

UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE INEQUALITIES RELATED TO LAGUERRE–BESSEL TRANSFORM

SOUMEYA HAMEM AND LOTFI KAMOUN

(Communicated by I. Perić)

Abstract. In this paper, an analogous of Heisenberg inequality is established for Laguerre-Bessel transform. Also, a local uncertainty principle for this transform is investigated.

1. Introduction

The uncertainty principle states that a nonzero function and its Fourier transform cannot both be sharply localized. In the language of quantum mechanics, this principle says that an observer cannot simultaneously and precisely determines the values of position and momentum of a quantum particule. A mathematical formulation of this physical ideas is firstly developed by Heisenberg [3] in 1927. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, a precise quantitative formulation of the uncertainty principle, usually called Heisenberg inequality, is the following

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 |f(x)|^2 dx \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} \xi^2 |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \geq \frac{1}{4} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^2, \quad (1)$$

where \widehat{f} is the Fourier-Plancherel transform given for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-ix\xi} dx.$$

This result does not appear in Heisenberg paper [3]. The relation (1) appears in Weyl paper [16] who credits the result to Pauli. In framework of Hankel transform, Bowie in [1] studied the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. Also for this transform, Rösler and Voit in [13] established a Heisenberg uncertainty inequality. They give, in their paper, a similar uncertainty inequality for Dunkl transform on the real line. On several variables, Rösler in [12] and Shimeno in [14] have proved, by different methods, a Heisenberg inequality for the Dunkl transform. Li and Liu established in [5] a Heisenberg inequality for Jacobi expansions. They generalized this result for Sturm-Liouville operators in

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 42B10, 44A05, 44A35, 35K08.

Keywords and phrases: Heisenberg inequality, Laguerre-Bessel transform, heat kernel, local uncertainty principle.

The authors are supported by the DGRST research project 04/UR/15-02.

[6]. Recently, Ma in [7] has provided a Heisenberg inequality for Jacobi transform. He obtained in [8] a Heisenberg uncertainty principle for Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups as a generalization of his previous statements in [7]. Since the 20's of last century, many works have been devoted to studying uncertainty principles in various forms. Among these, we can cite the works of Price [10, 11], whose aim is to establish local uncertainty inequality. In [9], Omri and Rachdi obtained a local uncertainty inequality in framework of the Riemann-Liouville operator.

In this paper, firstly we obtain an analogous of the Heisenberg inequality for the Laguerre-Bessel transform which will be defined below in section 2. Next, for this transform we develop further inequalities in the sharpest forms, which constitute the principle of local uncertainty. Throughout the paper, we denote $\mathbb{K} = [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)$, $\widehat{\mathbb{K}} = [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{N}$ and we designate by C a positive constant, which is not necessarily same at each occurrence.

2. Laguerre-Bessel transform

In this section, we collect some notations and results about the Laguerre-Bessel harmonics analysis. For more details, we refer the reader to [2].

For $\alpha \geq 0$, we consider the following system of partial differential operators

$$\begin{cases} D_1 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \\ D_2 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{2\alpha+1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x^2 D_1, \end{cases} \quad (x, t) \in (0, +\infty) \times (0, +\infty).$$

For $(\lambda, m) \in \widehat{\mathbb{K}}$, the system

$$\begin{cases} D_1 u &= -\lambda^2 u \\ D_2 u &= -2\lambda(2m + \alpha + 1)u \\ u(0, 0) = 1, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(0, 0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(0, 0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

possesses a unique solution denoted $\varphi_{(\lambda, m)}$ and given by

$$\varphi_{(\lambda, m)}(x, t) = j_{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}(\lambda t) \mathcal{L}_m^\alpha(\lambda x^2), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{K},$$

where j_α is the normalized Bessel function given by

$$j_\alpha(x) = \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^k}{k! \Gamma(\alpha + k + 1)} \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2k}$$

and \mathcal{L}_m^α is the Laguerre function defined on $[0, +\infty)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_m^\alpha(x) = \frac{e^{-\frac{x}{2}} L_m^\alpha(x)}{L_m^\alpha(0)},$$

