

SOME INEQUALITIES FOR THE GENERALIZED DISTORTION FUNCTIONS

XIAO-YAN MA, MIAO-KUN WANG, GEN-HONG ZHONG,
SONG-LIANG QIU AND YU-MING CHU

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. In this paper, we establish some functional inequalities for the generalized Hersch-Pfluger distortion function $\varphi_K(a, r)$, and prove a submultiplicative property for the generalized Agard distortion function $\eta_K(a, x)$.

1. Introduction

For real numbers a , b and c with $c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$, the Gaussian hypergeometric function is defined by

$$F(a, b; c; x) = {}_2F_1(a, b; c; x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, n)(b, n)}{(c, n)} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \text{ for } |x| < 1. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, $(a, 0) = 1$ for $a \neq 0$ and (a, n) denotes the shifted factorial function

$$(a, n) = a(a+1)(a+2)(a+3)\cdots(a+n-1)$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. For a survey of these functions, see [1, 8].

For $r \in (0, 1)$, $a \in (0, 1)$ and $r' = \sqrt{1-r^2}$, the generalized elliptic integrals of the first and second kind [4, 19] are defined by

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_a = \mathcal{H}_a(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} F(a, 1-a; 1; r^2), \\ \mathcal{H}_a' = \mathcal{H}_a'(r) = \mathcal{H}_a(r'), \\ \mathcal{H}_a(0) = \pi/2, \mathcal{H}_a(1) = \infty \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{E}_a = \mathcal{E}_a(r) = \frac{\pi}{2} F(a-1, 1-a; 1; r^2), \\ \mathcal{E}_a' = \mathcal{E}_a'(r) = \mathcal{E}_a(r'), \\ \mathcal{E}_a(0) = \pi/2, \mathcal{E}_a(1) = \sin(\pi a)/[2(1-a)], \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 33E05, 30C62.

Keywords and phrases: Gaussian hypergeometric function, generalized Hersch-Pfluger distortion function, generalized Agard distortion function.

This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11071069, 11171307), and Innovation Team Foundation of the Department of Education of Zhejiang Province under Grant T200924.

respectively. In particular, when $a = 1/2$, the functions $\mathcal{H}_a(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}_a(r)$ reduce to $\mathcal{H}(r)$ and $\mathcal{E}(r)$, respectively, which are the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind [1, 3, 7–10, 12, 13, 19]. By symmetry of (1.2), we assume that $a \in (0, 1/2]$ in the sequel.

Ramanujan’s generalized modular equation [11] with signature $1/a$ and degree p is given by

$$\frac{F(a, 1 - a; 1; 1 - s^2)}{F(a, 1 - a; 1; s^2)} = p \frac{F(a, 1 - a; 1; 1 - r^2)}{F(a, 1 - a; 1; r^2)}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $a \in (0, 1/2]$, $r \in (0, 1)$ and $p > 0$. To rewrite (1.4) in a slightly shorter form, we use the decreasing homeomorphism $\mu_a : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ defined by

$$\mu_a(r) = \frac{\pi}{2 \sin \pi a} \frac{\mathcal{H}_a'(r)}{\mathcal{H}_a(r)},$$

for $a \in (0, 1/2]$. We can now write (1.4) as

$$\mu_a(s) = p \mu_a(r), \quad 0 < r < 1. \tag{1.5}$$

The solution of (1.5) is given by

$$s = \varphi_K(a, r) = \mu_a^{-1}(\mu_a(r)/K), \quad K = 1/p. \tag{1.6}$$

We call $\varphi_K(a, r)$ the generalized modular function with signature $1/a$ and degree $p = 1/K$ or generalized Hersch-Pflguer distortion function.

For $x, K \in (0, \infty)$, the generalized Agard distortion function is defined by

$$\eta_K(a, x) = \left[\frac{\varphi_K(a, r)}{\varphi_{1/K}(a, r')} \right]^2, \quad r = \sqrt{\frac{x}{x+1}}. \tag{1.7}$$

If $a = 1/2$, then the functions defined in (1.6) and (1.7) reduce to the Hersch-Pflguer distortion function $\varphi_K(r)$ and Agard distortion function $\eta_K(x)$, respectively, which play a crucial role in quasiconformal mappings, quasiregular mappings, quasisymmetric functions and some related fields [2, 7, 14, 20, 21]. In particular, some remarkable properties and inequalities for them can be found in the literature [5, 6, 15–18, 22, 23].

For $a \in (0, 1/2]$, the so-called Ramanujan constant $R(a)$ is defined by

$$R(a) = -2\gamma - \psi(a) - \psi(1 - a)$$

with $R(1/2) = \log 16$, where $\gamma = 0.577215 \dots$ is the Euler-Mascheroni constant, and ψ is the classical psi function.

The main purpose of this paper is to establish some interesting functional inequalities for the generalized distortion function $\varphi_K(a, r)$, and to prove a submultiplicative property for the generalized Agard distortion function $\eta_K(a, x)$. Our main results are the following Theorems 1.1–1.3.

