

## ON KY FAN'S INEQUALITY

IOAN GAVREA AND TIBERIU TRIF

(communicated by J. Pečarić)

*Abstract.* In this paper we prove several Ky Fan type inequalities involving certain Stolarsky-Tobey means.

### 1. Introduction and notation

Let  $n \geq 2$  be a given integer, let

$$A_{n-1} = \{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \mid \lambda_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n-1, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} \leq 1 \}$$

be the Euclidean simplex, and let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $A_{n-1}$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , the  $i$ th weight  $w_i$  associated to  $\mu$  is defined by

$$w_i = \int_{A_{n-1}} \lambda_i d\mu(\lambda) \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

$$w_n = \int_{A_{n-1}} (1 - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1}) d\mu(\lambda),$$

where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in A_{n-1}$ . Obviously,  $w_i > 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and  $w_1 + \dots + w_n = 1$ . Moreover, if  $\mu = (n-1)!$  (i. e.  $d\mu(\lambda) = (n-1)! d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_{n-1}$ ), then  $w_i = 1/n$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Given  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in ]0, \infty[^n$ , the weighted harmonic, geometric, and arithmetic mean, respectively, of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are defined by

$$H(X; w) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{w_i}{x_i}}, \quad G(X; w) = \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{w_i}, \quad A(X; w) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i.$$

For  $\mu = (n-1)!$  the usual unweighted harmonic, geometric, and arithmetic mean, respectively, of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are obtained:

$$H(X) = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{x_i}}, \quad G(X) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^{1/n}, \quad A(X) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n}.$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2000): 26D15.

*Key words and phrases:* Ky Fan's inequality, Stolarsky-Tobey means.

Next, recall that the logarithmic mean of the positive real numbers  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is defined by

$$L(x_1, x_2) = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\log x_1 - \log x_2} \quad \text{if } x_1 \neq x_2,$$

$$L(x_1, x_1) = x_1,$$

while the identric mean of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  is defined by

$$I(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{e} \left( \frac{x_2^{x_2}}{x_1^{x_1}} \right)^{1/(x_2 - x_1)} \quad \text{if } x_1 \neq x_2,$$

$$I(x_1, x_1) = x_1.$$

These two means were generalized for  $n$  variables, too. Thus, starting from the integral representation

$$L(x_1, x_2) = \left( \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{tx_1 + (1-t)x_2} \right)^{-1},$$

A. O. Pittenger [8] introduced the weighted logarithmic mean of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . It is defined by

$$L(X; \mu) = \left( \int_{A_{n-1}} \frac{1}{\lambda \cdot X} d\mu(\lambda) \right)^{-1},$$

where

$$\lambda \cdot X = \lambda_1 x_1 + \dots + \lambda_{n-1} x_{n-1} + (1 - \lambda_1 - \dots - \lambda_{n-1}) x_n$$

for all  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}) \in A_{n-1}$ . On the other hand, starting from the integral representation

$$I(x_1, x_2) = \exp \left( \int_0^1 \log(tx_1 + (1-t)x_2) dt \right),$$

in [10] it was pointed out that

$$I(X; \mu) = \exp \left( \int_{A_{n-1}} \log(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda) \right)$$

can be considered as the weighted identric mean of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . For  $\mu = (n-1)!$  we get the unweighted and symmetric logarithmic and identric mean, respectively, of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ :

$$L(X) = \left( (n-1)! \int_{A_{n-1}} \frac{1}{\lambda \cdot X} d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_{n-1} \right)^{-1},$$

$$I(X) = \exp \left( (n-1)! \int_{A_{n-1}} \log(\lambda \cdot X) d\lambda_1 \cdots d\lambda_{n-1} \right).$$

For properties and explicit forms of these means, the reader is referred to [7] and [8].

