

A GENERAL FORM PRESERVING THE OPERATOR ORDER FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS

JADRANKA MIČIĆ, JOSIP PEČARIĆ AND YUKI SEO

Abstract. We show a general form preserving the operator order for convex functions based on the Mond-Pečarić method as follows: Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $M1_H \geq B \geq m1_H > 0$. Let $f(t)$ be a continuous convex function on $[m, M]$. If $g(t)$ is a continuous increasing convex function on $[m, M] \cup \text{Sp}(A)$, then for a given $\alpha > 0$

$$A \geq B \geq 0 \text{ implies } \alpha g(A) + \beta 1_H \geq f(B)$$

where $\beta = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \{f(m) + [(f(M) - f(m))/(M - m)](t - m) - \alpha g(t)\}$. We extend Kantorovich type operator inequalities via the Ky Fan-Furuta constant as applications. Among others, we show the following inequality: If $A \geq B > 0$ and $M1_H \geq B \geq m1_H > 0$, then

$$\frac{M^{p-1}}{m^{q-1}} A^q \geq \frac{(q-1)^{q-1}}{q^q} \frac{(M^p - m^p)^q}{(M-m)(mM^p - Mm^p)^{q-1}} A^q \geq B^p$$

holds for all $p > 1$ and $q > 1$.

1. Introduction

The Löwner-Heinz theorem asserts that the function $f(t) = t^p$ is operator monotone only for $1 \geq p > 0$ though it is monotone increasing for $p > 0$. Furuta [2] showed several extensions of the Kantorovich inequality and applied them to show the following form preserving the operator order.

THEOREM A (Furuta). *Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $M1_H \geq B \geq m1_H > 0$, where $M > m > 0$. If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then*

$$\left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{p-1} A^p \geq C(m, M; p) A^p \geq B^p \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1,$$

where the Ky Fan-Furuta constant $C(m, M; p)$ is defined as

$$C(m, M; p) = \frac{(p-1)^{p-1}}{p^p} \frac{(M^p - m^p)^p}{(M-m)(mM^p - Mm^p)^{p-1}}.$$

Izumino and Nakamoto [3] discussed this extension for any strictly positive convex differentiable real valued function f .

Moreover, Yamazaki [7] showed the following form preserving the operator order.

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 47A30, 47A63.

Key words and phrases: operator inequality, Ky Fan-Furuta constant, operator monotone, positive operator, Furuta inequality.

THEOREM B (Yamazaki). *Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $M 1_H \geq B \geq m 1_H > 0$, where $M > m > 0$. If $A \geq B > 0$, then*

$$A^p + K(m, M; p) 1_H \geq B^p \quad \text{for all } p > 1,$$

where

$$K(m, M; p) = \frac{mM^p - Mm^p}{M - m} \{C(m, M; p)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} - 1\}.$$

The object of this paper is to pursue further the study of a form preserving the operator order under general setting, based on inequalities for convex functions due to Mond-Pečarić [6, 5]. As applications, we shall present two variable versions of the operator order by Furuta, Izumino-Nakamoto and Yamazaki.

2. General results

For convenience, we define $\mu_f = \frac{f(M) - f(m)}{M - m}$ for a real valued function f on the interval $[m, M]$. Let $\mathcal{C}([m, M])$ denote the set of a real valued continuous function on $[m, M]$. Also, we introduce the following Furuta constant with two parameters:

$$C_f(m, M; q) = \frac{f(m) - \mu_f m}{1 - q} \left(\frac{1 - q}{q} \frac{\mu_f}{f(m) - \mu_f m} \right)^q,$$

where q is a real number such that $\frac{1-q}{q} \frac{\mu_f}{f(m) - \mu_f m} > 0$. Particularly, $C_{t^p}(m, M; p) = C(m, M; p)$ for the power function $f(t) = t^p$.

THEOREM 1. *Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$, where $M > m > 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}([m, M])$ be a convex function and $g \in \mathcal{C}(U)$, where $U \supseteq [m, M] \cup \text{Sp}(A)$. Suppose that either of the following conditions holds: (a) g is increasing convex on U , or (b) g is decreasing concave on U . If $A \geq B > 0$, then for a given $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+$ in the case (a) or $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_-$ in the case (b)*

$$\alpha g(A) + \beta 1_H \geq f(B) \tag{1}$$

holds for $\beta = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \{f(m) + \mu_f(t - m) - \alpha g(t)\}$.