THEOREM 1.1. For $r \in (0, 1)$ and $K \in (0, \infty)$, define the function F on $[2, \infty)$ by

$$F(x) = 2[1 - \varphi_{1/K}(a, r)^x] / [x\varphi_K(a, \sqrt{2(1-r^x)/x})^2].$$

Then $F(x) = 1$ if $K = 1$, and $F(x)$ is strictly decreasing from $[2, \infty)$ onto $(0, 1]$ if $K \in (1, \infty)$, and strictly increasing from $[2, \infty)$ onto $[1, \infty)$ if $K \in (0, 1)$. In particular, if $r \in (0, 1)$ and $K, b \in [1, \infty)$, then

$$\varphi_K(a, r)^{2b} + b\varphi_{1/K}\left(a, \sqrt{(1-r^{2b})/b}\right)^2 \leq 1, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\varphi_{1/K}(a, r)^{2b} + b\varphi_K\left(a, \sqrt{(1-r^{2b})/b}\right)^2 \geq 1, \tag{1.9}$$

with equality in either case if and only if $b = 1$ or $K = 1$.

THEOREM 1.2. Let $K, p \in (0, \infty)$ and $r \in (0, 1)$, then

(1) $g(r) = \varphi_K(a, r)^p / \varphi_K(a, r^p)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(1, e^{R(a)(1-1/K)(p-1)/2})$ if $p, K \in (1, \infty)$ or $p, K \in (0, 1)$, and strictly increasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(e^{R(a)(1-1/K)(p-1)/2}, 1)$ if $1/p, K \in (0, 1)$ or $1/p, K \in (1, \infty)$. In particular, one has

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_K(a, r)^p \in (\varphi_K(a, r^p), e^{R(a)(1-1/K)(p-1)/2}\varphi_K(a, r^p)), \\ \text{if } p, K \in (1, \infty) \text{ or } K, p \in (0, 1); \\ \varphi_K(a, r)^p = \varphi_K(a, r^p), \\ \text{if } K = 1 \text{ or } p = 1; \\ \varphi_K(a, r)^p \in (e^{R(a)(1-1/K)(p-1)/2}\varphi_K(a, r^p), \varphi_K(a, r^p)), \\ \text{if } 1/p, K \in (0, 1) \text{ or } 1/p, K \in (1, \infty). \end{array} \right. \tag{1.10}$$

(2) $G(K) = \varphi_K(a, r)^p / \varphi_{K^p}(a, r)$ is strictly decreasing if $1/p, K \in (1, \infty)$ or $1/p, K \in (0, 1)$, and strictly increasing if $p, K \in (1, \infty)$ or $p, K \in (0, 1)$. In particular, one has

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi_K(a, r)^p \in (r^{p-1}\varphi_{K^p}(a, r), r^{p/K}), \text{ if } 1/p, K \in (0, 1); \\ \varphi_K(a, r)^p \in (\varphi_{K^p}(a, r), r^{p-1}\varphi_{K^p}(a, r)), \text{ if } 1/p, K \in (1, \infty); \\ \varphi_K(a, r)^p = \varphi_{K^p}(a, r), \text{ if } p = 1; \\ \varphi_K(a, r)^p = r^{p-1}\varphi_{K^p}(a, r), \text{ if } K = 1; \\ \varphi_K(a, r)^p \in (r^{p-1}\varphi_{K^p}(a, r), \varphi_{K^p}(a, r)), \text{ if } K, p \in (1, \infty); \\ \varphi_K(a, r)^p \in (r^{p/K}e^{pR(a)(1-1/K)/2}, r^{p-1}\varphi_{K^p}(a, r)), \text{ if } K, p \in (0, 1). \end{array} \right. \tag{1.11}$$

(3) Inequality

$$\varphi_K(a, r^{1/p})^p \leq \varphi_{K^p}(a, r) \tag{1.12}$$

holds for $p, K \in [1, \infty)$ or $p, K \in (0, 1)$, with equality if and only if $K = 1$ or $p = 1$. The inequality is reversed if $1/p, K \in (1, \infty)$ or $1/p, K \in (0, 1)$.

THEOREM 1.3. *If $K \geq 1$ and $x, y \in (0, \infty)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\min\{x^K, x^{1/K}\} \min\{y^K, y^{1/K}\} \eta_K(a, x) \eta_K(a, y)]^{1/2} \leq \eta_K(a, xy) \\
 & \leq e^{R(a)(1/K-1)} \eta_K(a, x) \eta_K(a, y).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.13}$$

The first equality holds if and only if $K = 1$ or $x = y = 1$, and the second equality holds if and only if $K = 1$. The coefficient $e^{R(a)(1/K-1)}$ of the upper bound cannot be replaced by a smaller one depending only on K .

