

## ON THE SERIES OF HAAR–FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

L. LEINDLER

(communicated by R. N. Mohapatra)

*Abstract.* Sufficient conditions are given for the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(n) \varphi(|c_n|),$$

where  $c_n$  are the Haar–Fourier coefficients of an integrable function,  $\varphi(x)$  ( $x \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ) is an increasing and concave function, and  $\lambda(x)$  ( $x \geq 1$ ) denotes a function satisfying certain easily achievable conditions.

### 1. Introduction

In a recent paper [4], among others, generalizing a result of N. Ogata [5] we gave sufficient condition for the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta} (\varphi(|a_n|) + \varphi(|b_n|)),$$

where  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are Fourier coefficients,  $\delta \geq 0$  and  $\varphi(u)$  ( $u \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ) is an increasing and concave function.

In the now note we present a similar result for the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(n) \varphi(|c_n|),$$

where  $c_n$  are the Haar–Fourier coefficients of an integrable function, and  $\lambda(x)$  ( $x \geq 1$ ) denotes a function satisfying certain natural conditions. Plainly  $\lambda(x) = x^{\delta}$  ( $\delta \geq 0$ ) will satisfy these condition.

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2000): 42A16, 42A28, 42C10.

*Key words and phrases:* Absolute convergence, Haar–system, power–monotone sequences, concave function.

The author was partially supported by the Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research under Grant # T 029080.

The orthonormal Haar system can be given in the interval  $(0, 1)$  as follows:  $\chi_0^{(0)} := 1$  and for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$

$$\chi_n^{(k)}(x) := \begin{cases} 2^{n/2}, & \text{if } x \in \left(\frac{2k-2}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{2k-1}{2^{n+1}}\right), \\ -2^{n/2}, & \text{if } x \in \left(\frac{2k-1}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{2k}{2^{n+1}}\right), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall set

$$\chi_1(x) := \chi_0^{(0)}(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_m(x) := \chi_n^{(k)}(x)$$

if  $m = 2^n + k$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots$ ;  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ ).

The Haar–Fourier coefficients of an integrable function  $f$  are

$$c_m := c_m(f) := \int_0^1 f(x)\chi_m(x)dx.$$

Near forty years ago several authors investigated the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{\delta} |c_m|^{\beta} \quad (\beta > 0, \delta \geq 0),$$

e.g. Z. Ciesielski and I. Musielak [1], P. L. Ulyanov [6], B. I. Golubov [2] and myself [3].

In [3] we proved, among others, the following

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $\lambda(x)$  ( $x \geq 1$ ) be a positive and monotone function with the property  $K\lambda(2^n) \geq \lambda(2^{n-1}) \geq K^{-1}\lambda(2^n)$  ( $K \geq 1, n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). If  $0 < \beta \leq 1$  and*

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\lambda(1/x)}{x^{2-\beta/2}} \left( \int_0^{1-x} |f(x+t) - f(x)| dt \right)^{\beta} dx < \infty,$$

then

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda(m) |c_m|^{\beta} < \infty. \quad (1.1)$$

If

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\lambda(1/x)x^{\alpha\beta}}{x^{2-\beta/2}} dx = \infty$$

then there exists a function  $f(x) \in \text{Lip } \alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ) such that for its Haar–Fourier coefficients the series (1.1) diverges.

Before formulating our result we present some definitions.

We shall say that a positive function  $\gamma(x)$  ( $x \geq 1$ ) is *quasi  $\beta$ -power-monotone increasing (decreasing)* if there exists a constant  $K := K(\beta, \gamma) \geq 1$  such that

$$Ku^{\beta}\gamma(u) \geq v^{\beta}\gamma(v) \quad (u^{\beta}\gamma(u) \leq Kv^{\beta}\gamma(v))$$

holds for any  $u \geq v$  ( $\geq 1$ ).