To prove Theorem 1, we need the following results [6, Theorem 1] and [5, Theorem 4]:

LEMMA. *Let A be a positive operator on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$, where $M > m > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}([m, M])$ be a convex function. Then*

$$(f(A)x, x) \geq f((Ax, x)) \tag{2}$$

holds for every unit vector $x \in H$. Moreover, let $g \in \mathcal{C}([m, M])$. Then for any number $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\alpha g((Ax, x)) + \beta \geq (f(A)x, x) \tag{3}$$

holds for every unit vector $x \in H$ where $\beta = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \{f(m) + \mu_f(t - m) - \alpha g(t)\}$.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $x \in H$ be any unit vector. By the convexity of αg , it follows from (2) in Lemma that $\alpha(g(A)x, x) \geq \alpha g((Ax, x))$. By the increase of αg ,

we have $\alpha g((Ax, x)) \geq \alpha g((Bx, x))$. Therefore, combining two inequalities above and (3) in Lemma we have

$$\alpha(g(A)x, x) + \beta \geq \alpha g((Ax, x)) + \beta \geq \alpha g((Bx, x)) + \beta \geq (f(B)x, x). \quad \square$$

REMARK 2. Assume that conditions of Theorem 1 hold and let αg be strictly convex differentiable on $[m, M]$. Then β can be written explicitly as $\beta = f(m) + \mu_f(t_0 - m) - \alpha g(t_0)$, where t_0 is defined as the unique solution of $g'(t) = \frac{\mu_f}{\alpha}$ when $\alpha g'(m) \leq \mu_f \leq \alpha g'(M)$, otherwise t_0 is defined as M or m according as $\mu_f > \alpha g'(M)$ or $\alpha g'(m) > \mu_f$.

As a matter of fact, put $h(t) = f(m) + \mu_f(t - m) - \alpha g(t)$ and $\beta = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} h(t)$. If αg is strictly convex differentiable on $[m, M]$, then $\alpha g'$ is strictly increasing, so $h'(t)$ is strictly decreasing. Hence if $\alpha g'(m) \leq \mu_f \leq \alpha g'(M)$, then the equation $h'(t) = 0$ has exactly one solution t_0 in the interval $[m, M]$. Since the maximum value of $h(t)$ on $[m, M]$ is attained for t_0 , we have $\max_{m \leq t \leq M} h(t) = h(t_0) = \beta$.

Next, if $\mu_f > \alpha g'(M)$, then $h(t)$ is increasing on $[m, M]$ since $h'(t) > 0$. Therefore, $\max_{m \leq t \leq M} h(t) = h(M) = \beta$. Similarly, if $\alpha g'(m) > \mu_f$, then $\max_{m \leq t \leq M} h(t) = h(m) = \beta$.

Let us consider a complementary result to Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$, where $M > m > 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}([m, M])$ be a concave function and $g \in \mathcal{C}(U)$, where $U \supseteq [m, M] \cup \text{Sp}(B)$. Suppose that either of the following conditions holds: (a) g is increasing concave on U , or (b) g is decreasing convex on U . If $A \geq B > 0$, then for a given $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+$ in the case (a) or $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_-$ in the case (b)

$$f(A) \geq \alpha g(B) + \beta 1_H$$

holds for $\beta = \min_{m \leq t \leq M} \{f(m) + \mu_f(t - m) - \alpha g(t)\}$.

Proof. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (f(A)x, x) &\geq \alpha g((Ax, x)) + \beta && \text{by the concavity of } f \\ &\geq \alpha g((Bx, x)) + \beta && \text{by } A \geq B \text{ and the increase of } \alpha g \\ &\geq \alpha(g(B)x, x) + \beta && \text{by the concavity of } \alpha g \end{aligned}$$

for every unit vector $x \in H$. \square

REMARK 4. Assume that conditions of Theorem 3 hold and let αg be strictly concave differentiable on $[m, M]$. Then β can be written explicitly as $\beta = f(m) + \mu_f(t_0 - m) - \alpha g(t_0)$, where t_0 is defined as the unique solution of $g'(t) = \frac{\mu_f}{\alpha}$ when $\alpha g'(M) \leq \mu_f \leq \alpha g'(m)$, otherwise t_0 is defined as M or m according as $\mu_f < \alpha g'(M)$ or $\alpha g'(m) < \mu_f$.