2. Properties of $\varphi_K(a, r)$

In this section, we introduce several monotonicity properties of some functions defined in terms of $\varphi_K(a, r)$, and prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

First, let us recall the following formulas:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mu_a(r) + \log r = \frac{R(a)}{2}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\varphi_K(a, r)^2 + \varphi_{1/K}(a, r')^2 = 1, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_K(a, r)}{\partial r} = \frac{1}{K} \frac{ss'^2 \mathcal{K}_a(s)^2}{rr'^2 \mathcal{K}_a(r)^2} = K \frac{ss'^2 \mathcal{K}'_a(s)^2}{rr'^2 \mathcal{K}'_a(r)^2}, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_K(a, r)}{\partial K} = \frac{2}{\pi K \sin(\pi a)} ss'^2 \mathcal{K}_a(s) \mathcal{K}'_a(s). \tag{2.4}$$

where $s = \varphi_K(a, r)$, $r \in (0, 1)$ and $K \in (0, \infty)$.

The following Lemma 2.1 can be found in [4, Theorem 6.7].

LEMMA 2.1. *For each $a \in (0, 1/2]$ and $K \in (1, \infty)$, let f, g be defined on $(0, 1]$ by*

$$f(r) = r^{-1/K} \varphi_K(a, r) \quad \text{and} \quad g(r) = r^{-K} \varphi_{1/K}(a, r).$$

Then f is strictly decreasing and g is strictly increasing, with $f((0, 1]) = [1, e^{R(a)(1-1/K)/2}]$ and $g((0, 1]) = (e^{R(a)(1-K)/2}, 1]$.

The following Lemma 2.2 can be found in [4, Lemma 6.2(1), (2), (4) and (5), and Lemma 5.4(1)].

LEMMA 2.2. *For each $a \in (0, 1/2]$, $K \in (1, \infty)$, $r \in (0, 1)$, let $s = \varphi_K(a, r)$ and $t = \varphi_{1/K}(a, r)$. Then the function*

- (1) $h_1(r) = \mathcal{K}_a(s)/\mathcal{K}_a(r)$ is strictly increasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(1, K)$;
- (2) $h_2(r) = s' \mathcal{K}_a(s)^2/[r' \mathcal{K}_a(r)^2]$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, 1)$;
- (3) $h_3(r) = \mathcal{K}_a(t)/\mathcal{K}_a(r)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(1/K, 1)$;
- (4) $h_4(r) = t' \mathcal{K}_a(t)^2/[r' \mathcal{K}_a(r)^2]$ is strictly increasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(1, \infty)$;

(5) $h_5(r) = r^c \mathcal{H}_a(r)$ is strictly decreasing if and only if $c \geq 2a(1 - a)$, in which case $r^c \mathcal{H}_a(r)$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, \pi/2)$.

Proof of theorem 1.1. Clearly, $F(2) = 1$. Then making use of Lemma 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{x \phi_K(a, \sqrt{2(1-r^x)/x})^2} \\ &= 2 \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{(\sqrt{2(1-r^x)/x})^{1/K}}{\phi_K(a, \sqrt{2(1-r^x)/x})} \right]^2 \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{x}{2(1-r^x)}} \right)^{2/K} \cdot \frac{1}{x} \\ &= 2^{1-1/K} \cdot e^{R(a)(1/K-1)} \cdot \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^{1/K-1}}{(1-r^x)^{1/K}} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } K \in (1, \infty), \\ \infty, & \text{if } K \in (0, 1). \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Let $t = \sqrt{2(1-r^x)/x}$, $s = \phi_K(a, t)$ and $u = \phi_{1/K}(a, r)$. Then by logarithmic differentiation and (2.3), we have

$$\frac{x F'(x)}{F(x)} = \frac{s'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(s) \mathcal{H}'_a(s)}{t'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(t) \mathcal{H}'_a(t)} [F_1(r^x) + 1] - [F_1(u^x) + 1], \tag{2.6}$$

where $F_1(x) = (x \log x)/(1 - x)$, which is strictly decreasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(-1, 0)$. By Lemma 2.2(5), the function $s'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(s) \mathcal{H}'_a(s)$ is strictly decreasing in K on $(0, \infty)$. Hence, from (2.6) we have

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x F'(x)}{F(x)} > F_1(r^x) - F_1(u^x), & \text{if } K \in (0, 1), \\ \frac{x F'(x)}{F(x)} < F_1(r^x) - F_1(u^x), & \text{if } K \in (1, \infty). \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

Since

$$\begin{cases} u > r \Rightarrow u^x > r^x \Rightarrow F_1(r^x) > F_1(u^x), & \text{if } K \in (0, 1), \\ u < r \Rightarrow u^x < r^x \Rightarrow F_1(r^x) < F_1(u^x), & \text{if } K \in (1, \infty), \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

from (2.7) and (2.8) one has

$$\begin{cases} \frac{x F'(x)}{F(x)} > 0, & \text{if } K \in (0, 1), \\ \frac{x F'(x)}{F(x)} < 0, & \text{if } K \in (1, \infty). \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, the monotonicity of F follows from (2.9). Moreover, taking $x = 2b$ in Theorem 1.1, inequalities (1.8) and (1.9) are clear. \square

REMARK 2.3. If $b = 1$, then inequalities (1.8) and (1.9) reduce to equation (2.2).

