

INEQUALITIES FOR MARKS IN DIGRAPHS

S. PIRZADA AND T. A. NAIKOO

(communicated by R. A. Brualdi)

Abstract. A 2-digraph D is an orientation of a multi-graph that is without loops and contains at most two edges between any pair of distinct vertices. So, 1-digraph is an oriented graph, and complete 1-digraph is a tournament. Define p_{v_i} (or simply p_i) = $2n - 2 + d_{v_i}^+ - d_{v_i}^-$, the mark (2-score) of a vertex v_i in a 2-digraph D , where $d_{v_i}^+$ and $d_{v_i}^-$ denote the outdegree and indegree, respectively, of v_i and n is the number of vertices in D . In this paper, we obtain some stronger inequalities for marks in 2-digraphs.

1. Introduction

A tournament is an orientation of a complete simple graph. The score s_{v_i} (or simply S_i) of a vertex v_i in a tournament is the outdegree of v_i . The score sequence of a tournament is formed by listing the vertex scores in non-decreasing order. The following result of Landau [3] gives a necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence of non-negative integers to be the score sequence of some tournament.

THEOREM 1.1. *A sequence $[s_i]_1^n$ of non-negative integers in non-decreasing order is the score sequence of some tournament if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k s_i \geq \binom{k}{2} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

with equality when $k = n$.

With the marking system, the mark p_{v_i} of a vertex v_i in a tournament is given by $p_{v_i} = 2s_{v_i} + n - 1$ and Landau's conditions become

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \geq k(n + k - 2), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

with equality when $k = n$.

An oriented graph is a digraph with no symmetric pairs of directed arcs and without loops. Avery [1] defined a_{v_i} (or simply a_i) = $n - 1 + d_{v_i}^+ - d_{v_i}^-$, the score of a vertex v_i in an oriented graph, where $d_{v_i}^+$ and $d_{v_i}^-$ denote the outdegree and indegree, respectively, of v_i and n is the number of vertices. The score sequence of an oriented graph is

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 05C.

Key words and phrases: Oriented graph, tournament, semicomplete digraph, 2-digraph, score sequence, mark sequence, triple, transitive and intransitive.

formed by listing the vertex scores in non-decreasing order. The following result is due to Avery [1].

THEOREM 1.2. *A sequence $[a_i]_1^n$ of non-negative integers in non-decreasing order is the score sequence of some oriented graph if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \geq k(k-1) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

with equality when $k = n$.

Once again, with the marking system, the mark p_{v_i} of a vertex v_i in an oriented graph is given by $p_{v_i} = a_{v_i} + n - 1$ and Avery's conditions become

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \geq k(n+k-2), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

with equality when $k = n$.

A digraph D is semicomplete if for any pair of vertices $u \neq v$ in D , there is an arc from u to v or an arc from v to u (or both). The following necessary and sufficient conditions for a non-decreasing sequence of integers to be the score sequence for a semicomplete digraph is given by Reid and Zhang [6].

THEOREM 1.3. *A sequence $[s_i]_1^n$ of integers in non-decreasing order is the score sequence of some semicomplete digraph if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k s_i \geq \binom{k}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad s_k \leq n-1, \quad \text{for all } k, 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

A 2-digraph D is an orientation of a multi-graph that is without loops and contains at most two edges between any pair of distinct vertices. Let D be a 2-digraph with vertex set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and let $d_{v_i}^+$ and $d_{v_i}^-$ denote the outdegree and indegree, respectively, of a vertex v_i . Define $p_{v_i} = 2n - 2 + d_{v_i}^+ - d_{v_i}^-$, the mark (2-score) of v_i , so that $0 \leq p_{v_i} \leq 4n - 4$. The sequence $P = [p_i]_1^n$, where $p_i = p_{v_i}$, in non-decreasing order is the mark sequence of D . A 2-digraph can be interpreted as the result of a competition in which the participants play each other at most twice, with an arc from u to v if and only if u defeats v . A player receives two points for each win, and one point for each tie (draw). With this marking system, player v obtains a total of p_v points. A sequence P of non-negative integers in non-decreasing order is said to be realizable if there exists a 2-digraph with mark sequence P . The following existence criteria for realizability is due to Pirzada and Samee [5].

THEOREM 1.4. *A sequence $[p_i]_1^n$ of non-negative integers in non-decreasing order is the mark sequence of some 2-digraph if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i \geq 2k(k-1), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

with equality when $k = n$.