However, it should be noted that all the above weighted means are special cases of the so-called Stolarsky-Tobey means, and they verify the following chain of inequalities (see [7]):

$$H(X; w) \leq G(X; w) \leq L(X; \mu) \leq I(X; \mu) \leq A(X; w). \quad (1.1)$$

A remarkable counterpart of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality  $G(X) \leq A(X)$  was obtained by Ky Fan: if  $0 < x_i \leq 1/2$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , then

$$\frac{G(X)}{G(\mathbf{1} - X)} \leq \frac{A(X)}{A(\mathbf{1} - X)}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\mathbf{1} - X := (1 - x_1, \dots, 1 - x_n)$ . This inequality evoked the interest of many mathematicians, and numerous proofs, generalizations, or sharpenings were published (see, for instance, [1]–[6], [9]). The following weighted refinement of (1.2) has been recently obtained in [10]:

$$\frac{G(X; w)}{G(\mathbf{1} - X; w)} \leq \frac{I(X; \mu)}{I(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)} \leq \frac{A(X; w)}{A(\mathbf{1} - X; w)}. \quad (1.3)$$

On the other hand, W.-L. Wang and P.-F. Wang [13] established the following counterpart of (1.2):

$$\frac{H(X)}{H(\mathbf{1} - X)} \leq \frac{G(X)}{G(\mathbf{1} - X)}. \quad (1.4)$$

Another proof of (1.4) can be found in [4].

## 2. Main results

It is the main purpose of this paper to prove a weighted version of (1.4) as well as a counterpart of the right inequality in (1.3). They are contained in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in ]0, 1/2]^n$  then*

$$\frac{H(X; w)}{H(\mathbf{1} - X; w)} \leq \frac{G(X; w)}{G(\mathbf{1} - X; w)} \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\frac{L(X; \mu)}{L(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)} \leq \frac{I(X; \mu)}{I(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)}. \quad (2.2)$$

The inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) are strict unless  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ .

In the proof we shall use

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $J \subseteq \mathbf{R}$  be a nonempty interval, let  $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in J^n$ , and let  $f \in C^1(J)$  be a strictly monotone convex function. Then the following inequalities hold:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i) \leq f \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i f'(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i f'(x_i)} \right), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\int_{A_{n-1}} f(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda) \leq f \left( \frac{\int_{A_{n-1}} (\lambda \cdot X) f'(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda)}{\int_{A_{n-1}} f'(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda)} \right). \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, if  $f$  is strictly convex, then the inequalities (2.3) and (2.4) are strict unless  $x_1 = \dots = x_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{x}$  be a point in  $J$  which will be chosen later. The convexity of  $f$  ensures that

$$f(x_i) + f'(x_i)(\bar{x} - x_i) \leq f(\bar{x}) \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Multiplying both sides by  $w_i$  and then summing the obtained inequalities yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i) + \bar{x} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f'(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i f'(x_i) \leq f(\bar{x}).$$

Set

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i f'(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i f'(x_i)}.$$

This implies (2.3) because  $\bar{x} \in J$  in virtue of the strictly monotonicity of  $f$ .

Let  $\tilde{x}$  be another point in  $J$  which will be chosen later, too. The convexity of  $f$  ensures that

$$f(\lambda \cdot X) + f'(\lambda \cdot X)(\tilde{x} - \lambda \cdot X) \leq f(\tilde{x}) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in A_{n-1}.$$

Integrating over  $A_{n-1}$  with respect to  $\mu$  yields

$$\int_{A_{n-1}} f(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda) + \tilde{x} \int_{A_{n-1}} f'(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda) - \int_{A_{n-1}} (\lambda \cdot X) f'(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda) \leq f(\tilde{x}).$$

Set

$$\tilde{x} = \frac{\int_{A_{n-1}} (\lambda \cdot X) f'(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda)}{\int_{A_{n-1}} f'(\lambda \cdot X) d\mu(\lambda)}.$$

This implies (2.4) because  $\tilde{x} \in J$  in virtue of the strictly monotonicity of  $f$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) follow at once from (2.3) and (2.4), respectively, if we choose  $J = ]0, 1/2]$  and  $f : J \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  to be the function  $f(x) = \log(1-x) - \log x$ . Indeed, it is immediately seen that  $f$  is strictly decreasing and strictly convex.  $\square$