L_m^α being the Laguerre polynomial of degree m and order α given by

$$L_m^\alpha(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m \frac{\Gamma(m + \alpha + 1)(-x)^j}{\Gamma(m - j + 1)\Gamma(j + \alpha + 1)j!}.$$

The Laguerre function satisfies the following relations (see [2])

$$\sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{K}} |\varphi_{(\lambda,m)}(x,t)| = 1, \quad \text{for all } (\lambda,m) \in \widehat{\mathbb{K}}. \tag{3}$$

Notations:

- $\mathcal{S}_*(\mathbb{K})$ the space of C^∞ functions on \mathbb{R}^2 , even with respect to each variable and rapidly decreasing together with all their derivatives, that means

$$\forall k, p, q \in \mathbb{N}, N_{k,p,q}(f) = \sup_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{K}} \left\{ (1 + x^2 + t^2)^k \left| \frac{\partial^{p+q}}{\partial x^p \partial t^q} f(x,t) \right| \right\} < +\infty.$$

- $L_\alpha^p(\mathbb{K})$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$, the spaces of measurable functions on \mathbb{K} such that

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,p} = \left[\int_{\mathbb{K}} |f(x,t)|^p dm_\alpha(x,t) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty, \quad \text{if } p \in [1, +\infty),$$

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,\infty} = \text{ess sup}_{(x,t) \in \mathbb{K}} |f(x,t)| < +\infty,$$

where m_α is the positive measure defined on \mathbb{K} by

$$dm_\alpha(x,t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} x^{2\alpha+1} t^{2\alpha} dx dt.$$

- $L_{\gamma_\alpha}^p(\widehat{\mathbb{K}})$, $p \in [1, +\infty]$, the spaces of measurable functions on $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ such that

$$\|g\|_{\gamma_\alpha,p} = \left[\int_{\widehat{\mathbb{K}}} |g(\lambda,m)|^p d\gamma_\alpha(\lambda,m) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} < +\infty, \quad \text{if } p \in [1, +\infty),$$

$$\|g\|_{\gamma_\alpha,\infty} = \text{ess sup}_{(\lambda,m) \in \widehat{\mathbb{K}}} |g(\lambda,m)| < +\infty,$$

where γ_α is the positive measure defined on $\widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ by

$$\int_{[0,+\infty) \times \mathbb{N}} g(\lambda,m) d\gamma_\alpha(\lambda,m) = \frac{1}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} L_m^\alpha(0) \int_0^{+\infty} g(\lambda,m) \lambda^{3\alpha+1} d\lambda.$$

We define the convolution product $f * g$ of two functions $f, g \in \mathcal{S}_*(\mathbb{K})$, by

$$(f * g)(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{K}} T_{(x,t)}^{(\alpha)} f(y,s) g(y,s) dm_\alpha(y,s), \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{K},$$

where $T_{(x,t)}^{(\alpha)}$, $(x,t) \in \mathbb{K}$, are the translation operators associated to the operators D_1 and D_2 . For more details about these operators, we refer to [2].

LEMMA 2.1. *If $f \in L^p_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$, $g \in L^q_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$ such that $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - 1 = \frac{1}{r}$, then the function $f * g \in L^r_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$, and*

$$\|f * g\|_{\alpha,r} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha,p} \|g\|_{\alpha,q}.$$

We consider the dilations on \mathbb{K} defined by

$$\delta_r(x, t) = (rx, r^2t), \quad r > 0.$$

We also introduce a homogeneous norm, related to family $(\delta_r)_{r>0}$ defined by

$$|(x, t)| = (x^4 + 4t^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}.$$

We define the ball centered at $(0, 0)$ of radius r by

$$B_r = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{K} \mid |(x, t)| < r\}.$$

Let $f \in L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$, the Laguerre-Bessel transform of f is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)(\lambda, m) = \int_{\mathbb{K}} f(x, t) \varphi_{(\lambda, m)}(x, t) dm_\alpha(x, t).$$

For f and $g \in L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f * g)(\lambda, m) = \mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)(\lambda, m) \mathcal{F}_{LB}(g)(\lambda, m).$$