Some stronger inequalities for scores in tournaments are given by Brualdi and Shen [2]. Moreover, inequalities for scores in oriented graphs are given by Pirzada and Samee [4].

2. Stronger inequalities

A regular 2-digraph on n vertices is one whose all vertices have marks $2(n - 1)$. The converse D' of a 2-digraph D is obtained by reversing each arc of D .

If u and v are vertices in a 2-digraph, then $u(x - y)v$ denotes that x arcs are directed from u to v and y arcs are directed from v to u . Clearly, $0 \leq x, y \leq 2$ and $0 \leq x + y \leq 2$. A triple in a 2-digraph is an induced 2-digraph with three vertices and is of the form $u(x_1 - x_2)v(y_1 - y_2)w(z_1 - z_2)u$, where for $1 \leq i \leq 2$, $0 \leq x_i, y_i, z_i \leq 2$ and $o \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 x_i, \sum_{i=1}^2 y_i, \sum_{i=1}^2 z_i \leq 2$.

In a 2-digraph, a 1-triple is an induced 1-subdigraph with three vertices. A 1-triple is said to be transitive if it is of the following form: $u(1 - 0)v(1 - 0)w(0 - 1)u$ or $u(1 - 0)v(0 - 1)w(0 - 0)u$ or $u(1 - 0)v(0 - 0)w(0 - 1)u$ or $u(1 - 0)v(0 - 0)w(0 - 0)u$ or $u(0 - 0)v(0 - 0)w(0 - 0)u$, otherwise it is intransitive. A 2-digraph is said to be transitive if every of its 1-triple is transitive.

The inequalities given below in Theorems 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 are the generalizations of the inequalities on scores in tournaments due to Brualdi and Shen [2]. We use some of the techniques of Brualdi and Shen [2] in obtaining these inequalities.

The following result gives a lower bound for $\sum_{i \in I} p_i$.

THEOREM 2.1. *A sequence $P = [p_i]_1^n$ of non-negative integers in non-decreasing order is a mark sequence of a 2-digraph if and only if for every subset $I \subseteq [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,*

$$\sum_{i \in I} p_i \geq 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + |I| (|I| - 1), \tag{2.1}$$

with equality when $|I| = n$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let the sequence $P = [p_i]_1^n$ of non-negative integers in non-decreasing order satisfies the equation (2.1).

Now, for any $I \subseteq [n]$, we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} (i - 1) = \binom{|I|}{2}.$$

Therefore, from equation (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} p_i &\geq 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + |I| (|I| - 1) \\ &\geq 2 \binom{|I|}{2} + |I| (|I| - 1) \\ &= 2 |I| (|I| - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.4, P is a mark sequence.

Necessity. Assume that $P = [p_i]_1^n$ is a mark sequence of some 2-digraph. For any subset $I \subseteq [n]$, define

$$f(I) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i - 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) - |I| (|I| - 1).$$

Claim $I = \{i : 1 \leq i \leq |I|\}$. If not, then there exists $i \notin I$ and $j \in I$ such that $j = i + 1$. So, $p_i \leq p_j$.

For $j \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(I) &= \sum_{t \in I} p_t - 2 \sum_{t \in I} (t - 1) - |I| (|I| - 1) \\ &= \sum_{t \in I, j \notin I} p_t + p_j - 2 \left(\sum_{t \in I, j \notin I} (t - 1) + (j - 1) \right) - |I| (|I| - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(I) - f(I - \{j\}) &= p_j - 2(j - 1) - |I| (|I| - 1) + (|I| - 1) (|I| - 2) \\ &= p_j - 2(j - 1) - 2|I| + 2 \\ &= p_j - 2(j + |I| - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(I) - f(I - \{j\}) < 0$, therefore $p_j - 2(j + |I| - 2) < 0$.

Again,

$$f(I \cup \{i\}) = \sum_{t \in I} p_t + p_i - 2 \left(\sum_{t \in I} (t - 1) + (i - 1) \right) - (|I| + 1) (|I|).$$

So, $f(I \cup \{i\}) - f(I) = p_i - 2(i - 1) - 2|I|$. As $f(I \cup \{i\}) - f(I) \geq 0$, therefore $p_i - 2(i - 1) - 2|I| \geq 0$.

