

SOME CLASSES OF P-ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY CERTAIN INTEGRAL OPERATOR

KHALIDA INAYAT NOOR

(communicated by Th. M. Rassias)

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A}(p), p \in \mathbb{N}$, be the class of functions $f(z) = z^p + a_{p+1}z^{p+1} + \dots$, analytic in the open unit disc E . For $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n > -p$, a certain integral operator $I_{n+p-1} : \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ is defined as $I_{n+p-1}f = f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)} \star f$ such that $(f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)} \star f_{n+p-1})(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^p}$, where $f_{n+p-1}(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}}$ and \star denotes convolution or Hadamard product. Using this integral operator, a new subclass $R_k(n, p, \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{A}(p)$, $0 \leq \alpha < p$ is introduced in E and some interesting properties of this class are investigated.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}(p)$ denote the class of functions

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_{p+k} z^{p+k}, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ is said to belong to the class $S(p, \alpha)$ of p -valently starlike functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$) if it satisfies, for $z \in E$, the conditions

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} d\theta = 2p\pi. \quad (1.2)$$

The class $S(p, \alpha)$ was introduced by Goodman [1] and studied in [5] and others.

The class $\mathcal{A}(p)$ is closed under the Hadamard product or convolution

$$(f_1 \star f_2)(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k,1} a_{p+k,2} z^{p+k},$$

where

$$f_j(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{p+k,j} z^{p+k}, \quad (j = 1, 2).$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 30C45, 30C50.

Key words and phrases: p -valent functions, convex functions, starlike functions, Hadamard product (or convolution), integral operator, Ruscheweyh derivative.

This research is supported by the Higher Education Commission, Pakistan, through grant No: 1-28/HEC/HRD/2005/90.

Denote by $D^{n+p-1} : \mathcal{A}(p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$, the operator defined by

$$D^{n+p-1}f(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}} \star f(z), \quad (n > -p).$$

The symbol D^{n+p-1} is called the Ruscheweyh derivative of $(n+p-1)$ th order.

Let $f_{n+p-1}(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}}$ ($n > -p$) and let $f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)}$ be defined such that

$$(f_{n+p-1} \star f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)})(z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^p}. \quad (1.3)$$

Analogous to symbol D^{n+p-1} , we here define an integral operator $I_{n+p-1} : \mathcal{A}(p) \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I_{n+p-1}f(z) &= (f_{n+p-1}^{(-1)} \star f)(z) \\ &= \left[\frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{n+p}} \right]^{(-1)} \star f(z), \quad (n > -p) \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

We note that $I_0f = zf'$ and $I_1f = f$, see Noor [3].

From (1.3) and (1.4), we obtain the following identity for the operator I_{n+p-1} :

$$(n+1)I_{n+p-1}f - (n+p-1)I_{n+p}f = z(I_{n+p}f)'. \quad (1.5)$$

It is clear, from (1.5), that

$$\frac{z(I_{n+p}f)'}{I_{n+p}f} = (n+1)\frac{I_{n+p-1}f}{I_{n+p}f} - (n-p+1),$$

and

$$Re \frac{z(I_{n+p}f)'}{I_{n+p}f} > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad Re \frac{I_{n+p-1}f}{I_{n+p}f} > \frac{n+p-1}{n+1}$$

are equivalent.

Let $P_k(\alpha)$ be the class of functions $h(z)$ analytic in the unit disc satisfying the properties $h(0) = 1$ and

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{Reh(z) - \alpha}{p - \alpha} \right| d\theta \leq k\pi, \quad (1.6)$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $k \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha < p$. This class has been introduced in [4] for $p = 1$. We note that $P_k(0) = P_k$, see [6] and $P_2(\alpha) = P(\alpha)$, the class of analytic functions with positive real part greater than α and $P_2(0) = P$, is the class of functions with positive real part.

We can also represent $h \in P_k(\alpha)$ as

$$h(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)h_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)h_2(z), \quad (1.7)$$

where $h_i \in P(\alpha)$, $i = 1, 2$, and $z \in E$.

REMARK 1.1. We can use the hypergeometric functions to define $I_{n+p-1}f$ as follows: since $(1 - z)^{-a} = {}_2F_1(a, 1; 1, z)$, where ${}_2F_1$ is the hypergeometric function, we have, for $a > 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{(1 - z)^a} \right]^{(-1)} &= {}_2F_1(1, 1; a, z) \\ &= (a - 1) \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{a-2} \frac{dt}{1 - tz}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$I_{n+p-1}f = [z^p {}_2F_1(1, p; n + p - 1, z)] \star f \quad (n > -p)$$

We now define the following.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$. Then $f \in R_k(n, p, \alpha)$ if and only if $\frac{zf'}{f} \in P_k(\alpha)$ for $z \in E$, $0 \leq \alpha < p$, $p \in N$.

For $k = 2$ we obtain the class $R_2(p, \alpha) = S(p, \alpha)$ defined by (1.2).

