

## ON A NEW EXTENSION OF HILBERT'S INEQUALITY

BICHENG YANG AND THEMISTOCLES M. RASSIAS

(communicated by A. M. Fink)

*Abstract.* This paper deals with a new extension of Hilbert's inequality with a  $(p, q)$ -parameter and a best constant factor. We also consider a more extended form and the equivalent inequality.

### 1. Introduction

If  $a_n, b_n \geq 0$ , such that  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^2 < \infty$ , then the well know Hilbert's inequality is written in the following form (see Hardy et al. [1, Ch.9]):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} < \pi \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1)$$

where the constant factor  $\pi$  is the best possible. Recently, (1) had been generalized with multiple by Yang [2], and strengthened by Gao et al. [3]. In the following we provide a classical extension form of (1) with  $(p, q)$ -parameter as follows (see [1]): If  $a_n, b_n \geq 0$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , and  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p < \infty$ ,  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^q < \infty$ , then one has

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (2)$$

where the constant factor  $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$  is the best possible. And equivalent form is (see Yang et al. [4]) is the following:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m+n+1} \right)^p < \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \right]^p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n^p, \quad (3)$$

where the constant factor  $[\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}]^p$  is still the best possible. It is obvious that for  $p = q = 2$ , (2) reduces to (1). Inequality (2) is called Hardy-Hilbert's inequality, and is important in analysis and its applications (see Mitrinović et al. [5]). In recent years, by deriving the following inequality of the weight coefficient  $\omega_1(r, n)$  ( $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, r > 1$ ), namely

*Mathematics subject classification* (2000): 26D15.

*Key words and phrases:* Hardy-Hilbert's inequality, weight coefficient,  $\beta$  function, Hölder's inequality.

Research supported by Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Institutions of Higher Learning, College and University (China, No. 0177).

$$\omega_1(r, n) = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{(1-\frac{1}{r})} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m + 1/2)^{\frac{1}{r}-1}}{m + n + 1} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{r})} - \frac{\ln 2 - \gamma}{(2n + 1)^{1+\frac{1}{r}}}, \quad (4)$$

Yang [6] gave a strengthened version of (2) as follows:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m + n + 1} < \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} - \frac{\ln 2 - \gamma}{(2n + 1)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \right] a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{q})} - \frac{\ln 2 - \gamma}{(2n + 1)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}} \right] b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\ln 2 - \gamma = 0.1159315^+$  ( $\gamma = 0.57721567^+$  is the Euler constant). And Yang [7] also proved another strengthened version of (2), which is different of (5). By introducing a parameter  $\lambda$ , and the  $\beta$  function, Yang et al. [4] gave a generalization of (1) as follows: If  $2 - \min\{p, q\} < \lambda \leq 2, 0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \frac{1}{2})^{1-\lambda} a_n^p < \infty$  and  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \frac{1}{2})^{1-\lambda} b_n^q < \infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m + n + 1)^\lambda} < k_\lambda(p) \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1-\lambda} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{1-\lambda} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad (6)$$

where the constant factor  $k_\lambda(p) = B(\frac{p+\lambda-2}{p}, \frac{q+\lambda-2}{q})$  is the best possible ( $B(u, v)$  is the  $\beta$  function). For  $\lambda = 1$ , inequality (6) reduces to (2). Yang et al. [8] summarized the above some results.

The main objective of this paper is to estimate the weight coefficient in the form

$$\omega_\lambda(r, n) = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\lambda(1-\frac{1}{r})} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m + n + 1)^\lambda} \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}, \quad \left(n \in N_0, r > 1, \begin{matrix} 0 < \lambda \leq r, \\ 0 < \lambda \leq r, \end{matrix}\right) \quad (7)$$

and provide a inequality related to the double series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n+1)^\lambda}$  with a best constant factor, which is a new extension of (1) but different from (6). We also consider the equivalent form and certain particular results.