The integral transform can be extended to an isometric isomorphism $L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$ to $L^2_{\gamma_\alpha}(\widehat{\mathbb{K}})$ and we have the Plancherel formula

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,2} = \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\|_{\gamma_\alpha,2}, \quad f \in L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{K}) \cap L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{K}).$$

Let $L = -D_2$ and define L^b for $b \in \mathbb{R}$, as in [15, p.117]. Then by (2),

$$\mathcal{F}_{LB}(L^b f)(\lambda, m) = (2\lambda(2m + \alpha + 1))^b \mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)(\lambda, m).$$

On the other hand, L is hypoelliptic on \mathbb{K} . Also, the heat operator $L + \partial_s$ is hypoelliptic on $\mathbb{K} \times (0, +\infty)$. Hence, similar arguments from the proof of Hunt’s theorem [4, Theorem 3.4] give the following proposition

PROPOSITION 2.1. *There is a unique C^∞ function $h((x, t), s) = h_s(x, t)$ on $\mathbb{K} \times (0, +\infty)$ with the following properties*

- i) $(L + \partial_s)h = 0$ on $\mathbb{K} \times (0, +\infty)$,
- ii) $h_s(x, t) \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{K}} h_s dm_\alpha = 1$,
- iii) $h_{s_1} * h_{s_2} = h_{s_1+s_2}$, $s_1, s_2 > 0$.

LEMMA 2.2. *For any $s > 0$, $\mathcal{F}_{LB}(h_s)(\lambda, m) = e^{-2\lambda(2m+\alpha+1)s}$.*

Let $\{H^s \mid s > 0\}$ be the heat semigroup. There is a unique smooth function $h((x,t),s) = h_s(x,t)$ on $\mathbb{K} \times (0, +\infty)$ such that $H^s f(x,t) = f * h_s(x,t)$. h_s is called the heat kernel associated to L .

LEMMA 2.3.

$$\|h_s\|_{\alpha,2} \leq C s^{-\frac{3\alpha+2}{2}}. \tag{4}$$

Proof. By the Plancherel formula, we have $\|h_s\|_{\alpha,2} = \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(h_s)\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}$.

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(h_s)\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}^2 = \frac{1}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} L_m^\alpha(0) e^{-8\lambda sm} \right) e^{-4\lambda s(\alpha+1)} \lambda^{3\alpha+1} d\lambda.$$

By the generating function identity for the Laguerre polynomials,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{+\infty} t^m L_m^\alpha(x) = \frac{1}{(1-t)^{\alpha+1}} e^{-\frac{xt}{1-t}}, \quad |t| < 1,$$

we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(h_s)\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}^2 = \frac{s^{-3\alpha-2}}{2^{2\alpha-1}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2 \sinh(4u)} \right)^{\alpha+1} u^{3\alpha+1} du.$$

So, $\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(h_s)\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}^2 \leq C s^{-(3\alpha+2)}$. \square

3. Heisenberg inequality for Laguerre-Bessel transform

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $0 < a < 3\alpha + 2$, then for all $f \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$, we have*

$$\|H^s f\|_{\alpha,2} \leq C s^{-\frac{a}{2}} \| |(x,t)|^a f \|_{\alpha,2}.$$

Proof. For $r > 0$, let $f_r = f \chi_{B_r}$ and $f^r = f - f_r$.

Then, we have

$$|f^r(x,t)| \leq r^{-a} |(x,t)|^a |f(x,t)|.$$

So, we get

$$\|H^s f^r\|_{\alpha,2} \leq \|f^r\|_{\alpha,2} \leq r^{-a} \| |(x,t)|^a f \|_{\alpha,2}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H^s f_r\|_{\alpha,2} &= \|f_r * h_s\|_{\alpha,2} \\ &\leq \|f_r\|_{\alpha,1} \|h_s\|_{\alpha,2} \\ &\leq \|h_s\|_{\alpha,2} \| |(x,t)|^{-a} \chi_{B_r} \|_{\alpha,2} \| |(x,t)|^a f \|_{\alpha,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\| |(x,t)|^{-a} \chi_{B_r} \|_{\alpha,2}^2 = A_{\alpha,a} r^{6\alpha+4-2a},$$