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$. Then $f \in R_k(n, p, \alpha)$ if and only if $I_{n+p-1}f \in R_k(p, \alpha)$ for $z \in E$, $0 \leq p$, $p \in N, n > -p$.

It is clear that $R_k(0, 1, \alpha) = V_k(\alpha)$, the class of functions of bounded boundary rotation of order α .

2. Preliminary results

LEMMA 2.1. [2] Let $u = u_1 + iu_2$ and $v = v_1 + iv_2$ and let Φ be a complex-valued function satisfying the conditions:

- (i) $\Phi(u, v)$ is continuous in a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^2$,
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $\Phi(1, 0) > 0$.
- (iii) $Re\Phi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$, whenever $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ and $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$.

If $h(z) = 1 + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} c_m z^m$ is a function analytic in E such that $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in D$ and $Re(h(z), zh'(z)) > 0$ for $z \in E$, then $Reh(z) > 0$ in E .

LEMMA 2.2. Let p be an analytic function in E with $p(0) = 1$ and $Rep(z) > 0$, $z \in E$. Then, for $s > 0$ and $\mu \neq -1$ (complex),

$$Re\left\{p(z) + \frac{szp'(z)}{p(z) + \mu}\right\} > 0, \quad \text{for } |z| < r_0,$$

where r_0 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} r_0 &= \frac{|\mu + 1|}{\sqrt{A + (A^2 - |\mu^2 - 1|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}}}, \\ A &= 2(s + 1)^2 + |\mu|^2 - 1 \quad \text{and this radius is best possible.} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2 is due to Ruscheweyh and Singh [7].

3. Main results

THEOREM 3.1. *Let for $z \in E$, $f \in R_k(n, p, 0)$. Then $f \in R_k(n+1, p, \alpha)$ in E , where*

$$\alpha = \frac{2p}{(2n+2p-1) + \sqrt{4p^2 + 4p(2n+1) + (2n-1)^2}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Set

$$\frac{z(I_{n+p}f(z))'}{I_{n+p}f(z)} = H(z) = (p-\alpha)h(z) + \alpha,$$

where $h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$

Using identity (1.5) for $z \in E$ we obtain

$$\frac{z(I_{n+p-1}f(z))'}{I_{n+p-1}f(z)} = \left\{ H(z) + \frac{zH'(z)}{H(z) + n + p - 1} \right\} \in P_k(0) \quad \text{in } E.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{n+p-1+j}{n+p} \\ &= \frac{p}{p+n} \frac{z^p}{1-z} + \frac{n}{p+n} \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$H(z) = \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \{(p-\alpha)h_1(z) + \alpha\} - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \{(p-\alpha)h_2(z) + \alpha\}.$$

We want to show that $H \in P_k(\alpha)$, where α is given by (3.1) or equivalently $h_i \in P$, $i = 1, 2$.

Now

$$\begin{aligned} H \star \frac{\Psi(z)}{z^p} &= H + \frac{zH'}{H+n+p-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left\{ (p-\alpha)[h_1 \star \frac{\Psi(z)}{z^p}] + \alpha \right\} - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left\{ (p-\alpha)[h_2 \star \frac{\Psi(z)}{z^p}] + \alpha \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows that, $i = 1, 2$,

$$Re \left[(p-\alpha)h_i(z) + \alpha + \frac{(p-\alpha)zh'_i(z)}{(p-\alpha)h_i(z) + (n+p+\alpha-1)} \right] > 0, \quad z \in E. \quad (3.2)$$

We form the functional $\Phi(u, v)$ by choosing $u = h_i(z)$ and $v = zh'_i(z)$ in (3.2). Thus

$$\Phi(u, v) = (p-\alpha) + \alpha + \frac{(p-\alpha)v}{(p-\alpha)u + (\alpha+n+p-1)}.$$

The first two conditions of Lemma 2.1 are clearly satisfied as $\Phi(u, v)$ is continuous in $D = \mathbf{C} - (-\frac{\alpha+n+p-1}{p-\alpha}) \times \mathbf{C}$, $(1, 0) \in D$ and $Re\{\Phi(1, 0)\} > 0$. We verify the third condition as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\Phi(iu_2, v_1) &= \alpha + \operatorname{Re} \left[\frac{(p - \alpha)v_1}{(p - \alpha)iu_2 + (\alpha + n + p - 1)} \right] \\ &= \alpha + \frac{(p - \alpha)(\alpha + n + p - 1)v_1}{(\alpha + n + p - 1)^2 + (p - \alpha)^2u_2^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By putting $v_1 \leq -\frac{(1+u_2^2)}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\Phi(iu_2, v_1) &\leq \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \frac{(p - \alpha)(\alpha + n + p - 1)(1 + u_2^2)}{(\alpha + n + p - 1)^2 + (p - \alpha)^2u_2^2} \\ &= \frac{(\alpha + n + p - 1) [2\alpha(\alpha + n + p - 1) - (p - \alpha)] + (p - \alpha) [2\alpha(p - \alpha) - (\alpha + n + p - 1)] u_2^2}{2 [(\alpha + n + p - 1)^2 + (p - \alpha)^2u_2^2]} \\ &= \frac{A + Bu_2^2}{2C}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= (\alpha + n + p - 1)\{2\alpha^2 + (2n + 2p - 1)\alpha - p\} \\ B &= (p - \alpha)\{2\alpha(p - \alpha) - (\alpha + n + p - 1)\} \\ C &= (\alpha + n + p - 1)^2 + (p - \alpha)^2u_2^2 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\operatorname{Re}\phi(iu_2, v_1) \leq 0$ if and only if, $A \leq 0$ and $B \leq 0$. From $A \leq 0$, we obtain α as given by (3.1) and $B \leq 0$ gives us $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

