

AN ADDITIVE FUNCTIONAL INEQUALITY IN MATRIX NORMED SPACES

CHOONKIL PARK, DONG YUN SHIN AND JUNG RYE LEE

(Communicated by Yeol Je Cho)

Abstract. Using the fixed point method and the direct method, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of an additive functional inequality in matrix normed spaces.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The abstract characterization given for linear spaces of bounded Hilbert space operators in terms of *matrixially normed spaces* [42] implies that quotients, mapping spaces and various tensor products of operator spaces may again be regarded as operator spaces. Owing in part to this result, the theory of operator spaces is having an increasingly significant effect on operator algebra theory (see [10]).

The proof given in [42] appealed to the theory of ordered operator spaces [6]. Effros and Ruan [11] showed that one can give a purely metric proof of this important theorem by using a technique of Pisier [32] and Haagerup [18] (as modified in [9]).

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [44] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the *Cauchy additive functional equation*. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy additive functional equation is said to be an *additive mapping*. Hyers [19] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Hyers' Theorem was generalized by Aoki [2] for additive mappings and by Rassias [35] for linear mappings by considering an unbounded Cauchy difference. A generalization of the Rassias theorem was obtained by Găvruta [15] by replacing the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function in the spirit of Rassias' approach.

In 1990, Rassias [36] during the 27th International Symposium on Functional Equations asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for $p \geq 1$. In 1991, Gajda [14] following the same approach as in Rassias [35], gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p > 1$. It was shown by Gajda [14], as well

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47L25, 47H10, 39B82, 39B72, 46L07, 39B52, 39B62.

Keywords and phrases: Jordan-von Neumann functional equation, operator space, fixed point, Hyers-Ulam stability, additive functional inequality.

as by Rassias and Šemrl [40] that one cannot prove a Th. M. Rassias' type theorem when $p = 1$ (cf. the books of Czerwik [7], Hyers, Isac and Rassias [20]). The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [1, 12, 21, 23, 24, 28, 34], [37]–[39]).

In [16], Gilányi showed that if f satisfies the functional inequality

$$\|2f(x) + 2f(y) - f(xy^{-1})\| \leq \|f(xy)\| \quad (1)$$

then f satisfies the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation

$$2f(x) + 2f(y) = f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}).$$

See also [41]. Gilányi [17] and Fechner [13] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional inequality (1).

Park, Cho and Han [31] proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the following functional inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| &\leq \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y+z}{2}\right) \right\|, \\ \|f(x) + f(y) + f(z)\| &\leq \|f(x+y+z)\|, \\ \|f(x) + f(y) + 2f(z)\| &\leq \left\| 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We will use the following notations:

$M_n(X)$ is the set of all $n \times n$ -matrices in X ;

$e_j \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{C})$ is that j -th component is 1 and the other components are zero;

$E_{ij} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is that (i, j) -component is 1 and the other components are zero;

$E_{ij} \otimes x \in M_n(X)$ is that (i, j) -component is x and the other components are zero;

For $x \in M_n(X), y \in M_k(X)$,

$$x \oplus y = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Note that $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is a matrix normed space if and only if $(M_n(X), \|\cdot\|_n)$ is a normed space for each positive integer n and $\|Ax\|_k \leq \|A\| \|B\| \|x\|_n$ holds for $A \in M_{k,n}(\mathbb{C})$, $x = (x_{ij}) \in M_n(X)$ and $B \in M_{n,k}(\mathbb{C})$, and that $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is a matrix Banach space if and only if X is a Banach space and $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is a matrix normed space.

A matrix normed space $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ is called an L^∞ -matrix normed space if $\|x \oplus y\|_{n+k} = \max\{\|x\|_n, \|y\|_k\}$ holds for all $x \in M_n(X)$ and all $y \in M_k(X)$.

Let E, F be vector spaces. For a given mapping $h : E \rightarrow F$ and a given positive integer n , define $h_n : M_n(E) \rightarrow M_n(F)$ by

$$h_n([x_{ij}]) = [h(x_{ij})]$$

for all $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(E)$.

Let X be a set. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called a *generalized metric* on X if d satisfies

- (1) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;
- (2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;
- (3) $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

We recall a fundamental result in fixed point theory.

