

INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES OF THE BIHARI TYPE

B. G. PACHPATTE

(communicated by S. Saitoh)

Abstract. In this paper a new integral inequality similar to Bihari's inequality and its two independent variable generalization are established. The discrete analogues of the main results are also given.

1. Introduction

I. Bihari [3] proved an integral inequality, which has played a fundamental role in the development of the theory of differential and integral equations. A great deal of attention has been given to this inequality and many papers dealing with various generalizations, extensions and discrete analogues have appeared in the literature, see [1–10] and the references given therein. However, the well known Gronwall inequality and its nonlinear version due to Bihari are not directly applicable in certain situations. It is desirable to find a new inequality of the Bihari type containing the Gronwall type inequality, which will prove its importance to achieve a diversity of desired goals. The main purpose of this paper is to establish a new inequality similar to Bihari's inequality and its two independent variable generalization. The discrete analogues of the main results and some applications are also given.

2. Main results

In what follows, we denote by \mathbf{R} the set of real numbers. Let $\mathbf{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$, $\mathbf{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $'$ denote the derivative. For any real-valued function $z(x, y)$, $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ the first order partial derivatives with respect to x and y are denoted by $D_1z(x, y)$ and $D_2z(x, y)$, respectively. We use the usual convention that the empty sum is taken to be 0.

Our main result is given in the following theorem.

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 26D15.

Key words and phrases: Integral inequalities, real-valued functions.

THEOREM 1. Let $u(t), f(t) \in c(\mathbf{R}_+, \mathbf{R}_+)$, $h(t, s) \in c(\mathbf{R}_+^2, \mathbf{R}_+)$, for $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ and $c \geq 0$, $p > 1$ are real constants. Let $g \in c(\mathbf{R}_+, \mathbf{R}_+)$ be a nondecreasing function, $g(u) > 0$ for $u > 0$ and

$$u^p(t) \leq c + \int_0^t \left[f(s)g(u(s)) + \int_0^s h(s, \sigma)g(u(\sigma))d\sigma \right] ds, \quad (2.1)$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$, then for $0 \leq t \leq t_1$,

$$u(t) \leq [G^{-1}[G(c) + A(t)]]^{1/p}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$A(t) = \int_0^t \left[f(s) + \int_0^s h(s, \sigma)d\sigma \right] ds, \quad (2.3)$$

$$G(r) = \int_{r_0}^r \frac{ds}{g(s^{1/p})}, \quad r > 0, \quad r_0 > 0, \quad (2.4)$$

G^{-1} is the inverse function of G and $t_1 \in \mathbf{R}_+$ is chosen so that

$$G(c) + A(t) \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}),$$

for all $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$ lying in the interval $0 \leq t \leq t_1$ of \mathbf{R}_+ .

Proof. We first assume that $c > 0$ and define a function $z(t)$ by the right hand side of (2.1). Then $z(t) > 0$, $z(0) = c$, $u(t) \leq (z(t))^{1/p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z'(t) &= f(t)g(u(t)) + \int_0^t h(t, \sigma)g(u(\sigma))d\sigma \\ &\leq f(t)g((z(t))^{1/p}) + \int_0^t h(t, \sigma)g((z(\sigma))^{1/p})d\sigma \\ &\leq g((z(t))^{1/p}) \left[f(t) + \int_0^t h(t, \sigma)d\sigma \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}G(z(t)) &= \frac{z'(t)}{g((z(t))^{1/p})} \\ &\leq \left[f(t) + \int_0^t h(t, \sigma)d\sigma \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

By setting $t = s$ in (2.6) and integrating it from 0 to t we have

$$G(z(t)) \leq G(c) + A(t). \quad (2.7)$$

Since G^{-1} is increasing, from (2.7) we have

$$z(t) \leq G^{-1}[G(c) + A(t)]. \quad (2.8)$$

Using (2.8) in $u(t) \leq (z(t))^{1/p}$ we have the required inequality in (2.2). If c is nonnegative, we carry out the above procedure with $c + \varepsilon$ instead of c , where $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary small constant, and by letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (2.2). The interval $0 \leq t \leq t_1$ is obvious.

REMARK 1. We note that the definition of the function G in (2.4) is motivated from the recent work of Medved [6, p. 298].

