

ON ALMOST INCREASING SEQUENCES FOR GENERALIZED ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY

EKREM SAVAŞ

(communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

Abstract. In this paper, we establish a summability factor theorem for summability $|A, \delta|_k$ as defined in (2) where A is a lower triangular matrix with non-negative entries satisfying certain conditions.

This paper is an extension of the main result of [3] using definition (2) below.

Let A be a lower triangular matrix, $\{s_n\}$ a sequence. Then

$$A_n := \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v.$$

A series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A|_k, k \geq 1$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |A_n - A_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \tag{1}$$

and it is said to be summable $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$ if (see, [1])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k + k - 1} |A_n - A_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \tag{2}$$

We may associate with A two lower triangular matrices \bar{A} and \hat{A} defined as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{r=v}^n a_{nr}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1, v}, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

We may write

$$T_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{ni} \sum_{v=0}^i a_v \lambda_v = \sum_{v=0}^n a_v \lambda_v \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni} = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v \lambda_v.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 40F05, 40D25.

Key words and phrases: absolute summability, almost increasing summability factors.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_n - T_{n-1} &= \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v \lambda_v - \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \bar{a}_{n-1,v} a_v \lambda_v \\
 &= \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v \lambda_v - \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{n-1,v} a_v \lambda_v \\
 &= \sum_{v=0}^n (\bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}) a_v \lambda_v \\
 &= \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v \lambda_v = \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v (s_v - s_{v-1}) \\
 &= \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v s_v - \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v s_{v-1} \\
 &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v s_v + \hat{a}_{nn} \lambda_n s_n - \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v s_{v-1} \\
 &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v s_v + a_{nn} \lambda_n s_n - \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} s_v \\
 &= \sum_{v=1}^n (\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v - \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1}) s_v + a_{nn} \lambda_n s_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

We may write

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v - \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1}) &= \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v - \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} - \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_v + \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_v \\
 &= (\hat{a}_{nv} - \hat{a}_{n,v+1}) \lambda_v + \hat{a}_{n,v+1} (\lambda_v - \lambda_{v+1}) \\
 &= \lambda_v \Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv} + \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_n - T_{n-1} &= \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v s_v + \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v s_v + a_{nn} \lambda_n s_n \\
 &= T_{n1} + T_{n2} + T_{n3}, \quad \text{say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

A triangle is a lower triangular matrix with all nonzero main diagonal entries.

A positive sequence $\{b_n\}$ is said to be almost increasing if there exists a positive increasing sequence $\{c_n\}$ and two positive constants A and B such that $A c_n \leq b_n \leq B c_n$. Obviously every increasing sequence is almost increasing. However, the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking the example, say $b_n = e^{(-1)^n} n$.

Given any sequence $\{x_n\}$, the notation $x_n \asymp O(1)$ means $x_n = O(1)$ and $1/x_n = O(1)$. For any matrix entry a_{nv} , $\Delta_v a_{nv} := a_{nv} - a_{n,v+1}$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\{X_n\}$ be an almost increasing sequence and let $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be sequences such that*

- (i) $|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq \beta_n$,

(ii) $\lim_n \beta_n = 0,$

(iii) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n|\Delta\beta_n|X_n < \infty,$

and

(iv) $|\lambda_n|X_n = O(1).$

Let A be a lower triangular matrix with non-negative entries satisfying

(v) $na_{nn} \asymp O(1),$

(vi) $a_{n-1,v} \geq a_{nv}$ for $n \geq v + 1,$

(vii) $\bar{a}_{n0} = 1$ for all $n,$

(viii) $\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} a_{vv}\hat{a}_{nv+1} = O(a_{nn}),$

(ix) $\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k}|\Delta_v\hat{a}_{nv}| = O(v^{\delta k}a_{vv})$

and

(x) $\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k}\hat{a}_{nv+1} = O(v^{\delta k}).$

If

(xi) $\sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k-1}|s_n|^k = O(X_m).$

then the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$ is summable $|A, \delta|_k, k \geq 1, 0 \leq \delta < 1/k.$

The following lemma is pertinent for the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1. ([2]) Under the conditions on $\{X_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ as taken in the statement of the theorem, the following conditions hold, when (iii) is satisfied

(1) $n\beta_nX_n = O(1).$

(2) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_nX_n < \infty.$

Proof. To complete the proof it is sufficient, by Minkowski's inequality, to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\delta k+k-1}|T_{nr}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3.$$

From the definition of \hat{A} and using (vi) and (vii);

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} &= \bar{a}_{n,v+1} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=v+1}^n a_{ni} - \sum_{i=v+1}^{n-1} a_{n-1,i} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{i=0}^v a_{ni} - 1 + \sum_{i=0}^v a_{n-1,i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^v (a_{n-1,i} - a_{ni}) \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Using Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &:= \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n1}|^k = \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v s_v \right|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_v| |s_v| \right)^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_v|^k |s_v|^k \right) \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| \right)^{k-1}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv} &= \hat{a}_{nv} - \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \\
 &= \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v} - \bar{a}_{n,v+1} + \bar{a}_{n-1,v+1} \\
 &= a_{nv} - a_{n-1,v} \leq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using (vii),

$$\sum_{v=0}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| = \sum_{v=0}^{n-1} (a_{n-1,v} - a_{nv}) = 1 - 1 + a_{nn} = a_{nn}.$$

