

A PRIORI ESTIMATES FOR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN WEIGHTED SOBOLEV SPACES

LOREDANA CASO AND MARIA TRANSIRICO

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. In this paper we prove some a priori bounds for the solutions of the Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations with singular coefficients in weighted Sobolev spaces.

1. Introduction

Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ Lu = f, \quad f \in L^p(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where Ω is an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, $p \in]1, +\infty[$ and L is the uniformly elliptic differential operator defined by

$$L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a. \quad (1.2)$$

If Ω is bounded and sufficiently regular, problem (1.1) has been widely investigated by several authors under various hypotheses on the leading coefficients a_{ij} . Here we just refer to [10] and [11] where some $W^{2,p}$ -bounds for the solutions of problem (1.1) as well as related existence and uniqueness results have been obtained, assuming the a_{ij} 's to be in VMO and $a_i = a = 0$. This latter condition has been removed in [15] and [16]. Recently, these results have been extended to the case of unbounded open sets in [7] and [8].

In this paper we extend the above quoted results to the case of open sets with singular boundary and coefficients are singular near a subset of $\partial\Omega$. More precisely, given a suitable weight function ρ and denoted by S_ρ the subset of $\partial\Omega$ where ρ goes to zero, we assume that there exist extensions a_{ij}° of a_{ij} in $VMO(\Omega_\circ) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_\circ)$ - where Ω_\circ is a regular open set containing Ω -, and the functions $(a_{ij})_{x_i}$, a_i and a are singular near S_ρ . We prove that the following a priori bound holds:

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|Lu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)} \right), \quad (1.3)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 35J25, 35B45, 35R05.

Keywords and phrases: Elliptic equations, a priori bounds, VMO-coefficients, weighted spaces.

$$\forall u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega), \quad \forall p \in [2, +\infty[,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is independent of u , Ω_1 is a bounded open subset of Ω , $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)$, $\overset{\circ}{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and $L_s^p(\Omega)$ are some weighted Sobolev spaces and the weight functions are suitable powers of ρ .

2. Notation and function spaces

Let G be a Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n and $\Sigma(G)$ be the collection of its Lebesgue measurable subsets. If $F \in \Sigma(G)$, we denote by $|F|$ the Lebesgue measure of F and by $\mathfrak{D}(F)$ (resp. $\mathfrak{D}^0(F)$) the class of restrictions to F of functions $\zeta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (resp. $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$) such that $\overline{F} \cap \text{supp } \zeta \subseteq F$. Moreover, for any function space $X(F)$, $X_{\text{loc}}(F)$ stands for the class of all functions $g : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\zeta g \in X(F)$ for each $\zeta \in \mathfrak{D}(F)$.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Put

$$\Omega(x, r) = \Omega \cap B(x, r) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where $B(x, r)$ is the open ball of radius r centered at x .

Denote by $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ the class of all measurable functions $\rho : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\gamma^{-1} \rho(y) \leq \rho(x) \leq \gamma \rho(y) \quad \forall y \in \Omega, \quad \forall x \in \Omega(y, \rho(y)), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is independent of x and y . Given $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, we define

$$S_\rho = \{z \in \partial\Omega : \lim_{x \rightarrow z} \rho(x) = 0\}.$$

It is known that

$$\rho \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\overline{\Omega}), \quad \rho^{-1} \in L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho), \quad (2.2)$$

and, if $S_\rho \neq \emptyset$,

$$\rho(x) \leq \text{dist}(x, S_\rho) \quad \forall x \in \Omega \quad (2.3)$$

(see [9], [13]).

If $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, consider the space $W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$ of distributions u on Ω such that $\rho^{s+|\alpha|-r} \partial^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega)$ for $|\alpha| \leq r$. Equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r} \|\rho^{s+|\alpha|-r} \partial^\alpha u\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

$W_s^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space, separable if $1 \leq p < +\infty$, Hilbert space for $p = 2$ (see, for instance, [12], [2] and [14]).

