

GENERALIZATIONS OF SOME CLASSICAL RESULTS UNDER MVBV CONDITION

M. Z. WANG AND Y. ZHAO

(Communicated by L. Leindler)

Abstract. The present paper applies MVBV condition to generalize certain classical results for asymptotic relation for a certain trigonometric series, and for an important trigonometric inequality. The new results contain all previous corresponding results. By citing a theorem in [7], we also show that MVBV condition is the ultimate generalization for the trigonometric inequality.

1. Introduction

A great number of interesting results in Fourier analysis are established by assuming monotonicity of coefficients, therefore, how to generalize monotonicity condition has become an important subject. Recently, Le and Zhou [2] proposed a new natural unified condition to generalize all the monotonicity, various quasi-monotonicity conditions and RBV condition (first raised by Leindler [4]). The GBV condition they introduced is the following

DEFINITION 1. Let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a complex sequence satisfying $C_n \in \{z : |\arg z| \leq \theta_0\}$ for some $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi/2)$, and there exists a natural number l_0 such for any m that

$$\sum_{n=m}^{2m} |\Delta C_n| \leq M(\mathbf{C}) \max_{m \leq n < m+l_0} |C_n|, \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta C_n := C_n - C_{n+1}$, $M(\mathbf{C})$ is a positive constant only depending upon the sequence \mathbf{C} , then we say $\mathbf{C} = \{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Group Bounded Variation Sequence, denoted by $\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in GBVS$.

The following two results are established by using the GBV condition to generalize the quasimonotonicity and RBV condition.

THEOREM 1.1. (Le and Zhou [3]) *If a positive sequence $\mathbf{C} = \{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies*

$$nC_n \leq K \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2000): 42A20 42A32.

Keywords and phrases: monotonicity, mean value, bounded variation, asymptotic relation, trigonometric inequality.

Supported by Open Funds (PLN0613) of State Key Laboratory of Oil and Gas Reservoir and Exploitation of Southwest Petroleum University.

where K is a positive constant, and the GBV condition (1) ($\mathbf{C} \in \text{GBVS}$), suppose $\{n_m\}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_j} \leq \frac{A}{n_m}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, A > 1, \quad (3)$$

where $\{n_m\}$ is a subsequence of natural numbers satisfying $1 = n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$, then for any x we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-1} C_k \sin kx \right| \leq K_1(\mathbf{C})A,$$

where $K_1(\mathbf{C})$ is a positive constant depending upon $\{C_n\}$ only.

REMARK. Theorem 1.1 is a generalization to an important inequality

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \left| \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\sin kx}{k} \right| \leq 3\sqrt{\pi}$$

for all x . For the history and references, readers could check [3] and the references therein.

THEOREM 1.2. (Zhou and Le [6]) Let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be a complex sequence satisfying the GBV condition (1), and $\omega(t)$ be a nondecreasing function on $[0, \infty)$ with $0 = \omega(0) < \omega(a) \leq \omega(a+b) \leq \omega(a) + \omega(b)$ for $a, b > 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(t) = \omega(0)$ which also satisfies the following two equivalence conditions

$$t \int_t^1 \frac{\omega(u)}{u^2} du = O(\omega(t)), \quad \int_0^t \frac{\omega(u)}{u} du = O(\omega(t)). \quad (4)$$

If $C_n/\omega(n^{-1}) \rightarrow A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n e^{inx} \sim A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n^{-1}) e^{inx}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$.

REMARK. Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of a classical asymptotic result of Hardy and the related references could be found in [6].

Very recently, S. P. Zhou, P. Zhou and Yu ([7]) gives a further generalization to monotonicity called as MVBV (Mean Value Bounded Variation) condition. They proved this condition is the weakest one to generalize monotonicity (i.e., $\text{GBVS} \subsetneq \text{MVBVS}$) and cannot be weakened further in uniform convergence for trigonometric series.

DEFINITION 2. A complex sequence $\mathbf{C} := \{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is said to be a Mean Value Bounded Variation Sequence ($\{C_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \text{MVBVS}$) if $C_n \in \{z : |\arg z| \leq \theta_0\}$ for

some $\theta_0 \in [0, \pi/2)$, and there is a $\lambda \geq 2$ such that

$$\sum_{k=n}^{2n} |\Delta C_n| \leq \frac{M(\mathbf{C})}{n} \sum_{k=[\lambda^{-1}n]}^{[\lambda n]} |C_k|, \tag{5}$$

where $M(\mathbf{C})$ is a positive constant only depending upon the sequence \mathbf{C} .