Now using Lemma 2.1 we see that $\operatorname{Re}h(z) > 0$ for $z \in E$ and hence $\operatorname{Re} \frac{z(I_{n+p}f(z))'}{I_{n+p}f(z)} > \alpha$, for $z \in E$ with α given by (3.1). \square

Special cases

- (i) When $p = 1$ and $k = 2$, we obtain a result proved in [3].
- (ii) With $p = 1, n = 0$, and $k = 2$, we have a well-known result that every convex univalent function is starlike univalent of order $\frac{1}{2}$.
- (iii) $R_k(n, p, 0) \subset R_k(n + 1, p, 0)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $f \in R_k(n + 1, p, \alpha)$. Then, g , defined by*

$$g(z) = \frac{n + 1}{z^{n-p+1}} \int_0^z t^{n-p} f(t) dt \tag{3.3}$$

belongs to $R_k(n, p, \alpha)$ and conversely.

Proof. From (3.3), we have

$$(n + 1)f(z) = (n - p + 1)g(z) + zg'(z). \tag{3.4}$$

Using (1.5) and (3.4), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (n + 1)I_{n+p}f(z) &= (n - p + 1)I_{n+p}g(z) + z(I_{n+p}g(z))' \\ &= (n + 1)I_{n+p}g(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$I_{n+p}f(z) = I_{n+p}g(z),$$

and this proves our result. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let for $z \in E$, $f \in R_k(n+1, p, \alpha)$. Then $f \in R_k(n, p, \alpha)$ for $|z| < R$, where R is given by (3.5) and the value of R is exact.*

Proof. Let

$$\frac{z(I_{n+p}f(z))'}{I_{n+p}f(z)} = (p - \alpha)H(z) + \alpha, \quad \operatorname{Re}H(z) > 0, \quad z \in E.$$

Using (1.5) and proceeding as in Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(p - \alpha)} \left[\frac{z(I_{n+p-1}f(z))'}{I_{n+p-1}f(z)} - \alpha \right] &= H(z) + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p-\alpha}\right)zH'(z)}{H(z) + \frac{\alpha+n+p-1}{p-\alpha}} \\ &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left[h_1(z) + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p-\alpha}\right)zh_1'(z)}{h_1(z) + \frac{\alpha+n+p-1}{p-\alpha}} \right] \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left[h_2(z) + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p-\alpha}\right)zh_2'(z)}{h_2(z) + \frac{\alpha+n+p-1}{p-\alpha}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, with $\mu = \frac{\alpha+n+p-1}{p-\alpha}$ ($\neq -1$) and $s = \frac{1}{p-\alpha} > 0$, we see that $f \in R_k(n, p, \alpha)$ for $|z| < R$, with

$$\begin{aligned} R &= \frac{|\mu + 1|}{\sqrt{A + (A^2 - |\mu^2 - 1|)^{\frac{1}{2}}}} \\ A &= 2(s + 1)^2 + |\mu|^2 - 1, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and this radius is exact. \square

As a special case, we note that, for $p = 1, n = 0, \alpha = 0$, and $k = 2$, we obtain a well-known result that radius of convexity R of a starlike (univalent) function is

$$R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{7 + \sqrt{48}}} \simeq 0.268 \simeq 2 - \sqrt{3}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. W. GOODMAN, *Univalent Functions*, Vol I, Washington, N. J., Polygonal Publishing House, 1983.
- [2] S. S. MILLER, *Differential inequalities and Caratheordary functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **81**, (1975), 79–81.
- [3] K. INAYAT NOOR, *On new classes of integral operators*, *J. Nat. Geometry*, **16**, (1999), 71–80.
- [4] K. S. PADMANABHAN, R. PARVATHAM, *Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation*, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **31**, (1975), 311–323.
- [5] D. A. PATIL, N. K. THAKARE, *On convex hulls and extreme points of p -valent starlike and convex classes with applications*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumaie (N. S), **27**, (1983), 145–160.

- [6] B. PINCHUK, *Functions with bounded boundary rotation*, Isr. J. Math., **10**, (1971), 7–16.
[7] S. RUSCHEWEYH, V. SINGH, *On certain extremal problems for functions with positive real parts*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **61**, (1976), 329–334.

(Received May 14, 2003)

Mathematics Department
COMSATS Institute of Information Technology
Islamabad
Pakistan
e-mail: khalidanoor@hotmail.com
e-mail: khalidainayat@comsats.edu.pk