

FURUTA INEQUALITY OF INDEFINITE TYPE

TAKASHI SANÓ

(communicated by F. Hansen)

Abstract. In this article, we study matrix inequalities on an (indefinite) inner product space, including a generalization of Furuta inequality: let A, B be J -selfadjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues and $I \geqslant^J A \geqslant^J B$. Then for each $r \geqslant 0$,

$$(A^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geqslant^J (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

holds for $p \geqslant 0, q \geqslant 1$ with $(1+r)q \geqslant p+r$.

1. Introduction

In [2], T. Ando studies matrix inequalities on an (indefinite) inner product space; he shows the following:

(1.1) ([2, Theorem 4]) Let J be a selfadjoint involution, and A, B J -selfadjoint matrices with $\sigma(A), \sigma(B) \subseteq (\alpha, \beta)$. Then

$$A \geqslant^J B \Rightarrow f(A) \geqslant^J f(B)$$

for any operator monotone function $f(t)$ on (α, β) .

(1.2) ([2, Theorem 6]) Let A, B be J -selfadjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues. If

$$I \geqslant^J A \geqslant^J B,$$

then J -selfadjoint square roots $A^{\frac{1}{2}}, B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are well defined and

$$I \geqslant^J A^{\frac{1}{2}} \geqslant^J B^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In this article, we would like to show a generalization of (1.2) for α -powers (Theorem 2.4); moreover Furuta inequality of indefinite type (Theorem 3.3). Although we can show them as well as the corresponding ones on a Hilbert space, we think that careful argument is required; therefore, we have this article.

In the remainder of this section, we recall basic facts about matrices on an (indefinite) inner product space. We refer the reader to [3, 1].

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of all complex n -square matrices, and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the standard inner product on \mathbb{C}^n . For a pair of selfadjoint matrices A, B , $A \geqslant B$ means that $A - B$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 47B50, 47A63.

Key words and phrases: matrix inequality, (indefinite) inner product space, Furuta inequality.

is positive semidefinite. For a selfadjoint involution $J: J = J^*, J^2 = I$, we consider an (indefinite) inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on \mathbb{C}^n given by

$$[x, y] := \langle Jx, y \rangle \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

The J -adjoint matrix A^\sharp of A is defined as

$$[Ax, y] = [x, A^\sharp y] \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

In other words, $A^\sharp = JA^*J$. A matrix A is said to be J -selfadjoint if $A^\sharp = A$ or JA is selfadjoint: $JA = A^*J$. For a pair of J -selfadjoint matrices A, B , the J -order, denoted as $A \geq^J B$, is defined by

$$[Ax, x] \geq [Bx, x] \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}^n),$$

i.e., $JA \geq JB$. A matrix A is called J -positive if $[Ax, x] \geq 0$ ($x \in \mathbb{C}^n$), or equivalently $JA \geq O$. A matrix A is said to be a J -contraction if $I \geq^J A^\sharp A$ or $[x, x] \geq [Ax, Ax]$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Remark that $I \geq^J A$ implies that all eigenvalues of A are real. Hence, for a J -contraction A all eigenvalues of $A^\sharp A$ are real. In fact, by a result of Potapov-Ginzburg (see [3, Chapter 2, Section 4]), all eigenvalues of $A^\sharp A$ are non-negative.

If all eigenvalues of a J -selfadjoint matrix A are real and $\sigma(A) \subseteq (\alpha, \beta)$, where $\sigma(A)$ means the set of all eigenvalues of A , then for any real-valued function $f(t)$ on (α, β) with analytic continuation, we can define $f(A)$ by the Dunford integral

$$f(A) := \int_\Gamma f(\zeta)(\zeta I - A)^{-1} d\zeta,$$

where Γ is a closed rectifiable contour in the domain of analytic continuation of $f(t)$ with positive direction surrounding $\sigma(A)$ in its interior. Note that $f(A)$ is J -selfadjoint.

2. Inequality for powers

In Section 1, we recall the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) by T. Ando. In this section, we have a generalization of (1.2): Theorem 2.4.

