

## THE STABILITY OF A WILSON TYPE AND A PEXIDER TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

YONG-SOO JUNG AND KIL-WOUNG JUN

(communicated by Th. M. Rassias)

*Abstract.* In this paper we study the stability of the Wilson type functional equation  $f(x+y) - f(x-y) = 2g(x)g(y)$  and the Pexider type functional equation  $f(x+y-xy) = (1-x)^\alpha g(y) + (1-y)^\alpha h(x)$ , respectively.

### 1. Introduction

Given an approximately homomorphism, is it possible to approximate it by a true homomorphism? This problem is called the stability of functional equations which was originally raised by S. M. Ulam [25]. For Banach spaces, the problem was first solved by D. H. Hyers [9] which states that if  $\delta > 0$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping with  $X, Y$  Banach spaces, such that

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then there exists a unique additive mapping  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \delta$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . In connection with these results, we say that the additive functional equation  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  is stable in the sense of Hyers and Ulam. This terminology is also applied to other functional equations.

Th. M. Rassias [16] generalized the theorem of Hyers by considering the case when the inequality is not bounded. The Rassias type results obtained by modifying the result can be found in [5, 7, 11, 13, 17-22]. Afterwards, the stability problem of functional equations has been extended in various directions (see, for example, [4, 8, 19, 20]). In particular, the stability of trigonometric and related maps was investigated by J. Baker [3], P. W. Cholewa [4], and R. Ger and P. Šemrl [8], etc.

We now introduce the following Wilson type functional equation

$$f(x+y) - f(x-y) = 2g(x)g(y) \tag{1}$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2000): 39B52, 39B72.

*Key words and phrases:* functional equation, stability.

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government(MOEHRD) (KRF-2005-070-C00009).

which is a special case of the Wilson's functional equation

$$f(x+y) + g(x-y) = h(x)k(y)$$

(see [1]). It is easy to see that the hyperbolic functions

$$f = \cosh, \quad g = \sinh$$

satisfy the functional equation (1). We here deal with the stability of the functional equation (1).

Recently Gy. Maksa and Zs. Páles [15] obtained the stability result for the following functional equation on the unit interval  $(0, 1]$ :

$$f(xy) = x^\alpha f(y) + y^\alpha f(x), \quad (2)$$

where  $\alpha$  is a fixed real number. The Hyers-Ulam stability of the equation (2) for the case  $\alpha = 1$  was proposed by Gy. Maksa [14] and was established by J. Tabor [24].

In this note we also examine the Hyers-Ulam stability of the following Pexider type functional equation on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  inspired by the above equation:

$$f(x+y-xy) = (1-x)^\alpha g(y) + (1-y)^\alpha h(x). \quad (3)$$

## 2. Stability of the equation (1)

Throughout this section,  $G$  will represent an Abelian group with the group operation denoted by  $+$  in which division by 2 is uniquely determined, and  $\mathbb{C}$  will denote a complex field.

Our first result is

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be functions satisfying the inequality*

$$|f(x+y) - f(x-y) - 2g(x)g(y)| \leq \delta \quad (4)$$

*for all  $x, y \in G$  and some  $\delta \geq 0$ . Then either  $g$  is bounded (equivalently,  $f$  is bounded) or  $g$  solves the sine functional equation*

$$s(x+y)s(x-y) = s(x)^2 - s(y)^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $g$  be bounded. Putting  $y = x$  in (4) yields

$$|f(2x) - f(0) - 2g(x)^2| \leq \delta,$$

for all  $x \in R$  and then setting  $x = \frac{x}{2}$ , we get

$$\left| f(x) - f(0) - 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2 \right| \leq \delta$$

for all  $x \in R$ . Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &= \left| f(x) - f(0) - 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2 + f(0) + 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2 \right| \\ &\leq \left| f(x) - f(0) - 2g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^2 \right| + |f(0)| + 2\left| g\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in R$  which implies that  $f$  is bounded.

Conversely, suppose that  $f$  is bounded, i.e, there exists a  $K > 0$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq K$  for all  $x \in G$ . By the above inequality  $|f(2x) - f(0) - 2g(x)^2| \leq \delta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |2g(x)^2| &\leq |2g(x)^2 - f(2x) + f(0)| + |f(2x)| + |f(0)| \\ &\leq \delta + K + |f(0)| := M \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$  which reduces to

$$|g(x)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2M}}{2}$$

for all  $x \in G$ , that is,  $g$  is bounded. Therefore,  $f$  is bounded if and only if  $g$  is bounded. On the other words,  $g$  is unbounded if and only if  $f$  is unbounded.