If we put $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, then we have the following corollary:

COROLLARY 5. Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$ (resp. $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$), where $M > m > 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}([m, M])$ be a convex (resp. concave) function and $g \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ an increasing convex (resp. concave) function, where $U \supseteq [m, M] \cup \text{Sp}(A) \cup \text{Sp}(B)$. If $A \geq B > 0$, then

$$g(A) + \beta 1_H \geq f(B) \quad (\text{resp. } f(A) \geq g(B) + \beta 1_H)$$

holds for $\beta = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m) - g(t)\}$ (resp. $\beta = \min_{m \leq t \leq M} \{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m) - g(t)\}$).

If we choose α such that $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, we have the following corollary:

COROLLARY 6. Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$ (resp. $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$), where $M > m > 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}([m, M])$ be a convex (resp. concave) function and $g \in \mathcal{C}(U)$, where $U \supseteq [m, M] \cup \text{Sp}(A) \cup \text{Sp}(B)$. Suppose that either of the following conditions holds:

- (i) g is increasing convex on U , $g > 0$ on $[m, M]$ and $f(m) > 0, f(M) > 0$,
- (ii) g is increasing convex on U , $g < 0$ on $[m, M]$ and $f(m) < 0, f(M) < 0$,
- (iii) g is decreasing concave on U , $g > 0$ on $[m, M]$ and $f(m) < 0, f(M) < 0$,
- (iv) g is decreasing concave on U , $g < 0$ on $[m, M]$ and $f(m) > 0, f(M) > 0$.

If $A \geq B > 0$, then

$$\alpha_+ g(A) \geq f(B) \quad (\text{resp. } f(A) \geq \alpha_- g(B))$$

holds for

$$\alpha_+ = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \left\{ \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m)}{g(t)} \right\} \quad \left(\text{resp. } \alpha_- = \min_{m \leq t \leq M} \left\{ \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m)}{g(t)} \right\} \right)$$

in case (i) and (iii), or

$$\alpha_+ = \min_{m \leq t \leq M} \left\{ \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m)}{g(t)} \right\} \quad \left(\text{resp. } \alpha_- = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \left\{ \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m)}{g(t)} \right\} \right)$$

in case (ii) and (iv).

Proof. If we put $\beta = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m) - \alpha g(t)\} = 0$, then we have $\alpha = \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t_0 - m)}{g(t_0)}$ for some $t_0 \in [m, M]$. In fact, it follows that $\alpha = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \left\{ \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m)}{g(t)} \right\}$, because $0 \geq f(m) + \mu_f(t-m) - \alpha g(t)$ for all $t \in [m, M]$. The remainder of the proof is the same as in the proof in Theorem 1. \square

REMARK 7. Assume that conditions of Corollary 6 hold. Moreover, suppose that either of the following additional conditions holds: (a) g is strictly convex differentiable in case (i) or (ii), or (b) g is strictly concave differentiable in case (iii) or (iv). Then a value of α_{\pm} may be determined more precisely as follows:

$$\alpha_{\pm} = \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t_0 - m)}{g(t_0)},$$

where $t_o \in [m, M]$ is defined as the unique solution of $\mu_f g(t) = g'(t)(f(m) + \mu_f(t - m))$ if $f(m)g'(m)/g(m) \leq \mu_f \leq f(M)g'(M)/g(M)$, otherwise t_o is defined as M or m according as $\mu_f > f(M)g'(M)/g(M)$ or $f(m)g'(m)/g(m) < \mu_f$.