THEOREM 1. [3, 8] *Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space and let $J : X \rightarrow X$ be a strictly contractive mapping with Lipschitz constant $\alpha < 1$. Then for each given element $x \in X$, either*

$$d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) = \infty$$

for all nonnegative integers n or there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

- (1) $d(J^n x, J^{n+1} x) < \infty, \forall n \geq n_0$;
- (2) the sequence $\{J^n x\}$ converges to a fixed point y^* of J ;
- (3) y^* is the unique fixed point of J in the set $Y = \{y \in X \mid d(J^{n_0} x, y) < \infty\}$;
- (4) $d(y, y^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} d(y, Jy)$ for all $y \in Y$.

In 1996, Isac and Rassias [22] were the first to provide applications of stability theory of functional equations for the proof of new fixed point theorems with applications. By using fixed point methods, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (see [4, 5, 25, 27, 29, 30, 33]).

Throughout this paper, let $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ be a matrix normed space, $(Y, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ a matrix Banach space and let n be a fixed positive integer.

In Section 2, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality (2) in matrix normed spaces by using the direct method.

In Section 3, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality (2) in matrix normed spaces by using the fixed method.

2. Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality (2) in matrix normed spaces: direct method

In this section, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality (2) in matrix normed spaces by using the direct method.

LEMMA 1. *Let $(X, \{\|\cdot\|_n\})$ be a matrix normed space.*

- (1) $\|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n = \|x\|$ for $x \in X$.
- (2) $\|x_{kl}\| \leq \| [x_{ij}] \|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|x_{ij}\|$ for $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.
- (3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{nij} = x_{ij}$ for $x_n = [x_{nij}], x = [x_{ij}] \in M_k(X)$.

Proof. (1) Since $E_{kl} \otimes x = e_k^* x e_l$ and $\|e_k^*\| = \|e_l\| = 1, \|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n \leq \|x\|$. Since $e_k(E_{kl} \otimes x)e_l^* = x, \|x\| \leq \|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n$. So $\|E_{kl} \otimes x\|_n = \|x\|$.

(2) Since $e_k x e_l^* = x_{kl}$ and $\|e_k\| = \|e_l^*\| = 1$, $\|x_{kl}\| \leq \| [x_{ij}] \|_n$.
 Since $[x_{ij}] = \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij}$,

$$\| [x_{ij}] \|_n = \left\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij} \right\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \| E_{ij} \otimes x_{ij} \|_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \| x_{ij} \|.$$

(3) By (2), we have

$$\| x_{nkl} - x_{kl} \| \leq \| [x_{nij} - x_{ij}] \|_n = \| [x_{nij}] - [x_{ij}] \|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \| x_{nij} - x_{ij} \|.$$

So we get the result. \square

We need the following result.

LEMMA 2. ([31, Proposition 2.2]) *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$\| f(a) + f(b) + f(c) \| \leq \| f(a + b + c) \|$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive.

THEOREM 2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that*

$$\Phi(a, b, c) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^l} \phi(2^l a, 2^l b, 2^l c) < +\infty, \tag{3}$$

$$\| f_n([x_{ij}]) + f_n([y_{ij}]) + f_n([z_{ij}]) \|_n \leq \| f_n([x_{ij}] + [y_{ij}] + [z_{ij}]) \|_n + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \phi(x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij}) \tag{4}$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$ and all $x = [x_{ij}]$, $y = [y_{ij}]$, $z = [z_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\| f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}]) \|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij}) \tag{5}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. When $n = 1$, (4) is equivalent to

$$\| f(a) + f(b) + f(c) \| \leq \| f(a + b + c) \| + \phi(a, b, c)$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. By the same reasoning as in the proof of [31, Theorem 3.2], one can show that there is a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\| f(a) - A(a) \| \leq \Phi(a, a, -2a)$$

for all $a \in X$. The mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is given by

$$A(a) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l a)$$

for all $a \in X$. By Lemma 1,

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})\| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij})$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Thus $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping satisfying (5), as desired. \square