Moreover, denote by $\overset{\circ}{W}_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W_s^{r,p}(\Omega)$ and put $W_s^{0,p}(\Omega) = L_s^p(\Omega)$.

We now recall the definitions of some function spaces in which the coefficients of the operator will be chosen. For $p \in [1, +\infty[$, let $K_s^p(\Omega)$ be the set of all functions $g \in L_{loc}^p(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ such that

$$\|g\|_{K_s^p(\Omega)} = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left(\rho^{s-n/p}(x) \|g\|_{L^p(\Omega(x, \rho(x)))} \right) < +\infty, \tag{2.4}$$

endowed with the norm defined by (2.4). Obviously the space $K_s^p(\Omega)$ is a Banach space containing $L_s^\infty(\Omega)$ and $C_o^\infty(\Omega)$ as well (see [3]). Therefore we denote by $\tilde{K}_s^p(\Omega)$ (resp. $\overset{\circ}{K}_s^p(\Omega)$) the closure of $L_s^\infty(\Omega)$ (resp. $C_o^\infty(\Omega)$) in $K_s^p(\Omega)$.

For any function g belonging to $\tilde{K}_s^p(\Omega)$ or to $\overset{\circ}{K}_s^p(\Omega)$, it can be defined a modulus of continuity. To this aim, we need to introduce a suitable sequence of functions. It is well known that, if $S_\rho \neq \emptyset$, then there exists a function $\alpha \in C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$ which is equivalent to $\text{dist}(\cdot, S_\rho)$ (see for instance [17]). For any fixed $f \in \mathfrak{D}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfying the condition

$$0 \leq f \leq 1, \quad f(t) = 1 \quad \text{if } t \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad f(t) = 0 \quad \text{if } t \geq 1,$$

we can consider the sequence

$$\psi_h : x \in \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \left(1 - f(h\alpha(x)) \right) f(|x|/2h), \quad h \in \mathbb{N}.$$

It is easy to prove that each ψ_h belongs to $\mathfrak{D}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ and

$$0 \leq \psi_h \leq 1, \quad \psi_h|_{\bar{G}_h} = 1, \quad \text{supp } \psi_h \subset \bar{G}_{2h},$$

where $G_h = \{x \in \Omega : |x| < h, \alpha(x) > 1/h\}$. Now, it is useful to recall that for a function $g \in K_s^p(\Omega)$ the following characterizations hold (see [3]):

$$g \in \tilde{K}_s^p(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{\substack{E \in \Sigma(\Omega) \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{|\Omega(x) \cap E|}{\rho^n(x)} \leq 1/h}} \|\chi_E g\|_{K_s^p(\Omega)} = 0,$$

$$g \in \overset{\circ}{K}_s^p(\Omega) \Leftrightarrow \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \|(1 - \psi_h)g\|_{K_s^p(\Omega)} = 0,$$

where $\Omega(x) = \Omega(x, \rho(x))$ and χ_E is the characteristic function of E . Thus a *modulus of continuity* of $g \in \tilde{K}_s^p(\Omega)$ is defined as a function $\tilde{\omega}_s^p[g] : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{E \in \Sigma(\Omega) \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{|\Omega(x) \cap E|}{\rho^n(x)} \leq 1/h}} \|\chi_E g\|_{K_s^p(\Omega)} \leq \tilde{\omega}_s^p[g](h) \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{\omega}_s^p[g](h) = 0$$

and a *modulus of continuity* of $g \in \overset{\circ}{K}_s^p(\Omega)$ is a function $\hat{\omega}_s^p[g] : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$\|(1 - \psi_h)g\|_{K_s^p(\Omega)} + \sup_{\substack{E \in \Sigma(\Omega) \\ \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{|\Omega(x) \cap E| \leq 1/h}} \|\chi_E g\|_{K_s^p(\Omega)} \leq \hat{\omega}_s^p[g](h) \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{\omega}_s^p[g](h) = 0.$$

Further properties of the spaces $K_s^p(\Omega)$, $\tilde{K}_s^p(\Omega)$ and $\hat{K}_s^p(\Omega)$ can be found in [3] and [9].