A positive function  $\gamma(x)$  ( $x \geq 1$ ) will be called *limitedly varying* if there exist two positive constants  $K_1 := K_1(\gamma) \leq K_2 := K_2(\gamma)$  such that

$$K_1\gamma(x) \leq \gamma(2x) \leq K_2\gamma(x)$$

holds for any  $x \geq 1$ .

Here and further in the sequel,  $K$  and  $K_i$  will denote positive constants depending on the parameters concerned in the particular problem in which it appears. If we wish to express the dependence explicitly, we write  $K$  in the form  $K(\alpha, \beta, \dots)$ . The constants are not necessarily the same at different occurrences.

In the present work we generalize the Theorem A such a way that we replace the function  $x^\beta$ ,  $\beta$  is appearing in Theorem A as an exponent, by an increasing concave function  $\varphi(x)$ . Our new theorem will be deduced from a more general result to be proven here as Lemma 3.

### 2. Theorem

We prove the following result.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\varphi(u)$  ( $u \geq 0, \varphi(0) = 0$ ) be an increasing and concave function. Furthermore let  $\lambda(x)$  ( $x \geq 1$ ) be a positive limitedly varying function and either quasi  $\delta$ -power-monotone increasing with some  $\delta \geq 0$ , or quasi  $\rho$ -power-monotone decreasing with some  $\rho \leq 0$ .*

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m=3}^{\infty} \lambda(m)\varphi(|c_m|) \\ & \leq K(\varphi, \lambda) \int_0^1 \lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)x^{-2}\varphi\left(x^{1/2} \int_0^{1-x} |f(x+t) - f(t)|dt\right)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore if

$$\int_0^1 \lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)x^{-2}\varphi(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\alpha})dx = \infty$$

then there exists a function  $f_\alpha(x) \in \text{Lip}\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), such that with its Haar-Fourier coefficients the series

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda(m)\varphi(|c_m|)$$

diverges.

It is obvious that our new Theorem in the special case  $\varphi(x) = x^\beta$  ( $0 < \beta \leq 1$ ) is also a mild generalization of Theorem A, namely the monotonicity-condition is replaced by a quasi power-monotonicity-condition.

### 3. Lemmas

The first two lemmas are known, and the third one is a general result implying our Theorem effortlessly.

LEMMA 1. *The function*

$$f(x) := f_\alpha(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha n} \cos 2^{n+1} \pi x, \quad 0 < \alpha < 1,$$

*belongs to the class  $\text{Lip}\alpha$ .*

*Let  $N_n$  denote the number of the indices  $m$  being between  $2^n$  and  $2^{n+1}$ , and simultaneously the Haar–Fourier coefficients  $c_m(f)$  satisfy the following inequality*

$$|c_m(f)| \geq 2^{\alpha-3} 2^{-n(\alpha+1/2)}.$$

*Then*

$$N_n \geq K(\alpha) 2^n,$$

*where  $K(\alpha)$  is a positive constant.*

The proof of the first statement of Lemma 1 can be found e.g. in [7, p. 47] and that of the second one in [2, p. 1284].

LEMMA 2. (Jensen’s inequality). *Let  $\varphi(u)$  ( $u \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ) be an increasing concave function. Then, for any finite sequence of nonnegative numbers  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m$  and any finite sequence of positive numbers  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$  the following inequality*

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^m p_n \varphi(x_n)}{\sum_{n=1}^m p_n} \leq \varphi\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^m p_n x_n}{\sum_{n=1}^m p_n}\right)$$