### 2. Lemmas

First, we need the following formula of the  $\beta$  function (see [9]):

$$B(u, v) = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+t)^{u+v}} t^{-1+u} dt = B(v, u) \quad (u, v > 0), \quad (8)$$

as well as the following estimate (see Kuang et al. [10], and Yang et al. [4]):

If  $f^{(4)} \in C[0, \infty)$ ,  $\int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx < \infty$ , and  $(-1)^n f^{(n)}(x) > 0, f^{(n)}(\infty) = 0$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ ), then

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} f(m) < \int_0^{\infty} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} f(0) - \frac{1}{12} f'(0). \quad (9)$$

LEMMA 2.1. *If  $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$ ,  $r > 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq r$ , define the function  $R_\lambda(r, n)$  by*

$$R_\lambda(r, n) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^\lambda} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} dx - \frac{4r-\lambda}{3r} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+1)^\lambda 2^{\lambda/r}} - \frac{\lambda}{6(n+1)^{\lambda+1} 2^{\lambda/r}}. \tag{10}$$

Then  $R_\lambda(r, n) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Applying integration by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^\lambda} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} dx &= \frac{r}{\lambda} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^\lambda} d\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}} \\ &= \frac{r}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^\lambda} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}} \Big|_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 + r \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^{\lambda+1}} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}} dx \\ &= \frac{r}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{1}{(n+1)^\lambda 2^{\lambda/r}} + \frac{r^2}{\lambda+r} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^{\lambda+1}} d\left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}+1} \\ &> \frac{r}{\lambda(n+1)^\lambda 2^{\lambda/r}} + \frac{r^2}{2(\lambda+r)(n+1)^{\lambda+1} 2^{\lambda/r}}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Hence by (10), since  $r > 1$  and  $0 < \lambda \leq r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_\lambda(r, n) &> \left[ \frac{r}{\lambda} - \frac{4r-\lambda}{3r} \right] \frac{1}{(n+1)^\lambda 2^{\lambda/r}} + \left[ \frac{r^2}{2(\lambda+r)} - \frac{\lambda}{6} \right] \frac{1}{(n+1)^{\lambda+1} 2^{\lambda/r}} \\ &= \frac{(r-\lambda)(3r-\lambda)}{3r\lambda(n+1)^\lambda 2^{\lambda/r}} + \frac{3r^2-\lambda r-\lambda^2}{6(\lambda+r)(n+1)^{\lambda+1} 2^{\lambda/r}} > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Thus the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2.2. *If  $n \in N_0$ ,  $r > 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq r$ , and  $\omega_\lambda(r, n)$  is defined by (7), then*

$$\omega_\lambda(r, n) < B \left( \frac{\lambda}{r}, \lambda \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \right). \tag{13}$$

*Proof.* By fixed  $n$  and setting  $f(x) = \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^\lambda} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}$ ,  $x \in (-\frac{1}{2}, \infty)$ , by (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} &< \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^\lambda} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} dx \\ &+ \frac{1}{(n+1)^\lambda 2^{\lambda/r}} + \frac{1}{12} \left[ \frac{2\lambda}{(n+1)^{\lambda+1} 2^{\lambda/r}} + \frac{r-\lambda}{r} \cdot \frac{4}{(n+1)^\lambda 2^{\lambda/r}} \right] \\ &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^\lambda} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} dx \\ &- \left[ \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^0 \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^\lambda} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} dx - \frac{4r-\lambda}{3r(n+1)^\lambda 2^{\lambda/r}} - \frac{\lambda}{6(n+1)^{\lambda+1} 2^{\lambda/r}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Setting  $u = (x + \frac{1}{2}) / (n + \frac{1}{2})$ , by (8), we find

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n+1)^\lambda} \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} dx = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\lambda(\frac{1}{r}-1)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{u^{-1+\lambda/r}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\lambda(\frac{1}{r}-1)} B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \lambda(1 - \frac{1}{r})\right). \tag{15}$$

Hence by (14), (15) and (10), one gets

$$\omega_\lambda(r, n) < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \lambda(1 - \frac{1}{r})\right) - \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\lambda(1-\frac{1}{r})} R_\lambda(r, n).$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have (13). The lemma is proved.

LEMMA 2.3. *If  $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$ , and  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$ , then*

$$I := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda-p-\varepsilon}{p}} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda-q-\varepsilon}{q}} > \frac{2^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} B\left(\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}, \frac{\lambda}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) - \frac{2^\varepsilon q^2}{(\lambda-\varepsilon)(\lambda-\varepsilon+q\varepsilon)}. \tag{16}$$

*Proof.* We have  $\frac{\lambda-r-\varepsilon}{r} < 0$  ( $r = p, q$ ), and  $\lambda - \varepsilon > 0$ . Hence we obtain by setting  $u = (y + \frac{1}{2}) / (x + \frac{1}{2})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I &> \int_0^\infty \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda-p-\varepsilon}{p}} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(x+y+1)^\lambda} \left(y + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda-q-\varepsilon}{q}} dy \right] dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_{\frac{1}{2x+1}}^\infty \frac{1}{(1+u)^\lambda} u^{\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}-1} du \right] dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}-1}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du - \int_0^{\frac{1}{2x+1}} \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}-1}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du \right] dx \\ &> \frac{2^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}-1}}{(1+u)^\lambda} du - \int_0^\infty \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1-\varepsilon} \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{2x+1}} u^{\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}-1} du \right] dx \\ &= \frac{2^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} B\left(\frac{\lambda-\varepsilon}{q}, \frac{\lambda}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) - \frac{2^\varepsilon q^2}{(\lambda-\varepsilon)(\lambda-\varepsilon+q\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

The lemma is proved.

