

COMPOSITION IDENTITIES FOR THE CAPUTO FRACTIONAL DERIVATIVES AND APPLICATIONS TO OPIAL-TYPE INEQUALITIES

M. ANDRIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ AND I. PERIĆ

(Communicated by N. Elezović)

Abstract. This paper gives improvements of a composition rule for the left-sided and the right-sided Caputo fractional derivatives. As application, improvements of Opial-type inequalities involving the Caputo fractional derivatives are also given.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

This paper is motivated by the book of Anastassiou [2] and papers [3] and [4] and presents improvements of a composition rule for the Caputo fractional derivatives (compare Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 2.1).

First we follow [7] and survey some facts about fractional derivatives needed in this paper.

By $C^m[a, b]$ we denote the space of all functions on $[a, b]$ which have continuous derivatives up to order m , and $AC[a, b]$ is the space of all absolutely continuous functions on $[a, b]$. By $AC^m[a, b]$ we denote the space of all functions $g \in C^{m-1}[a, b]$ with $g^{(m-1)} \in AC[a, b]$.

By $L^p[a, b]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, we denote the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions f for which $|f|^p$ is Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$, and by $L^\infty[a, b]$ the set of all functions measurable and essentially bounded on $[a, b]$. Clearly, $L^\infty[a, b] \subset L^p[a, b]$ for all $p \geq 1$.

Let $f \in L^1[a, b]$, $\nu > 0$, $n = [\nu] + 1$ ($[\cdot]$ is the integral part) and Γ is the gamma function $\Gamma(\nu) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\nu-1} dt$. The **Riemann-Liouville fractional integrals** $J_{a+}^\nu f$ (left-sided) and $J_{b-}^\nu f$ (right-sided) of order ν are defined by

$$J_{a+}^\nu f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{\nu-1} f(t) dt, \quad x \in (a, b], \quad (1.1)$$

$$J_{b-}^\nu f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \int_x^b (t-x)^{\nu-1} f(t) dt, \quad x \in [a, b). \quad (1.2)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26A33, 26D15.

Keywords and phrases: The Caputo fractional derivatives, composition rules, Opial-type inequalities, the Laplace transform.

LEMMA 1.1. [7, Lemma 2.3] *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $f \in L^p[a, b]$ and $\alpha, \beta > 0$. Then the equations*

$$J_{a+}^\alpha J_{a+}^\beta f(x) = J_{a+}^{\alpha+\beta} f(x), \quad J_{b-}^\alpha J_{b-}^\beta f(x) = J_{b-}^{\alpha+\beta} f(x) \tag{1.3}$$

are satisfied at almost every point $x \in [a, b]$. If $\alpha + \beta > 1$, then the relations in (1.3) hold at any point of $[a, b]$.

For $\nu \geq 0$, $n = [\nu] + 1$, $f \in AC^m[a, b]$, where $m = n$ if $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $m = n - 1$ if $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the **Caputo fractional derivatives of order ν** $D_{a+}^\nu f$ (left-sided) and $D_{b-}^\nu f$ (right-sided) are defined by

$$D_{a+}^\nu f(x) = (\tilde{D}_{a+}^\nu [f - T_{m-1}(f; a)])(x),$$

$$D_{b-}^\nu f(x) = (\tilde{D}_{b-}^\nu [f - \tilde{T}_{m-1}(f; b)])(x),$$

where $T_{m-1}(f; a)(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(a)}{k!} (t - a)^k$, $\tilde{T}_{m-1}(f; b)(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{f^{(k)}(b)}{k!} (b - t)^k$ and $\tilde{D}_{a+}^\nu, \tilde{D}_{b-}^\nu$ denote the left-sided and the right-sided Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives (see [7, p.91]).

The following theorem appears to be more convenient for us in upcoming results.

THEOREM 1.2. [7, Theorem 2.1] *Let $\nu \geq 0$, $n = [\nu] + 1$. If $f \in AC^m[a, b]$, $m = n$ if $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $m = n - 1$ if $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then the **Caputo fractional derivatives** $D_{a+}^\nu f$ (left-sided) and $D_{b-}^\nu f$ (right-sided) exist almost everywhere on $[a, b]$.*

(a) *If $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, then $D_{a+}^\nu f$ and $D_{b-}^\nu f$ are represented by*

$$D_{a+}^\nu f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \nu)} \int_a^x (x - t)^{n-\nu-1} f^{(n)}(t) dt =: J_{a+}^{n-\nu} f^{(n)}(x) \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$D_{b-}^\nu f(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - \nu)} \int_x^b (t - x)^{n-\nu-1} f^{(n)}(t) dt =: (-1)^n J_{b-}^{n-\nu} f^{(n)}(x). \tag{1.5}$$