If Ω has the property

$$|\Omega(x, r)| \geq Ar^n \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall r \in]0, 1], \tag{2.5}$$

where A is a positive constant independent of x and r , it is possible to consider the space $BMO(\Omega, t)$ ($t \in \mathbb{R}_+$) of functions $g \in L^1_{loc}(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$[g]_{BMO(\Omega, t)} = \sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0, t]}} \int_{\Omega(x, r)} |g - \int_{\Omega(x, r)} g| < +\infty,$$

where

$$\int_{\Omega(x, r)} g = |\Omega(x, r)|^{-1} \int_{\Omega(x, r)} g.$$

If $g \in BMO(\Omega) = BMO(\Omega, t_A)$, where

$$t_A = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left(\sup_{\substack{x \in \Omega \\ r \in]0, t]}} \frac{r^n}{|\Omega(x, r)|} \leq \frac{1}{A} \right),$$

we say that $g \in VMO(\Omega)$ if $[g]_{BMO(\Omega, t)} \rightarrow 0$ for $t \rightarrow 0^+$. A function $\eta[g] : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a *modulus of continuity* of g in $VMO(\Omega)$ if

$$[g]_{BMO(\Omega, t)} \leq \eta[g](t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \eta[g](t) = 0.$$

3. Preliminary results

In our results certain regularity properties of open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n will often occur; for the corresponding definitions we will refer to [1].

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^n with the segment property and fix a function $\rho \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $S_\rho \neq \emptyset$. We suppose that there exists an open subset Ω_\circ of \mathbb{R}^n with the uniform $C^{1,1}$ -regularity property such that:

$$\Omega \subset \Omega_\circ, \quad \partial\Omega \setminus S_\rho \subset \partial\Omega_\circ. \tag{h_1}$$

REMARK 3.1. We observe that if condition (h_1) holds, then there exists $\theta \in]0, \frac{\pi}{2}[$ such that

$$\forall x \in \Omega \quad \exists C_\theta(x) : \quad \overline{C_\theta(x, \rho(x))} \subset \Omega,$$

where $C_\theta(x)$ is an open indefinite cone with vertex in x and opening θ and $C_\theta(x, \rho(x)) = C_\theta(x) \cap B(x, \rho(x))$ (see Remark 3.1 of [9]).

The following density result can be proved.

LEMMA 3.2. *If Ω verifies (h_1) and $p \in]1, +\infty[$, then for every $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ (for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$) there exists a sequence $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that*

$$u_k \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho), \quad u_k \rightarrow u \text{ in } W_s^{2,p}(\Omega). \tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Fix $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. From (h_1) and Remark 3.1 we get the existence of a sequence of functions $(\delta_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{D}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \partial^\alpha (1 - \delta_k(x)) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} |\partial^\alpha \delta_k(x)| \leq c_\alpha \rho^{-|\alpha|}(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \tag{3.3}$$

(see Theorem 4.1 of [13]). Clearly it follows from (3.3) and (2.2) that $\delta_k u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and by (3.2), (3.3) we also obtain that

$$\delta_k u \longrightarrow u \quad \text{in } W_s^{2,p}(\Omega). \tag{3.4}$$

Denote by $(\delta_k u)_\circ$ the extension of $\delta_k u$ to \mathbb{R}^n with zero values out of Ω . Since $\mathfrak{D}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ is dense in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ (see Lemma 3.2 of [5]), easily we can prove that $(\delta_k u)_\circ \in W^{2,p}(\Omega_\circ) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,p}(\Omega_\circ)$. Therefore, from Lemma 4.4 of [6] we deduce that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a sequence $(\psi_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions in $W^{2,p}(\Omega_\circ) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(\Omega_\circ) \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(\bar{\Omega}_\circ)$ such that

$$\psi_n^k \longrightarrow (\delta_k u)_\circ \quad \text{in } W^{2,p}(\Omega_\circ). \tag{3.5}$$