Thus, $p_j < 2(j + |I| - 2)$, and $p_i \geq 2(i - 1) + 2|I| = 2(i + |I| - 1)$.

Therefore,

$$2(i + |I| - 1) \leq p_i \leq p_j < 2(j + |I| - 2).$$

Since $j = i + 1$, then $2(i + |I| - 1) < 2(i + 1 + |I| - 2)$. That is, $2(i + |I| - 1) < 2(i + |I| - 1)$ which is a contradiction.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} f(I) &= \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} p_i - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} (i - 1) - |I| (|I| - 1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} p_i - 2 \binom{|I|}{2} - |I| (|I| - 1) \\ &\geq 2|I| (|I| - 1) - |I| (|I| - 1) - |I| (|I| - 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

(By Theorem 1.4)

Thus, $\sum_{i \in I} p_i - 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) - |I| (|I| - 1) \geq 0$, that is, $\sum_{i \in I} p_i \geq 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + |I| (|I| - 1)$.

This proves the necessity. \square

We note that equality can occur often in equation (2.1). For example, in the transitive 2-digraph of order n with mark sequence $[0, 4, 8, \dots, 4n - 4]$ and in the regular 2-digraph of order n with mark sequence $[2(n - 1), 2(n - 1), \dots, 2(n - 1)]$. Further we observe that Theorem 2.1 is best possible, since for any real $\varepsilon > 0$, the inequality

$$\sum_{i \in I} p_i \geq (1 + \varepsilon) 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + (1 - \varepsilon) |I| (|I| - 1)$$

fails for some I , and some 2-digraphs. This can be seen, for example, in the transitive 2-digraph of order n with mark sequence $[0, 4, 8, \dots, 4n - 4]$ and in the regular 2-digraph of order n with mark sequence $[2(n - 1), 2(n - 1), \dots, 2(n - 1)]$.

The next result gives a set of upper bounds for $\sum_{i \in I} p_i$ and is equivalent to the set of lower bounds for $\sum_{i \in I} p_i$ in Theorem 2.1.

THEOREM 2.2. *A sequence $P = [p_i]_1^n$ of non-negative integers in non-decreasing order is a mark sequence of a 2-digraph if and only if for every subset $I \subseteq [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$,*

$$\sum_{i \in I} p_i \leq 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + |I| (2n - |I| - 1),$$

with equality when $|I| = n$.

Proof. We have $[n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Let $J = [n] - I$ so that $I + J = [n]$ and $|J| + |I| = n$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, P is a mark sequence if and only if

$$\sum_{i \in [n]} p_i = 2n(n - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in J} p_i \geq 2 \sum_{i \in J} (i - 1) + |J| (|J| - 1)$$

if and only if

$$\sum_{i \in I} p_i + \sum_{i \in J} p_i = 2n(n - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in J} p_i \geq 2 \sum_{i \in J} (i - 1) + |J| (|J| - 1)$$

if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i \in I} p_i &= 2n(n - 1) - \sum_{i \in J} p_i \\ &\leq 2n(n - 1) - \left(2 \sum_{i \in J} (i - 1) + |J| (|J| - 1) \right) \\ &= 2n(n - 1) - \left(n(n - 1) - 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + (n - |I|) (n - |I| - 1) \right) \end{aligned}$$

(Because $2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + 2 \sum_{i \in J} (i - 1) = n(n - 1)$ and $|I| + |J| = n$)

$$\begin{aligned} &= 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + 2n(n - 1) - n(n - 1) - (n - |I|) (n - |I| - 1) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + n^2 - n - n^2 + n |I| + n + n |I| - |I|^2 - |I| \\ &= 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + |I| (2n - |I| - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result. \square

Now, we have the following results.

THEOREM 2.3. *If $P = [p_i]_1^n$ is a mark sequence of a 2-digraph, then for each i*

$$2(i - 1) \leq p_i \leq 2(n + i - 2).$$

Proof. Let $I = \{i\}$ in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. Then,

$$\sum_{i \in I} p_i \geq 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + |I| (|I| - 1)$$

implies that $p_i \geq 2(i - 1)$, and $\sum_{i \in I} p_i \leq 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + |I| (2n - |I| - 1)$ implies that $p_i \leq 2(i - 1) + 1(2n - 1 - 1) = 2(n + i - 2)$.