COROLLARY 1. *Let r, θ be positive real numbers with $r < 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_n([x_{ij}]) + f_n([y_{ij}]) + f_n([z_{ij}])\|_n &\leq \|f_n([x_{ij}] + [y_{ij}] + [z_{ij}])\|_n \\ &+ \sum_{i,j=1}^n \theta (\|x_{ij}\|^r + \|y_{ij}\|^r + \|z_{ij}\|^r) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}], z = [z_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{2 + 2^r}{2 - 2^r} \theta \|x_{ij}\|^r$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. Letting $\phi(a, b, c) = \theta (\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|c\|^r)$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the result. \square

THEOREM 3. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (4) and*

$$\Phi(a, b, c) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^l \phi \left(\frac{a}{2^l}, \frac{b}{2^l}, \frac{c}{2^l} \right) < +\infty, \tag{7}$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \Phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij})$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2. \square

COROLLARY 2. Let r, θ be positive real numbers with $r > 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (6). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{2^r + 2}{2^r - 2} \theta \|x_{ij}\|^r$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. Letting $\phi(a, b, c) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|c\|^r)$ in Theorem 3, we obtain the result. \square

We need the following result.

LEMMA 3. ([43]) If E is an L^∞ -matrix normed space, then $\|[x_{ij}]\|_n \leq \|[[x_{ij}]]\|_n$ for all $[x_{ij}] \in M_n(E)$.

THEOREM 4. Let Y be an L^∞ -normed Banach space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (3) and

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) + f_n([y_{ij}]) + f_n([z_{ij}])\|_n \leq \|f_n([x_{ij}] + [y_{ij}] + [z_{ij}])\|_n + \|[\phi(x_{ij}, y_{ij}, z_{ij})]\|_n \tag{8}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}], z = [z_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|[f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})]\|_n \leq \|[\Phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij})]\|_n \tag{9}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Here Φ is given in Theorem 2.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 2, there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(a) - A(a)\| \leq \Phi(a, a, -2a)$$

for all $a \in X$. The mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is given by

$$A(a) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l a)$$

for all $a \in X$.

It is easy to show that if $0 \leq a_{ij} \leq b_{ij}$ for all i, j , then

$$\|[a_{ij}]\|_n \leq \|[b_{ij}]\|_n. \tag{10}$$

By Lemma 3 and (10),

$$\|[f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})]\|_n \leq \|[[f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})]]\|_n \leq \|[\Phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij})]\|_n$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. So we obtain the inequality (9). \square

COROLLARY 3. *Let Y be an L^∞ -normed Banach space. Let r, θ be positive real numbers with $r < 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that*

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) + f_n([y_{ij}]) + f_n([z_{ij}])\|_n \leq \|f_n([x_{ij}] + [y_{ij}] + [z_{ij}])\|_n + \|[\theta(\|x_{ij}\|^r + \|y_{ij}\|^r + \|z_{ij}\|^r)]\|_n \tag{11}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}], y = [y_{ij}], z = [z_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \left\| \left[\frac{2 - 2^r}{2 - 2^r} \theta \|x_{ij}\|^r \right] \right\|_n$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. Letting $\phi(a, b, c) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|c\|^r)$ in Theorem 4, we obtain the result. \square

THEOREM 5. *Let Y be an L^∞ -normed Banach space. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (7) and (8). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\| [f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})] \|_n \leq \| [\Phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij})] \|_n$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Here Φ is given in Theorem 3.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4. \square

COROLLARY 4. *Let Y be an L^∞ -normed Banach space. Let r, θ be positive real numbers with $r > 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (11). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \left\| \left[\frac{2^r + 2}{2^r - 2} \theta \|x_{ij}\|^r \right] \right\|_n$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. Letting $\phi(a, b, c) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|c\|^r)$ in Theorem 5, we obtain the result. \square

3. Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality (2) in matrix normed spaces: fixed point approach

In this section, we prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of the additive functional inequality (2) in matrix normed spaces by the fixed point method.

THEOREM 6. *Let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that there exists an $\alpha < 1$ with*

$$\phi(a, b, c) \leq 2\alpha\phi\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{b}{2}, \frac{c}{2}\right) \tag{12}$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (4). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{2 - 2\alpha} \phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij}) \tag{13}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. When $n = 1$, (4) is equivalent to

$$\|f(a) + f(b) + f(c)\| \leq \|f(a + b + c)\| + \phi(a, b, c) \tag{14}$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. It follows from (14) that

$$\|2f(a) - f(2a)\| \leq \phi(a, a, -2a) \tag{15}$$

for all $a \in X$. So

$$\left\| f(a) - \frac{1}{2}f(2a) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi(a, a, -2a) \tag{16}$$

for all $a \in X$.