LEMMA 2.1. (cf., [2, Lemma 5]) *Suppose that $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is J -selfadjoint with non-negative eigenvalues. If $1 \geq^J A$, that is, $J \geq JA$, then the integral*

$$\frac{\sin \pi\alpha}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{\alpha-1} A(\lambda I + A)^{-1} d\lambda$$

converges for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

The integral is denoted by A^α . Remark that for a J -selfadjoint matrix A with positive eigenvalues the integral is just the Dunford integral $f(A): f(t) = t^\alpha$ on $(0, \infty)$.

The following proof is given for the reader's convenience; it is the same as that for [2, Lemma 5].

Proof. When $\mathcal{M} := \ker A = \{0\}$, then the assertion follows immediately from the above remark. Hence, we assume that $\mathcal{M} \neq \{0\}$. Let $C := J(I - A)$. The assumption $C \geq O$ yields that

$$\|C\| \langle Cx, x \rangle \geq \|Cx\|^2 \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}^n).$$

This means that

$$\|C\| [y, y] \geq \|y\|^2 \quad (y \in \mathcal{M}).$$

Hence, \mathcal{M} is a J -positive subspace. Then it is known (see [3, Chapter 1, Section 7]) that positive definiteness of \mathcal{M} implies projective completeness of \mathcal{M} : \mathbb{C}^n is the algebraic direct sum of \mathcal{M} and its J -orthocomplement \mathcal{N} , defined by

$$\mathcal{N} := \{z; [y, z] = 0 \quad (\forall y \in \mathcal{M})\},$$

both of which are invariant for A . By definition, $\sigma(A|_{\mathcal{N}}) \subseteq (0, \infty)$. Any vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is uniquely written as

$$x = y + z \quad (y \in \mathcal{M}, z \in \mathcal{N}),$$

and for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$A(\lambda I + A)^{-1}x = (A|_{\mathcal{N}})(\lambda I + (A|_{\mathcal{N}}))^{-1}z,$$

which guarantees the convergence of the integral

$$\frac{\sin \pi \alpha}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \lambda^{\alpha-1} A(\lambda I + A)^{-1}x \, d\lambda,$$

and the proof is complete. \square

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a J -positive matrix. Then $X^\sharp AX$ is J -positive for any $X \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$.*

Proof. By definition, $JA \geq O$. Hence, $JX^\sharp AX = X^*(JA)X \geq O$ and the proof is complete. \square

LEMMA 2.3. *Let A, B be J -selfadjoint matrices with positive eigenvalues. If*

$$A \geq^J B,$$

then

$$B^{-1} \geq^J A^{-1}.$$

This is a consequence of (1.1); here is a simple proof (see also [2, Lemma 3]):

Proof. Note that $A^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is defined by the Dunford integral and it is invertible J -selfadjoint. The assumption and Lemma 2.2 yield

$$I = A^{-\frac{1}{2}}AA^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geq^J A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}} = (B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^\sharp (B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}}).$$

Hence, by a theorem of Potapov-Ginzburg (see [3, Chapter 2, Section 4]),

$$I \geq^J (B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}})(B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-\frac{1}{2}})^\sharp = B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-1}B^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2,

$$B^{-1} = B^{-\frac{1}{2}}IB^{-\frac{1}{2}} \geqslant^J B^{-\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{-1}B^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}} = A^{-1},$$

and the proof is complete. \square

THEOREM 2.4. *Let A, B be J -selfadjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues and $0 < \alpha < 1$. If*

$$I \geqslant^J A \geqslant^J B,$$

then J -selfadjoint powers A^α, B^α are well defined and

$$I \geqslant^J A^\alpha \geqslant^J B^\alpha.$$

Proof. When all eigenvalues of A and B are positive, the assertion is a consequence of (1.1) with the operator monotone function $f(t) = t^\alpha$ on $(0, \infty)$.