With easy computations we yield that $\delta_k \psi_n^k \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \overset{\circ}{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now observe that by (3.3) and (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_k \psi_n^k - u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} &\leq \|\delta_k \psi_n^k - \delta_k^2 u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + \|\delta_k^2 u - \delta_k u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + \|\delta_k u - u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \\ &\leq c(k) \|\psi_n^k - (\delta_k u)_\circ\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega_\circ)} + c \|\delta_k u - u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $c(k), c \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

On the other hand, by (3.5) we get that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|\psi_{n_k}^k - (\delta_k u)_\circ\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega_\circ)} \leq \frac{1}{kc(k)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Therefore it follows from (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$\delta_k \psi_{n_k}^k \longrightarrow u \quad \text{in } W_s^{2,p}(\Omega), \tag{3.8}$$

and then the statement follows putting $u_k = \delta_k \psi_{n_k}^k$. \square

Observe that, if condition (h_1) holds, then it is possible to find a function $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\Omega) \cap C^{0,1}(\bar{\Omega})$ which is equivalent to ρ and such that

$$|\partial^\alpha \sigma(x)| \leq c_\alpha \sigma^{1-|\alpha|}(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_o^n, \tag{3.9}$$

where c_α is independent of x (see [13]). Now we introduce a sequence of functions $\eta_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, related to the function σ . Consider a function $g \in C^\infty(\bar{\mathbb{R}}_+)$ satisfying the condition

$$0 \leq g \leq 1, \quad g(t) = 1 \quad \text{if } t \geq 1, \quad g(t) = 0 \quad \text{if } t \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ put

$$\eta_k(x) = \frac{1}{k} \zeta_k(x) + (1 - \zeta_k(x)) \sigma(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

where $\zeta_k(x) = g(k \sigma(x)), x \in \Omega$. Clearly $\eta_k \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$\eta_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} & \text{if } x \in \bar{\Omega}_k \\ \sigma(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_{2k}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\Omega_k = \left\{ x \in \Omega : \sigma(x) > \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

It is easy to show that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sigma(x) \leq \eta_k(x) \leq 2\sigma(x), \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_k, \tag{3.10}$$

$$c'_k \sigma(x) \leq \eta_k(x) \leq \sigma(x), \quad x \in \Omega_k, \tag{3.11}$$

$$(\eta_k(x))_x \leq c_1 (\sigma(x))_x, \quad x \in \Omega, \tag{3.12}$$

where $c'_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends on k and σ , and $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends only on n .

For any $p \in [2, +\infty[$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we put:

$$V_t^p(\Omega) = \{u \in W_t^{1,2}(\Omega) \mid |\eta_k^{t-1} u|^{p-2} u \in W_t^{1,2}(\Omega) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

$$\mathring{V}_t^p(\Omega) = \{u \in \mathring{W}_t^{1,2}(\Omega) \mid |\eta_k^{t-1} u|^{p-2} u \in \mathring{W}_t^{1,2}(\Omega) \forall k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We can prove the following result, which is one of the main tools in the proof of Lemma 4.2.

LEMMA 3.3. *If (h_1) holds and $u \in \mathring{W}_t^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_p)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then u belongs to $\mathring{V}_t^p(\Omega)$ for every $p \in [2, +\infty[$.*

Proof. Fix $u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_t^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$. From (2.2) we deduce that u also belongs to $\overset{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho$. Let u_\circ be the extension of u to \mathbb{R}^n with zero values out of Ω . Again from the density of $\mathfrak{D}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ in $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$, we deduce that $u_\circ \in \overset{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(\Omega_\circ) \cap L^\infty(\Omega_\circ)$. Thus, from Lemma 3.2 of [6], it follows that the function $|u_\circ|^{p-2}u_\circ$ lies in $\overset{\circ}{W}^{1,2}(\Omega_\circ)$ for any $p \in [2, +\infty[$. Then there exists a sequence $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions in $C^\infty(\Omega_\circ)$ such that

$$\|g_n - |u_\circ|^{p-2}u_\circ\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\circ)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{3.13}$$