Therefore, $2(i - 1) \leq p_i \leq 2(n + i - 2)$. \square

Second proof. We first show that $2(i - 1) \leq p_i$. Suppose on contrary that $p_i < 2(i - 1)$. Then, for every $k < i$, we have

$$p_k \leq p_i < 2(i - 1).$$

That is, $p_1 < 2(i - 1), p_2 < 2(i - 1), \dots, p_i < 2(i - 1)$.

Adding these inequalities, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^i p_k < 2i(i - 1),$$

which is a contradiction to Theorem 1.4. Therefore, $2(i - 1) \leq p_i$.

The second inequality is dual to the first. In the converse 2-digraph with mark sequence $P' = [p'_i]_1^n$, we have

$$p'_{n-i+1} \geq 2((n - i + 1) - 1) = 2(n - i).$$

(By the first inequality)

But $p_i = 4(n - 1) - p'_{n-i+1}$. So, $p_i \leq 4(n - 1) - 2(n - i) = 2(n + i - 2)$.

Therefore, $p_i \leq 2(n + i - 2)$. Hence, the result. \square

For any integers r and s with $r \leq s$, let $[r, s]$ denotes the set of all integers between r and s .

THEOREM 2.4. Let $P = [p_i]_1^n$ be a mark sequence of a 2-digraph. If

$$\sum_{i \in I} p_i = 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + |I| (|I| - 1) \tag{2.2}$$

for some $I \subseteq [n]$, then one of the following holds.

(a) $I = [1, |I|]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{|I|} p_i = 2 |I| (|I| - 1)$.

(b) $I = [t, t + |I| - 1]$ for some $t, 2 \leq t \leq n - |I| + 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t+|I|-1} p_i = 2(t + |I| - 1)(t + |I| - 2) \text{ and } p_i = 2(t + |I| - 2) \text{ for all } i \leq t + |I| - 1.$$

(c) $I = [1, r] \cup [r + t, t + |I| - 1]$ for some r and t such that $1 \leq r \leq |I| - 1$ and $2 \leq t \leq n - |I| + 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^r p_i = 2r(r - 1)$, $\sum_{i=1}^{t+|I|-1} p_i = 2(t + |I| - 1)(t + |I| - 2)$ and $p_i = 2(r + t + |I| - 2)$ for all $i, r + 1 \leq i \leq t + |I| - 1$.

Proof. For any subset $J \subseteq [n]$, define

$$f(J) = \sum_{i \in J} p_i - 2 \sum_{i \in J} (i - 1) - |J| (|J| - 1),$$

so that $f(I) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i - 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) - |I| (|I| - 1)$ for $I \subseteq [n]$.

Therefore, by equation (2.2), we have $f(I) = 0$ and $f(J) \geq 0$ for all $J \subseteq [n]$.

We prove that I is one of the three types as given in the Theorem.

Assume to the contrary that this is not true. Then, there exist indices i, j, k and l with $j = i + 1$ and $l = k + 1$ such that $\{i, k\} \cap I = \emptyset$ and $\{j, l\} \subseteq I$. Thus, $p_i \leq p_j$ and $p_k \leq p_l$.

Since $f(I) = 0$ and $f(J) \geq 0$ for all $J \subseteq [n]$, therefore $f(I) - f(I - \{j, l\}) \leq 0$ and $f(I \cup \{i, k\}) - f(I) \geq 0$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} f(I - \{j, l\}) &= \sum_{i \in I} p_i - p_j - p_l - 2 \left(\sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) - (j - 1) - (l - 1) \right) - (|I| - 2) (|I| - 3) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} p_i - 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) - p_j - p_l + 2j + 2l - 4 - (|I| - 2) (|I| - 3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(I \cup \{i, k\}) &= \sum_{i \in I} p_i + p_i + p_k - 2 \left(\sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + (i - 1) + (k - 1) \right) - (|I| + 2) (|I| + 1) \\ &= \sum_{i \in I} p_i - 2 \sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + p_i + p_k - 2i - 2k + 4 - (|I| + 2) (|I| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(I) - f(I - \{j, l\}) &= p_j + p_l - 2j - 2l + 4 - 4|I| + 6 \\ &= p_j + p_l - 2(j + l + 2|I| - 5) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(I \cup \{i, k\}) - f(I) &= p_i + p_k - 2i - 2k + 4 - 4|I| - 2 \\ &= p_i + p_k - 2(i + k + 2|I| - 1). \end{aligned}$$

So, $p_j + p_l - 2(j + l + 2|I| - 5) \leq 0$ and $p_i + p_k - 2(i + k + 2|I| - 1) \geq 0$.

