B^*B)g, g \rangle - 2\text{Re} \langle (B^*A - \gamma^2)f, g \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $f \in H$ and $g \in H^\perp$. Suppose $\mathcal{T} = [T_{ij}]$, where $T_{11} = \gamma^2 - A^*A$, $T_{22} = \gamma^2 - B^*B$ and $T_{12} = T_{21}^* = B^*A - \gamma^2$. By hypothesis on A and B , $T_{11} \geq 0$ and $T_{22} \geq 0$. Hence \mathcal{T} is positive on $H \oplus H^\perp$. Since $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property, there exists D in \mathcal{A} such that $\tilde{\mathcal{T}} = [\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{ij}]$ is positive on $K \oplus K$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{11} = T_{11}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{22} = T_{22}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{12} = \tilde{\mathcal{T}}_{21}^* = T_{12} + D$. Hence

$$\langle (\gamma^2 - A^*A)F, F \rangle \langle (\gamma^2 - B^*B)G, G \rangle \geq |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2$$

for any $F \in K$ and $G \in K$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^4 \|F\|^2 \|G\|^2 - \gamma^2 (\|AF\|^2 \|G\|^2 + \|F\|^2 \|BG\|^2) \\ + \|AF\|^2 \|BG\|^2 - |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for any $F \in K$ and $G \in K$. If $\|F\| = \|G\| = 1$, then

$$\gamma^4 - \gamma^2 (\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2) + \|AF\|^2 \|BG\|^2 - |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\gamma^2 \leq \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} - \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2}$$

or

$$\gamma^2 \geq \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2}.$$

The first inequality above is not valid because $\gamma^2 \geq \max\{\|AF\|^2, \|BG\|^2\}$ for any $F, G \in K$ with $\|F\| = \|G\| = 1$. Thus

$$\gamma^2 \geq \sup_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\| = \|G\| = 1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2} \right\}.$$

Conversely if

$$\gamma_0^2 = \inf_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\| = \|G\| = 1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2} \right\},$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists D in \mathcal{A} such that

$$(\gamma_0 + \varepsilon)^4 - (\gamma_0 + \varepsilon)^2(\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2) + \|AF\|^2\|BG\|^2 - |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 \geq 0$$

for any $F, G \in K$ with $\|F\| = \|G\| = 1$. Hence

$$(\gamma_0 + \varepsilon)^4 - (\gamma_0 + \varepsilon)^2(\|Af\|^2 + \|Bg\|^2) + \|Af\|^2\|Bg\|^2 - |\langle B^*Af, g \rangle|^2 \geq 0$$

for any $f \in H$ and $g \in H^\perp$ with $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$ because $(1 - P)DP = 0$. This implies $\gamma_0 + \varepsilon \geq \|S_{A,B}\|$ and so $\gamma_0 \geq \|S_{A,B}\|$ because ε is arbitrary.

COROLLARY 1. *Let A and B be in \mathcal{B} . If $A^*A = |a|^2I$ and $B^*B = |b|^2I$ where a and b are complex numbers, then*

$$\|S_{A,B}\|^2 = \frac{|a|^2 + |b|^2}{2} + \sqrt{\|B^*A + \mathcal{A}\|^2 + \left(\frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2}\right)^2}.$$

Proof. Since $(AP)^*(AP) = PA^*AP = |a|^2P$, $\|AP\| = \|A\|$, Similary $\|BQ\| = \|B\|$.

COROLLARY 2. Let A be in \mathcal{B} and $B = I$. Then

$$\|S_{A,I}\|^2 = \inf_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{\substack{F \in K \\ \|F\|=1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + 1}{2} + \sqrt{\|(A+D)F\|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - 1}{2}\right)^2} \right\}$$