Assume that  $g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an unbounded solution of inequality (4). Putting  $y = 0$  in (4) and dividing the result by  $2|g(z)|$ , it follows that  $g(0) = 0$  since  $g$  is unbounded. Since  $g$  is unbounded, we also choose an  $x_0$  in  $G$  with  $|g(x_0)| \geq 2$ . Now let  $h : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function defined by

$$h(x) = \frac{g(x + x_0) - g(x - x_0)}{2g(x_0)}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . For convenience, set

$$\varphi(x, y) := |g(x + y) + g(x - y) - 2g(x)h(y)| \tag{5}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . We claim that for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$\varphi(x, y) \leq \delta. \tag{6}$$

Utilizing the definition of  $h$  and (4), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 2|g(x_0)|\varphi(x, y) &= |2g(x + y)g(x_0) + 2g(x - y)g(x_0) - 4g(x_0)g(x)h(y)| \\ &\leq |2g(x + y)g(x_0) - f(x + y + x_0) + f(x + y - x_0)| \\ &\quad + |2g(x - y)g(x_0) - f(x - y + x_0) + f(x - y - x_0)| \\ &\quad + |f(x + y + x_0) - f(x - y - x_0) - 2g(x)g(y + x_0)| \\ &\quad + |2g(x)g(y - x_0) - f(x + y - x_0) + f(x - y + x_0)| \\ &\quad + |2g(x)(g(y + x_0) - g(y - x_0)) - 4g(x_0)g(x)h(y)| \\ &\leq 4\delta, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the inequality  $\varphi(x, y) \leq \delta$  for all  $x, y \in G$  since  $|g(x_0)| \geq 2$ .

Making use of (4), (5) and (6), we have for all  $x, y, z \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2|g(z)|\varphi(x, y) &= |2g(z)g(x + y) + 2g(z)g(x - y) - 4g(x)g(z)h(y)| \\ &\leq |2g(z)g(x + y) - f(z + x + y) + f(z - x - y)| \\ &\quad + |2g(z)g(x - y) - f(z + x - y) + f(z - x + y)| \\ &\quad + |f(z + x + y) - f(z - x + y) - 2g(z + y)g(x)| \\ &\quad + |f(z + x - y) - f(z - x - y) - 2g(z - y)g(x)| \\ &\quad + |2(g(z + y) + g(z - y))g(x) - 4g(z)h(y)g(x)| \\ &\leq 4\delta + 2\varphi(z, y)|g(x)| \\ &\leq 4\delta + 2\delta|g(x)|, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$|g(z)|\varphi(x, y) \leq 2\delta + \delta|g(x)| \quad (7)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in G$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be arbitrary but fixed while  $z$  ranges over  $G$ . Dividing two-sided of (7) by  $|g(z)|$ , it follows from the unboundedness of  $g$  that  $\varphi(x, y) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in G$  which yields the functional equation

$$g(x+y) + g(x-y) = 2g(x)h(y). \quad (8)$$

Letting  $x = 0$  in (8), we obtain that  $g(-y) = -g(y)$  for all  $y \in G$ . If we make the change of variables  $x = \frac{u+v}{2}$ ,  $y = \frac{u-v}{2}$  in (8), then we get

$$g(u) + g(v) = 2g\left(\frac{u+v}{2}\right)h\left(\frac{u-v}{2}\right).$$

for all  $u, v \in G$ . Thus, for all  $x, y \in G$ , we obtain that

$$g(x+y) = g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) = 2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)h\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right),$$

and that

$$g(x-y) = g\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) + g\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) = 2g\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)h\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right),$$

Consequently, we get

$$g(x+y)g(x-y) = \left[2g\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)h\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)\right] \left[2g\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)h\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right].$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Hence, by (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x+y)g(x-y) &= [g(x) + g(y)][g(x) + g(-y)] \\ &= [g(x) + g(y)][g(x) - g(y)] \\ &= g(x)^2 - g(y)^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , that is,  $g$  is a solution of the sine functional equation.

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**REMARK.** Let  $f, g : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be unbounded solutions of the equation (1). Take the functions  $\bar{f}, \bar{g}$  defined on a group  $G$  with values in the algebra  $M_2(\mathbb{C})$  of all complex  $2 \times 2$ -matrices given by

$$\bar{f}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} f(x) & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{g}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} g(x) & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}$$

for all  $x \in G$ , where  $c$  and  $d$  is the positive real constants. Then

$$\|\bar{f}(x+y) - \bar{f}(x-y) - 2\bar{g}(x)\bar{g}(y)\| = \text{constant} > 0$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Hence this difference is bounded but  $\bar{g}$  is neither bounded nor satisfies the sine functional equation. This example shows that the stability of the equation (1) fails to hold in the case of vector-valued functions.