REMARK. There are some related independent antecedents from Leindler (see [8]–[10]) to the above mentioned ultimate MVBV condition.

In this paper, we establish the following corresponding theorems under MVBV condition.

THEOREM 1. Let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in MVBVS$ be a positive sequence satisfying (2) and (5), If $\{n_m\}$ satisfies (3), then for any x we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \left| \sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-1} C_k \sin kx \right| \leq K_1(\mathbf{C})A.$$

THEOREM 2. Let $\mathbf{C} = \{C_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \in MVBVS$ be a complex sequence satisfying (5), and $\omega(t)$ be as the same in Theorem 1.2. If $C_n/\omega(n^{-1}) \rightarrow A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty C_n e^{inx} \sim A \sum_{n=1}^\infty \omega(n^{-1}) e^{inx}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$.

Throughout the paper, we always use M or $M(x)$ to indicate a positive constant or a positive constant depending upon x only, their value may vary in different occurrences.

2. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. The cases $x = 0$ or $x = \pi$ are trivial. Let $x \in (0, \pi)$, select n and i in turn such that $\frac{\pi}{n+1} \leq x < \frac{\pi}{n}$, $n_i \leq n < n_{i+1}$. Since $kC_k \leq K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left| \sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-1} C_k \sin kx \right| + \left| \sum_{k=n_i}^n C_k \sin kx \right| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-1} C_k \sin kx + \sum_{k=n_i}^n C_k \sin kx \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^n C_k kx \leq Knx < K\pi. \end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$\left| \sum_{k=p}^q \sin kx \right| \leq \frac{A^*}{x}, \quad q \geq p \geq 0.$$

Write

$$I := \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{n_{i+1}-1} C_k \sin kx \right| + \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-1} C_k \sin kx \right|,$$

by using Abel’s transformation we see that

$$I = \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{n_{i+1}-2} \Delta C_k \sum_{j=n+1}^k \sin jx + C_{n_{i+1}-1} \sum_{j=n+1}^{n_{i+1}-1} \sin jx \right| + \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-2} \Delta C_k \sum_{l=n_j}^k \sin lx + C_{n_{j+1}-1} \sum_{l=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-1} \sin lx \right|,$$

hence

$$I \leq \frac{A^*}{x} \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{n_{i+1}-2} |\Delta C_k| + C_{n_{i+1}-1} \right) + \frac{A^*}{x} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-2} |\Delta C_k| + C_{n_{j+1}-1} \right) =: I_1 + I_2.$$

Noticing that $nC_n \leq K$ implies that $C_n \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$C_{n_{i+1}-1} = \sum_{k=n_{i+1}-1}^{\infty} \Delta C_k \leq \sum_{k=n_{i+1}-1}^{\infty} |\Delta C_k| \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} |\Delta C_k|.$$

We first estimate I_1 . According to the condition, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^j n}^{2^{j+1} n} |\Delta C_k| \\ &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} \frac{M(C)}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \sum_{k=[\lambda^{-1} 2^j n]}^{[\lambda 2^j n]} C_k \\ &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} \frac{M(C)K}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \sum_{k=[\lambda^{-1} 2^j n]}^{[\lambda 2^j n]} \frac{1}{k} \\ &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} \frac{M(C, \lambda)K}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j} \leq 2A^* M(C, \lambda)K. \end{aligned}$$

For I_2 , a similar argument leads to that

$$C_{n_{j+1}-1} \leq \sum_{k=n_{j+1}-1}^{\infty} |\Delta C_k| \leq \sum_{k=n_j}^{\infty} |\Delta C_k|,$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2 &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^l n_j}^{2^{l+1} n_j} |\Delta C_k| \\
 &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} M(C) \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^l n_j} \sum_{k=[\lambda^{-1} 2^l n_j]}^{[\lambda 2^l n_j]} C_k \\
 &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} M(C) K \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^l n_j} \sum_{k=[\lambda^{-1} 2^l n_j]}^{[\lambda 2^l n_j]} \frac{1}{k} \\
 &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} M(C, \lambda) K \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_j} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^l} \\
 &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} M(C, \lambda) K \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_j} \\
 &\leq \frac{2A^*}{x} M(C, \lambda) K \frac{A}{n_{i+1}} \leq 2A^* M(C, \lambda) KA.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above estimates, we have proved the required inequality. \square