Proof. It is a corollary of the proof of Proposition 1. In fact, note that

$$(\gamma^2 - 1) \langle (\gamma^2 - A^*A)F, F \rangle \geq |\langle (A+D)F, G \rangle|^2$$

for any $G \in K$ with $\|G\| = 1$ if and only if

$$(\gamma^2 - 1) \langle (\gamma^2 - A^*A)F, F \rangle \geq \|(A+D)F\|^2.$$

THEOREM 2. Let A and B be in \mathcal{B} . If $\max(\|A\|, \|B\|) \leq \|S_{A,B}\|$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\|=\|G\|=1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle| \right\} \\ & \leq \|S_{A,B}\|^2 \\ & \leq \inf_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \sup_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\|=\|G\|=1}} \left\{ \max(\|AF\|^2, \|BG\|^2) + |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle| \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 1. In fact, it can be shown by the following elementary inequality :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2} \\ & \leq \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2} \\ & \leq \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

4. Inequality for norm of $(S_{A,B})^{-1}$

In this section, we assume that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property. Under some conditions on A and B , we give a formula and inequalities of $\inf\{\|S_{A,B}F\| ; F \in K, \|F\| = 1\}$. As in a formula of $\|S_{A,B}\|$, even if $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ does not have a lifting property, in general it is easy to see that

$$\inf\{\|S_{A,B}F\| ; F \in K, \|F\| = 1\} = \min(\inf\|AF\|, \inf\|BF\|).$$

when A and B^* are in \mathcal{A} . Proposition 3 implies that if B^*A is in \mathcal{A} , then the same thing is true when $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property.

PROPOSITION 3. Let A and B be in \mathcal{B} . If $\inf\{\|Af\|; f \in H, \|f\| = 1\} = \inf\{\|AF\|; F \in K, \|F\| = 1\}$ and $\inf\{\|Bg\|; g \in H^\perp, \|g\| = 1\} = \inf\{\|BG\|; G \in K, \|G\| = 1\}$, then

$$\inf_{F \in K, \|F\|=1} \|S_{A,B}F\|^2 = \sup_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\|=\|G\|=1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} - \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2} \right\}.$$

There exists at least D in \mathcal{A} which gives the supremum.

Proof. Put $\varepsilon = \inf\{\|S_{A,B}F\|; F \in K, \|F\| = 1\}$, then

$$\|Af + Bg\|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 \|f + g\|^2$$

where $f \in H$ and $g \in H^\perp$. Hence

$$\langle (A^*A - \varepsilon^2)f, f \rangle + \langle (B^*B - \varepsilon^2)g, g \rangle - 2\text{Re}\langle (B^*A - \varepsilon^2)f, g \rangle \geq 0$$

for all $f \in H$ and $g \in H^\perp$. Suppose $\mathcal{T} = [T_{ij}]$ where $T_{11} = A^*A - \varepsilon^2$, $T_{22} = B^*B - \varepsilon^2$ and $T_{12} = T_{21}^* = B^*A - \varepsilon^2$. By hypothesis on A and B , $T_{11} \geq 0$ and $T_{22} \geq 0$. Hence T is positive on $H \oplus H^\perp$. As in the proof of Proposition 1, since $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property, there exists D in \mathcal{A} such that

$$\langle (A^*A - \varepsilon^2)F, F \rangle \langle (B^*B - \varepsilon^2)G, G \rangle \geq |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2$$

for any $F \in K$ and $G \in K$, and so

$$\varepsilon^4 - \varepsilon^2(\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2) + \|AF\|^2\|BG\|^2 - |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 \geq 0$$

for any $F \in K$ and $G \in K$ with $\|F\| = \|G\| = 1$. Therefore

$$\varepsilon^2 \leq \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} - \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2}$$

or

$$\varepsilon^2 \geq \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2}$$

By hypotheses on A and B , $A^*A \geq \varepsilon^2$ and $B^*B \geq \varepsilon^2$, and so

$$\varepsilon^2 \leq (\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2)/2$$

for any $F, G \in K$ with $\|F\| = \|G\| = 1$. This implies that the second inequality is not valid. Thus

$$\varepsilon^2 \leq \inf_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\|=\|G\|=1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} - \sqrt{|\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - \|BG\|^2}{2}\right)^2} \right\}.$$

The converse can be shown as in the proof of Proposition 1.