In particular, when $0 < \nu < 1$ and $f \in AC[a, b]$,

$$D_{a+}^\nu f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \nu)} \int_a^x (x - t)^{-\nu} f'(t) dt =: J_{a+}^{1-\nu} f'(x) \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$D_{b-}^\nu f(x) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(1 - \nu)} \int_x^b (t - x)^{-\nu} f'(t) dt =: -J_{b-}^{1-\nu} f'(x). \tag{1.7}$$

(b) *If $\nu = n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $D_{a+}^n f$ and $D_{b-}^n f$ are represented by*

$$D_{a+}^n f(x) = f^{(n)}(x), \quad D_{b-}^n f(x) = (-1)^n f^{(n)}(x). \tag{1.8}$$

In particular,

$$D_{a+}^0 f(x) = D_{b-}^0 f(x) = f(x). \tag{1.9}$$

The following statement is analogous to that of Theorem 1.2 for functions $f \in C^n[a, b]$.

THEOREM 1.3. [7, Theorem 2.2] *Let $\nu \geq 0$, $n = [\nu] + 1$ and let $f \in C^n[a, b]$. Then the Caputo fractional derivatives $D_{a+}^\nu f$ and $D_{b-}^\nu f$ are continuous on $[a, b]$.*

(a) *If $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, then $D_{a+}^\nu f$ and $D_{b-}^\nu f$ are represented by (1.4) and (1.5) respectively. Moreover,*

$$D_{a+}^\nu f(a) = D_{b-}^\nu f(b) = 0. \tag{1.10}$$

In particular, they have the forms (1.6) and (1.7) for $0 < \nu < 1$, respectively.

(b) *If $\nu = n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then $D_{a+}^\nu f$ and $D_{b-}^\nu f$ have representations given in (1.8). In particular, the relations in (1.9) hold.*

The following identities are instructive for results in this paper (for more details see [7, Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.6]):

$$J_{a+}^n f^{(n)}(x) = f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(i)}(a)}{i!} (x-a)^i,$$

$$J_{b-}^n f^{(n)}(x) = f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^i f^{(i)}(b)}{i!} (b-x)^i, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Our first goal is to improve composition identity for the left-sided Caputo fractional derivatives in the following theorem, identity (1.12). We will prove that it is not necessary to assume that $f^{(k)}(a) = 0$ for $k < m$ and that condition $\nu - \gamma \geq 1$ can be relaxed. This will be used in all presented Opial-type inequalities involving the Caputo fractional derivatives.

THEOREM 1.4. [2, Theorem 16.16] *Let $\nu \geq \gamma + 1$, $\gamma \geq 0$. Call $n = [\nu] + 1$, $m = [\gamma] + 1$. Assume $f \in AC^n[a, b]$ such that $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $D_{a+}^\nu f \in L_\infty(a, b)$. Then*

$$D_{a+}^\nu f \in C[a, b], \quad D_{a+}^\nu f(x) = J_{a+}^{m-\gamma} f^{(m)}(x), \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$D_{a+}^\nu f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{\nu-\gamma-1} D_{a+}^\nu f(t) dt \tag{1.12}$$

for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Also we will give composition identity for the right-sided Caputo fractional derivatives (see Theorem 2.2).

2. Main result

We relax some conditions in composition identity for the left-sided Caputo fractional derivative given in Theorem 1.4.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\nu > \gamma \geq 0$, $n = [\nu] + 1$, $m = [\gamma] + 1$ and $f \in AC^k[a, b]$, $k = n$ if $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k = n - 1$ if $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $D_{a+}^\nu f, D_{a+}^\gamma f \in L^1[a, b]$. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\nu, \gamma \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = m, \dots, n - 1$.
- (b) $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = m, \dots, n - 2$.
- (c) $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = m - 1, \dots, n - 1$.
- (d) $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = m - 1, \dots, n - 2$.