3. Preserving the operator order by convex functions

As applications of our general results, we show function preserving the operator order. We recall that $f \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty))$ is operator monotone if and only if it is operator concave. Therefore, if f is a convex function, then it cannot be operator monotone. We show that a convex function preserves the order in the following sense:

THEOREM 8. *Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ be a strictly convex increasing differentiable function, where $U \supseteq [m, M] \cup \text{Sp}(A)$. If $A \geq B > 0$, then for a given $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+$*

$$\alpha f(A) + \beta 1_H \geq f(B)$$

holds for $\beta = f(m) + \mu_f(t_o - m) - \alpha f(t_o)$ and t_o is defined as the unique solution of $f'(t) = \mu_f/\alpha$ when $f'(m) \leq \mu_f/\alpha \leq f'(M)$, otherwise t_o is defined as M or m according as $f'(M) < \mu_f/\alpha$ or $\mu_f/\alpha < f'(m)$.

Proof. Put $g \equiv f$ in Theorem 1. As a matter of fact, by the convexity and the increase of f on U we have

$$(f(B)x, x) \leq \alpha f((Bx, x)) + \beta \leq \alpha f((Ax, x)) + \beta \leq \alpha (f(A)x, x) + \beta$$

for every unit vector $x \in H$. \square

Though a concave increasing function is not always operator monotone, we have the following theorem which is a complementary result to Theorem 8.

THEOREM 9. *Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ be a strictly concave increasing differentiable function, where $U \supseteq [m, M] \cup \text{Sp}(B)$. If $A \geq B > 0$, then for a given $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+$*

$$f(A) \geq \alpha f(B) + \beta 1_H$$

holds for $\beta = f(m) + \mu_f(t_o - m) - \alpha f(t_o)$ and t_o is defined as the unique solution of $f'(t) = \mu_f/\alpha$ when $f'(M) \leq \mu_f/\alpha \leq f'(m)$, otherwise t_o is defined as M or m according as $f'(M) > \mu_f/\alpha$ or $\mu_f/\alpha > f'(m)$.

REMARK 10. If we put $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 8 and Theorem 9, then we have the following claim: Let $f \in \mathcal{C}([m, M])$ be a strictly convex (resp. concave) increasing differentiable function. If $M 1_H \geq A \geq B \geq m 1_H > 0$, where $M > m > 0$, then

$$f(A) + \beta 1_H \geq f(B) \quad (\text{resp. } f(A) \geq f(B) + \beta 1_H)$$

holds for $\beta = f(m) + \mu_f(t_o - m) - f(t_o)$ and t_o is exactly one solution the equation $f'(t) = \mu_f$ in the interval $[m, M]$. Indeed, since f is strictly convex or concave, it follows that $f'(m) \leq \mu_f \leq f'(M)$ (resp. $f'(M) \leq \mu_f \leq f'(m)$).

If we choose α such that $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 8, we have the following corollary (cf. [3 Theorem 2.2]).

COROLLARY 11. Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$ (resp. $\text{Sp}(A) \subseteq [m, M]$), where $M > m > 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ be a increasing convex (resp. concave) function, where $U \supseteq [m, M] \cup \text{Sp}(A) \cup \text{Sp}(B)$. Suppose that either of the following conditions holds: (i) $f > 0$ on $[m, M]$ or (ii) $f < 0$ on $[m, M]$. If $A \geq B > 0$, then

$$\alpha_+ f(A) \geq f(B) \quad (\text{resp. } f(A) \geq \alpha_- f(B))$$

holds for

$$\alpha_+ = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \left\{ \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m)}{f(t)} \right\} \quad \left(\text{resp. } \alpha_- = \min_{m \leq t \leq M} \left\{ \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m)}{f(t)} \right\} \right)$$

in case (i), or

$$\alpha_+ = \min_{m \leq t \leq M} \left\{ \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m)}{f(t)} \right\} \quad \left(\text{resp. } \alpha_- = \max_{m \leq t \leq M} \left\{ \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t-m)}{f(t)} \right\} \right)$$

in case (ii).

We shall show a functional order version of Theorem A (Furuta).