Proof of theorem 1.2. For part (1), clearly $g(1^-) = 1$, and making use of Lemma 2.1 we get $g(0^+) = e^{R(a)(1-1/K)(p-1)/2}$. Let $x = r^p$, $s = \varphi_K(a, r)$ and $u = \varphi_K(a, x)$, then $g(r) = s^p/u$ and

$$\frac{Kr g'(r)}{p g(r)} = \frac{s'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(s)^2}{r'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(r)^2} - \frac{u'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(u)^2}{x'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(x)^2} = g_1(r) - g_1(x), \tag{2.10}$$

where $g_1(r) = s'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(s)^2/[r'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(r)^2]$. From Lemma 2.2 (1)–(4) we know that $g_1(r)$ is strictly decreasing if $K > 1$, and strictly increasing if $0 < K < 1$.

Next, we divide the proof of part (1) into two cases.

Case 1.1. $p, K \in (1, \infty)$ or $p, K \in (0, 1)$. Then $g_1(r) < g_1(x)$, and $g(r)$ is strictly decreasing in $(0, 1)$ by (2.10).

Case 1.2. $1/p, K \in (1, \infty)$ or $1/p, K \in (0, 1)$. Then $g_1(r) > g_1(x)$, and $g(r)$ is strictly increasing in $(0, 1)$ follows from (2.10).

For part (2), clearly $G(1) - r^{p-1} = G(\infty) - 1 = 0$. Let $T = K^p$, $s = \varphi_K(a, r)$ and $v = \varphi_T(a, r)$, then $G(K) = s^p/v$. By (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} \log G(K) \\ &= p \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} [\mu_a(s) + \log s] - \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} [\mu_a(v) + \log v] + \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} [\mu_a(v) - p\mu_a(s)] \\ &= \frac{R(a)(p-1)}{2} + \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1 - pK^{p-1}}{K^p} \right] \mu_a(r) \\ &= \begin{cases} \infty, & 1/p, K \in (0, 1), \\ -\infty, & p, K \in (0, 1). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Logarithmic differentiation of $G(K)$ gives

$$\frac{\pi K \sin(\pi a)}{2p} \frac{G'(K)}{G(K)} = G_1(s) - G_1(v), \tag{2.11}$$

where $G_1(r) = r'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(r) \mathcal{H}'_a(r)$, which is decreasing follows from Lemma 2.2(5).

Next we divide the proof of part (2) into two cases.

Case 2.1. $1/p, K \in (1, \infty)$ or $1/p, K \in (0, 1)$. Then $s > v$ and $G_1(s) < G_1(v)$. Thus from (2.11) we know that $G(K)$ is strictly decreasing.

Case 2.2. $p, K \in (1, \infty)$ or $p, K \in (0, 1)$. Then $s < v$ and $G_1(s) > G_1(v)$. Thus from (2.11) we know that $G(K)$ is strictly increasing.

Therefore, (2.11) follows from the monotonicity and limiting values of $G(K)$ together with Lemma 2.1.

For part (3), define $f(r, K) = \varphi_K(a, r^{1/p})^p / \varphi_{K^p}(a, r)$ ($p \neq 1$) for $(r, K) \in D = (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$. Let $t = r^{1/p}$, $w = \varphi_K(a, t)$ and $v = \varphi_{K^p}(a, r)$, then equations (2.3) and (2.4) lead to

$$\frac{r}{f(r, K)} \frac{\partial f(r, K)}{\partial r} = \frac{w'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(w) \mathcal{H}'_a(w)}{t'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(t) \mathcal{H}'_a(t)} - \frac{v'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(v) \mathcal{H}'_a(v)}{r'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(r) \mathcal{H}'_a(r)} \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\frac{\pi K \sin(\pi a)}{2p} \frac{\partial f(r, K)}{\partial K} = w'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(w) \mathcal{H}'_a(w) - v'^2 \mathcal{H}_a(v) \mathcal{H}'_a(v), \tag{2.13}$$

respectively. Let $\partial f(r, K)/\partial r = \partial f(r, K)/\partial K = 0$, then from (2.12) and (2.13) we conclude that $r = t$ and $w = v$, which implies that $p = 1$ and leads to a contradiction with $p \neq 1$. Hence, f has no extreme points in D , and

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{(r,t) \in D} f(r, K) = \sup_{(r,t) \in \partial D} f(r, K), \\ \inf_{(r,t) \in D} f(r, K) = \inf_{(r,t) \in \partial D} f(r, K). \end{cases} \tag{2.14}$$

Next we divide the proof of part (3) into three cases.