where

$$A_{\alpha,a} = \frac{B_{\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha+3}\Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(\alpha + 1)(3\alpha + 2 - a)}$$

and $B_{\alpha} = B(\frac{\alpha+1}{2}, \frac{2\alpha+1}{2})$, B is the beta function, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|H^s f\|_{\alpha,2} &\leq \|H^s f_r\|_{\alpha,2} + \|H^s f^r\|_{\alpha,2} \\ &\leq r^{-a} \| |(x,t)|^a f\|_{\alpha,2} \left(1 + C \|h_s\|_{\alpha,2} r^{3\alpha+2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By the relation (4), we obtain

$$\|H^s f\|_{\alpha,2} \leq r^{-a} \| |(x,t)|^a f\|_{\alpha,2} \left(1 + C s^{-\frac{3\alpha+2}{2}} r^{3\alpha+2}\right).$$

Choosing $r = s^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain $\|H^s f\|_{\alpha,2} \leq C s^{-\frac{a}{2}} \| |(x,t)|^a f\|_{\alpha,2}$. \square

In the sequel, by proceeding as the same way of the papers of Ma [7, 8], we can derive the following result.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $a, b > 0$, then for all $f \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$, we have*

$$\| |(x,t)|^a f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{2b}{a+2b}} \left\| (2(2m + \alpha + 1)\lambda)^b \mathcal{F}_{LB}(f) \right\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}^{\frac{a}{a+2b}} \geq C \|f\|_{\alpha,2}. \tag{5}$$

Proof. $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{K})$ is dense in $L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$, so we need only to prove (5) for $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{K})$.

Assume that $a < 3\alpha + 2$.

If $b \leq 1$, then by Lemma 3.1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\alpha,2} &\leq \|H^s f\|_{\alpha,2} + \|(1 - H^s) f\|_{\alpha,2} \\ &\leq C s^{-\frac{a}{2}} \| |(x,t)|^a f\|_{\alpha,2} + \left\| (1 - H^s) (sL)^{-b} (sL)^b f \right\|_{\alpha,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g = (sL)^b f$. Then

$$\left\| (1 - H^s) (sL)^{-b} g \right\|_{\alpha,2} = \left\| (1 - e^{-2\lambda(2m+\alpha+1)s}) (2\lambda(2m + \alpha + 1)s)^{-b} \mathcal{F}_{LB}(g) \right\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}.$$

Since, if $b \leq 1$, the function $t \mapsto (1 - e^{-t}) t^{-b}$ is bounded for $t \geq 0$ and therefore

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,2} \leq C \left(s^{-\frac{a}{2}} \| |(x,t)|^a f\|_{\alpha,2} + s^b \left\| L^b f \right\|_{\alpha,2} \right).$$

By optimizing in s as in [7], we can obtain

$$\| |(x,t)|^a f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{2b}{a+2b}} \left\| L^b f \right\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{a}{a+2b}} \geq C \|f\|_{\alpha,2}.$$

Then the Plancherel formula yields the desired result.

If $b > 1$, then $u \leq 1 + u^b$ for $u \geq 0$. Hence

$$\frac{2(2m + \alpha + 1)\lambda}{\varepsilon} \leq 1 + \left(\frac{2(2m + \alpha + 1)\lambda}{\varepsilon} \right)^b, \quad \text{for } \varepsilon > 0.$$

It follows that

$$\| (2(2m + \alpha + 1)\lambda) \mathcal{F}_{LB}(f) \|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} \leq \varepsilon \| f \|_{\alpha,2} + \varepsilon^{1-b} \| (2(2m + \alpha + 1)\lambda)^b \mathcal{F}_{LB}(f) \|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}.$$

By optimizing in ε , we get

$$\| (2(2m + \alpha + 1)\lambda) \mathcal{F}_{LB}(f) \|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} \leq C \| f \|_{\alpha,2}^{1-\frac{1}{b}} \| (2(2m + \alpha + 1)\lambda)^b \mathcal{F}_{LB}(f) \|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}^{\frac{1}{b}}.$$

Together with (5) for $b = 1$, we get the result for $b > 1$.