First we observe that, since ρ and ρ^{-1} are locally bounded in $\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho$ and $\text{supp } u \subset \bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho$, then $u \in L_t^\infty(\Omega)$ and thus $|\eta_k^{t-1}u|^{p-2}u \in W_t^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Now we prove that $|\eta_k^{t-1}u|^{p-2}u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, if ζ is a fixed element of $\mathfrak{D}(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$, since Ω has the segment property, then the functions $\psi_n = \zeta \eta_k^{(t-1)(p-2)}g_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, belong to $C^\infty_\circ(\Omega)$; moreover, by (3.10), (3.11), (2.2) and (3.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_n - \zeta |\eta_k^{t-1}u|^{p-2}u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} &= \|\zeta \eta_k^{(t-1)(p-2)}g_n - \zeta |\eta_k^{t-1}u|^{p-2}u\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \\ &= \|\zeta \eta_k^{(t-1)(p-2)}(g_n - |u_\circ|^{p-2}u_\circ)\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\circ)} \\ &\leq c \|g_n - |u_\circ|^{p-2}u_\circ\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\circ)} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depends only on k, ζ, ρ, t and p .

Hence the statement follows applying Lemma 3.3 of [5]. \square

4. An a priori bound

From now on we assume that $n \geq 3$ and we consider the following conditions:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sup_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_k} \sigma_x \right) = 0, \tag{h_2}$$

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, r \\ \exists v > 0 : \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \geq v |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \tag{h_3}$$

$$b \in K_2^{n/2}(\Omega), \text{ess inf } \sigma^2 b = b_\circ > 0. \tag{h_4}$$

LEMMA 4.1. Assume that (h₁)–(h₄) hold and consider the bilinear form

$$a_t(v, w) = \int_\Omega \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} v_{x_i} w_{x_j} + bvw \right) \sigma^{2t} dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{4.1}$$

with $v, w \in W_t^{1,2}(\Omega)$; then for any $p \in [2, +\infty[$ there exists $k_\circ \in \mathbb{R}_+$, depending only on $n, p, t, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, v, b_\circ$, such that

$$a_t(v, |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} v) \geq (p-1) \frac{v}{2} \int_\Omega |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} |\sigma^t v_x|^2 dx + \frac{b_\circ}{2} \int_\Omega |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} |\sigma^{t-1} v|^2 dx \tag{4.2}$$

$$\forall v \in V_t^p(\Omega), \forall k \geq k_\circ.$$

Proof. Fix $p \geq 2$ and $v \in V_t^p(\Omega)$. Then we easily have

$$\begin{aligned} a(v, |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} v) &= \int_\Omega \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} v_{x_i} (|\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} v)_{x_j} + b v^2 |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} \right) \sigma^{2t} dx \tag{4.3} \\ &\geq v(p-1) \int_\Omega |\eta_k^t v|^{p-2} |\sigma^t v_x|^2 dx + b_\circ \int_\Omega |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} |\sigma^{t-1} v|^2 dx \\ &\quad + (p-2)(t-1) \int_\Omega \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} v_{x_i} v \sigma^{2t-1} |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} \frac{\sigma}{\eta_k} (\eta_k)_{x_j} dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $(\eta_k)_{x_j} = 0$ on $\bar{\Omega}_k$ and $\frac{\sigma}{\eta_k} \leq 1$ on $\Omega \setminus \bar{\Omega}_k$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_\Omega v_{x_i} v \sigma^{2t-1} |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} \frac{\sigma}{\eta_k} (\eta_k)_{x_j} dx \right| \tag{4.4} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} |\sigma^t v_x|^2 (\eta_k)_x dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} |\sigma^{t-1} v|^2 (\eta_k)_x dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (h_2) , (3.12) and (4.4), it follows that there exists k_\circ , depending only on $n, p, t, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}, v, b_\circ$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| (p-2)(t-1) \int_\Omega \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} v_{x_i} v \sigma^{2t-1} |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} \frac{\sigma}{\eta_k} (\eta_k)_{x_j} dx \right| \tag{4.5} \\ &\leq (p-1) \frac{v}{2} \int_\Omega |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} |\sigma^t v_x|^2 dx + \frac{b_\circ}{2} \int_\Omega |\eta_k^{t-1} v|^{p-2} |\sigma^{t-1} v|^2 dx, \quad \forall k \geq k_\circ. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the statement by (4.3) and (4.5). \square

