

## A NOTE ON HILBERT'S INEQUALITY

HE LEPING, GAO MINGZHE AND WEI SHANGRONG

(communicated by J. Pečarić)

*Abstract.* In this paper, it is shown that some sharp results on Hilbert's inequality for double series can be obtained by means of the refined Cauchy's inequality.

### 1. Introduction

The inequality of the form

$$\left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m b_n / (m+n) \right)^2 \leq \pi^2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right) \quad (1)$$

is called Hilbert's double series theorem (see [1]). And the equality contained in (1) holds if and only if  $(a_n)$ , or  $(b_n)$ , is a zero-sequence.

In our previous paper [2], it was shown that the inequality (1) can be improved by introducing a weight function of the form  $\pi - 0(n)/\sqrt{n}$  (with  $0(n) > 0$ ). And then Gao and Yang [3] proved that an infimum of  $0(n)$  is:

$$0(1) = \pi/2 - 7/24 + \theta/320 \quad (0 < \theta < 1).$$

However, if we select a proper non-zero real number  $R_\omega$ , and the right-hand side of (1) can be replaced by

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n) a_n^2 \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n) b_n^2 \right) - R_\omega^2,$$

where  $\omega(n) = \pi - 0(n)/\sqrt{n}$  and  $0(n) > 0$ , then the further results of the papers [2] and [3] will be obtained.

The main purpose of this paper is to prove the existence of the non-zero real number  $R_\omega$  and to find an expression of  $R_\omega$ .

We first introduce some notations and function.

The inner product of two elements  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in an inner product space  $E$  is denoted by  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , and the norm is denoted by  $\|\alpha\| = \sqrt{(\alpha, \alpha)}$ .

*Mathematics subject classification* (2000): 26D15.

*Key words and phrases:* Double series, quadratic form, Hilbert's inequality, weight function.

We next introduce a binary quadratic form defined by

$$F(x, y) = \|\alpha\|^2 x^2 - 2(\alpha, \beta)xy + \|\beta\|^2 y^2 \quad (2)$$

where  $x = (\beta, \gamma)$  and  $y = (\alpha, \gamma)$ .

We further define

$$R = \|\alpha\| |(\beta, \gamma)| - \|\beta\| |(\alpha, \gamma)|. \quad (3)$$

The results involve  $R$  with  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  specified beforehand, and  $\gamma$  to be chosen for maximum felicity. It is obvious that, if  $\|\alpha\| |(\beta, \gamma)| = \|\beta\| |(\alpha, \gamma)|$ , then  $R = 0$ . Therefore, it is shrewd in every case to choose  $\gamma$  such that  $\|\alpha\| |(\beta, \gamma)| \neq \|\beta\| |(\alpha, \gamma)|$ .

## 2. Lemmas

LEMMA 1. *Let  $F(x, y)$  and  $R$  be defined by (2) and (3), respectively. If  $\|\alpha\| |(\beta, \gamma)| \neq \|\beta\| |(\alpha, \gamma)|$ , then  $F(x, y) \geq R^2 > 0$ , and the equality holds if and only if  $(\alpha, \beta)xy = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality to (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= \|\alpha\|^2 x^2 - 2(\alpha, \beta)xy + \|\beta\|^2 y^2 \\ &\geq \|\alpha\|^2 x^2 - 2|(\alpha, \beta)xy| + \|\beta\|^2 y^2 \\ &\geq (\|\alpha\| |x| - \|\beta\| |y|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|\alpha\| |(\beta, \gamma)| \neq \|\beta\| |(\alpha, \gamma)|$ ,

$$(\|\alpha\| |x| - \|\beta\| |y|)^2 = (\|\alpha\| |(\beta, \gamma)| - \|\beta\| |(\alpha, \gamma)|)^2 > 0.$$

It follows that

$$F(x, y) \geq R^2 > 0. \quad (4)$$

Clearly, the equality in (4) holds if and only if  $(\alpha, \beta)xy = 0$ .