Case 3.1. $K, p \in (1, \infty)$ or $K, p \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$f(r, K) = \frac{w^p}{v} = \left(\frac{w}{t^{1/K}}\right)^p \cdot \frac{r^{1/Kp}}{v} \cdot r^{[1-K(1-p)]/K}, \tag{2.15}$$

$$f(0^+, K) = f(1, K) - 1 = f(r, 1) - 1 = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} f(r, K) - 1 = 0 \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(r, 0^+) &= \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} \exp \left\{ p[\mu_a(w) + \log w] - [\mu_a(v) + \log v] + \frac{K^{1-p} \mu_a(r) - p \mu_a(t)}{K} \right\} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

It follows from (2.15)–(2.17) that $f(r, K) \leq 1$ for all $(r, K) \in \overline{D}$ with $K, p \in (1, \infty)$ or $K, p \in (0, 1)$, where \overline{D} is the closure of D . Thus inequality (1.12) and its equality case follow.

Case 3.2. $1/p, K \in (0, 1)$. Then from Case 3.1 one has

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \varphi_{1/K}(a, \varphi_K(a, r^{1/p}))^p \leq \varphi_{1/K^p}(a, \varphi_K(a, r^{1/p})^p), \\ \varphi_{K^p}(a, r) &\leq \varphi_K(a, r^{1/p})^p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

Case 3.3. $1/p, K \in (1, \infty)$. Then (2.15) and Lemma 2.1 yield

$$f(0^+, K) = \infty, \quad f(1, K) = f(r, 1) = \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} f(r, K) = 1. \tag{2.19}$$

Equations (2.14) and (2.19) lead to the conclusion that $f(r, K) \geq 1$ for all $(r, K) \in \overline{D}$ and inequality (2.18) holds again. \square

3. Properties of $\eta_K(a, x)$

In this section, we study some properties of $\eta_K(a, x)$, and complete the proof of Theorem 1.3.

LEMMA 3.1. *If $K > 1$, then the function $J_1(x) = \eta_K(a, x)/x^K$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(e^{R(a)(K-1)}, \infty)$, and the function $J_2(x) = \eta_K(a, x)/x^{1/K}$ is strictly increasing from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(e^{R(a)(1-1/K)}, \infty)$.*

Proof. Let $r = \sqrt{x/(1+x)}$ and $s = \varphi_K(a, r)$, then

$$J_1(x) = H_1(r) = \left(\frac{s}{s'}\right)^2 \left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^{2K}$$

and

$$J_2(x) = H_2(r) = \left(\frac{s}{s'}\right)^2 \left(\frac{r'}{r}\right)^{2/K}.$$

Then logarithmic differentiations give

$$\frac{H_1'(r)}{H_1(r)} = \frac{2K}{rr'^2} \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}_a(s)^2}{K^2 \mathcal{K}_a(r)^2} - 1 \right),$$

$$\frac{H_2'(r)}{H_2(r)} = \frac{2}{rr'^2 K} \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}_a(s)^2}{\mathcal{K}_a(r)^2} - 1 \right).$$

Therefore, the monotonicity properties of $H_1(r)$ and $H_2(r)$ follow from Lemma 2.2(1), and the limiting value follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

LEMMA 3.2. *As a function of r , $g_K(r) = (\varphi_K(a, r)/\varphi_{1/K}(a, r'))(r'/r)^K$ is strictly decreasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(e^{R(a)(K-1)/2}, \infty)$ for $K \in (1, \infty)$, and strictly increasing from $(0, 1)$ onto $(0, e^{R(a)(K-1)/2})$ for $K \in (0, 1)$. In particular, for $r \in (0, 1)$ and $K \in [1, \infty)$,*

$$\varphi_K(a, r) \geq \max\{h_1(r, K), h_2(r, K)\}, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\varphi_{1/K}(a, r) \leq \min\{h_1(r, 1/K), h_2(r, 1/K)\}, \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$h_1(r, K) = e^{R(a)(K-1)/2} r^K / (r'^{2K} + e^{(K-1)R(a)} r^{2K})^{1/2},$$

$$h_2(r, K) = e^{R(a)(1-1/K)/2} r^{1/K} / (r'^{2/K} + e^{(1-1/K)R(a)} r^{2/K})^{1/2},$$

with the equalities holding if and only if $K = 1$.

Proof. Let $t = (r/r')^2$. Then

$$g_K(r) = (\eta_K(a, t)/t^K)^{1/2},$$

and

$$g_{1/K}(r) = [(1/t)^{1/K} / \eta_K(a, 1/t)]^{1/2},$$

and hence the monotonicity of g_K follows from Lemma 3.1.

By the monotonicity of g_K ,

$$g_K(r) = (s/s')(r'/r)^K \geq e^{R(a)(K-1)/2},$$

where $s = \varphi_K(a, r)$, and $K \in [1, \infty)$, and it follows that

$$s \geq \frac{r^K e^{R(a)(K-1)/2}}{[r^{2K} + r^{2K} e^{(K-1)R(a)}]^{1/2}} = h_1(r, K), \quad K \geq 1.$$

Likewise

$$g_{1/K}(r') = \left(\frac{s'}{s}\right) \left(\frac{r}{r'}\right)^{1/K} \leq e^{R(a)(1/K-1)/2}, \quad K \geq 1,$$

implies that

$$s \geq \frac{r^{1/K} e^{R(a)(1-1/K)/2}}{[r^{2/K} + r^{2/K} e^{R(a)(1-1/K)}]^{1/2}} = h_2(r, K).$$

Hence (3.1) holds.