If $\int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{g(s^{1/p})} = \infty$, then $G(\infty) = \infty$ and the inequality in (2.2) is true for $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$.

An interesting and useful special version of Theorem 1 is given in the following

COROLLARY 1. Let u, f, h, c, p be as in theorem 1. If

$$u^p(t) \leq c + \int_0^t \left[f(s)u(s) + \int_0^s h(s, \sigma)u(\sigma)d\sigma \right] ds, \tag{2.9}$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$, then

$$u(t) \leq \left[c^{(p-1)/p} + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right) A(t) \right]^{1/(p-1)}, \tag{2.10}$$

for $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$, where $A(t)$ is defined by (2.3).

Proof. Let $g(u) = u$ in Theorem 1. Then (2.1) reduces to (2.9) and

$$G(r) = \frac{p}{p-1} \left[r^{(p-1)/p} - r_0^{(p-1)/p} \right],$$

$$G^{-1}(r) = \left[\frac{p-1}{p} r + r_0^{(p-1)/p} \right]^{p/(p-1)}$$

and consequently the bound obtained in (2.2) reduces to the bound in (2.10).

REMARK 2. In the special case when $p = 2$, then inequality given in corollary 1 reduces to a variant of the inequality given in [7, p. 233]. For an explicit bound on a different version of the inequality (2.9), see, also Willett and Wong [10].

An important and useful two independent variable generalization of theorem 1 is embodied in the

THEOREM 2. Let $u(x, y), f(x, y) \in c(\mathbf{R}_+^2, \mathbf{R}_+)$, $h(x, y, s, t) \in c(\mathbf{R}_+^2 \times \mathbf{R}_+^2, \mathbf{R}_+)$ for $0 \leq s \leq x < \infty, 0 \leq t \leq y < \infty$. Let c, p, g, G, G^{-1} be as in Theorem 1. If

$$u^p(x, y) \leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y \left[f(s, t)g(u(s, t)) + \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s, t, \sigma, \eta)g(u(\sigma, \eta))d\eta d\sigma \right] ds dt \tag{2.11}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}_+$, then for $0 \leq x \leq x_1, 0 \leq y \leq y_1, x, x_1, y, y_1 \in \mathbf{R}_+$,

$$u(x, y) \leq [G^{-1}[G(c) + A(x, y)]]^{1/p}, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$A(x, y) = \int_0^x \int_0^y \left[f(s, t) + \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s, t, \sigma, \eta)d\eta d\sigma \right] dt ds, \tag{2.13}$$

and $x_1, y_1 \in \mathbf{R}_+$, are chosen so that

$$G(c) + A(x, y) \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}),$$

for all x, y lying in the intervals $0 \leq x \leq x_1, 0 \leq y \leq y_1$ of \mathbf{R}_+ .

Proof. Let $c > 0$ and define a function $z(x, y)$ by the right hand side of (2.11). Then $z(0, y) = z(x, 0) = c, u(x, y) \leq (z(x, y))^{1/p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 z(x, y) &= \int_0^y \left[f(x, t)g(u(x, t)) + \int_0^x \int_0^t h(x, t, \sigma, \eta)g(u(\sigma, \eta))d\eta d\sigma \right] dt \\ &\leq \int_0^y \left[f(x, t)g((z(x, t))^{1/p}) + \int_0^x \int_0^t h(x, t, \sigma, \eta)g((z(\sigma, \eta))^{1/p})d\eta d\sigma \right] dt \\ &\leq g((z(x, y))^{1/p}) \int_0^y \left[f(x, t) + \int_0^x \int_0^t h(x, t, \sigma, \eta)d\eta d\sigma \right] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.4) and (2.14) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 G(z(x, y)) &= \frac{D_1 z(x, y)}{g((z(x, y))^{1/p})} \\ &\leq \int_0^y \left[f(x, t) + \int_0^x \int_0^t h(x, t, \sigma, \eta)d\eta d\sigma \right] dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Keeping y fixed in (2.15), setting $x = s$ and integrating with respect to s from 0 to x and using the fact that $z(0, y) = c$, we have

$$G(z(x, y)) \leq G(c) + A(x, y). \quad (2.16)$$

Now substituting the bound on $z(x, y)$ from (2.16) in $u(x, y) \leq (z(x, y))^{1/p}$ we obtain the desired bound in (2.12). The proof of the case when $c \geq 0$ can be completed as mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1. The domain $0 \leq x \leq x_1, 0 \leq y \leq y_1$ is obvious.