This gives, $p_j + p_l \leq 2(j + l + 2|I| - 5)$ and $p_i + p_k \geq 2(i + k + 2|I| - 1)$.

Therefore, $2(i + k + 2|I| - 1) \leq p_i + p_k \leq p_j + p_l \leq 2(j + l + 2|I| - 5)$.

That is, $i + k + 2|I| - 1 \leq i + 1 + k + 1 + 2|I| - 5$, or $-1 \leq -3$, a contradiction.

This shows that I satisfies one of the conditions (a), (b) or (c).

Case (a) $I = [1, |I|]$.

Then, $f(I) = \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} p_i - 2|I| (|I| - 1)$ and so $\sum_{i=1}^{|I|} p_i = 2|I| (|I| - 1)$.

Claim. If there exist indices i and j with $j = i + 1$ such that $i \notin I$ and $j \in I$, then $f(I \cup \{i\}) = f(I - \{j\}) = 0$.

Since $f(I - \{j\}) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i - p_j - 2 \left(\sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) - (j - 1) \right) - (|I| - 1) (|I| - 2)$ and

$$f(I \cup \{i\}) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i + p_i - 2 \left(\sum_{i \in I} (i - 1) + (i - 1) \right) - (|I| + 1) |I|.$$

Therefore, $f(I) - f(I - \{j\}) = p_j - 2(j - 1) - 2|I| + 2 = p_j - 2(j - 2 + |I|)$ and $f(I \cup \{i\}) - f(I) = p_i - 2(i - 1) - 2|I| = p_i - 2(i - 1 + |I|)$.

But $f(I) - f(I - \{j\}) \leq 0$ and $f(I \cup \{i\}) - f(I) \geq 0$.

So, $p_j - 2(j - 2 + |I|) \leq 0$ and $p_i - 2(i - 1 + |I|) \geq 0$.

This gives, $p_j \leq 2(j - 2 + |I|)$ and $p_i \geq 2(i - 1 + |I|)$. Therefore,

$$2(i - 1 + |I|) \leq p_i \leq p_j \leq 2(j - 2 + |I|) = 2(i + 1 - 2 + |I|) = 2(i - 1 + |I|).$$

This implies that equalities hold throughout all the above inequalities. Thus,

$$f(I \cup \{i\}) = f(I) = f(I - \{j\}) = 0.$$

Case (b) $I = [t, t + |I| - 1]$ for some $t, 2 \leq t \leq n - |I| + 1$.

By applying above claim recursively, we have $f(\{t + |I| - 1\}) = 0$, that is, $p_{t+|I|-1} = 2(t + |I| - 2)$.

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{t+|I|-1} p_i \geq 2(t + |I| - 1)(t + |I| - 2)$ (By Theorem 1.4) and $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_{t+|I|-1}$, equalities hold throughout all the above inequalities.

Case (c) $I = [1, r] \cup [r + t, t + |I| - 1]$ for some r and t such that $1 \leq r \leq |I| - 1$ and $2 \leq t \leq n - |I| + 1$.

Again, by applying above claim recursively, we have

$$f([1, r]) = f([1, t + |I| - 1]) = f([1, r] \cup \{t + |I| - 1\}) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^r p_i - 2r(r - 1) = f([1, r]) = 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{t+|I|-1} p_i - 2(t + |I| - 1)(t + |I| - 2) = f([1, t + |I| - 1]) = 0,$$

and $f([1, r] \cup \{t + |I| - 1\}) - f([1, r]) = p_{t+|I|-1} - 2(|I| + r + t - 2)$.

But $f([1, r] \cup \{t + |I| - 1\}) - f([1, r]) = 0$. So, $p_{t+|I|-1} = 2(|I| + r + t - 2)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=r+1}^{t+|I|-1} p_i &= \sum_{i=1}^{t+|I|-1} p_i - \sum_{i=1}^r p_i = 2(t + |I| - 1)(t + |I| - 2) - 2r(r - 1) \\ &= 2(|I| + r + t - 2)(|I| - r + t - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and $p_{r+1} \leq p_{r+2} \leq \dots \leq p_{t+|I|-1}$, we have

$$p_i = 2(r + t + |I| - 2) \quad \text{for all } i, r + 1 \leq i \leq t + |I| - 1. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2.5. *If $P = [p_i]_1^n$ is a mark sequence of a 2-digraph, then*

(a) $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^k (4k - 4 - p_i)^2$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$ with equality when $k = n$.