Nevertheless, we obtain a vector-valued analogue of Theorem 2.1.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $B$  be a semisimple commutative Banach algebra and let  $f, g : G \rightarrow B$  be functions satisfying the inequality*

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x - y) - 2g(x)g(y)\| \leq \delta \tag{9}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$  and some  $\delta \geq 0$ . Then

$$g(x + y)g(x - y) = g(x)^2 - g(y)^2,$$

provided that for an arbitrary multiplicative linear functional  $z^* \in B^*$  the superposition  $z^* \circ g$  fails to be bounded (equivalently, the superposition  $z^* \circ f$  fails to be bounded).

*Proof.* The arguments used in [6, p. 6, Theorem 3] carry over almost verbatim.

In fact, we assume that the inequality (9) is fulfilled for all  $x, y \in G$  and that we are given an arbitrary fixed multiplicative linear functional  $z^* \in B^*$ .

Since  $\|z^*\| = 1$ , we have, for all  $x, y \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\geq \|f(x + y) - f(x - y) - 2g(x)g(y)\| \\ &= \sup_{\|z^*\|=1} |z^*(f(x + y) - f(x - y) - 2g(x)g(y))| \\ &\geq |z^*(f(x + y)) - z^*(f(x - y)) - 2z^*(g(x))z^*(g(y))|, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the superpositions  $z^* \circ f$  and  $z^* \circ g$  are solutions of the inequality (4). As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 with the above inequality, the superposition  $z^* \circ g$  is unbounded if and only if the superposition  $z^* \circ f$  is unbounded. Since, by hypothesis, the superposition  $z^* \circ g$  is unbounded,  $z^* \circ g$  satisfies the sine functional equation in view of Theorem 2.1. Since  $z^*$  was arbitrary in  $B^*$ , we see that

$$g(x + y)g(x - y) - g(x)^2 + g(y)^2 \in \bigcap_{z^* \in B^*} \ker z^*$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Since  $\bigcap_{z^* \in B^*} \ker z^*$  is the Jacobson radical of  $B$  and  $B$  is semisimple, we conclude that

$$g(x + y)g(x - y) - g(x)^2 + g(y)^2 = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , as claim and the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Solutions and stability of the equation (3)

Throughout this section,  $X$  will denote a real (or complex) vector space.

We will first find out the general solution of the functional equation (3).

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $\alpha$  be any fixed real number. The functions  $f, g, h : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfy the equation (3) for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$  if and only if, for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$f(x) = (1 - x)^\alpha [\ell(1 - x) + f(0)] \tag{10}$$

$$g(x) = (1 - x)^\alpha [\ell(1 - x) + g(0)] \tag{11}$$

and

$$h(x) = (1 - x)^\alpha [\ell(1 - x) + h(0)], \tag{12}$$

where  $\ell : (0, 1] \rightarrow X$  is a logarithmic function and  $f(0) = g(0) + h(0)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Define the functions  $\tilde{f}$ ,  $\tilde{g}$  and  $\tilde{h}$  on  $(0, 1]$  by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = x^{-\alpha}f(1-x), \quad \tilde{g}(x) = x^{-\alpha}g(1-x) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{h}(x) = x^{-\alpha}h(1-x),$$

respectively. Then the equation (3) yields the well-known Pexider equation

$$\tilde{f}(xy) = \tilde{h}(x) + \tilde{g}(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in (0, 1]$ . By applying [2, p. 43, Theorem 9], we easily obtain (10), (11) and (12).

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This is obvious.  $\square$

Let us now investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of the equation (3).

**DEFINITION.** A function  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called exponentially increasing if it is increasing and there exist constants  $\gamma > 1$  and  $h \in [0, \infty)$  such that

$$g(x+h) \geq \gamma g(x)$$

for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

First, we state a result of J. Tabor [24] concerning the stability of the additive functional equation  $f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y)$  on the interval  $[0, \infty)$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** Assume that  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is exponentially increasing with  $\gamma$  and  $h$  as in Definition and  $g(0) > 0$ . Let  $K = 2\frac{g(h)}{g(0)} + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$ . Let  $X$  be a sequentially complete topological vector space and  $V$  be a closed convex, bounded and symmetric with respect to zero subset of  $X$ . If  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  is a function such that

$$f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y) \in g(x+y)V$$

for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ , then there exists a unique additive function  $A : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  such that  $A(h) = f(h)$  and that

$$f(x) - A(x) \in Kg(x)V$$

for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ .

Our stability result concerning the equation (3) is

**THEOREM 3.3.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\alpha$  be any fixed real number. Let  $f, g, h : [0, 1) \rightarrow X$  be functions satisfying the inequality

$$\|f(x+y-xy) - (1-x)^\alpha g(y) - (1-y)^\alpha h(x)\| \leq \varepsilon \tag{13}$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, 1)$  and some  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . Then there exist functions  $\Phi, \Psi, \Lambda : [0, 1) \rightarrow X$  satisfying the functional equation (3) such that

$$\|f(x) - \Phi(x)\| \leq \kappa \varepsilon, \tag{14}$$

$$\|g(x) - \Psi(x)\| \leq (\kappa + 1)\varepsilon \tag{15}$$

and

$$\|h(x) - \Lambda(x)\| \leq (\kappa + 1)\varepsilon, \tag{16}$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ , where  $\kappa := 3$  if  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\kappa := 9 + 6\sqrt{2}$  if  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us define functions  $p, q, r : [0, 1) \rightarrow X$  by

$$p(x) = \frac{f(x)}{(1-x)^\alpha}, \quad q(x) = \frac{g(x)}{(1-x)^\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad r(x) = \frac{h(x)}{(1-x)^\alpha}$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ , respectively. Then the inequality (13) yields the inequality

$$\|p(x+y-xy) - q(y) - r(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{(1-x)^\alpha(1-y)^\alpha} \tag{17}$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, 1)$ .

Assume that  $\alpha \geq 0$ . Then the functions  $P, Q, R : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$P(u) = p(1 - e^{-u}), \quad Q(u) = q(1 - e^{-u}) \quad \text{and} \quad R(u) = r(1 - e^{-u})$$

for all  $u \in [0, \infty)$  satisfy the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|P(u+v) - Q(u) - R(v)\| &= \|p(1 - e^{-(u+v)}) - q(1 - e^{-u}) - r(1 - e^{-v})\| \\ &= \|p((1 - e^{-u}) + (1 - e^{-v}) - (1 - e^{-u})(1 - e^{-v})) \\ &\quad - q(1 - e^{-u}) - r(1 - e^{-v})\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon e^{\alpha(u+v)} \end{aligned}$$

which is

$$\|P(u+v) - Q(u) - R(v)\| \leq \varepsilon e^{\alpha(u+v)} \tag{18}$$

for all  $u, v \in [0, \infty)$ . Putting  $v = 0$  in (18), we get

$$\|P(u) - Q(u) - R(0)\| \leq \varepsilon e^{\alpha u} \tag{19}$$

for all  $u \in [0, \infty)$ . Letting  $u = 0$  in (18), we have

$$\|P(v) - Q(0) - R(v)\| \leq \varepsilon e^{\alpha v} \tag{20}$$

for all  $v \in [0, \infty)$ . We now define a function  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  by

$$F(u) = P(u) - Q(0) - R(0) \tag{21}$$

for all  $u \in [0, \infty)$ . We assert that

$$\|F(u+v) - F(u) - F(v)\| \leq 3\varepsilon e^{\alpha(u+v)}$$

for all  $u, v \in [0, \infty)$ .

Indeed, it follows from (18), (19), (20) and (21) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(u+v) - F(u) - F(v)\| &= \|P(u+v) - P(u) - P(v) + Q(0) + R(0)\| \\ &\leq \|P(u+v) - Q(u) - R(v)\| + \|Q(u) - P(u) + R(0)\| \\ &\quad + \|R(v) - P(v) + Q(0)\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon e^{\alpha(u+v)} + \varepsilon e^{\alpha u} + \varepsilon e^{\alpha v}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\|F(u+v) - F(u) - F(v)\| \leq 3\varepsilon e^{\alpha(u+v)} \tag{22}$$

for all  $u, v \in [0, \infty)$ .

In the case  $\alpha = 0$  in (22), by employing Skof’s result [23], we see that there exists a unique additive function  $A_1 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|F(u) - A_1(u)\| \leq 3\varepsilon \tag{23}$$

for all  $u \in [0, \infty)$ .