Then

$$D_{a+}^\gamma f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma)} \int_a^x (x - t)^{\nu - \gamma - 1} D_{a+}^\nu f(t) dt. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. We give two proofs of the assertion (a). The proofs of the assertions (b), (c), (d) follows the same lines. Let $\nu > \gamma \geq 0$. Then using the Fubini theorem (note that $f^{(n)} \in L^1[a, b]$ and J_{a+}^α is a bounded operator on $L^1[a, b]$; see for example [7, Lemma 2.1]), change of variables and the definition of the beta function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma)} \int_a^x (x - y)^{\nu - \gamma - 1} D_{a+}^\nu f(y) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma)\Gamma(n - \nu)} \int_{y=a}^x \int_{t=a}^y (x - y)^{\nu - \gamma - 1} (y - t)^{n - \nu - 1} f^{(n)}(t) dt dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma)\Gamma(n - \nu)} \int_{t=a}^x f^{(n)}(t) \int_{y=t}^x (x - y)^{\nu - \gamma - 1} (y - t)^{n - \nu - 1} dy dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma)\Gamma(n - \nu)} \int_a^x f^{(n)}(t) \int_0^{x-t} u^{\nu - \gamma - 1} (x - u - t)^{n - \nu - 1} du dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma)\Gamma(n - \nu)} \int_a^x f^{(n)}(t) \int_0^1 (x - t)^{n - \gamma - 1} v^{\nu - \gamma - 1} (1 - v)^{n - \nu - 1} dv dt \\ &= \frac{B(\nu - \gamma, n - \nu)}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma)\Gamma(n - \nu)} \int_a^x (x - t)^{n - \gamma - 1} f^{(n)}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \gamma)} \int_a^x (x - t)^{n - \gamma - 1} f^{(n)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $\nu > \gamma \geq 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma)} \int_a^x (x - y)^{\nu - \gamma - 1} D_{a+}^\nu f(y) dy = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n - \gamma)} \int_a^x (x - t)^{n - \gamma - 1} f^{(n)}(t) dt.$$

Case 1. Let $[v] = [\gamma]$, that is $n = m$. Then (2.1) follows with no boundary conditions.

Case 2. Let $[v] > [\gamma]$. Then $[v] > \gamma$ also, and therefore $n - \gamma - 1 > 0$. Using integration by parts, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-\gamma-1} f^{(n)}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \left[(x-t)^{n-\gamma-1} f^{(n-1)}(t) \Big|_a^x + (n-\gamma-1) \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-\gamma-2} f^{(n-1)}(t) dt \right] \\ &= \left| f^{(n-1)}(a) = 0 \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma-1)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-\gamma-2} f^{(n-1)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2a. Let $[v] = [\gamma] + 1$. Then $m = n - 1$ and with boundary condition $f^{(n-1)}(a) = 0$ follows (2.1).

Case 2b. Let $[v] > [\gamma] + 1$. Then $n - \gamma - 2 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma-1)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-\gamma-2} f^{(n-1)}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma-1)} \left[(x-t)^{n-\gamma-2} f^{(n-2)}(t) \Big|_a^x + (n-\gamma-2) \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-\gamma-3} f^{(n-2)}(t) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma-2)} \int_a^x (x-t)^{n-\gamma-3} f^{(n-2)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2b.1. Let $[v] = [\gamma] + 2$. Then $m = n - 2$ and, with boundary conditions $f^{(n-1)}(a) = f^{(n-2)}(a) = 0$, the proof of (2.1) is complete.

Case 2b.2. Continuing in this way, in the last step, when $m = n - (n - m)$, we have that with boundary conditions $f^{(n-1)}(a) = \dots = f^{(m)}(a) = 0$ follows (2.1).

We will also prove equality (2.1) using the Laplace transform. Let $v > \gamma \geq 0$ and $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = m, m + 1, \dots, n - 1$. By Lemma 1.1 we have

$$J_{a+}^{v-\gamma} D_{a+}^v f = J_{a+}^{v-\gamma} J_{a+}^{n-v} f^{(n)} = J_{a+}^{n-\gamma} f^{(n)}.$$

Set $g = f^{(m)}$. Now (2.1) can be written as

$$J_{a+}^{m-\gamma} g(x) = J_{a+}^{n-\gamma} g^{(n-m)}(x), \tag{2.2}$$

where $x \in [a, b]$ and $g(a) = g'(a) = \dots = g^{(n-m-1)}(a) = 0$. Define auxiliary function $h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} g(x+a), & x \in [0, b-a] \\ \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} \frac{g^{(k)}(b)}{k!} (x-b+a)^k, & x \geq b-a \end{cases}. \tag{2.3}$$

Obviously $h(0) = h'(0) = \dots = h^{(n-m-1)}(0) = 0$. Also h has polynomial growth at ∞ , so the Laplace transform of h exists. Using simple substitution the identity (2.2) is equivalent to the identity

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\gamma)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{m-\gamma-1} h(t) dt = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{n-\gamma-1} h^{(n-m)}(t) dt. \quad (2.4)$$