Now let us consider the operator

$$L_\circ = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

and suppose that the following further hypotheses are verified:

$$(a_{ij})_{x_h} \in \tilde{K}_1^{t_1}(\Omega), \quad i, j, h = 1, \dots, n, \quad b \in \tilde{K}_2^{t_2}(\Omega), \tag{h_5}$$

where

$$t_1 \geq n, \quad t_1 \geq p, \quad t_1 > p \text{ if } p = n, \quad t_2 \geq \frac{n}{2}, \quad t_2 \geq p, \quad t_2 > p \text{ if } p = \frac{n}{2},$$

there exist extensions a_{ij}° of a_{ij} to Ω_\circ such that

$$\begin{cases} a_{ij}^\circ = a_{ji}^\circ \in L^\infty(\Omega_\circ) \cap VMO(\Omega_\circ), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n, \\ \exists v_\circ > 0 : \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^\circ \xi_i \xi_j \geq v_\circ |\xi|^2 \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega_\circ, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases} \tag{h_6}$$

Then we define

$$L_t = L_\circ - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=1}^n ((a_{ij})_{x_j} + 2ta_{ij}\sigma_{x_j}\sigma^{-1}) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + b, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that, by (h₂) and Lemma 2.1 of [9], σ_x belongs to $K_0^{t_1}(\Omega)$. Thus, under the assumptions (h₁) - (h₄), for any $p \in]1, +\infty[$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator $L_t : W_{t+1}^{2,p}(\Omega) \longrightarrow L_{t+1}^p(\Omega)$ is bounded (see for instance [9], Theorem 3.1).

We are now able to prove the main result of this section.

LEMMA 4.2. *If conditions (h₁), (h₂), (h₄) - (h₆) hold, then for any $p \in [2, +\infty[$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \|L_{s-1}u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \quad \forall u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega), \tag{4.6}$$

where c depends on $\Omega, n, p, s, \rho, t_1, t_2, b_\circ, v_\circ, \|(a_{ij})_{x_h}\|_{K_1^{t_1}(\Omega)}, \tilde{\omega}_1^{t_1}[(a_{ij})_{x_h}], \|a_{ij}^\circ\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\circ)}, \eta[a_{ij}^\circ], \|b\|_{K_2^{t_2}(\Omega)}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_2^{t_2}[b]$.

Proof. First suppose $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$. From Theorem 4.1 of [4] it follows that

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c_1 \left(\|L_{s-1}u\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L_{s-2}^p(\Omega)} \right), \tag{4.7}$$

where c_1 depends on $\Omega, n, p, s, \rho, t_1, t_2, v_\circ, \|(a_{ij})_{x_h}\|_{K_1^{t_1}(\Omega)}, \tilde{\omega}_1^{t_1}[(a_{ij})_{x_h}], \|a_{ij}^\circ\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\circ)}, \eta[a_{ij}^\circ], \|b\|_{K_2^{t_2}(\Omega)}$ and $\tilde{\omega}_2^{t_2}[b]$.