If $a \geq 3\alpha + 2$ then we have

$$\frac{|(x,t)|}{\varepsilon} \leq 1 + \frac{|(x,t)|^a}{\varepsilon^a}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

It follows that

$$\| |(x,t)| f \|_{\alpha,2} \leq \varepsilon \| f \|_{\alpha,2} + \varepsilon^{1-a} \| |(x,t)|^a f \|_{\alpha,2}.$$

Optimizing in ε , we get

$$\| |(x,t)| f \|_{\alpha,2} \leq C \| f \|_{\alpha,2}^{1-\frac{1}{a}} \| |(x,t)|^a f \|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{1}{a}}.$$

Together with (5) for $a = 1$, we get the result for $a \geq 3\alpha + 2$. \square

4. Local uncertainty inequalities

In this section, based on the ideas of Price [10, 11] and by similar techniques used on the paper [9] of Omri and Rachdi, we establish a local uncertainty inequalities related to Laguerre-Bessel transform.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let s be a real number such that $0 < s < 3\alpha + 2$. Then for all nonzero $f \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$ and for all measurable subsets $E \subset \widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $0 < \gamma_{\alpha}(E) < +\infty$, we have*

$$\| \mathcal{F}_{LB}(f) \chi_E \|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} \leq K_{\alpha,s} \gamma_{\alpha}(E)^{\frac{s}{2(3\alpha+2)}} \| |(x,t)|^s f \|_{\alpha,2}, \tag{6}$$

where

$$K_{\alpha,s} = \left(\frac{(3\alpha + 2 - s) B_{\alpha}}{2^{2\alpha+3} \Gamma(\alpha + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha + 1) s^2} \right)^{\frac{s}{2(3\alpha+2)}} \frac{3\alpha + 2}{3\alpha + 2 - s}.$$

The equality in (6) does not hold.

Proof. Let $0 < s < 3\alpha + 2$ and $f \in L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$, by Minkowski's inequality, it follows

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} \leq \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f\chi_{B_r})\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} + \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f\chi_{B_r^c})\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}.$$

Therefore

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} \leq \gamma_\alpha(E)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f\chi_{B_r})\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,\infty}} + \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f\chi_{B_r^c})\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}, \tag{7}$$

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} \leq \gamma_\alpha(E)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\chi_{B_r}\|_{\alpha,1} + \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f\chi_{B_r^c})\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, using the Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\|f\chi_{B_r}\|_{\alpha,1} \leq \| |(x,t)|^s f\chi_{B_r}\|_{\alpha,2} \| |(x,t)|^{-s} \chi_{B_r}\|_{\alpha,2}. \tag{9}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\chi_{B_r}\|_{\alpha,1} &\leq \| |(x,t)|^s f\chi_{B_r}\|_{\alpha,2} A_{\alpha,s}^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{3\alpha+2-s}, \\ \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f\chi_{B_r^c})\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} &\leq r^{-s} \| |(x,t)|^s f\|_{\alpha,2}, \\ \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} &\leq g_{\alpha,s}(r) \| |(x,t)|^s f\|_{\alpha,2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g_{\alpha,s}$ is the function defined on $(0, +\infty)$ by

$$g_{\alpha,s}(r) = r^{-s} + (A_{\alpha,s}\gamma_\alpha(E))^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{3\alpha+2-s}.$$

In particular, taking the minimal value of $g_{\alpha,s}$, we get the inequality

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} \leq g_{\alpha,s}(r_0) \| |(x,t)|^s f\|_{\alpha,2},$$

where

$$r_0 = \left(\frac{s}{3\alpha + 2 - s} \right)^{\frac{1}{3\alpha+2}} (A_{\alpha,s}\gamma_\alpha(E))^{-\frac{1}{2(3\alpha+2)}}.$$

However $g_{\alpha,s}(r_0) = \gamma_\alpha(E)^{\frac{s}{2(3\alpha+2)}} K_{\alpha,s}$.

Let us prove that the equality in (6) does not hold. Suppose that there exists a nonzero function $f \in L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} = K_{\alpha,s} \gamma_\alpha(E)^{\frac{s}{2(3\alpha+2)}} \| |(x,t)|^s f\|_{\alpha,2}.$$

Combining the relations (7), (8) and (9), we get the following equalities

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f\chi_{B_{r_0}})\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} = \gamma_\alpha(E)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f\chi_{B_{r_0}})\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,\infty}}, \tag{10}$$

$$\|f\chi_{B_{r_0}}\|_{\alpha,1} = \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f\chi_{B_{r_0}})\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,\infty}}, \tag{11}$$

$$\|f\chi_{B_{r_0}}\|_{\alpha,1} = \| |(x,t)|^s f\|_{\alpha,2} \| |(x,t)|^{-s} \chi_{B_{r_0}}\|_{\alpha,2}. \tag{12}$$

From the equality condition of the Schwartz inequality, it follows from the relation (12) that

$$|f(x, t)| = C |(x, t)|^{-2s} \chi_{B_{r_0}}(x, t).$$

By (3) and (11), it follows that there exists $(\lambda_0, m_0) \in \mathbb{K}$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{r_0}} |f(x, t)| dm_\alpha(x, t) &= \left| \int_{B_{r_0}} f(x, t) \varphi_{(\lambda_0, m_0)}(x, t) dm_\alpha(x, t) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B_{r_0}} |f(x, t)| |\varphi_{(\lambda_0, m_0)}(x, t)| dm_\alpha(x, t) \\ &\leq \int_{B_{r_0}} |f(x, t)| dm_\alpha(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $|\varphi_{(\lambda_0, m_0)}(x, t)| = 1$ for $(x, t) \in B_{r_0}$, and thus, $\lambda_0 = 0$ and $f(x, t) = e^{i\theta_0} |f(x, t)|$, where $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, by the relation (10), we get

$$\iint_E (\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\|_{\gamma_{\alpha, \infty}}^2 - |\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)(\lambda, m)|^2) d\gamma_\alpha(\lambda, m) = 0.$$

Then for almost every $(\lambda, m) \in E$, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)(\lambda, m)| = \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\|_{\gamma_{\alpha, \infty}}.$$

Thus, we deduce that,

$$|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)(\lambda, m)| = |\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)(0, m_0)| \quad \text{a.e } (\lambda, m) \in E, \tag{13}$$

which implies that $\lambda = 0$. This contradicts the relation (13) because $\gamma_\alpha(E) > 0$. So the inequality (6) is strictly satisfied. \square

LEMMA 4.1. *Let s be a real number such that $s > 3\alpha + 2$, then for all nonzero measurable function f on \mathbb{K} , we have*

$$\|f\|_{\alpha, 1} \leq M_{\alpha, s}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{\alpha, 2}^{1 - \frac{3\alpha+2}{s}} \| |(x, t)|^s f \|_{\alpha, 2}^{\frac{3\alpha+2}{s}}, \tag{14}$$

where $M_{\alpha, s} = A_{\alpha, s} B(\frac{s-3\alpha-2}{s}, \frac{3\alpha+2}{s}) (\frac{s-3\alpha-2}{3\alpha+2})^{\frac{3\alpha+2}{s}}$.

We have equality in (14) if only if there exists $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ such that

$$|f(x, t)| = (a + b |(x, t)|^{2s})^{-1}.$$

Proof. The inequality (14) holds if $\|f\|_{\alpha, 2} = +\infty$ or $\| |(x, t)|^s f \|_{\alpha, 2} = +\infty$.

Assume that $\|f\|_{\alpha, 2} + \| |(x, t)|^s f \|_{\alpha, 2} < +\infty$.

From the hypothesis $s > 3\alpha + 2$, we deduce that for all $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, the function

$$(x, t) \longmapsto (a + b |(x, t)|^{2s})^{-1}$$

belongs to $L^1_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$ and by Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,1}^2 \leq \left\| (1 + |(x,t)|^{2s})^{\frac{1}{2}} f \right\|_{\alpha,2}^2 \left\| (1 + |(x,t)|^{2s})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\alpha,2}^2. \tag{15}$$

We have equality in (15) if and only if

$$|f(x,t)| = C (1 + |(x,t)|^{2s})^{-1}. \tag{16}$$

But

$$\left\| (1 + |(x,t)|^{2s})^{\frac{1}{2}} f \right\|_{\alpha,2}^2 = \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^2 + \|(x,t)^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^2,$$

therefore

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,1}^2 \leq N_{\alpha,s} \left(\|f\|_{\alpha,2}^2 + \|(x,t)^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^2 \right), \tag{17}$$

where

$$N_{\alpha,s} = \left\| (1 + |(x,t)|^{2s})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\alpha,2}^2.$$

By straightforward calculus, we get

$$N_{\alpha,s} = A_{\alpha,s} B \left(\frac{s - 3\alpha - 2}{s}, \frac{3\alpha + 2}{s} \right) \frac{3\alpha + 2 - s}{s}.$$

For $r > 0$, we put

$$f_r(x,t) = r^{-(6\alpha+4)} f \left(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{t}{r^2} \right).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_r\|_{\alpha,1} &= \|f\|_{\alpha,1}, \\ \|f_r\|_{\alpha,2}^2 &= \frac{1}{r^{6\alpha+4}} \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^2, \\ \|(x,t)^s f_r\|_{\alpha,2}^2 &= \frac{1}{r^{6\alpha+4-2s}} \|(x,t)^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing f by f_r in the relation (17), we deduce that for all $r > 0$, we have

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,1}^2 \leq N_{\alpha,s} \left(r^{-(6\alpha+4)} \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^2 + r^{2s-6\alpha-4} \|(x,t)^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^2 \right).$$

In particular, for

$$r_0 = \left(\frac{(3\alpha + 2) \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^2}{(s - 3\alpha - 2) \|(x,t)^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2s}},$$

we get

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,1}^2 \leq M_{\alpha,s} \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^{2-\frac{6\alpha+4}{s}} \|(x,t)^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{6\alpha+4}{s}}. \tag{18}$$

Now suppose that we have equality in the relation (18). Then we have equality in (17) for f_{r_0} and by means of (16), we obtain

$$|f_{r_0}(x, t)| = C (1 + |(x, t)|^{2s})^{-1}$$

and then $|f(x, t)| = (a + b |(x, t)|^{2s})^{-1}$. \square

THEOREM 4.2. *Let s be a real number such that $s > 3\alpha + 2$.*

Then for all nonzero $f \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$ and for all measurable subset $E \subset \widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $0 < \gamma_{\alpha}(E) < +\infty$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} \leq M_{\alpha,s}^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma_{\alpha}(E)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^{1-\frac{3\alpha+2}{s}} \|(x, t)|^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{3\alpha+2}{s}}, \tag{19}$$

where $M_{\alpha,s}$ is the constant given by the relation (14). The equality in (19) does not hold.

Proof. Suppose that the right-hand side of (19) is finite. Then, according to Lemma 4.1, the function f belongs to $L^1_{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}^2 &\leq \gamma_{\alpha}(E) \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,\infty}}^2 \\ &\leq \gamma_{\alpha}(E) \|f\|_{\alpha,1}^2 \\ &\leq M_{\alpha,s} \gamma_{\alpha}(E) \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^{2-\frac{6\alpha+4}{s}} \|(x, t)|^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{6\alpha+4}{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{\alpha,s}$ is the constant given by the relation (14).

Let us prove that the equality in (19) does not hold. Suppose that there exists a nonzero function $f \in L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{K})$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}^2 = M_{\alpha,s} \gamma_{\alpha}(E) \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^{2-\frac{6\alpha+4}{s}} \|(x, t)|^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{6\alpha+4}{s}}.$$

Consequently, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}}^2 &= \gamma_{\alpha}(E) \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,\infty}}^2, \\ \|f\|_{\alpha,1} &= \|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,\infty}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\alpha,1} = M_{\alpha,s}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^{1-\frac{3\alpha+2}{s}} \|(x, t)|^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{3\alpha+2}{s}}. \tag{20}$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 and the relation (20), we deduce that

$$\forall (x, t) \in \mathbb{K}, f(x, t) = \psi(x, t) (a + b |(x, t)|^{2s})^{-1},$$

with $|\psi(x, t)| = 1$, $a > 0$ and $b > 0$.

By the same arguments of the proof of theorem 4.1, we show that the inequality (19) is strictly satisfied. \square

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $s = 3\alpha + 2$, then for all nonzero $f \in L^2_\alpha(\mathbb{K})$ and for all measurable set $E \subset \widehat{\mathbb{K}}$ such that $0 < \gamma_\alpha(E) < +\infty$, we have*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_{LB}(f)\chi_E\|_{\gamma_{\alpha,2}} < C_\alpha \gamma_\alpha(E)^{\frac{1}{2(3\alpha+2)}} \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{3\alpha+1}{3\alpha+2}} \|(x,t)^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{1}{3\alpha+2}},$$

with

$$C_\alpha = (3\alpha + 2)^2 (3\alpha + 1)^{-\frac{6\alpha+1}{2(3\alpha+2)}-1} (A_{\alpha,s}(3\alpha + 2 - s))^{\frac{1}{2(3\alpha+2)}}.$$

Proof. We have $s = 3\alpha + 2 > 1$, using the same manner as the end of the proof of theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\|(x,t) f\|_{\alpha,2} \leq s (s - 1)^{\frac{1}{s}-1} \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^{1-\frac{1}{s}} \|(x,t)^s f\|_{\alpha,2}^{\frac{1}{s}}.$$

By this inequality together with (6) taken for $s = 1$, we get the result for $s = 3\alpha + 2$. \square

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the referee for careful reading and valuable comments, also for pointing out them the references [6, 8, 13].

REFERENCES

- [1] P. C. BOWIE, *Uncertainty inequalities for Hankel transforms*, SIAM J. Math. Anal **2** (1971), 601–606.
- [2] E. JEBBARI, M. SIFI AND F. SOLTANI, *Laguerre-Bessel wavelet transform*, Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math. **1** (2005), 13–26.
- [3] W. HEISENBERG, *Über den anschaulichen inhalt der quantentheoretischen kinematik und machanik*, Z. Phys. **43** (1927), 172–198.
- [4] A. HULANICKI, *Subalgebra of $L^1(G)$ associated with Laplacian on a Lie group*, Colloq. Math. **31** (1974), 259–287.
- [5] Z. LI AND L. LIU, *Uncertainty principles for Jacobi expansions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **286** (2003), 652–663.
- [6] Z. LI AND L. LIU, *Uncertainty principles for Sturm-Liouville operators*, Constr. Approx. **21** (2005), 193–205.
- [7] R. MA, *Heisenberg inequalities for Jacobi transforms*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **332** (2007), 155–163.
- [8] R. MA, *Heisenberg uncertainty principle on Chébli-Trimèche hypergroups*, Pacific J. Math. **235** (2008), 289–296.
- [9] S. OMRI AND L. T. RACHDI, *Heisenberg-Pauli-Weyl uncertainty principle for the Riemann-Liouville operator*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **9**, 3 (2008), 1–23.
- [10] J. F. PRICE, *Inequalities and local uncertainty principles*, J. Math. Phys **24** (1983), 1711–1714.
- [11] J. F. PRICE, *Sharp local uncertainty principles*, Studia Math. **85** (1987), 37–45.
- [12] M. RÖSLER, *An uncertainty principle for the Dunkl transform*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **59** (1999), 353–360.
- [13] M. RÖSLER AND M. VOIT, *An uncertainty principle for Hankel transforms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), 183–194.

- [14] N. SHIMENO, *A note on the uncertainty principle for the Dunkl transform*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **8** (2001), 33–42.
- [15] E. M. STEIN, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press Princeton, New Jersey, 1970.
- [16] H. WEYL, *Gruppentheorie und Quantenmechanik*, S. Hirzel, Leipzig, 1928. Revised English edition: *The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics*, Methuen, London, 1931; reprinted by Dover, New York, 1950.

(Received June 7, 2011)

Soumeya Hamem
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Monastir
University of Monastir
5019 Monastir, Tunisia
e-mail: soumeya.hamem@yahoo.fr

Lotfi Kamoun
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Monastir
University of Monastir
5019 Monastir, Tunisia
e-mail: kamoun.lotfi@planet.tn