COROLLARY 3. Let A and B be in \mathcal{B} . If A and B satisfy the condition in Proposition 3, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{F \in K, \|F\|=1} \|S_{A,B}F\|^2 \\ & \geq \sup_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\|=\|G\|=1}} \{ \min(\|AF\|^2, \|BG\|^2) - |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle| \} \\ & \geq \min \left(\inf_{\substack{F \in K \\ \|F\|=1}} \|AF\|^2, \inf_{G \in K} \|BG\|^2 \right) - \|B^*A + \mathcal{A}\|. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4. Let A and B be in \mathcal{B} . If $A^*A = |a|^2I$ and $B^*B = |b|^2I$ where a and b are complex numbers, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf_{F \in K, \|F\|=1} \|S_{A,B}F\|^2 \\ & = \frac{|a|^2 + |b|^2}{2} - \sqrt{\|B^*A + \mathcal{A}\|^2 + \left(\frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2} \right)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 5. Let A be in \mathcal{B} and $B = I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \|S_{A,I}F\|^2 \\ & = \sup_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\substack{F \in K \\ \|F\|=1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + 1}{2} - \sqrt{\|(A + D)F\|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - 1}{2} \right)^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is a corollary of the proof of Proposition 3 similarly to the proof of Corollary 2.

THEOREM 4. Let A and B be in \mathcal{B} . If $\inf\{\|Af\|; f \in H, \|f\| = 1\} = \inf\{\|AF\|; F \in K, \|F\| = 1\}$ and $\inf\{\|Bg\|; g \in H^\perp, \|g\| = 1\} = \inf\{\|BG\|; G \in K, \|G\| = 1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\|=\|G\|=1}} \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + \|BG\|^2}{2} - |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle| \right\} \\ & \geq \inf_{F \in K, \|F\|=1} \|S_{A,B}F\|^2 \\ & \geq \sup_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \inf_{\substack{F, G \in K \\ \|F\|=\|G\|=1}} \{ \min(\|AF\|^2, \|BG\|^2) - |\langle (B^*A + D)F, G \rangle| \}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is an immediate result of Proposition 3 and the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.

5. Applications and remarks

(I) Suppose $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property. For A in \mathcal{B} , the Toeplitz operator T_A is defined by $T_A f = P(Af)$ for f in H . Then we can give an operator version of Theorem 2 in [6]. That is, if $\inf\{\|Af\| ; f \in H, \|f\| = 1\} = \inf\{\|AF\| ; F \in K, \|K\| = 1\}$, then

$$\inf_{f \in H, \|f\|=1} \|T_A f\|^2 = \sup_{D \in \mathcal{A}} \left\{ \inf_{F \in K, \|F\|=1} (\|AF\|^2 - \|(A + D)F\|^2) \right\}.$$

This has an application. That is, T_A is left invertible if and only if there exist D in \mathcal{A} and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|AF\|^2 \geq \varepsilon + \|(A + D)F\|^2$$

for any F in K with $\|F\| = 1$. This is equivalent to

$$A^*A \geq \varepsilon + (A + D)^*(A + D).$$

It may be interesting that we did not use the factorization theorem in the proof of the result above. When A is a unitary operator, T_A is left invertible if and only if there exists a D in \mathcal{A} such that $\|A + D\| < 1$. This implies Theorem 2 in [7] where $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{L}(K)$, that is, Example (1) in Section 2, and Theorem 7.30 in [3] where $\mathcal{B} = L^\infty(T)$, that is, the classical case.

(II) Suppose $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property, We give an application of Corollary 5. Let A be a nonzero operator in \mathcal{B} with $\inf\{\|AF\| ; F \in K, \|F\| = 1\} = \inf\{\|Af\| ; f \in H, \|f\| = 1\}$. $S_{A,I}$ is left invertible if and only if there exist D in \mathcal{A} and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|AF\|^2 \geq \varepsilon + \|(A + D)F\|^2$$

for any F in K with $\|F\| = 1$.