The proof of (3.2) is similar.

The equality case is clear. \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *If $r \in (0, 1)$ and $K \in (1, \infty)$, then*

$$\varphi_{1/K}(a, r) < \min\{r^K, e^{R(a)(1-K)/2} \varphi_K(a, r')(r/r')^K, e^{R(a)(1/K-1)/2} \varphi_K(a, r')(r/r')^{1/K}\}.$$

Proof. The first bound follows from Lemma 2.1, and the second and third bounds follow from Lemma 3.2. \square

Proof of theorem 1.3. Firstly, by Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\frac{\eta_K(a, xy)}{x^K \eta_K(a, y)} = \frac{\eta_K(a, xy)}{(xy)^K} \frac{y^K}{\eta_K(a, y)} \begin{cases} \geq 1, & \text{if } x \leq 1, \\ \leq 1, & \text{if } x \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\frac{\eta_K(a, xy)}{x^{1/K} \eta_K(a, y)} = \frac{\eta_K(a, xy)}{(xy)^{1/K}} \frac{y^{1/K}}{\eta_K(a, y)} \begin{cases} \leq 1, & \text{if } x \leq 1, \\ \geq 1, & \text{if } x \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

with equalities if and only if $K = 1$ or $x = 1$. Hence

$$\min\{x^K, x^{1/K}\} \eta_K(a, y) \leq \eta_K(a, xy) \leq \max\{x^K, x^{1/K}\} \eta_K(a, y) \tag{3.3}$$

for $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $x, y \in (0, \infty)$, with equality in each case if and only if $K = 1$ or $x = 1$. By symmetry,

$$\min\{y^K, y^{1/K}\} \eta_K(a, x) \leq \eta_K(a, xy) \leq \max\{y^K, y^{1/K}\} \eta_K(a, x) \tag{3.4}$$

for $K \in [1, \infty)$ and $x, y \in (0, \infty)$, with equality in each case if and only if $K = 1$ or $y = 1$. Hence the first inequality in (1.13) and its equality case follow from (3.3) and (3.4).

To prove the second inequality in (1.13), set $D = (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, and define the function f on D by

$$f(x, y) = \eta_K(a, xy) / \{\eta_K(a, x)\eta_K(a, y)\}.$$

Our goal is to show that

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in D} f(x, y) = e^{R(a)(1/K-1)}.$$

Let $r = \sqrt{xy/(1+xy)}$, $s = \sqrt{x/(1+x)}$, $t = \sqrt{y/(1+y)}$, $u = \varphi_K(a, r)$, $v = \varphi_K(a, s)$ and $w = \varphi_K(a, t)$. Then

$$\eta_K(a, x) = (v/v')^2, \quad \eta_K(a, y) = (w/w')^2, \quad \eta_K(a, xy) = (u/u')^2,$$

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} = \frac{rr'^2}{2x}, \quad \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} = \frac{rr'^2}{2y}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\frac{ds}{dx} = \frac{ss'^2}{2x}, \quad \frac{dt}{dy} = \frac{tt'^2}{2y}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$f(x, y) = \left(\frac{u}{u'} \frac{v'}{v} \frac{w'}{w} \right)^2. \tag{3.7}$$

Clearly, $f \in C^\infty(D)$.

Now we divide the proof into four steps.

Step (i). We first find $\sup_{(x,y) \in \partial D} f(x, y)$.

It follows from (3.7) that

$$f(x, y) = \left(\frac{u}{r^{1/K}} \frac{s^{1/K}}{v} \frac{v'w'}{u'w} \right)^2 \cdot \left[\frac{y(1+x)}{1+xy} \right]^{1/K}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1,

$$f(0^+, y) = y^{1/K} (w'/w)^2 = y^{1/K} / \eta_K(a, y). \tag{3.8}$$

Similarly,

$$f(x, 0^+) = x^{1/K} / \eta_K(a, x). \tag{3.9}$$

Clearly, $f(x, y)$ can be rewritten as

$$f(x, y) = \left(\frac{u}{r^{1/K}} \cdot \frac{s^{1/K}}{v} \cdot \frac{t^{1/K}}{w} \cdot \frac{v'w'}{u'} \right)^2 \left[\frac{(1+x)(1+y)}{1+xy} \right]^{1/K},$$

from which it follows that

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow 0^+ \\ y \rightarrow 0^+}} f(x, y) = e^{R(a)(1/K-1)} \tag{3.10}$$

by Lemma 2.1.

From (3.8)–(3.10) together with Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we get

$$\sup_{(x,y) \in \partial D} f(x, y) = e^{R(a)(1/K-1)} \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} f(0^+, y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x, 0^+) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Step (ii). We want to find $\lim_{x^2+y^2 \rightarrow \infty} f(x, y)$.