LEMMA 2. *Suppose  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are three arbitrary vectors of the space  $E$ . If  $\|\gamma\| = 1$  and  $\|\alpha\| |(\beta, \gamma)| \neq \|\beta\| |(\alpha, \gamma)|$ , then*

$$(\alpha, \beta)^2 \leq \|\alpha\|^2 \|\beta\|^2 - R^2 \quad (5)$$

where  $R$  is defined by (3). And the equality contained in (5) holds if and only if the vector  $\gamma$  is a linear combination of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and  $(\alpha, \beta)xy = 0$ .

*Proof.* Consider the Gramm determinant constructed by the vectors  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$ . According to [4] we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} (\alpha, \alpha) & (\alpha, \beta) & (\alpha, \gamma) \\ (\beta, \alpha) & (\beta, \beta) & (\beta, \gamma) \\ (\gamma, \alpha) & (\gamma, \beta) & (\gamma, \gamma) \end{vmatrix} \geq 0. \quad (6)$$

The equality contained in (6) holds if and only if the vectors  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are linearly dependent. Since  $\|\alpha\| |(\beta, \gamma)| \neq \|\beta\| |(\alpha, \gamma)|$ , the vectors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are linearly independent. Hence the equality in (6) holds if and only if the vector  $\gamma$  is a linear combination of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

Expanding this determinant and using the condition  $\|\gamma\| = 1$ , we obtain from (6) that

$$\|\alpha\|^2 \|\beta\|^2 - (\alpha, \beta)^2 - F(x, y) \geq 0,$$

where  $F(x, y)$  is defined by (2). And then using the inequality (4), the result follows at once.

Actually, the inequality (5) is a refinement on Cauchy's inequality.

### 3. Main results

**THEOREM.** *If  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < +\infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n^2 < +\infty$ , then*

$$\left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m b_n / (m+n) \right)^2 < \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n) a_n^2 \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n) b_n^2 \right) - R_{\omega}^2 \tag{7}$$

where  $\omega(n) = \pi - 0(n)/\sqrt{n}$ ,  $0(n) > 0$  and  $R_{\omega}^2 > 0$ .

*Proof.* Define two functions by

$$\alpha = \frac{a_m}{(m+n)^{1/2}} \left( \frac{m}{n} \right)^{1/4} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \frac{b_n}{(m+n)^{1/2}} \left( \frac{n}{m} \right)^{1/4}. \tag{8}$$

An inner product of the vectors  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is defined by

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha \beta. \tag{9}$$

Using the inequality (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m b_n / (m+n) \right)^2 &= \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha \beta \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^2 \right) \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^2 \right) - R^2, \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where  $R$  is defined by (3).

Notice that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n) a_n^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n) b_n^2,$$

where  $\omega(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+n} \left( \frac{n}{m} \right)^{1/2}$ .

According to the paper [2] we have

$$\omega(n) = \pi - O(n)/\sqrt{n},$$

where  $O(n) > 0$  for all  $n \in N$ .

The norm with weight  $\omega(n)$  is denoted by

$$\|x\|_\omega = \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\pi - O(n)/\sqrt{n})x_n^2 \right). \tag{11}$$

We may write  $R$  in the form

$$R_\omega = \|a\|_\omega |(\beta, \gamma)| - \|b\|_\omega |(\alpha, \gamma)| \tag{12}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are given by (8), and  $\gamma$  is chosen by

$$\gamma = 6/mn\pi^2. \tag{13}$$

It is easy to deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\gamma\| &= \left( \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left( \frac{6}{mn\pi^2} \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{36}{m^2n^2\pi^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left( \frac{36}{\pi^4} \sum_{m=1}^\infty \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{n^2} \right)^{1/2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the vector  $\gamma$  satisfies the condition of Lemma 2 and it is obvious that  $\|\alpha\|_\omega |(\beta, \gamma)| \neq \|b\|_\omega |(\alpha, \gamma)|$ . Whence the inequality (10) can be written as

$$\left( \sum_{m=1}^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_m b_n / (m+n) \right)^2 \leq \|a\|_\omega^2 \|b\|_\omega^2 - R_\omega^2 \tag{14}$$

where  $R_\omega$  is given by (12).