We now provide a bound for $\|u\|_{L_{s-2}^p(\Omega)}$. For any $k \geq k_\circ$, where k_\circ is that defined in Lemma 4.1, by Lemmas 3.3 and 4.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (p-1) \frac{v}{2} \int_\Omega |\eta_k^{s-2}u|^{p-2} |\sigma^{s-1}u_x|^2 dx + \frac{b_\circ}{2} \int_\Omega |\eta_k^{s-2}u|^{p-2} |\sigma^{s-2}u|^2 dx & \tag{4.8} \\ \leq a_{s-1}(u, |\eta_k^{s-2}u|^{p-2}u) \\ = \int_\Omega \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}u_{x_i} (|\eta_k^{s-2}u|^{p-2}u)_{x_j} + bu |\eta_k^{s-2}u|^{p-2}u \right) \sigma^{2(s-1)} dx \\ = \int_\Omega L_{s-1}u |\eta_k^{s-2}u|^{p-2}u \sigma^{2(s-1)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $k = k_\circ$, since η_{k_\circ} , σ and ρ are equivalent, by (4.8) we also have that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\rho^{s-2}u|^p dx \leq c_2 \int_{\Omega} L_{s-1}u \rho^s |\rho^{s-2}u|^{p-1} dx, \tag{4.9}$$

where $c_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ depend on $n, p, s, \rho, \nu, b_\circ, \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$. Therefore

$$\|u\|_{L^p_{s-2}(\Omega)} \leq c_2 \|L_{s-1}u\|_{L^p_s(\Omega)}. \tag{4.10}$$

Applying (4.7) and (4.10), the proof in the case $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathfrak{D}^0(\bar{\Omega} \setminus S_\rho)$ is complete.

Now suppose $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a sequence $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions satisfying (3.1), and hence it follows from the previous case that

$$\|u_k\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \|L_{s-1}u_k\|_{L^p_s(\Omega)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.11}$$

Relations (4.11) and (3.1) yield the statement also in this case. \square

5. Main result

In this section we consider the operator

$$L = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + a(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega. \tag{5.1}$$

We suppose that the coefficient a has the form $a = a' + b$, where b satisfies the hypotheses (h_4) and (h_5) , as well as the functions $(a_{ij})_{x_h}$, a_i and a' verify the following condition:

$$(a_{ij})_{x_h}, a_i \in \mathring{K}_1^{t_1}(\Omega), \quad i, j, h = 1, \dots, n, \quad a' \in \mathring{K}_2^{t_2}(\Omega), \tag{h_7}$$

where t_1 and t_2 are as in (h_5) .

Under the assumptions (h_1) , (h_5) - (h_7) , for any $p \in]1, +\infty[$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator $L : W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^p_s(\Omega)$ is bounded (see [9], Theorem 3.1).

The following a priori estimate for the operator L can be stated.

THEOREM 5.1. *If conditions (h_1) , (h_2) , (h_4) - (h_7) hold, then for every $p \in]2, +\infty[$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, there exist a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_1 \subset\subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that*

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c (\|Lu\|_{L^p_s(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_1)}) \tag{5.2}$$

$$\forall u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega),$$

where c, Ω_1 depend on $\Omega, n, p, s, \rho, t_1, t_2, b_\circ, \nu_\circ, \|(a_{ij})_{x_h}\|_{K_1^{t_1}(\Omega)}, \mathring{\omega}_1^{t_1}[(a_{ij})_{x_h}], \|a_i\|_{K_1^{t_1}(\Omega)}, \mathring{\omega}_1^{t_1}[a_i], \|a'\|_{K_2^{t_2}(\Omega)}, \mathring{\omega}_2^{t_2}[a'], \|b\|_{K_2^{t_2}(\Omega)}, \mathring{\omega}_2^{t_2}[b], \|a_{ij}^\circ\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_\circ)}$ and $\eta[a_{ij}^\circ]$.