Let  $\alpha > 0$  in (22). Note that the exponential function  $e^{(\cdot)}$  is exponentially increasing with  $h := -\ln(1 - z)$ ,  $\gamma := e^{\alpha h} = \frac{1}{(1-z)^\alpha}$ , where  $z \in (0, 1)$  in Theorem 3.2. Therefore, by applying (22) to Theorem 3.2, we see that there exists an additive function  $A_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|F(u) - A_2(u)\| \leq (9 + 6\sqrt{2})\varepsilon e^{\alpha u} \tag{24}$$

for all  $u \in [0, \infty)$  since the minimal value of  $K = \frac{2}{(1-z)^\alpha} + \frac{1}{1-(1-z)^\alpha}$  in  $(0, 1)$ , in view of Theorem 3.2, is equal to  $3 + \sqrt{2}$  when  $z = 1 - (2 - \sqrt{2})^{1/\alpha}$ .

Now we suppose that  $\alpha < 0$ . Defining the functions  $P, Q, R : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  by

$$P(u) = p(1 - e^u), \quad Q(u) = q(1 - e^u) \quad \text{and} \quad R(u) = r(1 - e^u)$$

for all  $u \in [0, \infty)$ , the inequality (17) gives the inequality

$$\|P(u + v) - Q(u) - R(v)\| \leq \varepsilon e^{-\alpha(u+v)}$$

for all  $u, v \in [0, \infty)$ . If we pass through the same process as in (19)  $\sim$  (22), then we also get the inequality

$$\|F(u + v) - F(u) - F(v)\| \leq 3\varepsilon e^{-\alpha(u+v)} \tag{25}$$

for all  $u, v \in [0, \infty)$ , and so it follows from (25) with Theorem 3.2 that there exists an additive function  $A_3 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|F(u) - A_3(u)\| \leq (9 + 6\sqrt{2})\varepsilon e^{-\alpha u} \tag{26}$$

for all  $u \in [0, \infty)$  as in the case  $\alpha \geq 0$ .

For the sake of convenience, let us  $\kappa := 3$  if  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\kappa := 9 + 6\sqrt{2}$  if  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Now the inequalities (23), (24) and (26) can be rewritten to the form

$$\|F(u) - A(u)\| \leq \kappa \varepsilon e^{\beta u} \tag{27}$$

for all  $u \in [0, \infty)$ , where  $\beta := \alpha$ ,  $A := A_1$  (or  $A_2$ ) if  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta := -\alpha$ ,  $A := A_3$  if  $\alpha < 0$ .

Let  $\vartheta := -1$  if  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\vartheta := 1$  if  $\alpha \leq 0$ . With the definition of  $F$  and  $P$ , the inequality (27) implies that

$$\|p(x) - A(\vartheta \ln(1 - x)) - q(0) - r(0)\| \leq \frac{\kappa \varepsilon}{(1 - x)^\alpha},$$

that is,

$$\left\| \frac{f(x)}{(1 - x)^\alpha} - A(\vartheta \ln(1 - x)) - g(0) - h(0) \right\| \leq \frac{\kappa \varepsilon}{(1 - x)^\alpha}$$

which gives

$$\|f(x) - (1 - x)^\alpha [A(\vartheta \ln(1 - x)) + g(0) + h(0)]\| \leq \kappa \varepsilon \tag{28}$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ .



On the other hand, the inequalities (19), (21) and (27) yield that

$$\begin{aligned}\|Q(v) - A(v) - Q(0)\| &= \|Q(v) - A(v) + F(v) - P(v) + R(0)\| \\ &\leq \|F(v) - A(v)\| + \|P(v) - Q(v) - R(0)\| \\ &\leq (\kappa + 1)\varepsilon e^{\alpha v}\end{aligned}$$

for all  $v \in [0, \infty)$ , and so this and the definition of  $Q$ ,  $q$  imply that

$$\left\| \frac{g(x)}{(1-x)^\alpha} - A(\vartheta \ln(1-x)) - g(0) \right\| \leq \frac{(\kappa + 1)\varepsilon}{(1-x)^\alpha},$$

that is,

$$\|g(x) - (1-x)^\alpha[A(\vartheta \ln(1-x)) + g(0)]\| \leq (\kappa + 1)\varepsilon \quad (29)$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ . We use the inequalities (20), (21) and (27) to obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\|R(v) - A(v) - R(0)\| &= \|R(v) - A(v) + F(v) - P(v) + Q(0)\| \\ &\leq \|F(v) - A(v)\| + \|P(v) - R(v) - Q(0)\| \\ &\leq (\kappa + 1)\varepsilon e^{\alpha v}\end{aligned}$$

for all  $v \in [0, \infty)$ , and hence from this and the definition of  $Q$ ,  $q$ , it follows that

$$\left\| \frac{h(x)}{(1-x)^\alpha} - A(\vartheta \ln(1-x)) - h(0) \right\| \leq \frac{(\kappa + 1)\varepsilon}{(1-x)^\alpha},$$

that is,

$$\|h(x) - (1-x)^\alpha[A(\vartheta \ln(1-x)) + h(0)]\| \leq (\kappa + 1)\varepsilon \quad (30)$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ .

Finally, for all  $x \in [0, 1)$ , setting

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(x) &= (1-x)^\alpha[A(\vartheta \ln(1-x)) + g(0) + h(0)], \\ \Psi(x) &= (1-x)^\alpha[A(\vartheta \ln(1-x)) + g(0)]\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Lambda(x) = (1-x)^\alpha[A(\vartheta \ln(1-x)) + h(0)],$$

we see that the functions  $\Phi$ ,  $\Psi$  and  $\Lambda$  satisfy the functional equation (3), that is,

$$\Phi(x+y-xy) = (1-x)^\alpha\Psi(y) + (1-y)^\alpha\Lambda(x)$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, 1)$ , and the inequalities (28), (29) and (30) give the inequalities (14), (15) and (16), respectively. The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

*Acknowledgments* The authors wish to thank Professor Th. M. Rassias and the anonymous referees for their valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. ACZÉL, *Lectures on Functional Equations and Their Applications*, Academic Press, New York/London, 1966.
- [2] J. ACZÉL, J. DHOMBRES, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [3] J. BAKER, *The stability of the cosine equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **80** (1980), 411–416.
- [4] P. W. CHOLEWA, *The stability of the sine equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **88** (1983), 631–634.
- [5] S. CZERWIK, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg, **62** (1992), 59–64.

- [6] Z. DARÓCZY, ZS. PÁLES., *Functional Equations-Results and Advances*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 2002.
- [7] P. GÄVRUȚA, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [8] R. GER, P. ŠEMRL, *The stability of the exponential equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **124** (1996), 117–125.
- [9] D. H. HYERS, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [10] G. ISAC, TH. M. RASSIAS, *Functional inequalities for approximately additive mappings*, in Stability of Mappings of Hyers-Ulam Type (Th. M. Rassias and J. Tabor, Eds.), (1994), 117–125, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbour, FL.
- [11] S.-M. JUNG, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a quadratic functional equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **232** (1999), 384–392.
- [12] S.-M. JUNG, *On the superstability of the functional equation  $f(x^y) = yf(x)$* , Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **67** (1997), 315–322.
- [13] Y.-H. LEE, K.-W. JUN, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Pexider equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **246** (2000), 627–638.
- [14] GY. MAKSA, *Problems 18, In Report on the 34th ISFE*, Aequationes Math., **53** (1997), 194.
- [15] GY. MAKSA, ZS. PÁLES, *Hyperstability of a class of linear functional equations*, Acta Math. Acad. Paed. Nyhazi., **17** (2001), 107–112.
- [16] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [17] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Appl. Math., **62** (2000), 23–130.
- [18] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **251** (2000), 264–284.
- [19] TH. M. RASSIAS (ED.), *Functional Equations and inequalities*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht/ Boston/ London, 2000.
- [20] TH. M. RASSIAS, J. TABOR, *Stability of mappings of Hyers-Ulam type*, Hadronic Press, Inc., Florida, 1994.
- [21] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the the behavior of mappings which does not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [22] TH. M. RASSIAS, J. TABOR, *What is left of Hyers-Ulam stability?*, Journal of Natural Geometry, **1** (1992), 65–69.
- [23] F. SKOF, *Sull'approssimazione delle applicazioni localmente  $\delta$ -additive*, Atti Accad. Sc. Torino, **117** (1983), 377–389.
- [24] J. TABOR, *Stability of the Cauchy functional equation with variable bound*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **51** (1997), 165–173.
- [25] S. M. ULAM, *Problems in Modern Mathematics.*, Chap. VI, Wiley, New York, 1964.

(Received March 19, 2005)

Yong-Soo Jung  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Chungnam National University  
 Taejon 305-764  
 Korea  
 e-mail: ysjung@math.cnu.ac.kr

Kil-Woung Jun  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Chungnam National University  
 Taejon 305-764  
 Korea  
 e-mail: kwjun@math.cnu.ac.kr