

HEINZ AND MCINTOSH INEQUALITIES, ALUTHGE TRANSFORMATION AND THE SPECTRAL RADIUS

DERMING WANG

(communicated by T. Furuta)

Abstract. Employing Heinz and McIntosh inequalities, this paper presents a simplified proof of Yamazaki's characterization of the spectral radius: If T_n is the n -th Aluthge transformation of a bounded linear operator T , then the sequence $\{\|T_n\|\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ converges to the spectral radius of T .

1. Introduction

Let T be a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space with spectrum $\sigma(T)$. The spectral radius $r(T)$ of T is defined by

$$r(T) = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

It is well known that the spectral radius may be characterized as

$$r(T) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|T^k\|^{1/k}. \quad (1)$$

Employing a norm inequality of Heinz and a laborious scheme, Yamazaki [6] recently obtained a new characterization (Theorem 3 below) of the spectral radius as the limit of the norm of the n -th Aluthge transformation of T . In this paper we will further employ a norm inequality due to McIntosh to give a simplified proof of Yamazaki's characterization.

2. Preliminaries

For a bounded linear operator T , we will write $T_0 = T$, and throughout the discussion, T and T_0 will be used interchangeably. Let $T = T_0 = U_0|T_0|$ be the polar decomposition of T . Following [1], we define

$$T_1 = |T_0|^{1/2}U_0|T_0|^{1/2}.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 47A10, 47A30.

Key words and phrases: Heinz and McIntosh inequalities, Aluthge transformation, spectral radius.

The operator T_1 is known as the Aluthge transformation, or first Aluthge transformation of T . Let $T_1 = U_1|T_1|$ be the polar decomposition of T_1 . The second Aluthge transformation T_2 of T is defined by

$$T_2 = |T_1|^{1/2}U_1|T_1|^{1/2}.$$

Inductively, if $T_n = U_n|T_n|$ is the polar decomposition of the n -th Aluthge transformation, one defines the $(n + 1)$ -st Aluthge transformation as

$$T_{n+1} = |T_n|^{1/2}U_n|T_n|^{1/2}.$$

Yamazaki’s characterization of the spectral radius is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\| = r(T). \tag{2}$$

3. The Result

Our proof, by Lemmas 1–4 and Theorem 3 below, employs the following two theorems. The first theorem is the McIntosh inequality, the second, the Heinz inequality.

THEOREM 1 ([5], [2, Theorem 1]). *For bounded linear operators A, B and X ,*

$$\|A^*XB\| \leq \|AA^*X\|^{1/2}\|XBB^*\|^{1/2}.$$

THEOREM 2 ([3], [4]). *For positive operators A and B , and bounded linear operator X ,*

$$\|A^\alpha XB^\alpha\| \leq \|AXB\|^\alpha \|X\|^{1-\alpha},$$

for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

For the Aluthge transformations defined above, it is apparent that $\|T_{n+1}\| \leq \|T_n\|$ for all $n \geq 0$. Moreover, it is known that $\sigma(T_n) = \sigma(T)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Consequently, the sequence $\{\|T_n\|\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a decreasing sequence which is bounded below by $r(T)$. This yields our first lemma.

LEMMA 1. *There is an $s \geq r(T)$ for which $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\| = s$.*

To prove (2), we need only show that $s = r(T)$. Our next lemma shows that for any positive integer k , the sequence $\{\|T_n^k\|\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is decreasing.

LEMMA 2. *For any positive integer k ,*

$$\|T_{n+1}^k\| \leq \|T_n^k\|$$

for all $n \geq 0$. Consequently, the decreasing sequence $\{\|T_n^k\|\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is convergent.

Proof. By Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{n+1}^k\| &= \||T_n|^{1/2}T_n^{k-1}U_n|T_n|^{1/2}\| \\ &\leq \||T_n|T_n^{k-1}U_n\|^{1/2}\|T_n^{k-1}U_n|T_n|\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|T_n^k\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Using Theorem 2, Lemma 3 was essentially proven by Yamazaki [6, Lemma 3]. For the sake of completeness, we reproduce the proof here.

LEMMA 3. For any positive integer k ,

$$\|T_{n+1}^k\| \leq \|T_n^{k+1}\|^{1/2} \|T_n^{k-1}\|^{1/2},$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof. By Theorem 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{n+1}^k\| &= \| |T_n|^{1/2} T_n^{k-1} U_n |T_n|^{1/2} \| \\ &\leq \| |T_n| T_n^{k-1} U_n |T_n| \|^{1/2} \| T_n^{k-1} U_n \|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \| T_n^{k+1} \|^{1/2} \| T_n^{k-1} \|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The next lemma shows that the decreasing sequence $\{\|T_n^k\|\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges to s^k , where $s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\|$ is as in Lemma 1.

LEMMA 4. For any positive integer k , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n^k\| = s^k$.

Proof. We will prove the lemma by induction. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\| = s$ by Lemma 1, the lemma is proven for $k = 1$. Assume the lemma is proven for $1 \leq k \leq m$. By Lemma 3,

$$\|T_{n+1}^m\| \leq \|T_n^{m+1}\|^{1/2} \|T_n^{m-1}\|^{1/2} \leq \|T_n^m\|^{1/2} \|T_n\|^{1/2} \|T_n^{m-1}\|^{1/2}. \quad (3)$$

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n^{m+1}\| = t$. The existence of the limit follows from Lemma 2. Taking limits, the induction hypothesis and (3) show that

$$s^m \leq t^{1/2} s^{m-1/2} \leq s^{m/2 + 1/2 + m/2} = s^m.$$

It follows that $t = s^{m+1}$, and the proof is complete. □

We are now ready to prove Yamazaki's characterization of the spectral radius.

THEOREM 3 ([6]). For any bounded linear operator T ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\| = r(T).$$

Proof. It follows from Lemmas 2 and 4 that, for each positive integer k , the decreasing sequence $\{\|T_n^k\|^{1/k}\}_{n=0}^\infty$ converges to s . Therefore,

$$s \leq \|T_n^k\|^{1/k} \quad (4)$$

for all n and k . Now fix an n . If $r(T) < s$, then (1) would imply that

$$\|T_n^k\|^{1/k} < s$$

for sufficiently large k . Clearly this is a contradiction to (4). Therefore, we must have $s = r(T)$, and the result follows from Lemma 1. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ALUTHGE, *On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < 1$* , Integr. Equat. Oper. Th., **13** (1990), 307–315.
- [2] R. BHATIA AND C. DAVIS, *A Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for operators with applications*, Linear Algebra Appl., **223/224** (1995), 119–129.
- [3] E. HEINZ, *Beiträge zur Störungstheorie der Spektralzerlegung*, Math. Ann., **123** (1951), 415–438.
- [4] T. KATO, *A generalization of the Heinz inequality*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A. Math. Sci., **37** (1961), 305–308.
- [5] A. MCINTOSH, *Heinz Inequalities and Perturbation of Spectral Families*, Macquarie Mathematics Reports 79-0006, Macquarie Univ., 1979.
- [6] T. YAMAZAKI, *An expression of the spectral radius via Aluthge transformation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **130** (2002), 1131–1137.

(Received June 5, 2001)

Derming Wang
Department of Mathematics
California State University, Long Beach
Long Beach, CA 90840-1001
USA
e-mail: dwang1@csulb.edu