Using standard properties of the Laplace transform we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\gamma)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{m-\gamma-1} h(t) dt \right) (s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\gamma)} \mathcal{L} (x^{m-\gamma-1}) (s) \mathcal{L} (h)(s) = s^{\gamma-m} \mathcal{L} (h)(s). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{L} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \int_0^x (x-t)^{n-\gamma-1} h^{(n-m)}(t) dt \right) (s) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \mathcal{L} (x^{n-\gamma-1}) (s) \mathcal{L} (h^{(n-m)}) (s) \\ &= s^{\gamma-n} \cdot s^{n-m} \mathcal{L} (h)(s) = s^{\gamma-m} \mathcal{L} (h)(s). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.5) and (2.6) it follows that both sides of (2.4) have the same Laplace transform, we conclude that equality holds in (2.4) for every $x \geq 0$ (see [11, Theorem 6.3]). \square

Next theorem gives us a composition identity for the right-sided Caputo fractional derivatives.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $\nu > \gamma \geq 0$, $n = [\nu] + 1$, $m = [\gamma] + 1$ and $f \in AC^k[a, b]$, $k = n$ if $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k = n - 1$ if $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $D_{b-}^{\nu} f, D_{b-}^{\gamma} f \in L^1[a, b]$. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $\nu, \gamma \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(b) = 0$ for $i = m, \dots, n - 1$.
- (b) $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(b) = 0$ for $i = m, \dots, n - 2$.
- (c) $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(b) = 0$ for $i = m - 1, \dots, n - 1$.
- (d) $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(b) = 0$ for $i = m - 1, \dots, n - 2$.

Then

$$D_{b-}^{\gamma} f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu-\gamma)} \int_x^b (t-x)^{\nu-\gamma-1} D_{b-}^{\nu} f(t) dt. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Let $v > \gamma \geq 0$ and $f^{(i)}(b) = 0$ for $i = m, m + 1, \dots, n - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\Gamma(v - \gamma)} \int_x^b (y - x)^{v - \gamma - 1} D_{b-}^v f(y) dy \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(v - \gamma)\Gamma(n - v)} \int_{y=x}^b \int_{t=y}^b (y - x)^{v - \gamma - 1} (t - y)^{n - v - 1} f^{(n)}(t) dt dy \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(v - \gamma)\Gamma(n - v)} \int_{t=x}^b f^{(n)}(t) \int_{y=x}^t (y - x)^{v - \gamma - 1} (t - y)^{n - v - 1} dy dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(v - \gamma)\Gamma(n - v)} \int_x^b f^{(n)}(t) \int_0^{t-x} u^{v - \gamma - 1} (t - u - x)^{n - v - 1} du dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(v - \gamma)\Gamma(n - v)} \int_x^b f^{(n)}(t) \int_0^1 (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 1} v^{v - \gamma - 1} (1 - v)^{n - v - 1} dv dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n B(v - \gamma, n - v)}{\Gamma(v - \gamma)\Gamma(n - v)} \int_x^b (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 1} f^{(n)}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - \gamma)} \int_x^b (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 1} f^{(n)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for $v > \gamma \geq 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(v - \gamma)} \int_x^b (y - x)^{v - \gamma - 1} D_{b-}^v f(y) dy = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - \gamma)} \int_x^b (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 1} f^{(n)}(t) dt.$$

Case 1. Let $[v] = [\gamma]$, that is $n = m$. Then (2.7) follows with no boundary conditions.

Case 2. Let $[v] > [\gamma]$. Then $[v] > \gamma$ also, and therefore $n - \gamma - 1 > 0$. Using integration by parts, it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - \gamma)} \int_x^b (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 1} f^{(n)}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{\Gamma(n - \gamma)} \left[(t - x)^{n - \gamma - 1} f^{(n-1)}(t) \Big|_x^b - (n - \gamma - 1) \int_x^b (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 2} f^{(n-1)}(t) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n - \gamma - 1)} \int_x^b (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 2} f^{(n-1)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2a. Let $[v] = [\gamma] + 1$. Then $m = n - 1$ and with boundary condition $f^{(n-1)}(b) = 0$ follows (2.7).

Case 2b. Let $[v] > [\gamma] + 1$. Then $n - \gamma - 2 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n - \gamma - 1)} \int_x^b (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 2} f^{(n-1)}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{\Gamma(n - \gamma - 1)} \left[(t - x)^{n - \gamma - 2} f^{(n-2)}(t) \Big|_x^b - (n - \gamma - 2) \int_x^b (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 3} f^{(n-2)}(t) dt \right] \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n-2}}{\Gamma(n - \gamma - 2)} \int_x^b (t - x)^{n - \gamma - 3} f^{(n-2)}(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2b.1. Let $[v] = [\gamma] + 2$. Then $m = n - 2$ and, with boundary conditions $f^{(n-1)}(b) = f^{(n-2)}(b) = 0$, the proof of (ii) is complete.