Proof. Let $u \in W_s^{2,p}(\Omega) \cap \mathring{W}_{s-1}^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 4.2 we get

$$\|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq c \left(\|Lu\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n d_i u_{x_i} + a'u \right\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \right), \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$d_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \left((a_{ij})_{x_j} + 2(s-1)a_{ij}\sigma_{x_j}\sigma^{-1} \right) + a_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 3.1 of [9] that for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$ there exist a constant $c(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and a bounded open subset $\Omega_\varepsilon \subset \subset \Omega$, with the cone property, such that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n d_i u_{x_i} + a'u \right\|_{L_s^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_s^{2,p}(\Omega)} + c(\varepsilon) \left(\|u_x\|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)} + \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)} \right), \quad (5.4)$$

where $c(\varepsilon)$ and Ω_ε depend on $\varepsilon, \Omega, n, p, s, \rho, t_1, t_2, \|(a_{ij})_{x_h}\|_{K_1^{t_1}(\Omega)}, \mathring{\omega}_1^{t_1}[(a_{ij})_{x_h}], \|a_i\|_{K_1^{t_1}(\Omega)}, \mathring{\omega}_1^{t_1}[a_i], \|a'\|_{K_2^{t_2}(\Omega)}, \mathring{\omega}_2^{t_2}[a']$. Relations (5.3) and (5.4) complete the proof of the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. ADAMS, *Sobolev Spaces*, Academic Press, New York (1975).
- [2] V. BENCI, D. FORTUNATO, *Weighted Sobolev Spaces and the Nonlinear Dirichlet Problem in Unbounded Domains*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., **121**, 4 (1979), 319–336.
- [3] A. CANALE, L. CASO, DI GIRONIMO, *Weighted norm inequalities on irregular domains*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat., **16** (1992), 193–209.
- [4] L. CASO, *Regularity results for elliptic problems with singular data*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl., **4** (2006), 243–259.
- [5] L. CASO, *Uniqueness results for singular elliptic problems*, Bound. Value Probl., ID 98923 (2006).
- [6] L. CASO, P. CAVALIERE, M. TRANSIRICO, *Solvability of the Dirichlet problem in $W^{2,p}$ for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients in unbounded domains*, Matematiche (Catania), **57** (2002), 287–302.
- [7] L. CASO, P. CAVALIERE, M. TRANSIRICO, *Uniqueness results for elliptic equations with VMO-coefficients*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., **13** (2004), 499–512.
- [8] L. CASO, P. CAVALIERE, M. TRANSIRICO, *An existence result for elliptic equations with VMO-coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **325** (2007), 1095–1102.
- [9] L. CASO, M. TRANSIRICO, *Some remarks on a class of weight functions*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **37** (1996), 469–477.
- [10] F. CHIARENZA, M. FRASCA, P. LONGO, *Interior $W^{2,p}$ estimates for non divergence elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Ricerche Mat., **40** (1991), 149–168.
- [11] F. CHIARENZA, M. FRASCA, P. LONGO, *$W^{2,p}$ -solvability of the Dirichlet problem for nondivergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **336** (1993), 841–853.
- [12] D. E. EDMUNDS, W.D. EVANS, *Elliptic and degenerate-elliptic operators in unbounded domains*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. di Pisa, **2** (1973), 591–640.
- [13] M. TROISI, *Su una classe di funzioni peso*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat., **10** (1986), 141–152.
- [14] M. TROISI, *Su una classe di spazi di Sobolev con peso*, Rend. Accad. Naz. Sci. XL Mem. Mat., **10** (1986), 177–189.
- [15] C. VITANZA, *$W^{2,p}$ -regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Matematiche (Catania), **47** (1992), 177–186.

- [16] C. VITANZA, *A new contribution to the $W^{2,p}$ -regularity for a class of elliptic second order equations with discontinuous coefficients*, *Matematiche (Catania)*, **48** (1993), 287–296.
- [17] W. P. ZIEMER, *Weakly Differentiable Functions*, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

(Received November 11, 2007)

L. Caso
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Facoltà di Scienze MM.FF.NN.
Università di Salerno
via Ponte Don Melillo
I 84084 Fisciano (SA)
Italy
e-mail: lorcaso@unisa.it

M. Transirico
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica
Facoltà di Scienze MM. FF. NN.
Università di Salerno
via Ponte Don Melillo
I 84084 Fisciano (SA)
Italy
e-mail: mtransirico@unisa.it