Since $\{\lambda_n\}$ is an almost increasing sequence, condition (iv) implies that $\{\lambda_n\}$ is bounded. Then, using (v), (ix), (xi), and (i) and condition (2) of Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{nn})^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_v|^k |s_v|^k |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |s_v|^k \right) \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| |s_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} |\lambda_v| a_{vv} |s_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| \left[\sum_{r=1}^v a_{rr} |s_r|^k r^{\delta k} - \sum_{r=1}^{v-1} a_{rr} |s_r|^k r^{\delta k} \right] \\
 &= O(1) \left[\sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v a_{rr} |s_r|^k r^{\delta k} - \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} |\lambda_{v+1}| \sum_{r=1}^v a_{rr} |s_r|^k r^{\delta k} \right] \\
 &= O(1) \left[\sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(|\lambda_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v a_{rr} |s_r|^k r^{\delta k} + |\lambda_m| \sum_{r=1}^m a_{rr} |s_r|^k r^{\delta k} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= O(1) \left[\sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(|\lambda_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v r^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k + |\lambda_m| \sum_{r=1}^m r^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k \right] \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \beta_v X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (i) and Hölder’s inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &:= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n2}|^k = \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} (\Delta_v \lambda_v) s_v \right|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \lambda_v| \hat{a}_{n,v+1} |s_v| \frac{a_{vv}}{a_{vv}} \right]^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \lambda_v|^k |s_v|^k \hat{a}_{n,v+1} a_{vv}^{-k} a_{vv} \left[\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} a_{vv} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \right]^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{mm})^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \beta_v^k |s_v|^k \hat{a}_{n,v+1} a_{vv}^{-k} a_{vv}
 \end{aligned}$$

We have, using (v),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} (na_{mm})^{k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} (v\beta_v)^k |s_v|^k a_{vv} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m (v\beta_v)^k |s_v|^k a_{vv} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} \hat{a}_{n,v+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the condition (1) of Lemma 1, $(v\beta_v)$ is bounded and therefore, from (x),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} (v\beta_v)^k |s_v|^k a_{vv} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} (v\beta_v) (v\beta_v)^{k-1} |s_v|^k a_{vv} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v^{\delta k} (v\beta_v) |s_v|^k a_{vv} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v\beta_v |s_v|^k v^{\delta k-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using summation by parts, (xi), conditions (1) and (2) of Lemma 1 and (iii),

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &:= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m \nu \beta_\nu \left[\sum_{r=1}^\nu r^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k - \sum_{r=1}^{\nu-1} r^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k \right] \\
 &= O(1) \left[\sum_{\nu=1}^m (\nu \beta_\nu) \sum_{r=1}^\nu r^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k - \sum_{r=1}^{m-1} (\nu + 1 \beta_{\nu+1}) \sum_{r=1}^\nu r^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k \right] \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \Delta(\nu \beta_\nu) \sum_{r=1}^\nu r^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k + O(1) m \beta_m \sum_{r=1}^m r^{\delta k-1} |s_r|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta(\nu \beta_\nu)| X_\nu + O(1) m \beta_m X_m \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \nu |\Delta(\beta_\nu)| X_\nu + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \beta_{\nu+1} X_{\nu+1} + O(1) m \beta_m X_m \\
 &= O(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (iv) and (v) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n3}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} \left| a_{nn} \lambda_n s_n \right|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k+k-1} |a_{nn}|^k |\lambda_n|^k |s_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} (n a_{nn})^{k-1} a_{nn} |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |s_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k} a_{nn} |\lambda_n| |s_n|^k \\
 &= O(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of I_1

Setting $\delta = 0$ in the theorem yields the following corollary:

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\{X_n\}$ be an almost increasing sequence and $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ sequences satisfying conditions (i) – (iv) of Theorem 1. Let A be a triangle satisfying conditions (v) – (viii) of Theorem 1.*

If

$$(ix) \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{1}{n} |s_n|^k = O(X_m),$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|A|_k, k \geq 1$.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\{p_n\}$ be a positive sequence such that*

$$P_n := \sum_{k=0}^n p_k \rightarrow \infty, \text{ and satisfies}$$

$$(v) np_n \asymp O(P_n).$$

$$(vi) \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} n^{\delta k} \left| \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \right| = O\left(\frac{v^{\delta k}}{P_v}\right).$$

Let $\{X_n\}$ is an almost increasing sequence, $\{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ sequences satisfying conditions (i) – (iv) of Theorem 1.

If

$$(vii) \sum_{n=1}^m n^{\delta k-1} |s_n|^k = O(X_m),$$

then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p, \delta|_k, k \geq 1$ for $0 \leq \delta < 1/k$.

Proof. Conditions (i) – (iv) and (vii) of Corollary 2 are, respectively, conditions (i) – (iv) and (xi) of Theorem 1.

Conditions (vi), (vii) and (viii) of Theorem 1 are automatically satisfied for any weighted mean method. Condition (v) of Theorem 1 becomes condition (v) of Corollary 2, and conditions (ix) and (x) of Theorem 1 become condition (vi) of Corollary 2.

It should be noted that, in [3], an incorrect definition of absolute summability was used. Corollary 2 gives the correct version of Ozarslan's theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. FLEET, *On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **3**, (7) (1957), 113–141.
- [2] S. M. MAZHAR, *A note on absolute summability*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Snica **25**, (1997), 233–242 .
- [3] H. S. OZARSLAN, *On almost increasing sequence and its applications*, IJMMS. **25**, (2001), 293–298 .
- [4] B. E. RHOADES, *Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **118**, (1993), 71–75.
- [5] E. SAVAŞ, *A summability factors theorem for infinite series*, Reel Anal. Exchange, **30**, (2004/2005), 1–7.
- [6] B. E. RHOADES, E. SAVAŞ, *A summability factors theorem for absolute summability involving almost increasing sequences*, IJMMS., **69**, (2004), 3793–3797.

(Received January 9, 2005)

Department of Mathematics
Yüzüncü Yıl University
Van, Turkey
e-mail: ekremsavas@yahoo.com