COROLLARY 12. Let A and B be positive invertible operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$, where $M > m > 0$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ be a strictly convex increasing twice differentiable function, where $U \supseteq [m, M] \cup \text{Sp}(A) \cup \text{Sp}(B)$. Let (i) $f > 0$ on $[m, M]$ or (ii) $f < 0$ on $[m, M]$. If $A \geq B > 0$, then

$$\frac{f'(M)}{f'(m)} f(A) \geq \alpha f(A) \geq f(B),$$

where $\alpha = \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t_0 - m)}{f(t_0)}$ and $t_0 \in \langle m, M \rangle$ is the unique solution of $\mu_f f(t) = f'(t)(f(m) + \mu_f(t - m))$.

Proof. By the assumption of f , we have $\mu_f \leq f'(M)$ and $0 < f'(m) \leq f'(t_0)$, where $t_0 \in \langle m, M \rangle$ is such that $\mu_f f(t_0) = f'(t_0)(f(m) + \mu_f(t_0 - m))$. Then it follows that

$$0 < \alpha = \frac{f(m) + \mu_f(t_0 - m)}{f(t_0)} = \frac{\mu_f}{f'(t_0)} \leq \frac{f'(M)}{f'(m)}.$$

Therefore Corollary 11 implies $\frac{f'(M)}{f'(m)} f(A) \geq \alpha f(A) \geq f(B)$. \square

REMARK 13. If we put $f(t) = t^p$ in Corollary 12, then we have $\frac{f'(M)}{f'(m)} = \left(\frac{M}{m}\right)^{p-1}$.

If we put $f(t) = e^t$ in Theorem 8, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 14. Let A and B be selfadjoint operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$, where $M > m$. If $A \geq B$, then for a given $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+$

$$\alpha e^A + \beta 1_H \geq e^B$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \frac{e^M - e^m}{M - m} \log \frac{e^M - e^m}{\alpha(M - m)} + \frac{(M + 1)e^m - (m + 1)e^M}{M - m} & \text{if } m \leq \log \frac{e^M - e^m}{\alpha(M - m)} \leq M \\ (1 - \alpha)e^M & \text{if } M < \log \frac{e^M - e^m}{\alpha(M - m)} \\ (1 - \alpha)e^m & \text{if } \log \frac{e^M - e^m}{\alpha(M - m)} < m. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{e^M - e^m}{M - m} \exp \left(\frac{(M + 1)e^m - (m + 1)e^M}{e^M - e^m} \right) e^A \geq e^B,$$

$$e^A + \left(\frac{(M + 1)e^m - (m + 1)e^M}{M - m} + \frac{e^M - e^m}{M - m} \log \left(\frac{e^M - e^m}{M - m} \right) \right) 1_H \geq e^B.$$

If we put $f(t) = t^p$ for $p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus [0, 1)$ and $g(t) = t^q$ for $q > 1$ in Theorem 1, then we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 15. *Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$, where $M > m > 0$. If $A \geq B > 0$, then for a given $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+$*

$$\alpha A^q + \beta 1_H \geq B^p, \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbf{R} \setminus [0, 1) \text{ and } q > 1,$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \alpha(q - 1) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha q} \frac{M^p - m^p}{M - m} \right)^{\frac{q}{q-1}} + \frac{Mm^p - mM^p}{M - m} & \text{if } qm^{q-1} \leq \frac{M^p - m^p}{\alpha(M - m)} \leq qM^{q-1} \\ \max\{M^p - \alpha M^p, m^p - \alpha m^p\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$\alpha A^p + \beta 1_H \geq B^p \quad \text{for all } p \geq 1$$

where

$$\beta = \begin{cases} \alpha(p - 1) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha p} \frac{M^p - m^p}{M - m} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \frac{Mm^p - mM^p}{M - m} & \text{if } pm^{p-1} \leq \frac{M^p - m^p}{\alpha(M - m)} \leq pM^{p-1}, \\ \max\{M^p - \alpha M^p, m^p - \alpha m^p\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

REMARK 16. We have Theorem B (Yamazaki) if we put $\alpha = 1$ in the second inequality of Corollary 15.

4. Preserving the operator order associated with Kantorovich inequality

Finally, as applications of our general results, we show a form preserving the operator order associated with Kantorovich inequality via the Furuta constant. The following theorem is a two variable version of Theorem A (Furuta).