Having in mind the chain (1.1), it is naturally to ask whether the inequalities (1.3), (2.1), and (2.2) can be completed by

$$\frac{G(X; w)}{G(\mathbf{1} - X; w)} \leq \frac{L(X; \mu)}{L(\mathbf{1} - X; \mu)}? \quad (2.5)$$

Unfortunately, the inequality (2.5) cannot be true for an arbitrary measure  $\mu$ , even in the special case  $n = 2$ . To prove this, let  $x_1 \neq x_2$  be positive real numbers lying

in  $]0, 1/2[$ . For each  $\varepsilon \in ]0, 1/2[$ , let  $F_\varepsilon : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the absolutely continuous function defined by

$$F_\varepsilon(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{t}{2\varepsilon} & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } \varepsilon < t < 1 - \varepsilon \\ \frac{t - 1 + 2\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon} & \text{if } 1 - \varepsilon \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

and let  $\mu_\varepsilon$  be the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure on  $[0, 1]$  generated by  $F_\varepsilon$  (i. e.  $d\mu_\varepsilon(t) = F'_\varepsilon(t) dt$ ). Since

$$\int_0^1 d\mu_\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} dt + \int_{1-\varepsilon}^1 \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} dt = 1,$$

it follows that  $\mu_\varepsilon$  is a probability measure on  $[0, 1]$ . The weights of  $\mu_\varepsilon$  are

$$w_1 = \int_0^1 t d\mu_\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^1 t F'_\varepsilon(t) dt = \frac{1}{2}$$

and  $w_2 = 1 - w_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{L(x_1, x_2; \mu_\varepsilon)} &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{tx_1 + (1-t)x_2} d\mu_\varepsilon(t) = \int_0^1 \frac{F'_\varepsilon(t)}{tx_1 + (1-t)x_2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\varepsilon(x_1 - x_2)} \log \frac{x_1(\varepsilon x_1 + (1-\varepsilon)x_2)}{x_2(\varepsilon x_2 + (1-\varepsilon)x_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and, analogously,

$$\frac{1}{L(1-x_1, 1-x_2; \mu_\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon(x_2 - x_1)} \log \frac{(1-x_1)(\varepsilon(1-x_1) + (1-\varepsilon)(1-x_2))}{(1-x_2)(\varepsilon(1-x_2) + (1-\varepsilon)(1-x_1))}.$$

Now, a simple computation shows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{L(x_1, x_2; \mu_\varepsilon)}{L(1-x_1, 1-x_2; \mu_\varepsilon)} = \frac{H(x_1, x_2)}{H(1-x_1, 1-x_2)} < \frac{G(x_1, x_2)}{G(1-x_1, 1-x_2)}.$$

So, for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , we have

$$\frac{G(x_1, x_2)}{G(1-x_1, 1-x_2)} > \frac{L(x_1, x_2; \mu_\varepsilon)}{L(1-x_1, 1-x_2; \mu_\varepsilon)}.$$

Another interesting result concerning the inequality (2.5) is related to the so-called Dirichlet measures. For each  $\alpha > 0$ , let  $\mu_\alpha$  be the Dirichlet measure on  $[0, 1]$  defined by

$$d\mu_\alpha(t) = \frac{t^{\alpha-1}(1-t)^{\alpha-1}}{B(\alpha, \alpha)} dt.$$

Clearly,  $\mu_\alpha$  is a probability measure on  $[0, 1]$ . Its weights are

$$w_1 = \int_0^1 t d\mu_\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{B(\alpha, \alpha)} \int_0^1 t^\alpha (1-t)^{\alpha-1} dt = \frac{B(\alpha+1, \alpha)}{B(\alpha, \alpha)} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and  $w_2 = 1 - w_1 = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Further, let  $g_\alpha : ]0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the function defined by

$$g_\alpha(x) := \sqrt{x} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{tx + 1 - t} d\mu_\alpha(t) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{B(\alpha, \alpha)} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{\alpha-1} (1-t)^{\alpha-1}}{tx + 1 - t} dt.$$

LEMMA 3. *The following assertions are true:*

- 1° *If  $\alpha \in ]0, 1/2[$ , then  $g_\alpha$  is strictly decreasing.*
- 2°  *$g_{1/2}(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in ]0, 1]$ .*
- 3° *If  $\alpha \in ]1/2, \infty[$ , then  $g_\alpha$  is strictly increasing.*