Next, we shall give the following corollary whose proof is similar to that of corollary 1.

COROLLARY 2. *Let u, f, c, p be as in Theorem 2. If*

$$u^p(x, y) \leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y \left[f(s, t)u(s, t) + \int_0^s \int_0^t h(s, t, \sigma, \eta)u(\sigma, \eta)d\eta d\sigma \right] dt ds, \quad (2.17)$$

for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}_+$, then

$$u(x, y) \leq \left[c^{(p-1)/p} + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right) A(x, y) \right]^{1/(p-1)}, \quad (2.18)$$

for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}_+$, where $A(x, y)$ is defined by (2.13).

REMARK 3. We note that, the upper bound on the inequality (2.17) when $p = 1$ and $h = 0$ is established by Wendroff (see [2, p. 154]). For various generalizations of Wendroff's inequality, see [1, 7].

3. Discrete analogues

The discrete analogue of the inequality given in Theorem 1 is established in the following

THEOREM 3. *Let $u(n), f(n), h(n, s), 0 \leq s \leq n < \infty, n, s \in \mathbf{N}_0$ be real-valued nonnegative functions. Let p, c, g, G, G^{-1} be as in Theorem 1. If*

$$u^p(n) \leq c + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left[f(s)g(u(s)) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} h(s, \sigma)g(u(\sigma)) \right], \tag{3.1}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, then for $0 \leq n \leq n_1, n, n_1 \in \mathbf{N}_0$,

$$u(n) \leq [G^{-1}[G(c) + B(n)]]^{1/p}, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$B(n) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left[f(s) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} h(s, \sigma) \right], \tag{3.3}$$

and $n_1 \in \mathbf{N}_0$ is chosen so that

$$G(c) + B(n) \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1}),$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}_0$ lying in $0 \leq n \leq n_1$.

Proof. First we assume that $c > 0$ and define a function $z(n)$ by the right hand side of (3.1). Then $z(n) > 0, z(0) = c, u(n) \leq (z(n))^{1/p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z(n+1) - z(n) &= f(n)g(u(n)) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} h(n, \sigma)g(u(\sigma)). \\ &\leq g((z(n))^{1/p}) \left[f(n) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} h(n, \sigma) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

From (2.4) and (3.4) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} G(z(n+1)) - G(z(n)) &= \int_{z(n)}^{z(n+1)} \frac{ds}{g(s^{1/p})} \\ &\leq \frac{z(n+1) - z(n)}{g((z(n))^{1/p})} \\ &\leq f(n) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{n-1} h(n, \sigma). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

By takin $n = s$ in (3.5) and summing up over s from 0 to $n - 1$, it follows that

$$G(z(n)) \leq G(c) + B(n). \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.6) we have

$$z(n) \leq G^{-1}[G(c) + B(n)] \tag{3.7}$$

Using (3.7) in $u(n) \leq (z(n))^{1/p}$ we have the required inequality in (3.2). The proof of the case when $c \geq 0$ can be completed as mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1, $0 \leq n \leq n_1$ is obvious.

COROLLARY 3. *Let u, f, h, c, p be as in Theorem 3. If*

$$u^p(n) \leq c + \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left[f(s)u(s) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} h(s, \sigma)u(\sigma) \right], \tag{3.8}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, then

$$u(n) \leq \left[c^{(p-1)/p} + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right) B(n) \right]^{1/(p-1)}, \tag{3.9}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, where $B(n)$ is defined by (3.3).

The proof is similar to that of mentioned in corollary 1, and we omit it here.

REMARK 4. We note that, the discrete version of Bihari’s inequality is established by Hull and Luxemburg in [4]. For other useful nonlinear discrete inequalities, see [8–10].

The following result is the discrete analogue of the inequality given in Theorem 2.