To prove Theorem 2, we will use the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. (Borwein and Zhou [5, Lemma 6.2]) *Let $\omega(t)$ be a modulus of continuity satisfying (4). Then there exists a constant $0 < M_1 < 1$ such that for all $t, 0 < t \leq 1$,*

$$\frac{\omega(M_1 t)}{\omega(t)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

LEMMA 2. (Xie and Zhou [6, Lemma 3]) *Let $\omega(t)$ be a modulus of continuity satisfying (4). Then there is a positive constant M_0 depending upon $\omega(t)$ only such that*

$$\left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n^{-1}) e^{inx} \right| \geq M_0 \frac{\omega(|x|)}{|x|}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Given $\varepsilon > 0$. Suppose $x > 0$ without loss of generality. Write

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x) &= A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n^{-1}) e^{inx} + (C_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N (C_n - A\omega(n^{-1})) e^{inx}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} C_n e^{inx} - A \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \omega(n^{-1}) e^{inx} \\
 &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we take $N = [1/(\varepsilon x)]$, and $[x]$ is the greatest integer not exceeding x . Since $C_n/\omega(n^{-1}) \rightarrow A$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists an N_0 such that

$$|C_n - A\omega(n^{-1})| \leq \varepsilon^2 \omega(n^{-1})$$

for all $n > N_0$, it follows that

$$I_2 \leq |C_0| + \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_0} + \sum_{N_0+1}^N \right) |C_n - A\omega(n^{-1})|e^{inx} \leq MN_0 + M\epsilon^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \omega(n^{-1}).$$

By using the first equivalence condition of $\omega(x)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \omega(n^{-1}) \leq M \int_{1/N}^1 \frac{\omega(u)}{u^2} du \leq MN\omega(N^{-1}).$$

Note that $\omega(x)/x \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow 0+$ also from (4), we have

$$|I_2| \leq MN_0 + M\epsilon^2 N\omega(N^{-1}) \leq M\epsilon x^{-1}\omega(x).$$

Next, we estimate I_3 . By Abel's transformation and condition (5),

$$\begin{aligned} |I_3| &= \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \Delta C_k \sum_{v=1}^k e^{ivx} - C_{N+1} \sum_{v=1}^N e^{ivx} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |\Delta C_k| \left| \sum_{v=1}^k e^{ivx} \right| + |C_{N+1}| \left| \sum_{v=1}^N e^{ivx} \right| \\ &\leq Mx^{-1} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |\Delta C_k| + Mx^{-1} |C_{N+1}| \\ &= Mx^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{2^j N \leq k < 2^{j+1} N} |\Delta C_k| + Mx^{-1} |C_{N+1}| \\ &\leq M(\mathbf{C})x^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j N} \sum_{k=\lceil \lambda^{-1} 2^j N \rceil}^{\lfloor \lambda 2^j N \rfloor} |C_k| + Mx^{-1} |C_{N+1}| \\ &\leq M(\mathbf{C})x^{-1} \left(\omega(N^{-1}) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^j N} \sum_{k=\lceil \lambda^{-1} 2^j N \rceil}^{\lfloor \lambda 2^j N \rfloor} \omega(k^{-1}) \right) \\ &\leq M(\mathbf{C})x^{-1} \left(\omega(N^{-1}) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^j N}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 1 and noting that $N = [1/(\epsilon x)]$, we have

$$\omega\left(\frac{\lambda}{2^j N}\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\log(2^{-j}\lambda)/\log M_1} \omega(N^{-1}) \leq M_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{M_3 j} \omega(N^{-1}),$$

where $M_2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\log \lambda / \log M_1}$, $M_3 = -\frac{\log 2}{\log M_1} > 0$, M_1 is the constant appeared in Lemma 1. By Lemma 1 again,

$$\omega(N^{-1}) \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\log \epsilon / \log M_1} \omega(x),$$

hence

$$|I_3| \leq M(\mathbf{C}, \lambda)x^{-1}\varepsilon_0\omega(x),$$

where $\varepsilon_0 := \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\log \varepsilon / \log M_1}$, $\log \varepsilon / \log M_1 > 0$.

Since $\omega(n^{-1})$ is decreasing, we deal with I_4 similarly (but more easily) to obtain that

$$|I_4| \leq M(\mathbf{C}, \lambda)\varepsilon_0x^{-1}\omega(x).$$

Summing up all the above estimates, we obtain that

$$\left| f(x) - A \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n^{-1})e^{inx} \right| \leq M(\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon)x^{-1}\omega(x).$$

Finally, from Lemma 2 we have that

$$|I_1| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega(n^{-1})e^{inx} \right| \geq M_0 \frac{\omega(|x|)}{|x|}$$

as $x \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have proved Theorem 2. \square

3. A Final Remark

In this section, by citing a theorem by S. P. Zhou, P. Zhou and Yu [7], we show that the MVBV condition cannot be weakened any further for Theorem 1 to hold.

