

## SIMULTANEOUS AND CONVERSE APPROXIMATION THEOREMS IN WEIGHTED LEBESGUE SPACES

YUNUS E. YILDIRIR AND DANIYAL M. ISRAFILOV

(Communicated by J. Marshall Ash)

*Abstract.* In this paper we deal with the simultaneous and converse approximation by trigonometric polynomials of the functions in the Lebesgue spaces with weights satisfying so called Muckenhoupt's  $A_p$  condition.

### 1. Introduction and the main results

Let  $\mathbf{T} := [-\pi, \pi]$ . A positive almost everywhere (a.e.), integrable function  $w : \mathbf{T} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a weight function. With any given weight  $w$  we associate the  $w$ -weighted Lebesgue space  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  consisting of all measurable functions  $f$  on  $\mathbf{T}$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L_w^p(\mathbf{T})} = \|fw\|_{L^p(\mathbf{T})} < \infty.$$

Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . A weight function  $w$  belongs to the Muckenhoupt class  $A_p(\mathbf{T})$  if

$$\left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^p(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I w^{-q}(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \leq c$$

with a finite constant  $c$  independent of  $I$ , where  $I$  is any subinterval of  $\mathbf{T}$  and  $|I|$  denotes the length of  $I$ .

For formulation of the new results we will begin with some required informations.

Let

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} c_k e^{ikx} = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\tilde{f}(x) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (a_k \sin kx - b_k \cos kx)$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 41A10, 42A10.

*Keywords and phrases:* Best approximation, weighted Lebesgue space, mean modulus of smoothness, fractional derivative.

be the Fourier and the conjugate Fourier series of  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{T})$ , respectively. In addition, we put

$$S_n(x, f) := \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikx} = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n (a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

By  $L_0^1(\mathbf{T})$  we denote the class of  $L^1(\mathbf{T})$  functions  $f$  for which the constant term  $c_0$  in (1) equals zero. If  $\alpha > 0$ , then  $\alpha$ -th integral of  $f \in L_0^1(\mathbf{T})$  is defined as

$$I_\alpha(x, f) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^*} c_k (ik)^{-\alpha} e^{ikx},$$

where  $(ik)^{-\alpha} := |k|^{-\alpha} e^{(-1/2)\pi i \alpha \operatorname{sign} k}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^* := \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots\}$ .

For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  let

$$f^{(\alpha)}(x) := \frac{d}{dx} I_{1-\alpha}(x, f),$$

$$f^{(\alpha+r)}(x) := \left( f^{(\alpha)}(x) \right)^{(r)} = \frac{d^{r+1}}{dx^{r+1}} I_{1-\alpha}(x, f)$$

if the right hand sides exist, where  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  [14, p. 347].

By  $c, c(\alpha, \dots)$  we denote the absolute constants or the constants whose values depend only on the parameters given in their brackets.

Let  $x, t \in \mathbb{R} := (-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+ := (0, \infty)$  and let

$$\Delta_t^r f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k [C_k^r] f(x + (r-k)t), \quad f \in L^1(\mathbf{T}), \tag{2}$$

where  $[C_k^r] := \frac{r(r-1)\dots(r-k+1)}{k!}$  for  $k > 1$ ,  $[C_k^r] := r$  for  $k = 1$  and  $[C_k^r] := 1$  for  $k = 0$ .

Since [14, p. 14]

$$|[C_k^r]| = \left| \frac{r(r-1)\dots(r-k+1)}{k!} \right| \leq \frac{c(r)}{k^{r+1}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

we have

$$C(r) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty |[C_k^r]| < \infty,$$

and therefore  $\Delta_t^r f(x)$  is defined a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, the series in (2) converges absolutely a.e. and  $\Delta_t^r f(x)$  is measurable [16].

If  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then the fractional difference  $\Delta_t^r f(x)$  coincides with usual forward difference.

Now let

$$\sigma_\delta^r f(x) := \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta |\Delta_t^r f(x)| dt, \quad 1 < p < \infty$$

for  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ . Since the series in (2) converges absolutely a.e., we have  $\sigma_\delta^r f(x) < \infty$  a.e. and using boundedness of the Hardy-Littlewood Maximal function [13] in  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ , we get

$$\|\sigma_\delta^r f(x)\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r) \|f\|_{L_w^p} < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Hence, if  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , we can define the *r-th mean modulus of smoothness* of a function  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  as

$$\Omega_r(f, h)_{L_w^p} := \sup_{|\delta| \leq h} \|\sigma_\delta^r f(x)\|_{L_w^p}.$$

If  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $\Omega_r(f, h)_{L_w^p}$  coincides with Ky's mean modulus of smoothness, defined in [9].

REMARK 1. Let  $f, f_1, f_2 \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . The *r-th mean modulus of smoothness*  $\Omega_r(f, h)_{L_w^p}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , has the following properties:

- (i)  $\Omega_r(f, h)_{L_w^p}$  is non-negative and non-decreasing function of  $h \geq 0$ .
- (ii)  $\Omega_r(f_1 + f_2, \cdot)_{L_w^p} \leq \Omega_r(f_1, \cdot)_{L_w^p} + \Omega_r(f_2, \cdot)_{L_w^p}$ .
- (iii)  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \Omega_r(f, h)_{L_w^p} = 0$ .

The best approximation of  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  in the class  $\Pi_n$  of trigonometric polynomials of degree not exceeding  $n$  is defined by

$$E_n(f)_{L_w^p} = \inf \left\{ \|f - T_n\|_{L_w^p} : T_n \in \Pi_n \right\}.$$

A polynomial  $T_n(x, f) := T_n(x)$  of degree  $n$  is said to be a *near best approximant* of  $f$  if

$$\|f - T_n\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p) E_n(f)_{L_w^p}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let  $W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , be the class of functions  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  such that  $f^{(\alpha)} \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ .  $W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , becomes a Banach space with the norm

$$\|f\|_{W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbf{T})} := \|f\|_{L_w^p} + \left\| f^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{L_w^p}.$$

In this paper we deal with the simultaneous and converse approximation by trigonometric polynomials of the functions in the Lebesgue spaces with weights satisfying Muckenhoupt's  $A_p$  condition.

Our new results are the following.

THEOREM 1. Let  $f \in W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_0^+ := [0, \infty]$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ . If  $T_n \in \Pi_n$  is a near best approximant of  $f$ , then

$$\left\| f^{(\alpha)} - T_n^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

with a constant  $c = c(p, \alpha) > 0$ .

This simultaneous approximation theorem in case of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  for Lebesgue spaces  $L^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , was proved in [3]. Detailed information on simultaneous weighted approximation can be found in the book [4].

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $f \in W_{p,w}^r(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ , then*

$$\Omega_r(f, h)_{L_w^p} \leq ch^r \left\| f^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p}, \quad 0 < h \leq \pi$$

with a constant  $c = c(p, r) > 0$ .

In case of  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , for the usual nonweighted modulus of smoothness defined in the Lebesgue spaces  $L^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , this inequality was proved in [11] and for the general case  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  was obtained in [2] (See also [16]). In case of  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , this inequality in the weighted Lebesgue spaces  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  was proved in [9].

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ . Then for a given  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $\gamma = \min\{2, p\}$*

$$\Omega_r(f, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^r} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^{r\gamma-1} E_k^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{1/\gamma}$$

with a constant  $c$  independent of  $n$  and  $f$ .

In the space  $L^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , this inequality was proved in [16] without  $\gamma$ . In case of  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  in the spaces  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , this theorem was proved in [9] without  $\gamma$ . For the positive and even integer  $r$  this theorem in spaces  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ , by using Butzer-Wehrens's type modulus of smoothness was obtained in [5]. The analogues of some classical theorems for best polynomial approximation in weighted spaces with doubling weights were proved in [12].

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ . If*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha\gamma-1} E_k^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} < \infty$$

for  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\gamma = \min\{2, p\}$ , then  $f \in W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbf{T})$  and the estimate

$$E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p} \leq c \left\{ n^\alpha E_n(f)_{L_w^p} + \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha\gamma-1} E_k^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{1/\gamma} \right\} \tag{4}$$

holds with a constant  $c$  independent of  $n$  and  $f$ .

In the space  $L^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , this inequality for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  was proved without  $\gamma$  in [15]. In case of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , in  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , an inequality of type (4) was proved in [7].

COROLLARY 1. Let  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$  and  $r > 0$ . If

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha\gamma-1} E_k^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} < \infty$$

for  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\gamma = \min\{2, p\}$ , then  $f \in W_{p,w}^\alpha$  and for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega_r(f^{(\alpha)}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \\ & \leq \frac{c}{(n+1)^r} \left\{ \left( \sum_{k=1}^n k^{(\alpha+r)\gamma-1} E_{k-1}^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{1/\gamma} + \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{\alpha\gamma-1} E_k^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{1/\gamma} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

with a constant  $c$  independent of  $n$  and  $f$ .

In cases of  $\alpha, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $\alpha, r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , this corollary in the spaces  $L^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , was proved without  $\gamma$  in [18] (See also [15]) and in [17], respectively. For the weighted Lebesgue spaces  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , when  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$ , and  $\alpha, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , similar type inequality was obtained using generalized modulus of continuity for the derivatives of  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  in [7].

### 2. Auxiliary results

LEMMA 1. Let  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . If  $T_n \in \Pi_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  depends only on  $r$  and  $p$  such that

$$\Omega_r(T_n, h)_{L_w^p} \leq ch^r \left\| T_n^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p}, \quad 0 < h \leq \pi/n.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$\Delta_t^r T_n \left( x - \frac{[r]}{2} t \right) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} \left( 2i \sin \frac{t}{2} v \right)^r c_v e^{ivx},$$

$$\Delta_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])} \left( x - \frac{[r]}{2} t \right) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} \left( 2i \sin \frac{t}{2} v \right)^{[r]} (iv)^{r-[r]} c_v e^{ivx}$$

with  $\mathbb{Z}_n^* := \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots\}$ ,  $[r] \equiv$  integer part of  $r$ , putting

$$\varphi(z) := \left( 2i \sin \frac{t}{2} z \right)^{[r]} (iz)^{r-[r]}, \quad g(z) := \left( \frac{2}{z} \sin \frac{t}{2} z \right)^{r-[r]}, \quad -n \leq z \leq n, \quad g(0) := t^{r-[r]},$$

we get

$$\Delta_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])} \left( x - \frac{[r]}{2} t \right) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} \varphi(v) c_v e^{ivx}, \quad \Delta_t^r T_n \left( x - \frac{[r]}{2} t \right) = \sum_{v \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} \varphi(v) g(v) c_v e^{ivx}.$$

Taking into account the fact that [16]

$$g(z) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_k e^{ik\pi z/n}$$

uniformly in  $[-n, n]$ , with  $d_0 > 0$ ,  $(-1)^{k+1} d_k \geq 0$ ,  $d_{-k} = d_k$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), we have

$$\Delta_t^r T_n(\cdot) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_k \Delta_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])} \left( \cdot + \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{r-[r]}{2} t \right).$$

Consequently we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta |\Delta_t^r T_n(\cdot)| dt \right\|_{L_w^p} &= \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \left| \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} d_k \Delta_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])} \left( \cdot + \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{r-[r]}{2} t \right) \right| dt \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \left| \Delta_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])} \left( \cdot + \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{r-[r]}{2} t \right) \right| dt \right\|_{L_w^p} \end{aligned}$$

and since [19, p. 103]

$$\Delta_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])}(\cdot) = \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t T_n^{(r)}(\cdot + t_1 + \dots + t_{[r]}) dt_1 \dots dt_{[r]}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(T_n, h)_{L_w^p} &\leq \sup_{|\delta| \leq h} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \left| \Delta_t^{[r]} T_n^{(r-[r])} \left( \cdot + \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{r-[r]}{2} t \right) \right| dt \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &= \sup_{|\delta| \leq h} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \int_0^t \dots \int_0^t T_n^{(r)} \left( \cdot + \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{r-[r]}{2} t + t_1 + \dots + t_{[r]} \right) dt_1 \dots dt_{[r]} dt \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq h^{[r]} \sup_{|\delta| \leq h} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \frac{1}{\delta^{[r]}} \int_0^\delta \dots \int_0^\delta \left| T_n^{(r)} \left( \cdot + \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{r-[r]}{2} t + t_1 + \dots + t_{[r]} \right) \right| dt_1 \dots dt_{[r]} dt \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq h^{[r]} \sup_{|\delta| \leq h} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| \left\| \frac{1}{\delta^{[r]}} \int_0^\delta \dots \int_0^\delta \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \left| T_n^{(r)} \left( \cdot + \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{r-[r]}{2} t + t_1 + \dots + t_{[r]} \right) \right| dt \right\} dt_1 \dots dt_{[r]} \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, r) h^{[r]} \sup_{|\delta| \leq h} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| \left\| \frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \left| T_n^{(r)} \left( \cdot + \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{r-[r]}{2} t \right) \right| dt \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, r) h^{[r]} \sup_{|\delta| \leq h} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| \left\| \frac{1}{\frac{r-[r]}{2} \delta} \int_{\frac{r-[r]}{2} \delta}^{\frac{r-[r]}{2} \delta + \frac{k\pi}{n} + \frac{r-[r]}{2} \delta} \left| T_n^{(r)}(u) \right| du \right\|_{L_w^p}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand [16]

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| < 2g(0) = 2t^{r-[r]}, \quad 0 < t \leq \pi/n$$

and for  $0 < t < \delta < h \leq \pi/n$  we have

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |d_k| < 2g(0) = 2h^{r-[r]}.$$

Therefore the boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal function in  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  implies that

$$\Omega_r(T_n, h)_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r)h^r \left\| T_n^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p}.$$

By similar way for  $0 < -h \leq \pi/n$ , the same inequality also holds and the proof of Lemma 1 is completed.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of the main results

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We set

$$W_n(f) := W_n(x, f) := \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=-n}^{2n} S_\nu(x, f), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Since

$$W_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) = W_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f) \right\|_{L_w^p} &\leq \left\| f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - W_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) \right\|_{L_w^p} + \left\| T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f) \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\quad + \left\| W_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, W_n(f)) \right\|_{L_w^p} =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $T_n^*(x, f)$  the best approximating polynomial of degree at most  $n$  to  $f$  in  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ . In this case, from the boundedness of in  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \left\| f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^*(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) \right\|_{L_w^p} + \left\| T_n^*(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) - W_n(\cdot, f^{(\alpha)}) \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p)E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p} + \left\| W_n(\cdot, T_n^*(f^{(\alpha)}) - f^{(\alpha)}) \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, \alpha)E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p}. \end{aligned}$$

By [10, Theorem 1]

$$I_2 \leq c(p, \alpha)n^\alpha \left\| T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n(\cdot, f) \right\|_{L_w^p}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &\leq c(p, \alpha)(2n)^\alpha \|W_n(\cdot, f) - T_n(\cdot, W_n(f))\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, \alpha)(2n)^\alpha E_n(W_n(f))_{L_w^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - T_n(\cdot, f)\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq \|T_n(\cdot, W_n(f)) - W_n(\cdot, f)\|_{L_w^p} + \|W_n(\cdot, f) - f(\cdot)\|_{L_w^p} + \|f(\cdot) - T_n(\cdot, f)\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p)E_n(W_n(f))_{L_w^p} + c(p)E_n(f)_{L_w^p} + c(p)E_n(f)_{L_w^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E_n(W_n(f))_{L_w^p} \leq c(p)E_n(f)_{L_w^p},$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, f)\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, \alpha)E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p} + c(p)n^\alpha E_n(W_n(f))_{L_w^p} + c(p)n^\alpha E_n(f)_{L_w^p} \\ &\quad + c(p, \alpha)(2n)^\alpha E_n(W_n(f))_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, \alpha)E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p} + c(p, \alpha)n^\alpha E_n(f)_{L_w^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Since [1]

$$E_n(f)_{L_w^p} \leq \frac{c(p, \alpha)}{(n+1)^\alpha} E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p}, \quad (5)$$

we obtain

$$\|f^{(\alpha)}(\cdot) - T_n^{(\alpha)}(\cdot)\|_{L_w^p} \leq cE_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p}$$

and the proof is completed.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $T_n \in \Pi_n$  be the trigonometric polynomial of the best approximation of  $f$  in  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  metric. By Remark 1 (ii), Lemma 1 and (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, h)_{L_w^p} &\leq \Omega_r(T_n, h)_{L_w^p} + \Omega_r(f - T_n, h)_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, r)h^r \left\| T_n^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p} + c(p, r)E_n(f)_{L_w^p}, \quad 0 < h < \pi/n. \end{aligned}$$

Using (5), the direct inequality in [9, Theorem 2] and the inequality

$$\Omega_l(f, h)_{L_w^p} \leq ch^l \left\| f^{(l)} \right\|_{L_w^p}, \quad f \in W_{p,w}^l(\mathbf{T}), l = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

given in [9, Theorem 1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f)_{L_w^p} &\leq \frac{c(p, r)}{(n+1)^{r-[r]}} E_n(f^{(r-[r])})_{L_w^p} \leq \frac{c(p, r)}{(n+1)^{r-[r]}} \Omega_{[r]} \left( f^{(r-[r])}, \frac{2\pi}{n+1} \right)_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq \frac{c(p, r)}{(n+1)^{r-[r]}} \left( \frac{2\pi}{n+1} \right)^{[r]} \left\| f^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p}. \end{aligned}$$



On the other hand, by Theorem 1 we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| T_n^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p} &\leq \left\| T_n^{(r)} - f^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p} + \left\| f^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, r) E_n(f^{(r)})_{L_w^p} + \left\| f^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r) \left\| f^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $h$  with  $\pi/(n+1) < h \leq \pi/n$ , ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ), we obtain

$$\Omega_r(f, h)_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r) h^r \left\| f^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p}$$

and we are done.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $S_n$  be the  $n$ -th partial sum of the Fourier series of  $f \in L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$  and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Thanks to the Theorem of Hunt-Muckenhoupt-Wheeden [6], we obtain that the best approximation by trigonometric polynomials in  $L_w^p(\mathbf{T})$  with  $w \in A_p(\mathbf{T})$  has the same order as deviation by the partial sum of the Fourier series. It means that for  $\varphi \in L_w^p$

$$\left\| \varphi - S_n(\varphi) \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c E_n(\varphi)_{L_w^p}$$

with a positive constant  $c$  independent on  $\varphi$  and  $n$ .

By Remark 1 (ii) and (3)

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_r(f, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} &\leq \Omega_r(f - S_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} + \Omega_r(S_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, r) E_{2^m}(f)_{L_w^p} + \Omega_r(S_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemma 1,

$$\Omega_r(S_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r) \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)^r \left\| S_{2^m}^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p}, \quad n+1 \geq 2^m.$$

Since

$$S_{2^m}^{(r)}(x) = S_1^{(r)}(x) + \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \left\{ S_{2^{v+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^v}^{(r)}(x) \right\},$$

we have

$$\Omega_r(S_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r) \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)^r \left\{ \left\| S_1^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p} + \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \left[ S_{2^{v+1}}^{(r)} - S_{2^v}^{(r)} \right] \right\|_{L_w^p} \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Applying the weighted version of Littlewood-Paley's theorem [8] and following the method used in [7], we obtain for  $1 < p \leq 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \left[ S_{2^{v+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^v}^{(r)}(x) \right] \right\|_{L_w^p} &= \left\| \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=2^v+1}^{2^{v+1}} B_{k,r}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c \left( \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \left\| \sum_{k=2^v+1}^{2^{v+1}} k^r B_{k,r}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left\| \sum_{k=2^{\nu+1}}^{2^{\nu+1}} k^r B_{k,r}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= c \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left\| S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

where  $B_{k,r}(x)$  is the  $r$ -th derivative of  $(a_k \cos kx + b_k \sin kx)$ , and for  $p > 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left[ S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x) \right] \right\|_{L_w^p} &= \left\| \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=2^{\nu+1}}^{2^{\nu+1}} k^r B_{k,r}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left\| \sum_{k=2^{\nu+1}}^{2^{\nu+1}} k^r B_{k,r}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq c \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left\| \sum_{k=2^{\nu+1}}^{2^{\nu+1}} k^r B_{k,r}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= c \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left\| S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left[ S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x) \right] \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \left\| S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p}^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma = \min\{p, 2\}.$$

Hence, by [10, Theorem 1], we get

$$\left\| S_{2^{\nu+1}}^{(r)}(x) - S_{2^{\nu}}^{(r)}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r) 2^{\nu r} \left\| S_{2^{\nu+1}}(x) - S_{2^{\nu}}(x) \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r) 2^{\nu r+1} E_{2^{\nu}}(f)_{L_w^p}$$

and

$$\left\| S_1^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p} = \left\| S_1^{(r)} - S_0^{(r)} \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r) E_0(f)_{L_w^p}.$$

Then from (6) we have

$$\Omega_r(S_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, r) \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)^r \left\{ E_0(f)_{L_w^p} + \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} 2^{(\nu+1)r\gamma} E_{2^{\nu}}^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\}.$$

It is easily seen that

$$2^{(\nu+1)r\gamma} E_{2^{\nu}}^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p} \leq c(r) \sum_{\mu=2^{\nu-1}+1}^{2^{\nu}} \mu^{\gamma r-1} E_{\mu}^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p}, \quad \nu = 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{7}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Omega_r(S_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \\ & \leq c(p, r) \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)^r \left\{ E_0(f)_{L_w^p} + 2^r E_1(f)_{L_w^p} + c(r) \left( \sum_{v=0}^{m-1} \sum_{\mu=2^{v-1}+1}^{2^v} \mu^{\gamma r-1} E_\mu^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\} \\ & \leq c(p, r) \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)^r \left\{ E_0(f)_{L_w^p} + \left( \sum_{\mu=1}^{2^m} \mu^{\gamma r-1} E_\mu^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\} \\ & \leq c(p, r) \left( \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)^r \left( \sum_{v=0}^{2^m-1} (v+1)^{\gamma r-1} E_v^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $2^m \leq n+1 \leq 2^{m+1}$ , then

$$\Omega_r(S_{2^m}, \pi/(n+1))_{L_w^p} \leq \frac{c(p, r)}{(n+1)^r} \left( \sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{\gamma r-1} E_v^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

Taking also the relation

$$E_{2^m}(f)_{L_w^p} \leq E_{2^{m-1}}(f)_{L_w^p} \leq \frac{c(p, r)}{(n+1)^r} \left( \sum_{v=0}^n (v+1)^{\gamma r-1} E_v^\gamma(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

into account we obtain the required inequality of Theorem 3.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.* If  $T_n$  is the best approximating polynomial of  $f$ , then by [10, Theorem 1]

$$\left\| T_{2^{m+1}}^{(\alpha)} - T_{2^m}^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, \alpha) 2^{(m+1)\alpha} E_{2^m}(f)_{L_w^p}$$

and hence by this inequality, (7) and hypothesis of Theorem 4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|T_{2^{m+1}} - T_{2^m}\|_{W_{p,w}^\alpha(\mathbb{T})} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|T_{2^{m+1}} - T_{2^m}\|_{L_w^p} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\| T_{2^{m+1}}^{(\alpha)} - T_{2^m}^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, \alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} 2^{(m+1)\alpha} E_{2^m}(f)_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, \alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} j^{\alpha-1} E_j(f)_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, \alpha) \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j^{\alpha-1} E_j(f)_{L_w^p} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \|T_{2^{m+1}} - T_{2^m}\|_{W_{p,w}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{T})} < \infty,$$

which implies that  $\{T_{2^m}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $W_{p,w}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{T})$ . Since  $T_{2^m} \rightarrow f$  in the Banach space  $L_w^p(T)$ , we have  $f \in W_{p,w}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{T})$ .

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} E_n(f^{(\alpha)})_{L_w^p} &\leq \|f^{(\alpha)} - S_n f^{(\alpha)}\|_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq \|S_{2^{m+2}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_n f^{(\alpha)}\|_{L_w^p} + \left\| \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} [S_{2^{k+1}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_{2^k} f^{(\alpha)}] \right\|_{L_w^p}. \end{aligned}$$

By [10, Theorem 1]

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_{2^{m+2}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_n f^{(\alpha)}\|_{L_w^p} &\leq c(p, \alpha) 2^{(m+2)\alpha} E_n(f)_{L_w^p} \\ &\leq c(p, \alpha) (n+1)^{\alpha} E_n(f)_{L_w^p} \end{aligned}$$

for  $2^m < n < 2^{m+1}$ .

On the other hand, following the method given in the proof of Theorem 3, we get

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} [S_{2^{k+1}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_{2^k} f^{(\alpha)}] \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c \left( \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} \|S_{2^{k+1}}^{(\alpha)}(x) - S_{2^k}^{(\alpha)}(x)\|_{L_w^p}^{\gamma} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}, \quad \gamma = \min\{p, 2\}$$

Since by [10, Theorem 1]

$$\|S_{2^{k+1}}^{(\alpha)}(x) - S_{2^k}^{(\alpha)}(x)\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, \alpha) 2^{k\alpha} \|S_{2^{k+1}}(x) - S_{2^k}(x)\|_{L_w^p} \leq c(p, \alpha) 2^{k\alpha+1} E_{2^k}(f)_{L_w^p},$$

we get

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} [S_{2^{k+1}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_{2^k} f^{(\alpha)}] \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c \left( \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} 2^{\gamma k \alpha + 1} E_{2^k}^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{k=m+2}^{\infty} [S_{2^{k+1}} f^{(\alpha)} - S_{2^k} f^{(\alpha)}] \right\|_{L_w^p} \leq c \left( \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k^{\gamma \alpha - 1} E_k^{\gamma}(f)_{L_w^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

for  $2^m < n < 2^{m+1}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. AKGÜN AND D. M. ISRAFILOV, *Approximation in weighted Orlicz spaces*, accepted for publication in *Mathematica Slovaca*.
- [2] P. L. BUTZER, H. DYCKHOFF, E. GÖRLICH AND R. L. STENS, *Best trigonometric approximation, fractional order derivatives and Lipschitz classes*, *Can. J. Math.*, **29**, 3 (1977), 781–793.
- [3] J. CZIPSZER AND G. FREUD, *Sur l'approximation d'une fonction périodique et de ses dérivées successives par un polynôme trigonometrique et par ses dérivées successives*, *Acta Math.*, **99** (1958), 33–51.
- [4] Z. DITZIAN, V. TOTIK, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer Ser. Comput. Math. **9**, Springer, New York, 1987.
- [5] E. A. HACIYEVA, *Investigation of the properties of functions with quasimonotone Fourier coefficients in generalized Nikol'skii-Besov spaces*, (in Russian), Author's summary of candidate dissertation, Tbilisi, 1986.
- [6] R. HUNT, B. MUCKENHOUPT AND R. WHEEDEN, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **176** (1973), 227–251.
- [7] V. M. KOKILASHVILI, Y. E. YILDIRIR, *On the approximation in weighted Lebesgue spaces*, *Proceedings of A. Razmadze Math. Inst.*, **143** (2007), 103–113.
- [8] D. S. KURTZ, *Littlewood-Paley and multiplier theorems on weighted  $L^p$  spaces*, *Trans. AMS*, **259**, 1 (1980), 235–254.
- [9] N. X. KY, *Moduli of mean smoothness and approximation with  $A_p$ -weights*, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest*, **40** (1997), 37–48.
- [10] *An Alexits's lemma and its applications in approximation theory. Functions, Series, Operators*, L. Leindler, F. Schipp, J. Szabados (eds.), Budapest, 2002, 287–296.
- [11] A. MARCHAUD, *Sur les dérivées et sur les différences des fonctions de variables réelles*, *J. Math. Pures appl.*, **6** (1927), 337–425.
- [12] G. MASTROIANNI AND V. TOTIK, *Weighted polynomial inequalities with doubling and  $A_\infty$  weights*, *Constr. Approx.*, **16** (2000), 37–71.
- [13] B. MUCKENHOUPT, *Weighted Norm Inequalities for the Hardy Maximal Function*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, **165**, 1972, 207–226.
- [14] S. G. SAMKO, A. A. KILBAS, AND O. I. MARICHEV, *Fractional integrals and derivatives. Theory and applications*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1993.
- [15] S. B. STECKIN, *On the order of the best approximations of continuous functions*, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **15** (1951), 219–242.
- [16] R. TABERSKI, *Differences, moduli and derivatives of fractional orders*, *Comment. Math.*, **19** (1977), 389–400.
- [17] *Two indirect approximation theorems*, *Demonstratio Mathematica*, **9**, 2 (1976), 243–255.
- [18] A. F. TIMAN, *Investigation in the theory of approximation of functions*, Dissertation, Khar'kov, 1951.
- [19] *Theory of approximation of functions of a real variable*, Pergamon Press and MacMillan, 1963; Russian original published by Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1960.

(Received December 2, 2008)

Daniyal M. Israfilov  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Art and Science  
 Balikesir University  
 10145, Balikesir  
 Turkey

ANAS Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics  
 e-mail: mdaniyal@balikesir.edu.tr

Yunus E. Yildirim  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Education  
 Balikesir University  
 10100, Balikesir  
 Turkey

e-mail: yildirim@balikesir.edu.tr