When A or B has 0 as its eigenvalue, the powers can be defined by Lemma 2.1. The assumption $A \geqslant^J B$ and Lemma 2.3 imply that

$$(\lambda I + B)^{-1} \geqslant^J (\lambda I + A)^{-1} \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

or

$$A(\lambda I + A)^{-1} \geqslant^J B(\lambda I + B)^{-1} \quad (\lambda > 0).$$

Therefore, $A^\alpha \geqslant^J B^\alpha$ and the proof is complete. \square

REMARK 2.5. We comment on an alternative proof of the inequality $A^\alpha \geqslant^J B^\alpha$. For simplicity, assume that A, B are invertible. Then similar argument as in the proof of [4, Theorem V.1.9] and (1.2) yield $A^r \geqslant^J B^r$ for all dyadic rationals $r \in [0, 1]$. By continuity, the assertion follows.

3. Furuta inequality of indefinite type

LEMMA 3.1. *Let A, B be J -selfadjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues and $I \geqslant^J A, I \geqslant^J B$. Then the eigenvalues of ABA are non-negative and*

$$I \geqslant^J A^\lambda$$

for $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. By assumption, A and $B^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are J -contractive, so is $B^{\frac{1}{2}}A$. Since

$$ABA = (B^{\frac{1}{2}}A)^\sharp (B^{\frac{1}{2}}A) (\leqslant^J I),$$

it follows from a theorem of Potapov-Ginzburg that

$$\sigma(ABA) \subseteq [0, \infty).$$

The second assertion is easy to see; the detail is left to the reader, and the proof is complete. \square

LEMMA 3.2. (cf.,[6, Lemma 1]) *Let A, B be J -selfadjoint matrices with positive eigenvalues and $I \geq^J A, I \geq^J B$. Then*

$$(ABA)^\lambda = AB^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^2B^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\lambda-1}B^{\frac{1}{2}}A$$

holds for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Let us consider a J -polar decomposition of $AB^{\frac{1}{2}}$:

$$AB^{\frac{1}{2}} = UH,$$

where U is J -unitary; $U^\sharp U = I$ and H is the J -modulus $\{(AB^{\frac{1}{2}})^\sharp(AB^{\frac{1}{2}})\}^{\frac{1}{2}} = (B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^2B^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (ABA)^\lambda &= (AB^{\frac{1}{2}}(AB^{\frac{1}{2}})^\sharp)^\lambda = (UH^2U^\sharp)^\lambda \\ &= UH^{2\lambda}U^\sharp = AB^{\frac{1}{2}}H^{-1}H^{2\lambda}H^{-1}B^{\frac{1}{2}}A \\ &= AB^{\frac{1}{2}}(H^2)^{\lambda-1}B^{\frac{1}{2}}A = AB^{\frac{1}{2}}(B^{\frac{1}{2}}A^2B^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\lambda-1}B^{\frac{1}{2}}A \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. \square

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ be J -selfadjoint with non-negative eigenvalues and $I \geq^J A$, and A_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) J -selfadjoint with positive eigenvalues. Suppose that*

$$A_n \rightarrow A$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then for $0 < \alpha < 1$,

$$A_n^\alpha \rightarrow A^\alpha$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Note that \mathbb{C}^n is the algebraic direct sum of $\mathcal{M} := \ker A$ and its J -orthocomplement \mathcal{N} as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Since A_n^α, A^α on \mathcal{N} are given by the Dunford integral, the assertion on \mathcal{N} is clear. Hence, it suffices to see that for $y \in \ker A$

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{\alpha-1} A_n (\lambda I + A_n)^{-1} y \, d\lambda$$

tends to

$$\int_0^\infty \lambda^{\alpha-1} A (\lambda I + A)^{-1} y \, d\lambda = 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But this follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, and the proof is complete. \square

Here is a generalization of Furuta inequality:

THEOREM 3.4. (cf.,[5, Theorem]) *Let A, B be J -selfadjoint matrices with non-negative eigenvalues and $I \geq^J A \geq^J B$. For each $r \geq 0$,*

$$(A^{\frac{r}{2}} A^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq^J (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

holds for $p \geq 0, q \geq 1$ with $(1+r)q \geq p+r$.

Proof. Since a proof given here is just the same as that of [5, Theorem], we have a brief sketch; the detail is left to the reader.

Thanks to Proposition 3.3, we may assume that A, B are invertible; if necessary, by considering invertible

$$A_n := A + \frac{1}{n} I, B_n := B + \frac{1}{n} I,$$

and after having that

$$(A_n^{\frac{r}{2}} A_n^p A_n^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}} \geq J (A_n^{\frac{r}{2}} B_n^p A_n^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

then take n as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to get the conclusion.