### 3. Main results and applications

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $a_n, b_n \geq 0$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$ , such that*

$$0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p < \infty \text{ and } 0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q < \infty,$$

*then we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right) \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{18}$$

*where the constant factor  $B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)$  is the best possible. In particular, for  $\lambda = 1$ , one has*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} < \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-2} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{q-2} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{19}$$

*where the constant factor  $\pi / \sin(\pi/p)$  is still the best possible.*

*Proof.* By Hölder's inequality and (7) (for  $r = p, q$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{a_m}{(m+n+1)^{\lambda/p}} \cdot \frac{(m+1/2)^{(p-\lambda)/pq}}{(n+1/2)^{(q-\lambda)/pq}} \right] \left[ \frac{b_n}{(m+n+1)^{\lambda/q}} \cdot \frac{(n+1/2)^{(q-\lambda)/pq}}{(m+1/2)^{(p-\lambda)/pq}} \right] \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m^p}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \cdot \frac{(m+1/2)^{\frac{p-\lambda}{q}}}{(n+1/2)^{\frac{q-\lambda}{p}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n^q}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \cdot \frac{(n+1/2)^{\frac{q-\lambda}{p}}}{(m+1/2)^{\frac{p-\lambda}{q}}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_\lambda(q, m) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-1-\lambda} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_\lambda(p, n) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Hence by (13), since  $\omega_\lambda(r, n) < B(\lambda/p, \lambda/q)$  ( $r = p, q$ ), we have (18).

For  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda$ , setting  $\tilde{a}_m$  and  $\tilde{b}_n$  by

$$\tilde{a}_m = \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda-p-\varepsilon}{p}}, \tilde{b}_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{\lambda-q-\varepsilon}{q}}, \text{ for } m, n \in N_0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-1-\lambda} \tilde{a}_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{q-1-\lambda} \tilde{b}_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1-\varepsilon} \\ &= 2^{1+\varepsilon} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1-\varepsilon} < 2^{1+\varepsilon} + \int_0^\infty \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1-\varepsilon} dx = 2^{1+\varepsilon} + \frac{2^\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

If there exists a positive parameter  $\lambda (\leq \min\{p, q\})$ , such that the constant factor in (18) is not the best possible, then, there exists a positive number  $K < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)$ , such that (18) is valid if one replaces  $B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)$  by  $K$ . In particular, we have

$$\varepsilon I = \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{a}_m \tilde{b}_n}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} < \varepsilon k \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-1-\lambda} \tilde{a}_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{q-1-\lambda} \tilde{b}_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \tag{22}$$

By (16) and (21), we find

$$2^\varepsilon B\left(\frac{\lambda - \varepsilon}{q}, \frac{\lambda}{p} + \frac{\varepsilon}{q}\right) - \varepsilon \frac{2^\varepsilon q^2}{(\lambda - \varepsilon)(\lambda - \varepsilon + q\varepsilon)} < K(\varepsilon 2^{1+\varepsilon} + 2^\varepsilon).$$

Setting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$  in the above inequality, we conclude that  $B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right) \leq K$ . This is a contradiction. Hence the constant factor  $B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)$  in (18) is the best possible. The theorem is proved.

REMARK 3.2. (i) For  $p = q = 2$  and  $\lambda = 1$ , inequality (18) reduces to (1). It follows that (18) is a further extension of (1), but different from (6).

(ii) Since (19) and (2) are different, it is obvious that (18) is not an extension of (2).

(iii) Both (19) and (2) are extensions of (1) with  $(p, q)$ -parameter and the same best constant factor.