*Proof.* For each  $x \in ]0, 1]$  we have

$$g_\alpha(x) = \sqrt{x} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-t(1-x)} d\mu_\alpha(t) = \sqrt{x} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \mu_k (1-x)^k, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\mu_k = \int_0^1 t^k d\mu_\alpha(t) = \frac{B(k+\alpha, \alpha)}{B(\alpha, \alpha)}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.7), it follows that

$$\mu_{k+1} = \frac{k+\alpha}{k+2\alpha} \mu_k \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0. \tag{2.8}$$

By virtue of (2.6) and (2.8), it is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} g'_\alpha(x) &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty [(2k+1)\mu_k - 2(k+1)\mu_{k+1}] (1-x)^k \\ &= \frac{2\alpha-1}{2\sqrt{x}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{k\mu_k}{k+2\alpha} (1-x)^k \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in ]0, 1]$ . This equality ensures the validity of the assertions 1° and 3°.

On the other hand, for each  $x \in ]0, 1]$  we have

$$g_{1/2}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\pi} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t(1-t)}} \cdot \frac{1}{tx + 1 - t} dt.$$

Substituting  $t = \sin^2 \theta$  yields

$$g_{1/2}(x) = \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{d\theta}{x \sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta} = \frac{2\sqrt{x}}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \arctan(\sqrt{x} \tan \theta) \Big|_0^{\pi/2} = 1. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4. Let  $x_1, x_2 \in ]0, 1/2]$ , and let  $L_\alpha(x_1, x_2) := L(x_1, x_2; \mu_\alpha)$ . Then the following assertions are true:

$$1^\circ \text{ If } \alpha \in ]0, 1/2[, \text{ then } \frac{L_\alpha(x_1, x_2)}{L_\alpha(1-x_1, 1-x_2)} \leq \frac{G(x_1, x_2)}{G(1-x_1, 1-x_2)}.$$

$$2^\circ \frac{L_{1/2}(x_1, x_2)}{L_{1/2}(1-x_1, 1-x_2)} = \frac{G(x_1, x_2)}{G(1-x_1, 1-x_2)}.$$

$$3^\circ \text{ If } \alpha \in ]1/2, \infty[, \text{ then } \frac{L_\alpha(x_1, x_2)}{L_\alpha(1-x_1, 1-x_2)} \geq \frac{G(x_1, x_2)}{G(1-x_1, 1-x_2)}.$$

Moreover, the inequalities in the assertions  $1^\circ$  and  $3^\circ$  are strict unless  $x_1 = x_2$ .

*Proof.*  $1^\circ$  Suppose that  $0 < x_1 < x_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $\frac{x_1}{x_2} < \frac{1-x_2}{1-x_1}$ . According to the assertion  $1^\circ$  in Lemma 3, we have  $g_\alpha\left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}\right) > g_\alpha\left(\frac{1-x_2}{1-x_1}\right)$ . But this inequality is equivalent to  $\frac{L_\alpha(x_1, x_2)}{L_\alpha(1-x_1, 1-x_2)} < \frac{G(x_1, x_2)}{G(1-x_1, 1-x_2)}$ .

The assertions  $2^\circ$  and  $3^\circ$  follow in the same way from the corresponding assertions in Lemma 3.  $\square$

Returning to the inequality (2.5), it still remains open the question whether the unweighted inequality

$$\frac{G(X)}{G(\mathbf{1}-X)} \leq \frac{L(X)}{L(\mathbf{1}-X)}$$

is valid? A partial answer, in the special case  $n = 2$ , is given in Proposition 5. It would be interesting to investigate whether this proposition can be generalized for  $n$  variables (the authors do not know the answer).

PROPOSITION 5. If  $x_1, x_2 \in ]0, 1/2]$ , then

$$\frac{G(x_1, x_2)}{G(1-x_1, 1-x_2)} \leq \frac{L(x_1, x_2)}{L(1-x_1, 1-x_2)},$$

with equality if and only if  $x_1 = x_2$ .