THEOREM 3. *Let S_n be a given nonnegative increasing sequence tending to infinity. Then for any given $\lambda \geq 2$, there exists a positive sequence $\mathbf{C} = \{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=n}^{2n} |\Delta C_k|}{\frac{S_n}{n} \sum_{k=[\lambda^{-1}n]}^{\lambda n} C_k} = 0, \tag{6}$$

however, the trigonometric inequality in Theorem 1 does not hold for some sequence $\{n_m\}$ satisfying (3).

Proof. S. P. Zhou, P. Zhou and Yu [7] constructed the following sequence $\{C_n\}$: Assume that $S_1 \geq 10$, therefore $S_j \geq 10$ for all $j \geq 1$. Set $n_1 = 1, n_2 = 10$, and $n_{j+1} = 2[S_{4n_j}^{1/2}]n_j$ for $j = 2, 3, \dots$. Let

$$C_k = 1, \quad 1 \leq k < 40.$$

For $j \geq 2$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2[S_{4n_j}^{1/2}] - 1$, let

$$C_m = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\log S_{4n_j}}} \frac{1}{m}, \quad \text{if } 4kn_j \leq m < (4k + 2)n_j,$$

$$C_m = \frac{1}{8\sqrt{\log S_{4n_j}}} \frac{1}{m}, \quad \text{if } (4k + 2)n_j \leq m < 4(k + 1)n_j.$$

Among the others, they proved that (6) holds for this sequence $\{C_n\}$, and

$$\left| \sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-1} C_k \sin kt_j \right| \geq M \sqrt{\log S_{4n_j}}$$

by setting $t_j = \pi/(2n_j)$. Thus

$$\sup_{-\infty < x < \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-1} C_k \sin kx \right| \geq \left| \sum_{k=n_j}^{n_{j+1}-1} C_k \sin kt_j \right| \geq M \sqrt{\log S_{4n_j}}$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$, i. e., the conclusion of the Theorem 1 cannot hold in this case. Furthermore, the construction also implies that inequality (2) or (3) is satisfied for such a sequence $\{C_n\}$ or $\{n_m\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. B. BORWEIN AND S. P. ZHOU, *Rational approximation in Lipschitz and Zygmund classes*, Constr. Approx. 8(1992), 381–399.
- [2] R. J. LE AND S. P. ZHOU, *A new condition for the uniform convergence of certain trigonometric series*, Acta Math. Hungar. 108(2005), 161–169.
- [3] R. J. LE AND S. P. ZHOU, *A generalization of an important trigonometric inequality*, J. Anal. Appl. 3(2005), 163–168.
- [4] L. LEINDLER, *On the uniform convergence and boundedness of a certain class of sine series*, Anal. Math. 27(2001), 279–285.
- [5] T. F. XIE AND S. P. ZHOU, *On certain trigonometric series*, Analysis 14(1994), 227–237.
- [6] S. P. ZHOU AND R. J. LE, *A new condition for certain trigonometric series*, Anal. Theory Appl. 22(2006), 1–8.
- [7] S. P. ZHOU, P. ZHOU AND D. S. YU, *Ultimate generalization to monotonicity for uniform convergence of trigonometric series*, arXiv: math.CA/0611805 v1 27 Nov 2006.
- [8] L. LEINDLER, *A note on the uniform convergence and boundedness of a new class of sine series*, Anal. Math. 31(2005), 269–275.
- [9] L. LEINDLER, *A new extension of monotone sequences and its applications*, J. Ineq. Pure Appl. Math. (Electronic), 7:1(2006), Article 39.
- [10] L. LEINDLER, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for uniform convergence and boundedness of a general class of sine series*, Austral. J. Math. Anal. Appl. (Electronic), 4:1(2007), Article 10.

(Received September 7, 2007)

M. Z. Wang
Institute of Mathematics
Zhejiang Sci-Tech University
Xiasha Economic Development Area
Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310018
China
e-mail: suewmz@yahoo.com.cn

Y. Zhao
Institute of Mathematic
Hangzhou DianZi University
Xiasha Economic Development Area
Hangzhou, Zhejiang 310018
China
e-mail: zhaoyi@hdu.edu.cn