THEOREM 4. *Let $u(m, n), f(m, n), h(m, n, s, t), 0 \leq s \leq m < \infty, 0 \leq t \leq n < \infty, m, n, s, t \in \mathbf{N}_0$, be real-valued nonnegativ functions. Let c, p, g, G, G^{-1} be as in Theorem 1. If*

$$u^p(m, n) \leq c + \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \left[f(s, t)g(u(s, t)) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\eta=0}^{t-1} h(s, t, \sigma, \eta)g(u(\sigma, \eta)) \right], \tag{3.10}$$

for $m, n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, then for $0 \leq m \leq m_1, 0 \leq n \leq n_1, m, m_1, n, n_1 \in \mathbf{N}_0$,

$$u(m, n) \leq [G^{-1}[G(c) + B(m, n)]]^{1/p}, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$B(m, n) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \left[f(s, t) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\eta=0}^{t-1} h(s, t, \sigma, \eta) \right] \tag{3.12}$$

and $m_1, n_1 \in \mathbf{N}_0$ are chosen so that

$$G(c) + B(m, n) \in \text{Dom}(G^{-1})$$

for all m, n lying in $0 \leq m \leq m_1, 0 \leq n \leq n_1$.

Proof. First we assume that $c > 0$ and define a function $z(m, n)$ by the right hand side fo (3.10). Then $z(0, n) = z(m, 0) = c$, $u(m, n) \leq (z(m, n))^{1/p}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z(m + 1, n) - z(m, n) &= \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \left[f(m, t)g(u(m, t)) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\eta=0}^{t-1} h(m, t, \sigma, \eta)g(u(\sigma, \eta)) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \left[f(m, t)g((z(m, t))^{1/p}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\eta=0}^{t-1} h(m, t, \sigma, \eta)g((z(\sigma, \eta))^{1/p}) \right] \\ &\leq g((z(m, n))^{1/p}) \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \left[f(m, t) \sum_{\sigma=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\eta=0}^{t-1} h(m, t, \sigma, \eta) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

From (2.4), (3.13) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} G(z(m + 1, n)) - G(z(m, n)) &= \int_{z(m, n)}^{z(m+1, n)} \frac{ds}{g(s^{1/p})} \leq \frac{z(m + 1, n) - z(m, n)}{g((z(m, n))^{1/p})} \\ &\leq \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \left[f(m, t) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\eta=0}^{t-1} h(m, t, \sigma, \eta) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Keeping n fixed in (3.14), setting $m = s$ and summing over s from 0 to $m - 1$ we obtain

$$G(z(m, n)) \leq G(c) + B(m, n). \tag{3.15}$$

Now substituting the bound on $z(m, n)$ from (3.15) in $u(m, n) \leq (z(m, n))^{1/p}$, we obtained required inequality in (3.11). The proof of the case when $c \geq 0$ can be completed as mentioned in the proof of Theorem 1. The domain $0 \leq m \leq m_1$, $0 \leq n \leq n_1$ is obvious.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4 is given in the following

COROLLARY 4. *Let u, f, h, c, p be as in Theorem 4. If*

$$u^p(m, n) \leq c + \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \left[f(s, t)u(s, t) + \sum_{\sigma=0}^{s-1} \sum_{\eta=0}^{t-1} h(s, t, \sigma, \eta)u(\sigma, \eta) \right], \tag{3.16}$$

for $m, n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, then

$$u(m, n) \leq \left[c^{(p-1)/p} + \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right) B(m, n) \right]^{1/(p-1)}, \tag{3.17}$$

for $m, n \in \mathbf{N}_0$, where $B(m, n)$ is defined by (3.12).

The proof is similar to that of corollary 1. We omit the details.

REMARK 5. We note that the inequalities established in Theorems 2 and 4 can be extended very easily to functions of several independent variables. The precise formulations of these results are very close to that of given above and closely looking at the results given in [7, pp. 396–409] and [9].

4. Applications

In this section, we present some direct applications of Theorems 1 and 2 to obtain the explicit bounds on the solutions of certain differential equations.

EXAMPLE 1. As a first application, we obtain a bound on the solution of the differential equation

$$x^{p-1}(t)x'(t) = h(t, x(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (4.1)$$

where $x_0, p > 1$ are constants, $x : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $h : \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are continuous functions. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (4.1), then $x(t)$ satisfies the integral equation

$$\frac{x^p(t)}{p} - \frac{x_0^p}{p} = \int_0^t h(s, x(s)) ds. \quad (4.2)$$

We assume that the function h satisfies the condition

$$|h(t, x(t))| \leq f(t)g(|x(t)|), \quad (4.3)$$

where f and g are as defined in Theorem 1. From (4.2) and (4.3) we observe that

$$|x(t)|^p \leq |x_0|^p + \int_0^t pf(s)g(|x(s)|) ds. \quad (4.4)$$

Now a suitable application of Theorem 1 yields

$$|x(t)| \leq \left[G^{-1} \left[G(|x_0|^p) + \int_0^t pf(s) ds \right] \right]^{1/p}, \quad (4.5)$$

for $0 \leq t \leq t_1$, $t, t_1 \in \mathbf{R}_+$, where G, G^{-1} are defined in Theorem 1. Then right hand side of (4.5) gives the bound on the solution of (4.1) in terms of the known functions.

EXAMPLE 2. As a second application we establish the bound on the solution of the following partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(u^{p-1}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(x, y) \right) = F(x, y, u(x, y)), \quad (4.6)$$

with the given initial boundary conditions

$$u(x, 0) = \sigma(x), \quad u(0, y) = \tau(y), \quad \sigma(0) = \tau(0) = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

where $p > 1$ is a real constant, $u : \mathbf{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $F : \mathbf{R}_+^2 \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\sigma, \tau : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are continuous functions. Let $u(x, y) : \mathbf{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a solution of (4.6)–(4.7). It is easy to observe that the problem (4.6)–(4.7) is equivalent to the integral equation

$$u^p(x, y) = \sigma^p(x) + \tau^p(y) + p \int_0^x \int_0^y F(s, t, u(s, t)) dt ds. \quad (4.8)$$

We assume that

$$|F(x, y, u)| \leq h(x, y)|u|, \quad (4.9)$$

$$|\sigma^p(x) + \tau^p(y)| \leq c, \quad (4.10)$$

where $h : \mathbf{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is a continuous function and $c \geq 0$ is a real constant. From (4.8)–(4.10) we observe that

$$|u(x, y)|^p \leq c + \int_0^x \int_0^y ph(s, t)|u(s, t)| dt ds. \quad (4.11)$$

Now a suitable application of Theorem 2 yields

$$|u(x, y)| \leq \left[G^{-1} \left[G(c) + \int_0^x \int_0^y ph(s, t) dt ds \right] \right]^{1/p}, \quad (4.12)$$

for $0 \leq x \leq x_1$, $0 \leq y \leq y_1$, $x, y, x_1, y_1 \in \mathbf{R}_+$ where G, G^{-1} are as in Theorem 2. The right hand side of (4.12) gives the bound on the solution of (4.6)–(4.7) in terms of the known functions.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. BAINOV AND P. SIMEONOV, *Integral Inequalities and Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.
- [2] R. BELLMAN, *The stability of solutions of linear differential equations*, Duke Math. J. **10** (1943), 643–647.
- [3] I. BIHARI, *A generalization of lemma of Bellman and its applications to uniqueness problems of differential equations*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. **7** (1956), 71–94.
- [4] T. E. HULL AND W. A. J. LUXEMBURG, *Numerical methods and existence theorems for ordinary differential equations*, Numer. Math. **2** (1960), 30–41.
- [5] A. A. MARTYNIUK AND R. GUTOWSKI, *Integral Inequalities and stability of motion*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev (Russian), 1979.
- [6] M. MEDVED, *Nonlinear singular integral inequalities for functions in two and n independent variables*, J. Inequal. Appl. **5** (2000), 287–308.
- [7] B. G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for differential and integral equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1998.
- [8] B. G. PACHPATTE, *On some new inequalities related to a certain inequality arising in the theory of differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 736–751.
- [9] B. G. PACHPATTE, *Inequalities for finite difference equations*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. (2001), to appear.
- [10] D. WILLETT AND J. S. W. WONG, *On the discrete analogues of some generalizations of Gronwall's inequality*, Monatsh Math. **69** (1965), 362–367.

(Received April 29, 2001)

B. G. Pachpatte
57, Shri Niketen Colony
Aurangabad, 431 001
(Maharashtra) India