THEOREM 17. *Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$, where $M > m > 0$. If $A \geq B > 0$, then*

$$\frac{M^{p-1}}{m^{q-1}} A^q \geq C_+(m, M; p, q) A^q \geq B^p \quad \text{for all } p > 1 \text{ and } q > 1 \quad (4)$$

where

$$C_+(m, M; p, q) = \begin{cases} C(m, M; p, q) & \text{if } qm^{p-1} \leq \frac{M^p - m^p}{M - m} \leq qM^{p-1} \\ m^{p-q} & \text{if } \frac{M^p - m^p}{M - m} < qm^{p-1} \\ M^{p-q} & \text{if } qM^{p-1} < \frac{M^p - m^p}{M - m} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

and $C(m, M; p, q) = C_p(m, M; q)$ is the Furuta constant.

Proof. First, we shall prove that the inequality

$$h^{p-1} \geq \frac{(q - 1)^{q-1}}{q^q} \frac{(h^p - 1)^q}{(h - 1)(h^p - h)^{q-1}} \quad (6)$$

holds for all $p > 1$, $q > 1$ and $h > 1$ with $q \leq \frac{h^p - 1}{h - 1} \leq qh^{p-1}$. Put $l(t) = \mu t + f(m) - \mu m$, $t_0 = \frac{q}{q-1} \frac{-f(m) - \mu m}{\mu}$ and $g(t) = t^q$, where $\mu = \frac{h^p - 1}{h - 1}$, $f(m) - \mu m = \frac{h - h^p}{h - 1}$. Since $p, q, h > 1$, it follows that $\mu \geq 0$ and $f(m) - \mu m \leq 0$. We see that the condition $q \leq \frac{h^p - 1}{h - 1} \leq qh^{p-1}$ is equivalent to the condition $1 \leq t_0 \leq h$, and

$$\max_{1 \leq t_0 \leq h} \left\{ \frac{l(t)}{g(t)} \right\} = \frac{\mu_f t_0 + f(m) - \mu_f m}{t_0^q} = \frac{(q - 1)^{q-1}}{q^q} \frac{(h^p - 1)^q}{(h - 1)(h^p - h)^{q-1}}.$$

Put $l_1(t) = \frac{\mu + f(m) - \mu m}{t}$ and $g_1(t) = t^{q-1}$. Then $l_1(t)$ and $g_1(t)$ are increasing, so we have $l_1(h) \geq l_1(t_0) > 0$ and $g_1(t_0) \geq g_1(1) > 0$. Hence it follows that

$$h^{p-1} = \frac{l_1(h)}{g_1(1)} \geq \frac{l_1(t_0)}{g_1(t_0)} = \frac{\mu_f t_0 + f(m) - \mu_f m}{t_0} \frac{1}{t_0^{q-1}},$$

as desired inequality (6).

Now, we prove the first inequality in (4). Put $h = \frac{M}{m} > 1$. If $qm^{p-1} \leq \frac{M^p - m^p}{M - m} \leq qM^{p-1}$, then this inequality follows from (6)

$$\frac{M^{p-1}}{m^{q-1}} = m^{q-p} h^{p-1} \geq m^{q-p} \frac{(q - 1)^{q-1}}{q^q} \frac{(h^p - 1)^q}{(h - 1)(h^p - h)^{q-1}} = C_p(m, M; q).$$

Otherwise, we see that $\frac{M^{p-1}}{m^{q-1}} \geq \frac{m^{p-1}}{m^{q-1}}$ and $\frac{M^{p-1}}{m^{q-1}} \geq \frac{M^{p-1}}{M^{q-1}}$. Therefore we have the first inequality in (4). We have the second inequality in (4) if we choose α such that $\beta = 0$ in the first inequality of Corollary 15. Then it follows that α coincides with $C_+(m, M; p, q)$ in (4).

REMARK 18. If we put $q = p$ in (6), then the assumption is automatically satisfied and so the constant $C_+(m, M; p, q)$ coincides with the Ky Fan-Furuta constant $C(m, M; p)$. Therefore we have Theorem A (Furuta).

As a generalization of Theorem 17, we show the following theorem.