For this purpose, we rewrite $f(x, y)$ as

$$f(x, y) = \left(\frac{u}{vw}\right)^2 \left(\frac{r'^K}{u'} \frac{v'}{s'^K} \frac{w'}{t'^K}\right)^2 \left[\frac{1+xy}{(1+x)(1+y)}\right]^K,$$

from which and Lemma 2.1 we get

$$f(x, \infty) = \lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} f(x, y) = x^K / \eta_K(a, x), \tag{3.13}$$

$$f(\infty, y) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x, y) = y^K / \eta_K(a, y), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\lim_{\substack{x \rightarrow \infty \\ y \rightarrow \infty}} f(x, y) = e^{R(a)(1-K)}. \tag{3.15}$$

Step (iii). We want to estimate $\sup_{(x,y) \in C_M} f(x, y)$ for sufficiently large M , where

$$C_M = \{(x, y) \in D; x^2 + y^2 = M^2\}, \quad 0 < M < \infty.$$

By Lemma 3.2, it follows from (3.13)–(3.15) that

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{(x,y) \in C_M} f(x, y) = f(\infty, \infty) = e^{R(a)(1-K)}. \tag{3.16}$$

It follows from [4, (3.6)] that $R(a) \geq \log 16$ for all $a \in (0, 1/2]$. Thus, for each $K \in (1, \infty)$ one can take $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that

$$e^{R(a)(1-K)} + \varepsilon < e^{R(a)(1/K-1)}. \tag{3.17}$$

For such ε , by (3.16), there must be an $M_0 > \sqrt{2}$ such that

$$e^{R(a)(1-K)} - \varepsilon < \sup_{(x,y) \in C_M} f(x, y) < e^{R(a)(1-K)} + \varepsilon \tag{3.18}$$

for all $M \geq M_0$.

Step (iv). We now find $\sup_{(x,y) \in D(M)} f(x,y)$, where

$$D(M) = \{(x,y) \in D; x^2 + y^2 < M^2\}, \quad M \geq M_0.$$

By (2.3), (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\frac{Kx}{f(x,y)} \frac{df(x,y)}{dx} = \frac{\mathcal{H}_a(u)^2}{\mathcal{H}_a(r)^2} - \frac{\mathcal{H}_a(v)^2}{\mathcal{H}_a(s)^2} \tag{3.19}$$

and

$$\frac{Ky}{f(x,y)} \frac{df(x,y)}{dy} = \frac{\mathcal{H}_a(u)^2}{\mathcal{H}_a(r)^2} - \frac{\mathcal{H}_a(w)^2}{\mathcal{H}_a(t)^2} \tag{3.20}$$

for $(x,y) \in D$. Then from (3.19) and (3.20) together with Lemma 2.2(1) we know that if $(x_0,y_0) \in D(M)$ is an extreme point of f , then $r_0 = s_0 = t_0$, where $r_0 = \sqrt{x_0 y_0 / (1 + x_0 y_0)}$, $s_0 = \sqrt{x_0 / (1 + x_0)}$, and $t_0 = \sqrt{y_0 / (1 + y_0)}$. It follows that $x_0 = y_0 = 1$, and

$$f(x_0,y_0) = 1/\eta_K(a,1). \tag{3.21}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we clearly see that

$$\eta_K(a,1) \geq e^{R(a)(K-1)}, \quad 1 \leq K \leq \infty,$$

with equality if and only if $K = 1$. Hence it follows from (3.21) that

$$f(x_0,y_0) \leq e^{R(a)(1-K)} \leq e^{R(a)(1/K-1)}. \tag{3.22}$$

Therefore, by (3.11), (3.17), (3.18) and (3.22),

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{(x,y) \in \overline{D}(M)} f(x,y) &= \max \left\{ e^{R(a)(1/K-1)}, \sup_{(x,y) \in C_M} f(x,y), f(x_0,y_0) \right\} \\ &= e^{R(a)(1/K-1)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where $\overline{D}(M)$ is the closure of $D(M)$.

Now, the second inequality in (1.13), its equality case and the sharpness of the constant $e^{R(a)(1/K-1)}$ follow from (3.11), (3.17), (3.18) and (3.23). Moreover, f takes its supremum $e^{R(a)(1/K-1)}$ only at the origin $(0,0)$. \square

COROLLARY 3.4. *If $K \geq 1, x, y \in (0, \infty)$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} e^{R(a)(1-1/K)} \eta_{1/K}(a,x) \eta_{1/K}(a,y) &\leq \eta_{1/K}(a,xy) \\ &\leq \left[\max\{x^K, x^{1/K}\} \max\{y^K, y^{1/K}\} \eta_{1/K}(a,x) \eta_{1/K}(a,y) \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The first (second) equality holds if and only if $K = 1$ ($K = 1$ or $x = y = 1$). The coefficient $e^{R(a)(1-1/K)}$ of the lower bound is the best possible.