Evidently, the vectors  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  defined respectively by (8) and (13) are linearly independent, it is impossible to take equality in (14). And owing to the fact that the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, we have  $R_\omega^2 > 0$ .

The proof of the theorem is completed.

*Note:* According to the paper [2], the expression of  $O(n)$  contained in (7) is given as follows:

$$O(n) = A_2(n) + C_2(n) - n/2(n+1)$$

where  $A_2(n) = 2\sqrt{n}(\arctan(1/\sqrt{n}))$  and

$$C_2(n) = - \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} \frac{\sqrt{n}B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2k} f^{(2k-1)}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) + \rho_s,$$

$f(t) = \frac{1}{1+t} \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^{1/2}$ ,  $t \in (0, 1]$ ,  $B_{j,s}$ , are Bernoulli numbers, viz.  $B_2 = 1/6$ ,  $B_4 = -1/30$ ,  $B_6 = 1/42$ ,  $B_8 = -1/30$ , etc.  $\rho_s$  is the remainder having the same sign as that of the first to be dropped, and having smaller absolute value in comparison.

COROLLARY 1. *If  $0 < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^2 < +\infty$ , then*

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m a_n / (m+n)\right)^2 < \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pi - O(n)/\sqrt{n}) a_n^2\right)^2 - \tilde{R}_\omega^2$$

where  $O(n) > 0$  and  $\tilde{R}_\omega^2 > 0$ .

*Proof.* We need only show that  $\tilde{R}_\omega^2 > 0$ . We obtain easily from (12) that

$$\tilde{R}_\omega^2 = \|a\|_\omega^2 \left( |(\beta, \gamma)| - |(\alpha, \gamma)| \right)^2 \tag{15}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are given respectively by (8) and (13), and  $\beta$  is defined by

$$\beta = \frac{a_n}{(m+n)^{1/2}} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Notice that  $\beta/\alpha = \sqrt{n}a_n/\sqrt{m}a_m \neq k$ , for all  $m, n \in N$ , where  $k$  is a constant.

Hence  $\tilde{R}_\omega^2$  in (15) is not equal to zero. In other words, we have  $\tilde{R}_\omega^2 > 0$ .

COROLLARY 2. *With the assumptions as in the theorem, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m b_n / (m+n)\right)^2 \\ & < \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pi - \alpha/\sqrt{n}) a_n^2\right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\pi - \alpha/\sqrt{n}) b_n^2\right) - R_\omega^2 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where  $\alpha = \pi/2 - 7/24 + \theta/320$  ( $0 < \theta < 1$ ) and  $R_\omega$  is given by (12).

*Proof.* In the paper [3], it was shown that

$$\omega(n) \leq \pi - \alpha/\sqrt{n}$$

where  $\omega(n)$  is a weight function of the form

$$\omega(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m+n} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{1/2},$$

and  $\alpha = \pi/2 - 7/24 + \theta/320$  ( $0 < \theta < 1$ ).

Thus the corollary follows.

If  $R_\omega^2$  contained in (16) is replaced by zero, then the result of the paper [3] is yielded. Clearly the inequality (16) is an improvement of the corresponding result of the paper [3].

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. POLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [2] GAO MINGZHE, *A Note on the Hardy-Hilbert Inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. Vol. **204**, No. **1** (1996), 346–351.
- [3] GAO MINGZHE AND YANG BICHEN, *on the Extended Hilbert's Inequality*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. **126**, No. **3** (1998), 751–759.
- [4] W. H. GREUB, *Linear Algebra*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1963, p. 161.

(Received November 4, 2001)

*He Leping*  
*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Normal College, Jishou University*  
*Jishou Hunan 416000*  
*People's Republic of China*  
*e-mail: lianheping@163.com*

*Gao Mingzhe*  
*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science*  
*Normal College, Jishou University*  
*Jishou Hunan 416000*  
*People's Republic of China*  
*e-mail: mingzhegao@163.com*

*Wei Shangrong*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Zhaoqing College*  
*Zhaoqing Guangdong 526062*  
*People's Republic of China*