(b) for $1 < g < \infty$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^g \geq k(2k - 2)^g,$$

where $1 \leq k \leq n$ with equality when $k = n$ and $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_k$.

Proof. (a) By Theorem 1.4, we have

$$2k(k-1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

with equality when $k = n$, or

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2 + 2(4k-4)2k(k-1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2 + 2(4k-4) \sum_{i=1}^k p_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

with equality when $k = n$, or

$$\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2 + k(4k-4)^2 - 2(4k-4) \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n$$

with equality when $k = n$, or

$$p_1^2 + \dots + p_k^2 + \underbrace{(4k-4)^2 + \dots + (4k-4)^2}_{k\text{-times}} - 2(4k-4)p_1 - \dots - 2(4k-4)p_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2,$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$ with equality when $k = n$, or

$$(4k-4-p_1)^2 + \dots + (4k-4-p_k)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2,$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$ with equality when $k = n$, or $\sum_{i=1}^k (4k-4-p_i)^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i^2$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$

with equality when $k = n$.

(b) Again, by Theorem 1.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2k(k-1) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k p_i, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n \quad \text{with equality when } k = n \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k p_i \cdot 1, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n \quad \text{with equality when } k = n \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^g \right)^{\frac{1}{g}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k 1^h \right)^{\frac{1}{h}}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n \quad \text{with equality when } k = n \end{aligned}$$

and $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_k$, where $\frac{1}{g} + \frac{1}{h} = 1$ (By Holder's Inequality)

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^g \right)^{\frac{1}{g}} k^{\frac{1}{h}}, \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n \quad \text{with equality when } k = n$$

and $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_k$.

That is, $2k^{1-\frac{1}{h}}(k-1) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^g\right)^{\frac{1}{g}}$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$ with equality when $k = n$ and $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_k$.

Hence, $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i^g \geq k(2k-2)^g$, for $1 \leq k \leq n$ with equality when $k = n$ and $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_k$ (Since $\frac{1}{g} + \frac{1}{h} = 1$). \square

THEOREM 2.6. *Let D be a 2-digraph on r vertices with mark sequence $[p_i]_1^r$. Then for each $k \geq 1$, there exists a 2-digraph on kr vertices with mark sequence $[p_i + 2(k-1)r]_1^{kr}$.*

Proof. For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, let D^i be a copy of D with r vertices. Define a 2-digraph D_1 as

$$D_1 = D^1 \cup D^2 \cup \dots \cup D^k,$$

such that vertices and arcs of D_1 are that of D^1, D^2, \dots, D^k . Then, D_1 is a 2-digraph on kr vertices with mark sequence $[p_i + 2(k-1)r]_1^{kr}$. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Professor R. A. Brualdi for his valuable comments which improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. AVERY, *Score sequences of oriented graphs*, J. Graph Theory, **15**, 3 (1991), 251–257.
- [2] R. A. BRUALDI, J. SHEN, *Landau's inequalities for tournament scores and a short proof of a Theorem on transitive sub-tournaments*, J. Graph Theory **38**, (2001), 244–254.
- [3] H. G. LANDAU, *On dominance relations and the structure of animal societies: III. The condition for a score structure*, Bull. Math. Biophys. **15**, (1953), 143–148.
- [4] S. PIRZADA, MERAJUDDIN AND U. SAMEE, *Inequalities in oriented graph scores*, To appear.
- [5] S. PIRZADA, U. SAMEE, *Mark sequences in digraphs*, Communicated.
- [6] K. B. REID, C. Q. ZHANG, *Score sequences of semicomplete digraphs*, Bulletin of the ICA, **24**, (1998), 27–32.

(Received November 15, 2005)

S. Pirzada
Department of Mathematics
University of Kashmir
Srinagar – 190006
INDIA

e-mail: sdpirzada@yahoo.co.in

T. A. Naikoo
Department of Mathematics
University of Kashmir
Srinagar – 190006
INDIA

e-mail: tariqnaikoo@rediffmail.com