*Proof.* Obviously, it suffices to prove that if  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then

$$\frac{\sqrt{x_1 x_2}}{\sqrt{(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}} < \frac{\log(1-x_2) - \log(1-x_1)}{\log x_1 - \log x_2}. \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose, for instance, that  $0 < x_2 < x_1 \leq 1/2$ . Then (2.9) is equivalent to

$$\sqrt{\frac{x_1}{1-x_1}} \sqrt{\frac{x_2}{1-x_2}} (\log x_1 - \log x_2) + \log(1-x_1) - \log(1-x_2) < 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Set  $u = \sqrt{x_1/(1-x_1)}$  and  $v = \sqrt{x_2/(1-x_2)}$ . Then we have  $0 < v < u \leq 1$ , and (2.10) can be written as

$$uv \left( \log \frac{u^2}{1+u^2} - \log \frac{v^2}{1+v^2} \right) - \log(1+u^2) + \log(1+v^2) < 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Let  $v \in ]0, 1[$  be fixed, and let  $f : ]v, 1[ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be the function defined by

$$f(u) = uv \left( \log \frac{u^2}{1+u^2} - \log \frac{v^2}{1+v^2} \right) - \log(1+u^2) + \log(1+v^2).$$

We have

$$f'(u) = v \left( \log \frac{u^2}{1+u^2} - \log \frac{v^2}{1+v^2} \right) + \frac{2(v-u)}{1+u^2}$$

and

$$f''(u) = \frac{2(v-u)(1-u^2)}{u(1+u^2)^2}.$$

Since  $f''(u) < 0$  for all  $u \in ]v, 1[$ , it follows that  $f'$  is strictly decreasing on  $]v, 1[$ . Consequently,  $f'(u) < 0$  for all  $u \in ]v, 1[$ , because  $f'(v) = 0$ . Therefore  $f$  is strictly decreasing on  $]v, 1[$ , hence  $f(u) < 0$  for all  $u \in ]v, 1[$ , because  $f(v) = 0$ . This proves the validity of (2.11).  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. ALZER, On an inequality of Ky Fan, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **137** (1989), 168–172.
- [2] H. ALZER, The inequality of Ky Fan and related results, *Acta Appl. Math.* **38** (1995), 305–354.
- [3] N. LEVINSON, Generalization of an inequality of Ky Fan, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **8** (1964), 133–134.
- [4] M. T. MCGREGOR, On some inequalities of Ky Fan and Wang-Wang, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **180** (1993), 182–188.
- [5] A. MCD. MERCER, A short proof of Ky Fan's arithmetic-geometric inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **204** (1996), 940–942.
- [6] A. MCD. MERCER, An "error term" for the Ky Fan inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **220** (1998), 774–777.
- [7] J. E. PEČARIĆ AND V. ŠIMIĆ, Stolarsky-Tobey mean in  $n$  variables, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2** (1999), 325–341.
- [8] A. O. PITTENGER, The logarithmic mean in  $n$  variables, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **92** (1985), 99–104.
- [9] T. POPOVICIU, Sur une inégalité de N. Levinson, *Mathematica (Cluj)* **6** (1964), 301–306.
- [10] J. SÁNDOR AND T. TRIF, A new refinement of the Ky Fan inequality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2** (1999), 529–533.
- [11] K. B. STOLARSKY, Generalizations of the logarithmic mean, *Math. Mag.* **48** (1975), 87–92.
- [12] M. D. TOBEY, Two-parameter homogeneous mean value, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **18** (1967), 9–14.
- [13] W.-L. WANG AND P.-F. WANG, A class of inequalities for symmetric functions (in Chinese), *Acta Math. Sinica* **27** (1984), 485–497.

(Received October 13, 2000)

Ioan Gavrea  
Universitatea Tehnică  
Departamentul de Matematică  
Str: Constantin Daicovicu 15, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
e-mail: Ioan.Gavrea@math.utcluj.ro

Tiberiu Trif  
Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai  
Facultatea de Matematică și Informatică  
Str. Kogălniceanu 1, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania  
e-mail: ttrif@math.ubbcluj.ro