Proof. The result follows immediately from Theorem 1.3,

$$\eta_K(a,t) \eta_{1/K}(a,1/t) = 1$$

and

$$\min\{t^{-K}, t^{-1/K}\} \max\{t^K, t^{1/K}\} = 1. \quad \square$$

REMARK 3.5. If $a = 1/2$, then Theorem 1.3, Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, Corollary 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 reduce to Theorem 1.6, Theorem 3.49, Lemma 2.21, Corollary 2.26 and Corollary 3.47 in [18], respectively.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the manuscript and their fruitful comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ABRAMOWITZ AND I. A. STEGUN (EDS.), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs and Mathematical Tables*, Dover, New York, 1965.
- [2] S. AGARD, *Distortion theorems for quasiconformal mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI **413** (1968), 1–12.
- [3] H. ALZER AND S.-L. QIU, *Monotonicity theorems and inequalities for the complete elliptic integrals*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **172** (2004), 289–312.
- [4] G. D. ANDERSON, S.-L. QIU, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, *Generalized elliptic integrals and modular equations*, Pacific J. Math. **192** (2000), 1–37.
- [5] G. D. ANDERSON, S.-L. QIU AND M. VUORINEN, *Modular equations and distortion functions*, Ramanujan J. **18** (2009), 147–169.
- [6] G. D. ANDERSON, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, *Distortion functions for plane quasiconformal mappings*, Israel J. Math. **62** (1988), 1–16.
- [7] G. D. ANDERSON, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, *Conformal Invariants, Inequalities, and Quasiconformal Maps*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997.
- [8] G. D. ANDERSON, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, *Topics in special functions II*, Conform. Geom. Dyn. **11** (2007), 250–270.
- [9] S. ANDRÁS AND Á. BARICZ, *Bounds for complete elliptic integral of the first kind*, Expo. Math. **28** (2010), 357–364.
- [10] R. W. BARNARD, K. PEARCE AND K. C. RICHARDS, *A monotonicity property involving ${}_3F_2$ and comparisons of the classical approximations of elliptical arc length*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **32** (2000), 403–419.
- [11] B. C. BERNDT, S. BHARGAVA AND F. G. GARVAN, *Ramanujan's theories of elliptic functions to alternative bases*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 4163–4244.
- [12] B.-N. GUO AND F. QI, *Some bounds for the complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kinds*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **14** (2011), 323–334.
- [13] V. HEIKKALA, H. LINDÉN, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, *Generalized elliptic integrals and the Legendre \mathcal{M} -function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **338** (2008), 223–243.
- [14] G. J. MARTIN, *The distortion theorem for quasiconformal mappings, Schottky's theorem and holomorphic motions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), 1095–1103.
- [15] S.-L. QIU, *Agard's η -distortion function and Schottky's theorem*, Sci. China Ser. A **40** (1997), 1–9.
- [16] S.-L. QIU, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, *Bounds for quasiconformal distortion functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **205** (1997), 43–64.
- [17] S.-L. QIU, M. K. VAMANAMURTHY AND M. VUORINEN, *Some inequalities for the Hersch-Pfluger distortion function*, J. Inequal. Appl. **4** (1999), 115–139.
- [18] S.-L. QIU AND M. VUORINEN, *Quasimultiplicative properties for the η -distortion function*, Complex Variables Theory Appl. **30** (1996), 77–96.
- [19] S.-L. QIU AND M. VUORINEN, *Special functions in geometric function theory*, in: Handbook of Complex Analysis: Geometric Function Theory, Vol. 2, Elsevier Sci. B. V., Amsterdam, 2005, pp. 621–659.

- [20] M. VUORINEN, *Conformal Geometry and Quasiregular Mappings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [21] M. VUORINEN, *Singular values, Ramanujan modular equations, and Landen transformations*, *Studia Math.* **121** (1996), 221–230.
- [22] G.-D. WANG, X.-H. ZHANG AND Y.-M. CHU, *Complete elliptic integrals and the Hersch-Pfluger distortion function*, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. A Chin. Ed.* **28** (2008), 731–734.
- [23] G.-D. WANG, X.-H. ZHANG AND Y.-M. CHU, *A Hölder mean inequality for the Hersch-Pfluger distortion function*, *Sci. Sin. Math.* **40** (2010), 783–786.

(Received August 28, 2011)

Xiaoyan Ma
Department of Mathematics
Zhejiang Sci-Tech University
Hangzhou 310018
China

e-mail: maxiaoyanzist@yahoo.com.cn

Miaokun Wang
College of Mathematics and Econometrics
Hunan University
Changsha 410082
China

e-mail: wmk000@126.com

Genhong Zhong
Department of Mathematics
Zhejiang Sci-Tech University
Hangzhou 310018
China

e-mail: genhongz@zstu.edu.cn

Songliang Qiu
Department of Mathematics
Zhejiang Sci-Tech University
Hangzhou 310018
China

e-mail: slqiu@zstu.edu.cn

Yuming Chu
Department of Mathematics
Huzhou Teachers College
Huzhou 313000
China

e-mail: chuyuming@hutc.zj.cn