THEOREM 19. *Let A and B be positive operators on a Hilbert space H satisfying $\text{Sp}(B) \subseteq [m, M]$, where $M > m > 0$. If $A \geq B > 0$, then*

$$C_+(m^r, M^r; \frac{p-1+r}{r}, \frac{q-1+r}{r})A^q \geq B^p \quad \text{for all } p > 1, q > 1 \text{ and } r > 1,$$

where $C_+(m, M; p, q)$ is defined as (5).

To prove Theorem 19, we need the following Furuta inequality [1]:

THEOREM F (the Furuta inequality). *If $A \geq B \geq 0$, then for each $r \geq 0$,*

$$\left(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^pB^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq \left(B^{\frac{r}{2}}B^pB^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(A^{\frac{r}{2}}A^pA^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq \left(A^{\frac{r}{2}}B^pA^{\frac{r}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

hold for $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$ with $(1+r)q \geq p+r$.

Proof of Theorem 19. By Furuta inequality, it follows that $A \geq B$ ensures that $(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^qB^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1+r}{q+r}} \geq B^{1+r}$ for all $q > 1$ and $r > 0$. Put $A_1 = (B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^qB^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1+r}{q+r}}$ and $B_1 = B^{1+r}$, then $A_1 \geq B_1 > 0$ and $M^{1+r}1_H \geq B_1 \geq m^{1+r}1_H > 0$. Applying Theorem 17 to A_1 and B_1 , we have

$$C_+(m^{1+r}, M^{1+r}; p_1, q_1)A_1^{q_1} \geq B_1^{p_1} \quad \text{for all } p_1 > 1 \text{ and } q_1 > 1.$$

Put $p_1 = \frac{p+r}{1+r} > 1$ and $q_1 = \frac{q+r}{1+r} > 1$, then we have

$$C_+\left(m^{1+r}, M^{1+r}; \frac{p+r}{1+r}, \frac{q+r}{1+r}\right)B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^qB^{\frac{r}{2}} \geq B^{p+r} \quad \text{for all } p > 1 \text{ and } q > 1.$$

Multiply $B^{-\frac{r}{2}}$ on both sides and replace r by $r-1$, then it follows that

$$C_+\left(m^r, M^r; \frac{p-1+r}{r}, \frac{q-1+r}{r}\right)A^q \geq B^p \quad \text{for all } p > 1, q > 1 \text{ and } r > 1. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. FURUTA, $A \geq B \geq 0$ assures $(B^rA^pB^r)^{1/q} \geq B^{(p+2r)/q}$ for $r \geq 0, p \geq 0, q \geq 1$ with $(1+2r)q \geq p+2r$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1987), 85–88.
- [2] T. FURUTA, *Operator inequalities associated with Hölder-McCarthy and Kantorovich inequalities*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2** (1998), 137–148.
- [3] S. IZUMINO, R. NAKAMOTO, *Functional orders of positive operators induced from Mond-Pečarić convex inequalities*, Scientiae Mathematicae, **2** (1999), 195–200.
- [4] J. MIČIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, Y. SEO, *Function order of positive operators based on the Mond-Pečarić method*, to appear in Linear Algebra Appl.
- [5] J. MIČIĆ, Y. SEO, S.-E. TAKAHASI, M. TOMINAGA, *Inequalities of Furuta and Mond-Pečarić*, Math. Ineq. Appl. **2** (1999), 83–111.
- [6] B. MOND, J. E. PEČARIĆ, *Convex inequalities in Hilbert spaces*, Houston J. Math. **19** (1993), 405–420.
- [7] T. Yamazaki, *An extension of Specht's theorem via Kantorovich inequality and related results*, Math. Ineq. Appl. **3** (2000), 89–96.

Jadranka Mičić
Technical College Zagreb,
University of Zagreb,
Konavoska 2, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail: Jadranka.Micic@public.srce.hr

Josip Pečarić
Faculty of Textile Technology
University of Zagreb
Pierottijeve 6, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail: pecaric@hazu.hr

Yuki Seo
Tennoji Branch, Senior Highschool,
Osaka Kyoiku University, Tennoji,
Osaka 543-0054, Japan.
e-mail: yukis@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp