

A SUPPORT THEOREM FOR t -WRIGHT-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

ANDRZEJ OLBRYŚ

(Communicated by L. Losonczy)

Abstract. The support theorems play a very important role in the theory of convex functions and have many consequences. In the present paper we give a necessary and sufficient conditions under which every t -Wright convex function has at arbitrary point a t -Wright affine support function.

Introduction and terminology

Let $t \in (0, 1)$ be a fixed number, and let $L(t)$ be the smallest field containing a singleton $\{t\}$. Throughout the whole paper X will always denote a linear space over the field K , where $L(t) \subset K \subset \mathbb{R}$, and, moreover, $D \subset X$ will always be a non-empty t -convex set i.e. such set that $tD + (1-t)D \subset D$, and these assumptions will not be repeated in the sequel.

A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be t -convex if

$$\bigwedge_{x,y \in D} f(tx + (1-t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1-t)f(y);$$

t -Wright convex if

$$\bigwedge_{x,y \in D} f(tx + (1-t)y) + f((1-t)x + ty) \leq f(x) + f(y). \quad (1)$$

If inequality (1) is satisfied for $t = \frac{1}{2}$ then we say that function f is Jensen-convex and in this case (1) has the following form

$$\bigwedge_{x,y \in D} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2}.$$

If $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function such that $-f$ is t -convex (t -Wright convex, Jensen-convex) then f is called t -concave (t -Wright concave, Jensen concave), respectively. One can easily observe that every t -convex function is obviously t -Wright convex but in general not converse [10]. It has been proved by N. Kuhn [7] and independently, by Z. Daróczy and Zs. Páles [16] that every t -convex function is Jensen-convex. The connection

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 39B62, 26A51.

Keywords and phrases: convexity, convex function in the Wright sense.

between t -Wright convex and Jensen-convex functions has been investigated in [9]. The authors solved a problem posed by J. Matkowski. In [10] Matkowski asked whether t -Wright convex function with a $t \in (0, 1)$ has to be Jensen-convex? In [9] Gy. Maksa, K. Nikodem and Zs. Páles gave the positive answer to the problem of Matkowski for all rational $t \in (0, 1)$ and certain algebraic values of t . However, they have proved that if t is either transcendental or the distance of some of the algebraic (maybe complex) conjugate of t from $\frac{1}{2}$ is at least $\frac{1}{2}$, then there is a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is t -Wright convex but not Jensen convex. The function constructed in [9] has many pathological properties, in particular it must be discontinuous.

A survey of other results concerning t -Wright convex functions may be found in the papers [2], [10], [11], [14], [15].

In the sequel we will use the following definition

DEFINITION 1. A point $x_0 \in X$ is termed to be algebraically internal for a set $A \subset X$ if

$$\bigwedge_{y \in X \setminus \{0\}} \bigvee_{\varepsilon_y > 0} x_0 + \lambda y \in A, \quad \lambda \in (-\varepsilon_y, \varepsilon_y) \cap L(t).$$

The set of all algebraically internal points of A will be denoted by $\text{algit}_{L(t)} A$.

If $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is at the same time t -Wright convex and t -Wright concave then we say that f is a t -Wright affine function. Obviously every t -Wright affine function satisfies the following functional equation

$$\bigwedge_{x, y \in D} f(tx + (1-t)y) + f((1-t)x + ty) = f(x) + f(y).$$

There is known a characterization of t -Wright affine functions. Theorem 1, below has been proved by K. Lajkó [8] for functions defined on an open interval and was extended in the paper [13] for functions defined on more general structures.

THEOREM 1. Let $D \subset X$ be a s -convex set for all $s \in L(t) \cap (0, 1)$, such that $\text{algit}_{L(t)} D \neq \emptyset$. A function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a t -Wright affine if and only if f has the form

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1(x) + a_2(x, x), \quad x \in D,$$

where $a_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, $a_1 : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an additive function, and $a_2 : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a biadditive and symmetric function and, moreover,

$$a_2(tx, (1-t)x) = 0, \quad x \in X.$$

In the first section we prove a separation theorem for t -Wright convex functions. An analogical theorem for Jensen convex functions ($t = \frac{1}{2}$) is well-known (see [5], [12]) and it is a consequence of an abstract version of Hahn-Banach theorem due to G. Rodé [18]. In section 2 we prove some lemmas which are useful in the next section. The main result of the paper are presented in the third section. Using the results from sections 1 and 2 we give a necessary and sufficient condition under which for an arbitrary point $y \in D$ and a t -Wright convex function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ there exists a t -Wright affine support

function of f at y i.e. the function $a_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions

- (i) $a_y(tx + (1-t)z) + a_y((1-t)x + tz) = a_y(x) + a_y(z), \quad x, z \in D,$
- (ii) $a_y(x) \leq f(x), \quad x \in D,$
- (iii) $a_y(y) = f(y).$

As a special case of our theorem (for $t = \frac{1}{2}$) we obtain a well-known support theorem for Jensen-convex functions (see [3], [4]) but our proof is quite different and it seems to be easier. Moreover, the support function obtained in this paper may be estimated by the values of a given function f .

1. The separation theorem for t -Wright convex functions

In the sequel we will use the following notations:

$$M(x, y) := tx + (1-t)y, \quad N(x, y) := (1-t)x + ty,$$

and if $f, g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are arbitrary functions, $z \in D$, then

$$f_z(x) := f(M(x, z)) + f(N(x, z)) - g(z), \quad x \in D.$$

LEMMA 1. *Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a t -Wright convex function, and let $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a t -Wright concave function. If*

$$\bigwedge_{x \in D} \left(\inf_{z \in D} \{f_z(x)\} > -\infty \right),$$

then the function $h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$h(x) := \inf_{z \in D} \{f_z(x)\}, \quad x \in D, \tag{2}$$

is t -Wright convex.

Proof. Fix arbitrary $u, v \in D$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. According to (2) we can find points $\alpha, \beta \in D$ such that

$$h(u) + \varepsilon > f_\alpha(u) \quad \text{and} \quad h(v) + \varepsilon > f_\beta(v).$$

By the t -Wright convexity of the functions f and $-g$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} h(u) + h(v) + 2\varepsilon &> f_\alpha(u) + f_\beta(v) \\ &= f(M(u, \alpha)) + f(N(u, \alpha)) - g(\alpha) + f(M(v, \beta)) + f(N(v, \beta)) - g(\beta) \\ &\geq f(M(M(u, \alpha), M(v, \beta))) + f(N(M(u, \alpha), M(v, \beta))) - g(M(\alpha, \beta)) \\ &\quad + f(M(N(u, \alpha), N(v, \beta))) + f(N(N(u, \alpha), N(v, \beta))) - g(N(\alpha, \beta)) \\ &= f(M(M(u, v), M(\alpha, \beta))) + f(N(M(u, v), M(\alpha, \beta))) - g(M(\alpha, \beta)) \\ &\quad + f(M(N(u, v), N(\alpha, \beta))) + f(N(N(u, v), N(\alpha, \beta))) - g(N(\alpha, \beta)) \\ &= f_{M(\alpha, \beta)}(M(u, v)) + f_{N(\alpha, \beta)}(N(u, v)) \geq h(M(u, v)) + h(N(u, v)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+$ we infer that h is a t -Wright convex function so the proof of our Lemma is finished. \square

We apply this lemma to the proof of the following

THEOREM 2. Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a t -Wright convex function and let $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a t -Wright concave function. If

$$\bigwedge_{x \in D} g(x) \leq f(x),$$

then there exists a t -Wright affine function $a : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g(x) \leq a(x) \leq f(x), \quad x \in D.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$\alpha := \inf_{z \in D} [f(z) - g(z)] = 0, \quad (3)$$

otherwise we will consider the function $f(x) - \alpha$ instead of $f(x)$. Let us define the following family of functions

$$\mathcal{H} := \{h : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : h \text{ is a } t\text{-Wright convex function and } g(x) \leq h(x) \leq f(x), x \in D\}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{H} \neq \emptyset$, because $f \in \mathcal{H}$. The pair (\mathcal{H}, \preceq) yields on partially ordered set, where the order is defined in the following manner

$$f_1 \preceq f_2 \iff \bigwedge_{x \in D} (f_1(x) \leq f_2(x)).$$

We will show that every chain has a lower bound in \mathcal{H} . Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be an arbitrary chain. Define the function $\tilde{h} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$\tilde{h}(x) := \inf \{h(x) : h \in \mathcal{L}\}, \quad x \in D. \quad (4)$$

It follows from (4) that

$$g(x) \leq \tilde{h}(x) \leq f(x), \quad x \in D.$$

It suffices to show that \tilde{h} is a t -Wright convex function. To see it fix arbitrary points $x, y \in D$ and a number $\varepsilon > 0$. By the definition of \tilde{h} there exist $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ such that

$$\tilde{h}(x) + \varepsilon > h_1(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{h}(y) + \varepsilon > h_2(y).$$

Hence putting $h_3 := \min\{h_1, h_2\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}(x) + \tilde{h}(y) + 2\varepsilon &> h_1(x) + h_2(y) \geq h_3(x) + h_3(y) \\ &\geq h_3(M(x, y)) + h_3(N(x, y)) \\ &\geq \tilde{h}(M(x, y)) + \tilde{h}(N(x, y)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0_+$ we obtain hence the t -Wright convexity of the function \tilde{h} and on account of (4) this element is a lower bound of chain \mathcal{L} . By Kuratowski-Zorn Lemma

there exists a minimal element H in \mathcal{H} . Observe that, because $H \in \mathcal{H}$ then the following inequalities

$$g(x) + g(z) \leq g(M(x, z)) + g(N(x, z)) \leq H(M(x, z)) + H(N(x, z)) \leq H(x) + H(z),$$

holds true for all $x, z \in D$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &\leq g(M(x, z)) + g(N(x, z)) - g(z) \leq H(M(x, z)) + H(N(x, z)) - g(z) \\ &\leq H(x) + H(z) - g(z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3) that

$$0 \leq \inf_{z \in D} [H(z) - g(z)] \leq \inf_{z \in D} [f(z) - g(z)] = 0,$$

whence

$$g(x) \leq \inf_{z \in D} [H(M(x, z)) + H(N(x, z)) - g(z)] \leq H(x), \quad x \in D. \tag{5}$$

On account of Lemma 1 the function $\tilde{H} : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$\tilde{H}(x) := \inf_{z \in D} [H(M(x, z)) + H(N(x, z)) - g(z)], \quad x \in D,$$

is a t -Wright convex function and therefore by the inequality (5) it belongs to the family \mathcal{H} . By the minimality of H we get

$$\bigwedge_{x, z \in D} H(x) \leq H(M(x, z)) + H(N(x, z)) - g(z),$$

and then (replace x and z) by t -Wright convexity of H we get

$$\bigwedge_{x, z \in D} g(x) \leq H(M(x, z)) + H(N(x, z)) - H(z) \leq H(x).$$

However, because for an arbitrary fixed point $z \in D$ the function $H_z : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by formula

$$H_z(x) := H(M(x, z)) + H(N(x, z)) - H(z),$$

is t -Wright convex then it belongs to the family \mathcal{H} and according again to the minimality of H we get

$$\bigwedge_{x, z \in D} H(M(x, z)) + H(N(x, z)) = H(x) + H(z).$$

This means that H is a t -Wright affine function and the proof of Theorem 2 is completed. \square

2. Some lemmas

In this section we prove some lemmas which will be useful in the next section. Let us define the sequences of means $M_n, N_n : D \times D \rightarrow D$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ in the following manner:

$$M_1(x, y) := M(x, y) = tx + (1 - t)y, \quad N_1(x, y) := N(x, y) = (1 - t)x + ty,$$

$$M_{n+1}(x, y) := M(M_n(x, y), N_n(x, y)),$$

$$N_{n+1}(x, y) := N(M_n(x, y), N_n(x, y)).$$

Obviously $N_n(x, y) = M_n(y, x)$ and $M_n(x, x) = N_n(x, x) = x$, for all $x, y \in D$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and an easy induction shows that

$$M_n(x, y) = s_n x + (1 - s_n)y, \quad N_n(x, y) = (1 - s_n)x + s_n y, \quad (6)$$

where $s_n := \frac{(2t-1)^n + 1}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that, if $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a t -Wright convex function then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$f(M_{n+1}(x, y)) + f(N_{n+1}(x, y)) \leq f(M_n(x, y)) + f(N_n(x, y)), \quad x, y \in D. \quad (7)$$

For arbitrary $y \in D$ we define D_y by the formula $D_y := D \cap (2y - D)$. Observe that t -convexity of D implies t -convexity of D_y . Moreover, D_y is symmetric with respect to y .

LEMMA 2. For an arbitrary $y \in D$, $x, z \in D_y$ the following formulas

$$(i') \quad M_n(M(x, z), 2y - M(x, z)) = M(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)),$$

$$(ii') \quad N_n(M(x, z), 2y - M(x, z)) = M(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z)),$$

$$(iii') \quad M_n(N(x, z), 2y - N(x, z)) = N(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)),$$

$$(iv') \quad N_n(N(x, z), 2y - N(x, z)) = N(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z)).$$

$$(i'') \quad M(M(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)), N(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z))) \\ = M_{n+1}(x, 2y - x),$$

$$(ii'') \quad N(M(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)), N(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z))) \\ = N_{n+1}(z, 2y - z),$$

$$(iii'') \quad M(M(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z)), N(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z))) \\ = N_{n+1}(x, 2y - x),$$

$$(iv'') \quad N(M(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z)), N(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z))) \\ = M_{n+1}(z, 2y - z).$$

holds true for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Fix arbitrary $y \in D$, and take $x, z \in D_y$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then by (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(x, 2y - x) &= y + (2t - 1)^n(x - y), \\ N_n(x, 2y - x) &= y - (2t - 1)^n(x - y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(M(x, z), 2y - M(x, z)) &= y + (2t - 1)^n(M(x, z) - y)y + (2t - 1)^n[tx + (1 - t)z - ty - (1 - t)y] \\ &= ty + t(2t - 1)^n(x - y) + (1 - t)y + (1 - t)(2t - 1)^n(z - y) \\ &= t[y + (2t - 1)^n(x - y)] + (1 - t)[y + (2t - 1)^n(z - y)] \\ &= M(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)). \end{aligned}$$

This proves (i').

We have also

$$\begin{aligned} M(M(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)), N(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z))) &= tM(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)) + (1 - t)N(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z)) \\ &= t[tM_n(x, 2y - x) + (1 - t)M_n(z, 2y - z)] \\ &\quad + (1 - t)[(1 - t)N_n(x, 2y - x) + tN_n(z, 2y - z)] \\ &= t\{t[y + (2t - 1)^n(x - y)] + (1 - t)[y + (2t - 1)^n(z - y)]\} \\ &\quad + (1 - t)\{(1 - t)[y - (2t - 1)^n(x - y)] + t[y - (2t - 1)^n(z - y)]\} \\ &= [t^2 + 2t(1 - t) + (1 - t)^2]y + [t^2 - (1 - t)^2](2t - 1)^n(x - y) \\ &= y + (2t - 1)^{n+1}(x - y) \\ &= M_{n+1}(x, 2y - x). \end{aligned}$$

This shows (i''). We omit the proofs of the remaining equalities because they runs similarly. \square

For a function $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we put

$$W_f(x, z) := f(x) + f(z) - f(M(x, z)) - f(N(x, z)), \quad x, z \in D.$$

Clearly, the function f is a t -Wright convex (t -Wright concave, t -Wright affine), if

$$W_f(x, z) \geq 0 \quad (W_f(x, z) \leq 0, W_f(x, z) = 0, \text{ respectively}), \text{ for all } x, z \in D.$$

LEMMA 3. Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a t -Wright convex function. If for some $x, z \in D$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, z)) + f(N_n(x, z))] > -\infty, \tag{8}$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_f(M_n(x, z), N_n(x, z)) = 0.$$

Proof. It follows from (7) that the limit (8) (finite or infinite) exists. Assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, z)) + f(N_n(x, z))] = \alpha > -\infty.$$

For arbitrary positive integer n we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(x) + f(z) - f(M_{n+1}(x, z)) - f(N_{n+1}(x, z)) \\ &= f(x) + f(z) - f(M_1(x, z)) - f(N_1(x, z)) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^n [f(M_i(x, z)) + f(N_i(x, z)) - f(M_{i+1}(x, z)) + f(N_{i+1}(x, z))]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$0 \leq f(x) + f(z) - \alpha = W_f(x, z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} W_f(M_k(x, z), N_k(x, z)) < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} W_f(M_n(x, z), N_n(x, z)) = 0. \quad \square$$

3. The support theorem

We start with the following

THEOREM 3. *Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a t -Wright convex function, and let $y \in D$ be a fixed point. If*

$$\bigwedge_{x \in D_y} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y - x))] > -\infty \right), \quad (\star)$$

then the function $A_y : D_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$A_y(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y - x))] \quad (9)$$

is a t -Wright affine function.

Proof. Define a sequence of functions $g_y^n : D_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by the formula

$$g_y^n(x) := f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y - x)).$$

It follows from (\star) and (7) that this sequence converges to a finite limit. Let us put

$$A_y(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_y^n(x), \quad x \in D_y.$$

We will show that $W_{A_y}(x, z) = 0$, for all $x, z \in D_y$. Using t -Wright convexity of the function f and conditions (i')-(iv') we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_{g_y^n}(x, z) &= f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(M_n(z, 2y - z)) + f(N_n(z, 2y - z)) \\ &\quad - f(M_n(M(x, z), 2y - M(x, z))) - f(N_n(M(x, z), 2y - M(x, z))) \\ &\quad - f(M_n(N(x, z), 2y - N(x, z))) - f(N_n(N(x, z), 2y - N(x, z))) \\ &= f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(M_n(z, 2y - z)) \\ &\quad - f(M(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z))) - f(N(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z))) \\ &\quad + f(N_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(z, 2y - z)) \\ &\quad - f(M(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z))) - f(N(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z))) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand by (i'')-(iv'') we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_{g_y^n}(x, z) &= f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(M_n(z, 2y - z)) + f(N_n(z, 2y - z)) \\ &\quad - f(M(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z))) - f(N(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z))) \\ &\quad - f(M(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z))) - f(N(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z))) \\ &\leq f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(M_n(z, 2y - z)) + f(N_n(z, 2y - z)) \\ &\quad - f(M(M(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)), N(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z)))) \\ &\quad - f(N(M(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)), N(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z)))) \\ &\quad - f(M(M(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z)), N(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)))) \\ &\quad - f(N(M(N_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(z, 2y - z)), N(M_n(x, 2y - x), M_n(z, 2y - z)))) \\ &= f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(M_n(z, 2y - z)) \\ &\quad + f(N_n(z, 2y - z)) - f(M_{n+1}(x, 2y - x)) - f(N_{n+1}(x, 2y - x)) \\ &\quad - f(M_{n+1}(z, 2y - z)) - f(N_{n+1}(z, 2y - z)) \\ &= W_f(M_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(x, 2y - x)) + W_f(M_n(z, 2y - z), N_n(z, 2y - z)). \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that

$$0 \leq W_{g_y^n}(x, z) \leq W_f(M_n(x, 2y - x), N_n(x, 2y - x)) + W_f(M_n(z, 2y - z), N_n(z, 2y - z)), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using Lemma 3 we infer that

$$W_{A_y}(x, z) = 0, \quad x, z \in D_y,$$

which was to be proved. \square

REMARK 1. In the case $t = \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$A_y(x) = 2f(y), \quad x \in D_y.$$

Proof. By (6) we put $s_n = 1 - s_n = \frac{1}{2}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently

$$M_n(x, 2y - x) = N_n(x, 2y - x) = y, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad \square$$

REMARK 2. If, moreover, a point $y \in \text{algint}_{L(t)} D$ then the function A_y given by formula (9) is well defined and t -Wright affine on the whole space X .

Proof. Let us define the sequence of functions $g_y^n : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the following manner

$$g_y^n(x) := \begin{cases} f(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y-x)), & M_n(x, 2y-x), N_n(x, 2y-x) \in D_y, \\ 0, & \text{in the former case.} \end{cases}$$

By the above formula and on account of proof of Theorem 3 it is enough to show that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigvee_{n(x) \in \mathbb{N}_{n \geq n(x)}} \bigwedge M_n(x, 2y-x), N_n(x, 2y-x) \in D_y.$$

To see it fix an arbitrary point $x \in X$. We know that

$$M_n(x, 2y-x) = y + (2t-1)^n(x-y), \quad N_n(x, 2y-x) = y - (2t-1)^n(x-y), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $y \in \text{algint}_{L(t)} D$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (2t-1)^n = 0$ then

$$\bigvee_{n(x) \in \mathbb{N}_{n \geq n(x)}} \bigwedge M_n(x, 2y-x), N_n(x, 2y-x) \in D_y. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 4. Let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a t -Wright convex function and let $y \in D$ be a fixed point. Then, there exists a function $a_y : D_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying conditions

- (i) $a_y(tx + (1-t)z) + a_y((1-t)x + tz) = a_y(x) + a_y(z), \quad x, z \in D_y,$
- (ii) $a_y(x) \leq f(x), \quad x \in D_y,$
- (iii) $a_y(y) = f(y).$

is equivalent to the following condition

$$\bigwedge_{x \in D_y} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y-x))] > -\infty \right). \quad (\star)$$

If (\star) holds true and, moreover, $y \in \text{algint}_{L(t)} D$ then the function a_y can be extended to the function fulfilling conditions (i)-(iii) on the whole set D .

Proof. Assume that $a_y : D_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies conditions (i), (ii) and (iii). Suppose that for certain $x \in D_y$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y-x))] = -\infty.$$

According to (i) and (ii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_y(x) + a_y(2y-x) &= a_y(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + a_y(N_n(x, 2y-x)) \\ &\leq f(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y-x)), \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$a_y(x) + a_y(2y - x) = -\infty.$$

Consequently, $a_y(x) = -\infty$ or $a_y(2y - x) = -\infty$, a contradiction.

Now assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y - x))] > -\infty, \quad x \in D_y.$$

On account of Theorem 3 we infer that a function $A_y : D_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by the formula

$$A_y(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, 2y - x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y - x))],$$

is a t -Wright affine. Let us define a function $g_y : D_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by formula

$$g_y(x) := A_y(x) - f(2y - x).$$

Note that g_y is a t -Wright concave function (as a sum of t -Wright affine and t -Wright concave function). Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} g_y(x) &\leq f(x), \quad x \in D_y, \quad \text{and} \\ g_y(y) &= f(y). \end{aligned}$$

On account of Theorem 2 there exists a t -Wright affine function $a_y : D_y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g_y(x) \leq a_y(x) \leq f(x), \quad x \in D_y.$$

Clearly, a_y satisfies conditions (i)-(iii).

Now, assume additionally that $y \in \text{algint}_{L(t)} D$. We will show that a_y can be extended to the support function defined on the whole domain D . Let us define a sequence of sets in the following manner

$$\begin{aligned} H_t^0(D_y) &:= D_y, \\ H_t^{n+1}(D_y) &:= \left\{ x \in D : M(x, y), N(x, y) \in H_t^n(D_y) \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\{H_t^n(D_y)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ has the following properties

- (I) $H_t^n(D_y)$ is a t -convex set, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,
- (II) $H_t^n(D_y) \subset H_t^{n+1}(D_y)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$,
- (III) $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} H_t^n(D_y) = D$.

The simply proof of the properties (I) and (II) runs by induction. We proof only the condition (III). Without loss of generality we may assume that $y = 0$. It is easy to see that the set $H_t^n(D_0)$ may be rewritten in the following form

$$H_t^n(D_0) = \left\{ x \in D : t^k(1-t)^{n-k}x \in D_0, \quad k \in \{0, \dots, n\} \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

We will show that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} H_t^n(D_0) = D$. Take an arbitrary $x \in X$. Since $0 \in \text{algint}_{L(t)} D_0$ then there exists $\varepsilon_x > 0$ such that

$$\lambda x \in D_0, \quad \lambda \in L(t) \cap (-\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_x). \quad (9)$$

Put $a := \max\{t, 1-t\}$. Note that $a \in (0, 1)$. By definition of a we have

$$\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigwedge_{k \in \{0, \dots, n\}} 0 < t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \leq a^n,$$

and, consequently

$$\bigvee_{n_0 \in \mathbb{N}} \bigwedge_{n \geq n_0} \bigwedge_{k \in \{0, \dots, n\}} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \in L(t) \cap (-\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_x).$$

Therefore by (9) we get

$$\bigwedge_{n \geq n_0} \bigwedge_{k \in \{0, \dots, n\}} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} x \in D_0,$$

which implies that

$$x \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} H_t^n(D_0),$$

and finishes the proof of condition (III).

Now, we define a sequence of functions $a_y^n : H_t^n(D_y) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} a_y^0(x) &:= a_y(x), \quad x \in D_y, \\ a_y^{n+1}(x) &:= a_y^n(M(x, y)) + a_y^n(N(x, y)) - a_y^n(y), \quad x \in H_t^{n+1}(D_y). \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to check that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have

- (a) a_y^n is t -Wright affine function,
- (b) $a_y^n(y) = f(y)$,
- (c) $a_y^{n+1}(x) = a_y^n(x)$, $x \in H_t^n(D_y)$,
- (d) $a_y^n(x) \leq f(x)$, $x \in H_t^n(D_y)$.

Finally, let $\bar{a}_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by formula

$$\bar{a}_y(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_y^n(x), \quad x \in D.$$

By conditions (a)-(d) we infer that the function \bar{a}_y is a t -Wright affine support f at the point y on the whole domain D . \square

REMARK 3. A t -Wright convex function constructed in the paper [9] don't satisfy the condition (\star) , for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$, so the assumption (\star) in our theorems is essential and can not be omitted.

As a consequence of Theorem 4 we obtain following

THEOREM 5. Let D be an algebraically open set (i.e. $D = \text{algint}_{L(t)} D$) and let $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a t -Wright convex function. The following conditions are equivalent

$$(k_1) \quad \bigvee_{y \in D} \bigwedge_{x \in D_y} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y-x))] > -\infty \right),$$

(k_2) there exists a t -Wright concave function $g : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in D} g(x) \leq f(x), \quad x \in D,$$

$$(k_3) \quad \bigwedge_{y \in D} \bigwedge_{x \in D_y} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y-x))] > -\infty \right),$$

(k_4) for every $y \in D$ there exists a t -Wright affine support function $a_y : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. On account of Theorem 4 the implications: (k_1) \Rightarrow (k_2), (k_3) \Rightarrow (k_4), (k_4) \Rightarrow (k_1) are obvious. We prove only the implication (k_2) \Rightarrow (k_3). Fix an arbitrary $y \in D$ and $x \in D_y$. By (k_2), for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) + g(2y-x) &\leq g(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + g(N_n(x, 2y-x)) \\ &\leq f(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y-x)), \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$-\infty < g(x) + g(2y-x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f(M_n(x, 2y-x)) + f(N_n(x, 2y-x))].$$

This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. GER, *On extension of polynomial functions*, Results in Math., **26** (1994), 281–289.
- [2] Z. KOMINEK, *A continuity result on t -Wright convex functions*, Publ. Math., **63** (2003), 213–219.
- [3] Z. KOMINEK, *Convex Functions in Linear Spaces*, Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach nr 1087, Katowice, 1989.
- [4] Z. KOMINEK, *On additive and convex functionals*, Radovi Mat., **3** (1987), 267–279.
- [5] H. KÖNIG, *On the abstract Hahn-Banach theorem due to Rodé*, Aequationes Math., **34** (1987), 89–95.
- [6] M. KUCZMA, *An introduction to the theory of functional equations and inequalities*, Polish Scientific Publishers and Silesian University Press, Warszawa-Kraków-Katowice, 1985.
- [7] N. KUHN, *A note on t -convex functions*, General Inequalities, **4** (1984), 269–276.
- [8] K. LAJKÓ, *On a functional equation of Alsina and Garcia-Roig*, Publ. Math., **52**, 3–4 (1998), 507–515.
- [9] GY. MAKSA, K. NIKODEM, ZS. PÁLES, *Result on t -Wright convexity*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, **13** (1991), 274–278.
- [10] J. MATKOWSKI, *On a -Wright convexity and the converse of Minkowski's inequality*, Aequationes Math., **43** (1992), 106–112.
- [11] J. MATKOWSKI, M. WRÓBEL, *A generalized α -Wright convexity and related functional equation*, Ann. Math. Sil. No., **10** (1996), 7–12.
- [12] K. NIKODEM, *On the support of midconvex operators*, Aequationes Math., **42** (1991), 182–189.

- [13] A. OLBRYŚ, *A characterization of (t_1, \dots, t_n) -Wright affine functions*, Commentationes Math., **XLVII**, 1 (2007), 47–56.
- [14] A. OLBRYŚ, *On the measurability and the Baire property of t -Wright convex functions*, Aequationes Math., **68** (2004), 28–37.
- [15] A. OLBRYŚ, *Some conditions implying the continuity of t -Wright convex functions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **68**, 3-4 (2006), 401–418.
- [16] ZS. PÁLES, Z. DARÓCZY, *Convexity with given infinite weight sequences*, Stochastica, **11**, 1 (1987), 5–12.
- [17] A. W. ROBERTS, D. E. VARBERG, *Convex Functions*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1973.
- [18] G. RODÉ, *Eine abstrakte Version des Satzes von Hahn-Banach*, Arch. Math., **31** (1978).
- [19] E. M. WRIGHT, *An inequality for convex functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **61** (1954), 620–622.

(Received February 19, 2009)

Andrzej Olbryś
Institute of Mathematics
Silesian University
Bankowa 14, 40-007 Katowice
Poland
e-mail: andrzej.olbrys@wp.pl