Hence, by induction, in the last step, that is $m = n - (n - m)$, we have boundary conditions $f^{(n-1)}(b) = \dots = f^{(m)}(b) = 0$ and proved equality (2.7). \square

3. Opial-type inequalities

Here we present Opial-type inequalities involving the Caputo fractional derivatives and they improve those from [2, Section 16.3.1]. The following theorems are based on [3] and [4] where this was done for the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Suppose also $p, q > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $v > \gamma + \frac{1}{q}$ and $D_{a+}^v f \in L^q[a, b]$. Then*

$$\int_a^x |D_{a+}^\gamma f(w)| |D_{a+}^v f(w)| dw \leq C_1(x) \left(\int_a^x |D_{a+}^v f(w)|^q dw \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}, \text{ a.e. } x \in [a, b], \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$C_1(x) = \frac{(x-a)^{r+\frac{2}{p}}}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} \Gamma(r+1) (rp+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} (rp+2)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad r = v - \gamma - 1.$$

Inequality (3.1) is sharp for $v = \gamma + 1$ where equality is attained for a function f such that $D_{a+}^v f(t) = 1$ for every $t \in [a, b]$ (for example $f(t) = \frac{(t-a)^v}{\Gamma(v+1)}$).

We give a proof of the following theorem which is the right-sided version of Theorem 3.1. A proof of Theorem 3.1 is analogous.

THEOREM 3.2. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 hold. Suppose also $p, q > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $v > \gamma + \frac{1}{q}$ and $D_{b-}^v f \in L^q[a, b]$. Then*

$$\int_x^b |D_{b-}^\gamma f(w)| |D_{b-}^v f(w)| dw \leq C_2(x) \left(\int_x^b |D_{b-}^v f(w)|^q dw \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}, \text{ a.e. } x \in [a, b], \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$C_2(x) = \frac{(b-x)^{r+\frac{2}{p}}}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} \Gamma(r+1) (rp+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} (rp+2)^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad r = v - \gamma - 1.$$

Inequality (3.2) is sharp for $v = \gamma + 1$ where equality is attained for a function f such that $D_{b-}^v f(t) = 1$ for every $t \in [a, b]$ (for example $f(t) = \frac{(b-t)^v}{\Gamma(v+1)}$).

Proof. Using Theorem 2.2, the triangle inequality and Hölder inequality we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 |D_{b-}^{\gamma}f(w)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v-\gamma)} \int_w^b (t-w)^{v-\gamma-1} |D_{b-}^v f(t)| dt \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v-\gamma)} \left(\int_w^b (t-w)^{pr} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_w^b |D_{b-}^v f(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(v-\gamma)} \frac{(b-w)^{r+\frac{1}{p}}}{(pr+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\int_w^b |D_{b-}^v f(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{3.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Set $z(w) = \int_w^b |D_{b-}^v f(t)|^q dt$. Obviously $z'(w) = -|D_{b-}^v f(w)|^q$. Using (3.3) it follows:

$$|D_{b-}^{\gamma}f(w)| |D_{b-}^v f(w)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(v-\gamma)} \frac{(b-w)^{r+\frac{1}{p}}}{(pr+1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} z^{\frac{1}{q}}(w) (-z'(w))^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Using again the Hölder inequality and simple integration we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_x^b (b-w)^{r+\frac{1}{p}} z^{\frac{1}{q}}(w) (-z'(w))^{\frac{1}{q}} dw \\
 &\leq \left(\int_x^b (b-w)^{pr+1} dw \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_x^b z(w) (-z'(w)) dw \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &= \frac{(b-x)^{r+\frac{2}{p}}}{(pr+2)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int_x^b |D_{b-}^v f(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}. \tag{3.5}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.4) and (3.5) we obviously obtain (3.2).

Using equality condition in Hölder’s inequality it follows that equality holds in (3.3) if and only if $|D_{b-}^v f(t)|^q = C(t-w)^{pr}$ and $D_{b-}^v(t) \geq 0$ for some $C \geq 0$ $D_{b-}^v(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in [x, b]$. This implies $r = 0$ or $v = \gamma + 1$. Direct verification shows that for a function f for which $D_{b-}^v f = 1$ equality holds also in (3.5). \square

COROLLARY 3.3. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 hold. Suppose also $1 < q \leq 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} |D_{a+}^{\gamma}f(w)| |D_{a+}^v f(w)| dw + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b |D_{b-}^{\gamma}f(w)| |D_{b-}^v f(w)| dw \\
 &\leq C_{1,2} \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \left(\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} |D_{a+}^v f(w)|^q dw + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b |D_{b-}^v f(w)|^q dw \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}, \tag{3.6}
 \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{1,2} \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) = C_1 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) = C_2 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right)$. Inequality (3.6) is sharp for $q = 2$ and $v = \gamma + 1$.