We give a proof. If $S_{A,I}$ is left invertible, by Corollary 5 there exist $D \in \mathcal{A}$ and $1 > \delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\|AF\|^2 + 1}{2} - \sqrt{\|(A + D)F\|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - 1}{2}\right)^2} \geq \delta$$

for any $F \in K$ with $\|F\| = 1$. This implies that

$$(1 - \delta)\|AF\|^2 \geq (1 - \delta)\delta + \|(A + D)F\|^2$$

for any $F \in K$ with $\|F\| = 1$. Setting $\varepsilon = (1 - \delta)\delta$ the necessity follows. Conversely if there exist D in \mathcal{A} and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|AF\|^2 \geq \varepsilon + \|(A + D)F\|^2$$

for any F in K with $\|F\| = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|AF\|^2 + 1}{2} - \sqrt{\|(A + D)F\|^2 + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - 1}{2}\right)^2} \\ \geq \frac{\|AF\|^2 + 1}{2} - \sqrt{\|AF\|^2 - \varepsilon + \left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 - 1}{2}\right)^2} \\ = \frac{\|AF\|^2 + 1}{2} - \sqrt{\left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 + 1}{2}\right)^2 - \varepsilon^2} \\ = \varepsilon^2 / \left\{ \frac{\|AF\|^2 + 1}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\|AF\|^2 + 1}{2}\right)^2 - \varepsilon^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

for any $F \in K$ with $\|F\| = 1$. Now Corollary 5 implies that $\inf_{F \in K, \|F\|=1} \|S_{A,I}F\| > 0$.

In an abstract situation (see Example (1) in Section 2), Shinbrot [7] studied singular integral operators.

(III) We don't assume that $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}, P)$ has a lifting property. We can prove the following:

(1) Let A and B be in \mathcal{B} . Then

$$\|S_{A,B}\|^2 = \sup_{\substack{f \in H, g \in H^\perp \\ \|f\| = \|g\| = 1}} \left\{ \frac{\|Af\|^2 + \|Bg\|^2}{2} + \sqrt{|\langle B^*Af, g \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|Af\|^2 - \|Bg\|^2}{2}\right)^2} \right\}.$$

Hence if $A^*A = |a|^2I$ and $B^*B = |b|^2I$ then

$$\|S_{A,B}\|^2 = \frac{|a|^2 + |b|^2}{2} + \sqrt{\|QB^*AP\|^2 + \left(\frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2}\right)^2}.$$

(2) Let A and B be in \mathcal{B} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{F \in K, \|F\|=1} \|S_{A,B}F\|^2 \\ = \inf_{\substack{f \in H, g \in H^\perp \\ \|f\| = \|g\| = 1}} \left\{ \frac{\|Af\|^2 + \|Bg\|^2}{2} - \sqrt{|\langle B^*Af, g \rangle|^2 + \left(\frac{\|Af\|^2 - \|Bg\|^2}{2}\right)^2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $A^*A = |a|^2I$ and $B^*B = |b|^2I$ then

$$\inf_{F \in K, \|F\|=1} \|S_{A,B}F\|^2 = \frac{|a|^2 + |b|^2}{2} - \sqrt{\|QB^*A\|^2 + \left(\frac{|a|^2 - |b|^2}{2}\right)^2}$$

(IV) Let \mathcal{S} be a set of unitary operators on K and suppose the set $\{Vf; V \in \mathcal{S}, f \in H\}$ is dense in K . If A is in the commutant of \mathcal{S} , then

$$\|A\| = \|AP\|$$

and

$$\inf_{F \in K} \inf_{\|F\|=1} \|AF\| = \inf_{F \in H} \inf_{\|f\|=1} \|Af\|.$$

This can be proved as in the proof of (viii) of Theorem 4 in [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. COTLAR AND C. SADOSKY, *On the Helson-Szegö theorem and a related class of modified Toeplitz kernels*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol.35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, 383–407.
- [2] A. DEVINATZ AND M. SHINBROT, *General Wiener-Hopf operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **145** (1969), 467–494.
- [3] R. G. DOUGLAS, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [4] I. GOHBERG AND N. KRUPNIK, *One-Dimensional Linear Singular Integral Equations*, Vols. I, II, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992.
- [5] T. NAKAZI, *A lifting theorem and analytic operator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **104** (1988), 1081–1085.
- [6] T. NAKAZI AND T. YAMAMOTO, *Norms of some singular integral operators*, J. Operator Th. **40** (1998), 187–207.
- [7] M. SHINBROT, *On singular integral operators*, J. Math. Mechanics, **13** (1964), 587–601.

(Received December 13, 1999)

Takahiko Nakazi
 Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science
 Hokkaido University
 Sapporo 060-0810
 Japan