For $1 \geq p \geq 0$, by Theorem 2.4,

$$A^p \geq J B^p$$

holds and the assertion in this case follows from Lemma 2.2.

Since the inequality for $p \geq 1, q = \frac{p+r}{1+r}$ implies the inequality for $p \geq 1, q > \frac{p+r}{1+r}$

because of Theorem 2.4, it suffices to consider the case $p \geq 1, q = \frac{p+r}{1+r}$. For $0 \leq r \leq 1$, Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, and 3.2 yield that

$$\begin{aligned} A^{1+r} &= A^{\frac{r}{2}} A A^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &\geq J A^{\frac{r}{2}} B A^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &= A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^{\frac{p}{2}} (B^{-\frac{p}{2}} B^{-r} B^{-\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{p-1}{p+r}} B^{\frac{p}{2}} A^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &\geq J A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^{\frac{p}{2}} (B^{-\frac{p}{2}} A^{-r} B^{-\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{p-1}{p+r}} B^{\frac{p}{2}} A^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &= A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^{\frac{p}{2}} (B^{\frac{p}{2}} A^{\frac{r}{2}} A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^{\frac{p}{2}})^{\frac{1-p}{p+r}} B^{\frac{p}{2}} A^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &= (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1+r}{p+r}}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by Lemma 3.1 eigenvalues of $B^{\frac{p}{2}} A^r B^{\frac{p}{2}}$ are positive, so are those of $B^{-\frac{p}{2}} A^{-r} B^{-\frac{p}{2}}$.

Let

$$A_1 := A^{1+r}, B_1 := (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{1+r}{p+r}},$$

then by the above calculation, $A_1 \geq J B_1$ and

$$A_1^{1+r_1} \geq J (A_1^{\frac{r_1}{2}} B_1^{p_1} A_1^{\frac{r_1}{2}})^{\frac{1+r_1}{p_1+r_1}}$$

for $p_1 \geq 1, 1 \geq r_1 \geq 0$.

Putting p_1, r_1 as

$$p_1 = \frac{p+r}{1+r} \geq 1, r_1 = 1$$

implies

$$A^{2(1+r)} \geq J \{A^{\frac{1+r}{2}} (A^{\frac{r}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{r}{2}}) A^{\frac{1+r}{2}}\}^{\frac{2(1+r)}{p+2r+1}} = \{A^{r+\frac{1}{2}} B^p A^{r+\frac{1}{2}}\}^{\frac{2(1+r)}{p+2r+1}}.$$

And taking r as $1+s = 2(1+r)$ yields that $s \in [1, 3]$ and

$$A^{1+s} \geq J \{A^{\frac{s}{2}} B^p A^{\frac{s}{2}}\}^{\frac{1+s}{p+3}}.$$

Repeating this manner, we can get the conclusion. \square

REMARK 3.5. In Theorem 3.4, the assumption that $I \geqslant^J A \geqslant^J B$ can be replaced by that

$$\alpha I \geqslant^J A \geqslant^J B$$

for some $\alpha > 0$.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank the members of Tohoku-Seminar for valuable advice. We are grateful to the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and for helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. ANDO, *Linear Operators on Krein Spaces*, Hokkaido Univ., Sapporo, Japan, 1979.
- [2] T. ANDO, *Löwner inequality of indefinite type*, Linear Algebra Appl., **385**, (2004), 73–80.
- [3] T. YA. AZIZOV, I. S. IOKHVIDOV, *Linear Operators in Spaces with an Indefinite Metric*, Nauka, Moscow 1986 English translation: Wiley, New York, 1989.
- [4] R. BHATIA, *Matrix Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [5] T. FURUTA, *An elementary proof of an order preserving inequality*, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser A. Math. Sci., **65**, (1989), 126.
- [6] T. FURUTA, *Extensions of the Furuta inequality and Ando-Hiai log majorization*, Linear Algebra Appl., **219**, (1995), 139–155.

(Received February 27, 2006)

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science
Yamagata University
Yamagata 990-8560
Japan
e-mail: sano@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp