

## ABOUT VON NEUMANN'S INEQUALITY FOR $d$ -CONTRACTIONS

OCTAVIAN CRĂSNARU

### 1. Introduction

A  $d$ -contraction is a  $d$ -tuple  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)$  of mutually commuting operators acting on a common Hilbert space  $H$  satisfying:

$$\|T_1 \xi_1 + \dots + T_d \xi_d\|^2 \leq \|\xi_1\|^2 + \dots + \|\xi_d\|^2$$

for every  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d \in H$ . These are the higher dimensional counterparts of contraction.

A distinguished  $d$ -contraction, which acts on a new  $H^2$  space associated with the unit ball  $B_d$  in complex  $d$ -space, is the  $d$ -shift  $(S_1, \dots, S_d)$ . This spaces is a natural generalization of the familiar Hardy space of the unit disk. We will show, briefly, the way of defining this space.

$\mathcal{P}$  will denote the algebra of all complex holomorphic polynomials  $f$  in the variable  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_d)$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  we write  $E^n$  for the symmetric tensor product of  $n$  copies of  $E = \mathbf{C}^d \cdot E^0$  is defined as the one dimensional vector space  $\mathbf{C}$  with its usual inner product.

It is shown that: every polynomial  $f : E \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  takes the form:

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n \langle z^k, \xi_k \rangle_{E^k}, \quad z \in E$$

where:  $z^k = \underbrace{z \oplus \dots \oplus z}_k \in E^k, \quad z \in E$ .

We define a Hilbert seminorm on  $\mathcal{P}$  as follows:

$$\|f\|^2 = \|\xi_0\|^2 + \|\xi_1\|^2 + \dots + \|\xi_n\|^2 \tag{1.1}$$

$H_d^2$  is defined as the Hilbert space obtained by completing  $\mathcal{P}$  in the norm (1.1).

When there is no possibility of confusion concerning the dimension we will abbreviate  $H_d^2$  with the simpler  $H^2$ .

More information can be found in the paper [1] of W. Arverson.

By a multiplier of  $H^2$  we mean a complex-valued function  $f : B_d \mapsto \mathbf{C}$  with the property:

$$f \cdot H^2 \subset H^2.$$

The algebra of all multipliers is denoted  $\mathcal{M}$ .

*Mathematics subject classification* (2000): 47C10.

*Key words and phrases*:  $d$ -contraction, operator, Hilbert space, shift.

Every  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  defines a unique bounded operator  $M_f$  on  $H^2$  by way of:

$$M_f : g \in H^2 \mapsto f \cdot g \in H^2.$$

The natural norm in  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sup\{\|f \cdot g\| : g \in H^2, \|g\| \leq 1\}$$

satisfies:

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \|M_f\|.$$

We turn now to the definition of the  $d$ -dimensional analogue of the unilateral shift.

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d$  be an orthonormal basis for  $E = \mathbf{C}^d$ , and define  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d \in \mathcal{P}$  by:

$$z_k(z) = \langle z, e_k \rangle, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}^d.$$

Such a  $d$ -tuple of linear functionals will be called a system of coordinate functions.

Let  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_d$  be a system of coordinate functions for  $\mathbf{C}^d$  and let  $S_k = M_{z_k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, d$ .

The  $d$ -tuple of operators:

$$\bar{S} = (S_1, S_2, \dots, S_d)$$

is called the  $d$ -dimensional shift or, briefly, the  $d$ -shift.

Perhaps the most natural generalization of von Neumann's inequality for  $d$ -dimensional operator theory would make the following assertion.

Let  $\bar{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$  be a  $d$ -contraction and let  $f = f(z_1, \dots, z_d)$  be a polynomial in  $d$ -complex variables  $z_1, \dots, z_d$ . Then:

$$\|f(T_1, \dots, T_d)\| \leq \sup_{\|z\| \leq 1} |f(z_1, \dots, z_d)|.$$

In [1], W. Arveson show that this inequality fails rather spectacularly for the  $d$ -shift, in that there is no constant  $k$  for which:

$$\|f(S_1, \dots, S_d)\| \leq \sup_{\|z\| \leq 1} |f(z_1, \dots, z_d)|,$$

holds for all polynomials  $f$ .

W. Arveson in [1] establishes an appropriate version for von Neumann's inequality for dimension  $d \geq 2$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\bar{T} = T_1, \dots, T_d$  be an arbitrary  $d$ -contraction acting on a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then for every polynomial  $f$  in  $d$  complex variables we have:*

$$\|f(T_1, \dots, T_d)\| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}},$$

$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}}$  being the norm of  $f$  in the multiplier algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $H^2$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem given by W. Arveson in [1] is based on the notion of  $A$ -morphism. In this paper we will give a more simple proof, without using the notion of  $A$ -morphism, based on dilations, as in the case of proving von Neumann's inequality for a single contraction.

**2. Proof of the theorem 1.1**

REMARK 2.1. Every  $d$ -contraction  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)$  in  $B(H)$  gives rise to a normal completely positive map  $P$  on  $B(H)$  by way of:

$$P(A) = T_1AT_1^* + \dots + T_dAT_d^*, A \in B(H).$$

Because:

$$T_1T_1^* + \dots + T_dT_d^* \leq 1$$

we have  $P(1) \leq 1$ , and in fact the sequence  $A_n = P^n(1)$  is decreasing:  $A_0 = 1 \geq A_1 \geq A_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ . Thus:

$$A_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P^n(1)$$

exists as a limit in the strong operator topology and satisfies:  $0 \leq A_\infty \leq 1$ .

A  $d$ -contraction  $\bar{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$  is called null if  $A_\infty = 0$ . Notice that if the row norm of  $\bar{T}$  is less than 1, i.e.,  $T_1T_1^* + \dots + T_dT_d^* \leq r \cdot 1$  fore some  $0 < r < 1$ , then:  $\|P\| = \|P(1)\| \leq r < 1$  and hence  $\bar{T}$  is a null  $d$ -contraction.

THEOREM 2.2. [1] Let  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)$  be a  $d$ -contraction on a Hilbert space  $H$ , define the operator

$$\Delta = (1 - T_1T_1^* - \dots - T_dT_d^*)^{1/2}$$

and the subspace  $K = \overline{\Delta H}$ . Let  $E$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Hilbert space and let:

$$\mathcal{F}_+(E) = \mathbf{C} \oplus E \oplus E^2 \oplus \dots$$

be the symmetric Fock space over  $E$ .

Then for every orthonormal basis  $e_1, \dots, e_d$  for  $E$  there is a unique bounded operator  $L : \mathcal{F}_+(E) \otimes K \mapsto H$  satisfying

$$L(1 \otimes \xi) = \Delta \xi \text{ and}$$

$$L(e_{i_1}e_{i_2} \dots e_{i_n} \otimes \xi) = T_{i_1} \dots T_{i_n} \Delta \xi \tag{2.1}$$

for every  $i_1, \dots, i_n \in \{1, 2, \dots, d\}, n = 1, 2, \dots$

In general we have  $\|L\| \leq 1$ , and if  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)$  is a null  $d$ -tuple, then  $L$  is a coisometry.

REMARK 2.3. We may consider the  $d$ -shift  $(S_1, \dots, S_d)$  is defined on  $\mathcal{F}_+(E)$  by:

$$S_k \xi = e_k \xi, k = 1, 2, \dots, d,$$

where  $e_k \xi$  denotes the projection of  $e_k \otimes \xi \in \mathcal{F}(E)$  to the symmetric subspace  $\mathcal{F}_+(E)$ . (2.1) implies that:

$$L(f(S_1, \dots, S_d) \otimes 1_K) = f(T_1, \dots, T_d)L \tag{2.2}$$

for every polynomial  $f$  in  $d$  variables.

In general, a dilation theorem is a result wich characterizes some class of maps into  $B(H)$  as a compressions to  $H$  of "nicer" maps into  $B(K)$ , where  $K$  is a Hilbert space containing  $H$ .

REMARK 2.4. If  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)$  is a null  $d$ -contraction, then  $L$  is a coisometry. In this case we may identify  $H$  with the subspace  $L^*H$  of  $\mathcal{F}_+(E) \otimes K$ .

With this identification,  $L$  becomes the projection of  $\mathcal{F}_+(E) \otimes K$  onto  $H, P_H$ . Thus, we see that (2.2) implies:

$$f(T_1, \dots, T_d) = P_H(f(S_1, \dots, S_d) \otimes 1_K)|_H, \quad (2.3)$$

for every polynomial  $f$  in  $d$  variables.

So that when  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)$  is a null  $d$ -contraction, theorem 2.2[1] is a dilation result in the sense described above.

REMARK 2.5. If  $(T_1, \dots, T_d)$  is a null  $d$ -contraction, (2.3) implies:

$$\|f(T_1, \dots, T_d)\| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}} \quad (2.4)$$

for every polynomial  $f$  in  $d$  variables.

REMARK 2.6. The general case is deduced from this by a simple device. Let  $\bar{T} = (T_1, \dots, T_d)$  be any  $d$ -contraction, choose a number  $r$  so that:  $0 < r < 1$ , and set:

$$\bar{T}_r = (rT_1, \dots, rT_d).$$

The row norm of the  $d$ -tuple  $\bar{T}_r$  is at most  $r$ , hence  $\bar{T}_r$  is a null  $d$ -contraction. (2.4) implies:

$$\|f(rT_1, \dots, rT_d)\| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}},$$

for every polynomial  $f$  in  $d$  variables, whence obtain:

$$\|f(rT_1, \dots, rT_d)\| \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}},$$

for every polynomial  $f$  in  $d$  variables.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. ARVESON, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras III: multivariable operator theory*, 1997.
- [2] PAULSEN, VERN, I., *Completely bounded maps and dilations*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc, New York, 1986.

Octavian Crăsnaru  
Str. Republicii, Nr. 6/c  
Sc. C, Et. II, Ap. 10  
Jimbolia, 1953, Jud. Timis  
Romania  
e-mail: tcrasnaru@yahoo.com