*holds.*

LEMMA 3. *Let  $\gamma > 0$  and  $\varphi(u)$  ( $u \geq 0$ ,  $\varphi(0) = 0$ ) be an increasing concave function. Furthermore let  $\lambda(x)$  ( $x \geq 1$ ) be such a positive limitedly varying function that it is either quasi  $\delta$ –power–monotone increasing with some  $\delta \geq 0$ , or quasi  $\rho$ –power–monotone decreasing with some  $\rho \leq 0$ . Then*

$$\sigma_{n,\ell} := \sum_{v=n}^{n+\ell} \left( \sum_{m=2^{v+1}}^{2^{v+1}} \lambda(m) \varphi(|c_m|) \right)^\gamma \tag{3.1}$$

$$\leq K(\varphi, \lambda, \gamma) \int_{2^{-n-\ell-3}}^{2^{-n-1}} \lambda^\gamma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{-\gamma-1} \varphi^\gamma(x^{1/2}) \int_0^{1-x} |f(x+t) - f(t)| dt dx$$

*holds for any natural numbers  $n$  and  $\ell$ .*

Furthermore there exists a function  $f(x) \in \text{Lip}\alpha$  ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), whose Haar-Fourier coefficients satisfy the inequality

$$\sigma_{n,\ell} \geq K(\varphi, \lambda, \gamma, \alpha) \int_{2^{-n-\ell}}^{2^{-n}} \lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)x^{-\gamma-1}\varphi^\gamma(x^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}})dx. \tag{3.2}$$

*Proof.* Using the properties of the functions  $\lambda(x)$  and the Jensen's inequality we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} \lambda(m)\varphi(|c_m|) &\leq K\lambda(2^{n+1}) \sum_{m=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} \varphi(|c_m|) \\ &\leq K\lambda(2^{n+1})2^n\varphi(2^{-n}) \sum_{m=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} |c_m|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

By the definition of  $c_m$

$$\sum_{m=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} |c_m| = 2^{n/2} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \left| \int_{(2k-2)2^{-n-1}}^{(2k-1)2^{-n-1}} (f(t) - f(t + 2^{-n-1}))dt \right|$$

holds, and thus

$$\varphi\left(2^{-n} \sum_{m=2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} |c_m|\right) \leq \varphi\left(2^{-n/2} \int_0^{1-2^{-n-1}} |f(t) - f(t + 2^{-n-1})|dt\right).$$

Hence, by (3.3), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n,\ell} &\leq K_1 \sum_{v=n}^{n+\ell} \lambda^\gamma(2^{v+1})2^{v\gamma}\varphi^\gamma(2^{-v/2}) \int_0^{1-2^{-v-1}} |f(t) - f(t + 2^{-v-1})|dt \\ &\leq K_2 \sum_{v=n}^{n+\ell} \int_{2^{-v-3}}^{2^{-v-2}} \lambda^\gamma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)x^{-\gamma-1}\varphi^\gamma(2^{-v/2}) \left\{ \int_0^{1-2^{-v-1}} |f(t) - f(t+x)|dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{1-2^{-v-1}} |f(x+t) - f(t + 2^{-v-1})|dt \right\} dx \\ &\leq K_3 \sum_{v=n}^{n+\ell} \int_{2^{-v-3}}^{2^{-v-2}} \lambda^\gamma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)x^{-\gamma-1}\varphi^\gamma(x^{1/2}) \int_0^{1-x} |f(t) - f(t+x)|dt dx \\ &+ K_3 \sum_{v=n}^{n+\ell} \int_{2^{-v-3}}^{2^{-v-2}} \lambda^\gamma\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)x^{-\gamma-1}\varphi^\gamma(2^{-v/2}) \int_0^{1-2^{-v-1}} |f(x+t) - f(t + 2^{-v-1})|dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now an integration by substitution  $t = u - x$  gives that

$$\int_0^{1-2^{-v-1}} |f(t+x) - f(t + 2^{-v-1})|dt$$

$$= \int_x^{1-2^{-v-1}+x} |f(u) - f(u + 2^{-v-1} - x)| du,$$

and thus by  $x = 2^{-v-1} - y$  we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{2^{-v-3}}^{2^{-v-2}} \lambda^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{-\gamma-1} \varphi^\gamma(2^{-v/2}) \int_0^{1-2^{-v-1}} |f(x+t) - f(t + 2^{-v-1})| dt dx \\ & \leq K_4 \int_{2^{-v-2}}^{2^{-v-1}} \lambda^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{y}\right) y^{-\gamma-1} \varphi^\gamma(2^{-v/2}) \int_{2^{-v-1}-y}^{1-y} |f(u) - f(u + y)| du dy =: I_v. \end{aligned}$$