By (20), for  $\lambda = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} \leq \left\{ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \omega_1(q, m) \left(m + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-2} a_m^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_1(p, n) \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{q-2} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Hence, by (4), we have a strengthened version of (19) as follows:

COROLLARY 3.1. If  $a_n, b_n \geq 0, p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, \gamma = 0.57721567^+$  is the Euler constant, and  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \frac{1}{2})^{p-2} a_n^p < \infty, 0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \frac{1}{2})^{q-2} b_n^q < \infty$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{m+n+1} < \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} - \frac{\ln 2 - \gamma}{(2n+1)^{1+\frac{1}{p}}} \right] \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-2} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \times \\ \times \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{q})} - \frac{\ln 2 - \gamma}{(2n+1)^{1+\frac{1}{q}}} \right] \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{q-2} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

THEOREM 3.2. If  $a_n \geq 0, p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1, 0 < \lambda \leq \min\{p, q\}$ , satisfy  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \frac{1}{2})^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p < \infty$ , then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \right]^p < \left[ B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right) \right]^p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p, \tag{24}$$

where the constant factor  $\left[ B \left( \frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q} \right) \right]^p$  is the best possible; Inequality (24) is equivalent to (18). In particular, for  $\lambda = 1$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{p-2} \left( \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{m+n+1} \right)^p < \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \right]^p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{p-2} a_n^p, \quad (25)$$

where the constant factor  $\left[ \frac{\pi}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{p})} \right]^p$  is still the best possible.

*Proof.* Since  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \frac{1}{2})^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p < \infty$ , there exists  $k_0 \in N_0$ , such that for any  $k \geq k_0$ , to imply  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^k (n + \frac{1}{2})^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p < \infty$ . We set

$$b_n(k) := \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{a_m}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \right]^{p-1}, \quad k \geq k_0,$$

and use (18) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \sum_{n=0}^k \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q(k) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^k \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{a_m}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \right]^p = \sum_{n=0}^k \sum_{m=0}^k \frac{a_m b_n(k)}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \\ &< B \left( \frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q} \right) \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^k (n + \frac{1}{2})^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^k \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q(k) \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Hence we find

$$\left[ \sum_{n=0}^k \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q(k) \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} < B \left( \frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q} \right) \sum_{n=0}^k \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{p-1-\lambda} a_n^p. \quad (27)$$

It follows that  $0 < \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + \frac{1}{2})^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q(\infty) < \infty$ . Hence (26) is valid as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  by (18). Thus (27) is also valid. Thus inequality (24) holds.

We have proved that (18) implies (24). We need to show that (24) implies (18). By Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m b_n}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{(\lambda+1-q)/q} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \right] \left[ \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{(q-1-\lambda)/q} b_n \right] \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\lambda(p-1)-1} \left[ \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_m}{(m+n+1)^\lambda} \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right)^{q-1-\lambda} b_n^q \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

By (24), we have (18). It follows that (18) and (24) are equivalent.

If the constant factor  $\left[B\left(\frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q}\right)\right]^p$  in (24) is not the best possible, then by (28), one lead to a contradiction. The theorem is proved.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. POLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1952.
- [2] BICHENG YANG, *On a generalization of Hilbert's double series theorem*, Math. Inequal. and Appl., **5**, 2 (2002), 197–204.
- [3] MINGZHE GAO, SHONGRONG WEI AND LEPING HE, *On the Hilbert inequality with weights*, Journal for Analysis and its Applications, **21**, 1 (2002), 257–263.
- [4] BICHENG YANG, L. DEBNATH, *On a new generalization of Hardy-Hilbert's inequality and its applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **233**, (1999), 484–497.
- [5] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. E. PEČARIĆ AND A. M. FINK, *Inequalities Involving Functions and Their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991.
- [6] BICHENG YANG, *On a strengthened version of the more accurate Hardy-Hilbert's inequality*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, **42**, 6 (1999), 1103–1110.
- [7] BICHENG YANG, *On a strengthened Hardy-Hilbert's inequality*, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math., **1**, 2 (2000), Article 22.
- [8] BICHENG YANG, T. M. RASSIAS, *On the way of weight coefficient and research for the Hilbert-type inequalities*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **6**, 4 (2003), 625–658.
- [9] ZHUXI WANG, DUNREN GUO, *An Introduction to Special Functions*, Science Press, Beijing, 1979.
- [10] JICHANG KUANG, L. DEBNATH, *On new generalizations of Hilbert's inequality and their applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **245**, (2000), 248–265.

(Received May 12, 2004)

Bicheng Yang  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Guangdong Institute of Education  
 Guangzhou  
 Guangdong 510303  
 People's Republic of CHINA  
 e-mail: bcyang@pub.guangzhou.gd.cn

Themistocles M. Rassias  
 Department of Mathematics  
 National Technical University of Athens  
 Zografou Campus 15780  
 Athens  
 Greece  
 e-mail: trassias@math.ntua.gr