Proof. Inequality (3.6) is a simple consequence of inequalities (3.1), (3.2) and elementary inequality $(x+y)^{\alpha} \geq x^{\alpha} + y^{\alpha}$ which holds for $\alpha \geq 1$. \square

REMARK 3.4. For $\nu = 1$, $\gamma = 0$ and $q = 2$ inequality (3.6) implies classical Opial’s inequality (see for example [1]). Boundary conditions $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ follow from (d) case in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

Next theorem is motivated by Pang-Agarwal’s extension (see [10, Theorem 1.1]) of an inequality proved by Fink for ordinary derivatives (see [6]).

THEOREM 3.5. Let $\nu > \gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1 + 1 \geq 1$, $n = [\nu] + 1$, $m_1 = [\gamma_1] + 1$, $m_2 = [\gamma_2] + 1$, $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $f \in AC^k[a, b]$, $k = n$ if $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k = n - 1$ if $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $D_{a+}^\nu f \in L^q[a, b]$. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) $\nu, \gamma_1 \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = m_1, \dots, n - 1$.
- (b) $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_1 \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = m_1, \dots, n - 2$.
- (c) $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = m_1 - 1, \dots, n - 1$.
- (d) $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(a) = 0$ for $i = m_1 - 1, \dots, n - 2$.

Then

$$\int_a^x |D_{a+}^\nu f(\tau)| |D_{a+}^{\gamma_2} f(\tau)| d\tau \leq C_3(x) \left(\int_a^x |D_{a+}^\nu f(\tau)|^q d\tau \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}, \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$C_3(x) = \frac{(x - a)^{r_1+r_2+1+\frac{2}{p}}}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} \Gamma(r_1 + 1) \Gamma(r_2 + 2) [p(r_2 + 1) + 1]^{\frac{1}{p}} [p(r_1 + r_2 + 1) + 2]^{\frac{1}{p}}},$$

where $r_i = \nu - \gamma_i - 1$, $i = 1, 2$.

Inequality (3.7) is sharp for $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 + 1$.

Proof. First we have $r_1 - r_2 - 1 \geq 0$ since $\gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1 + 1$. Let $a \leq t \leq s \leq x$. The following estimation is proved in [6]:

$$\int_a^x [(\tau - t)_+^{r_1} (\tau - s)_+^{r_2} + (\tau - s)_+^{r_1} (\tau - t)_+^{r_2}] d\tau \leq \frac{1}{(\nu - \gamma_2)} (x - t)^{r_1} (x - s)^{r_2 + 1}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $(\tau - t)_+^\alpha$ is define by

$$(\tau - t)_+^\alpha = \begin{cases} (\tau - t)^\alpha, & \text{if } a \leq t < \tau \leq x, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \leq \tau \leq t \leq x. \end{cases}$$

In the following calculation we abbreviate

$$c_1 := (\Gamma(\nu - \gamma_2) \Gamma(\nu - \gamma_1))^{-1}, \quad c_2 := (\Gamma(\nu - \gamma_2 + 1) \Gamma(\nu - \gamma_1))^{-1},$$

$$c_3 := (\nu - \gamma_2)p + 1, \quad \varepsilon := 2\nu - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 - 1 + \frac{1}{p}.$$

Let $a \leq \tau \leq x$, $j = 1, 2$. Using Theorem 2.1 (notice that conditions (a)-(d) ensure that analogous conditions hold also for γ_2) we have:

$$(D_{a+}^{\gamma_j} f)(\tau) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma_j)} \int_a^x (\tau - t)_+^{r_j} (D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t) dt.$$

Using this representation, the auxiliary inequality (3.8), and Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\gamma_1} f)(\tau)| |(D_{a+}^{\gamma_2} f)(\tau)| d\tau \\ & \leq c_1 \int_a^x \left(\int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)| (\tau - t)_+^{r_1} dt \right) \left(\int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(s)| (\tau - s)_+^{r_2} ds \right) d\tau \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = c_1 \int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)| \left(\int_t^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(s)| \right. \\ & \quad \cdot \left. \left(\int_a^x [(\tau - t)_+^{r_1} (\tau - s)_+^{r_2} + (\tau - s)_+^{r_1} (\tau - t)_+^{r_2}] d\tau \right) ds \right) dt \\ & \leq c_2 \int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)| \left(\int_t^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(s)| (x - t)^{r_1} (x - s)^{r_2 + 1} ds \right) dt \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq c_2 \int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)| (x - t)^{r_1} \left(\int_t^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(s)|^q ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_t^x (x - s)^{p(r_2 + 1)} ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dt \\ & = c_2 c_3^{-1/p} \int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)| (x - t)^{\varepsilon} \left(\int_t^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(s)|^q ds \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} dt \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq c_2 c_3^{-1/p} \left(\int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)|^q \left(\int_t^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(s)|^q ds \right) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_a^x (x - t)^{\varepsilon p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & = c_2 c_3^{-1/p} (\varepsilon p + 1)^{-1/p} (x - a)^{(\varepsilon p + 1)/p} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)|^q dt \right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

It is obvious that in the case $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 + 1$ equality holds in (3.8). Using equality condition for Hölder’s inequality equality holds in (3.11) for a function f for which $(D_{a+}^{\nu} f(s))^q = (x - s)^{p(r_2 + 1)}$. A straightforward calculation shows that for this function equality also holds (3.12). Equality in (3.9) in this case is obvious. \square

We remark here that under suitable assumptions on a function f (for example when $D_{a+}^{\nu} f$ is continuous on $[a, b)$) we have

$$\lim_{\gamma_2 \rightarrow \nu - 0} D_{a+}^{\gamma_2} f(\tau) = \lim_{\gamma_2 \rightarrow \nu - 0} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu - \gamma_2)} \int_a^{\tau} (\tau - t)^{\nu - \gamma_2 - 1} D_{a+}^{\nu} f(t) dt,$$

(see [5, Section 3]), so we can formally compare estimations obtained in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.5. This is interesting because inequalities (3.1) and (3.7) are obtained in a different way. Setting $\nu = \gamma_2$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma$ in $C_3(x)$, we have

$$C_3(x) = \frac{(x - a)^{r + \frac{2}{p}}}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} \Gamma(r + 1) [pr + 2]^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad r = \nu - \gamma - 1,$$

so obviously $C_1(x) < C_3(x)$ for $r > 0$, so estimation in Theorem 3.1 is better than estimation in Theorem 3.5.

The following right-sided version of Theorem 3.5 can be proven analogously.

THEOREM 3.6. *Let $v > \gamma_2 \geq \gamma_1 + 1 \geq 1$, $n = [v] + 1$, $m_1 = [\gamma_1] + 1$, $m_2 = [\gamma_2] + 1$, $p, q > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $f \in AC^k[a, b]$, $k = n$ if $v \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k = n - 1$ if $v \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $D_{b-}^v f \in L^q[a, b]$. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

- (a) $v, \gamma_1 \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(b) = 0$ for $i = m_1, \dots, n - 1$.
- (b) $v \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_1 \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(b) = 0$ for $i = m_1, \dots, n - 2$.
- (c) $v \notin \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(b) = 0$ for $i = m_1 - 1, \dots, n - 1$.
- (d) $v \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f^{(i)}(b) = 0$ for $i = m_1 - 1, \dots, n - 2$.

Then

$$\int_x^b |D_{b-}^{\gamma_1} f(\tau)| |D_{b-}^{\gamma_2} f(\tau)| d\tau \leq C_4(x) \left(\int_x^b |D_{b-}^v f(\tau)|^q d\tau \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$C_4(x) = \frac{(b-x)^{r_1+r_2+1+\frac{2}{p}}}{2^{\frac{1}{q}} \Gamma(r_1+1) \Gamma(r_2+2) [p(r_2+1)+1]^{\frac{1}{p}} [p(r_1+r_2+1)+2]^{\frac{1}{p}}},$$

where $r_i = v - \gamma_i - 1$, $i = 1, 2$.

Inequality (3.13) is sharp for $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 + 1$.

A generalization of the classical Opial inequality in this setting also holds. The proof is analogous to the proof of Corollary 3.3.

COROLLARY 3.7. *Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 hold. Suppose also $1 < q \leq 2$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} |D_{a+}^{\gamma_1} f(w)| |D_{a+}^{\gamma_2} f(w)| dw + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b |D_{b-}^{\gamma_1} f(w)| |D_{b-}^{\gamma_2} f(w)| dw \\ & \leq C_{3,4} \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) \left(\int_a^{\frac{a+b}{2}} |D_{a+}^v f(w)|^q dw + \int_{\frac{a+b}{2}}^b |D_{b-}^v f(w)|^q dw \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $C_{3,4} \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) = C_3 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right) = C_4 \left(\frac{a+b}{2} \right)$. Inequality (3.14) is sharp for $q = 2$ and $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 + 1$.