Finally with  $y = x$  and  $u = t$  we realize that

$$I_v \leq K_5 \int_{2^{-v-2}}^{2^{-v-1}} \lambda^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{-\gamma-1} \varphi^\gamma(x^{1/2}) \int_0^{1-x} |f(t) - f(x+t)| dt dx.$$

Collecting our estimations we conclude that

$$\sigma_{n,\ell} \leq K_6 \sum_{v=n}^{n+\ell} \int_{2^{-v-3}}^{2^{-v-1}} \lambda^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{-\gamma-1} \varphi^\gamma(x^{1/2}) \int_0^{1-x} |f(t) - f(x+t)| dt dx$$

holds, and hence (3.1) plainly follows.

In order to prove the statement (3.2) we distinguish two cases. If  $0 < \alpha < 1$  then we consider the function  $f(x)$  given in Lemma 1. The Haar–Fourier coefficients of this function satisfy the following inequality

$$\sum_{m=2^{v+1}}^{2^{v+1}} \lambda(m) \varphi(|c_m|) \geq K \lambda(2^v) 2^v \varphi(2^{-v(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}), \tag{3.4}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n,\ell} & \geq K_1 \sum_{v=n}^{n+\ell} \lambda^\gamma(2^v) 2^{v\gamma} \varphi^\gamma(2^{-v(\alpha+\frac{1}{2})}) \\ & \geq K_2 \int_{2^{-n-\ell}}^{2^{-n}} \lambda^\gamma \left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{-\gamma-1} \varphi^\gamma(x^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}) dx \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

obviously follows considering the properties of the functions  $\lambda(x)$  and  $\varphi(x)$ .

This yields (3.2) for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

If  $\alpha = 1$  let  $f(x) := 1 - 2x$ . It is clear that  $f \in \text{Lip}1$ . On the other hand an easy calculation shows that

$$c_m(f) = 2^{-1} 2^{-3n/2} \quad \text{if} \quad 2^n < m \leq 2^{n+1}.$$

Now reproduce the estimations given in (3.4) and (3.5) with  $\alpha = 1$  in place of  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we can see that the inequality (3.2) with  $\alpha = 1$  also holds.

Herewith the proof of Lemma 3 is complete.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem

Applying Lemma 3 with  $\gamma = 1$ ,  $n = 1$  and letting  $\ell \rightarrow \infty$  we clearly get the statements of our Theorem.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Z. CIESIELSKI AND I. MUSIELAK, *On absolute convergence of Haar series*, Coll. Math. **7** (1959), 61–65.
- [2] B. J. GOLUBOV, *On Fourier series of continuous functions with respect to Haar system*, Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat. **28** (1964), 1271–1296 (in Russian).
- [3] L. LEINDLER, *Nicht verbesserbare Strukturbedingungen*, Studia Math. **26** (1966), 155–163.
- [4] L. LEINDLER, *Comments on the absolute convergence of Fourier series*, Hokkaido Math. Journal **30** (2001), 221–230.
- [5] N. OGATA, *On the absolute convergence of lacunary Fourier series*, Math. Japonica **49**(2) (1999), 241–245.
- [6] P. L. ULYANOV, *On series with respect to Haar system*, Mat. Sb. **63** (1964), 356–391.
- [7] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*, I, Cambridge, 1959.

(Received February 22, 2000)

*Bolyai Institute  
University of Szeged  
H-6720 Szeged  
Aradi vértanúk tere 1  
Hungary  
e-mail: leindler@math.u-szeged.hu*