To complete studying various types of Opial’s inequalities with two fractional derivatives on the left-hand side of an inequality, we present the following theorem which is improvement of [2, Theorem 16.21].

THEOREM 3.8. *Let $\nu > \gamma + 1$, $\gamma \geq 0$, $p, q > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $D_{a+}^{\nu} f \in L^q[a, b]$. Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then*

$$\int_a^x |D_{a+}^{\gamma} f(w)| |D_{a+}^{\gamma+1} f(w)| dw \leq C_5(x) \left(\int_a^x |D_{a+}^{\nu} f(w)|^q dw \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}, \text{ a.e. } x \in [a, b], \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$C_5(x) = \frac{(x-a)^{2r+\frac{2}{p}}}{2\Gamma^2(r+1)(rp+1)^{\frac{2}{p}}}, \quad r = \nu - \gamma - 1.$$

Inequality (3.15) is sharp and equality in (3.15) is attained for a function f for which $D_{a+}^{\nu} f(t) = (x-t)^{\frac{rp}{q}}$.

Proof. Using Theorem 2.1 we have

$$|D_{a+}^{\gamma} f(w)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r+1)} \int_a^w (w-t)^r |D_{a+}^{\nu} f(t)| dt := U(w).$$

Using again Theorem 2.1 and since $r > 0$ we have

$$|D_{a+}^{\gamma+1} f(w)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_a^w (w-t)^{r-1} |D_{a+}^{\nu} f(t)| dt := U'(w).$$

By Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\gamma} f)(\omega)| |(D_{a+}^{\gamma+1} f)(\omega)| d\omega \\ & \leq \int_a^x U(\omega) U'(\omega) d\omega = \frac{1}{2} U^2(x) \\ & = \frac{1}{2(\Gamma(r+1))^2} \left(\int_a^x (x-t)^r |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)| dt \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2(\Gamma(r+1))^2} \left(\int_a^x (x-t)^{rp} dt \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \left(\int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \\ & = \frac{1}{2(\Gamma(r+1))^2} \frac{(x-a)^{\frac{2rp+2}{p}}}{(rp+1)^{\frac{2}{p}}} \left(\int_a^x |(D_{a+}^{\nu} f)(t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{2}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing the equality case is as in previous theorems. \square

Although Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 3.5 for $\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 + 1$ are proved by different methods, they give the same (the best possible) estimation.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. AGARWAL, P. Y. H. PANG, *Opial Inequalities with Applications in Differential and Difference Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1995.
- [2] G. A. ANASTASSIOU, *Fractional Differentiation Inequalities*, Springer, 2009.
- [3] G. A. ANASTASSIOU, J. J. KOLIHA, J. PEČARIĆ, *Opial inequalities for fractional derivatives*, Dynamic Systems and Appl. **10** (2001), 395–406.
- [4] G. A. ANASTASSIOU, J. J. KOLIHA, J. PEČARIĆ, *Opial type L_p -inequalities for fractional derivatives*, Inter. J. Math & Math. Sci. **31**, 2 (2002), 85–95.
- [5] J. A. CANAVATI, *The Riemann-Liouville Integral*, Nieuw Archief Voor Wiskunde **5**, 1 (1987), 53–75.
- [6] A. M. FINK, *On Opial's inequality for $f^{(n)}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), 177–181.
- [7] A. A. KILBAS, H. M. SRIVASTAVA, J. J. TRUJILLO, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, North-Holland Mathematics Studies **204**, Elsevier, 2006.
- [8] I. PODLUBNY, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1999.
- [9] S. G. SAMKO, A. A. KILBAS, O. I. MARICHEV, *Fractional Integrals and Derivatives. Theory and Applications*, Gordon and Breach, Reading, 1993.
- [10] P. Y. H. PANG, R. P. AGARWAL, *On an Opial type inequality due to Fink*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **196**, 2 (1995), 748–753.
- [11] D. V. WIDDER, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, 1941.

(Received January 31, 2011)

Maja Andrić
Faculty of Civil Engineering and Architecture
University of Split
Split, Croatia
e-mail: maja.andric@gradst.hr

Josip Pečarić
Faculty of Textile Technology
University of Zagreb
Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail: pecaric@element.hr

Ivan Perić
Faculty of Food Technology and Biotechnology
University of Zagreb
Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail: iperic@pbf.hr