Consider the set

$$S := \{h : X \rightarrow Y\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on S :

$$d(g, h) = \inf\{\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+ : \|g(a) - h(a)\| \leq \mu\phi(a, a, -2a), \forall a \in X\},$$

where, as usual, $\inf\{\} = +\infty$. It is easy to show that (S, d) is complete (see [26]).

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(a) := \frac{1}{2}g(2a)$$

for all $a \in X$.

Let $g, h \in S$ be given such that $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$. Then

$$\|g(a) - h(a)\| \leq \phi(a, a, -2a)$$

for all $a \in X$. Hence

$$\|Jg(a) - Jh(a)\| = \left\| \frac{1}{2}g(2a) - \frac{1}{2}h(2a) \right\| \leq \alpha\phi(a, a, -2a)$$

for all $a \in X$. So $d(g, h) = \varepsilon$ implies that $d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha\varepsilon$. This means that

$$d(Jg, Jh) \leq \alpha d(g, h)$$

for all $g, h \in S$.

It follows from (16) that $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

By Theorem 1, there exists a mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following:

(1) A is a fixed point of J , i.e.,

$$A(2a) = 2A(a) \tag{17}$$

for all $x \in X$. The mapping A is a unique fixed point of J in the set

$$M = \{g \in S : d(h, g) < \infty\}.$$

This implies that A is a unique mapping satisfying (17) such that there exists a $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\|f(a) - A(a)\| \leq \mu\phi(a, a, -2a)$$

for all $a \in X$;

(2) $d(J^l f, A) \rightarrow 0$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This implies the equality

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l a) = A(a)$$

for all $a \in X$;

(3) $d(f, A) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} d(f, Jf)$, which implies the inequality

$$d(f, A) \leq \frac{1}{2-2\alpha}.$$

So

$$\|f(a) - A(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{2-2\alpha} \phi(a, a, -2a) \tag{18}$$

for all $a \in X$.

It follows from (12) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^l} \|f(2^l a) + f(2^l b) + f(2^l c)\| & \tag{19} \\ \leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2^l} \|f(2^l(a+b+c))\| + \frac{1}{2^l} \phi(2^l a, 2^l b, 2^l c) \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$.

It follows from (19) that

$$\|A(a) + A(b) + A(c)\| \leq \|A(a+b+c)\|$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. By Lemma 2, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is additive.

By Lemma 1 and (18),

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \|f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})\| \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{1}{2-2\alpha} \phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij})$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. Thus $A : X \rightarrow Y$ is a unique additive mapping satisfying (13), as desired. \square

COROLLARY 5. *Let r, θ be positive real numbers with $r < 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (6). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{2+2^r}{2-2^r} \theta \|x_{ij}\|^r$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 6 by taking $\phi(a, b, c) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|c\|^r)$ for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then we can choose $\alpha = 2^{r-1}$ and we get the desired result. \square

THEOREM 7. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (4) for which there exists a function $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that there exists an $\alpha < 1$ with*

$$\phi(a, b, c) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \phi(2a, 2b, 2c) \tag{20}$$

for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\alpha}{2-2\alpha} \phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij})$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. Let (S, d) be the generalized metric space defined in the proof of Theorem 6.

Now we consider the linear mapping $J : S \rightarrow S$ such that

$$Jg(a) := 2g\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)$$

for all $a \in X$.

It follows from (15) that

$$\left\| f(a) - 2f\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) \right\| \leq \phi\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}, -a\right) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2} \phi(a, a, -2a)$$

for all $a \in X$. Thus $d(f, Jf) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}$. So

$$d(f, A) \leq \frac{\alpha}{2 - 2\alpha}.$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 6. \square

COROLLARY 6. *Let r, θ be positive real numbers with $r > 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (6). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\|f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}])\|_n \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{2^r + 2}{2^r - 2} \theta \|x_{ij}\|^r$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 7 by taking $\phi(a, b, c) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|c\|^r)$ for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then we can choose $\alpha = 2^{1-r}$ and we get the desired result. \square

From now on, assume that Y is an L^∞ -normed Banach space.

THEOREM 8. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (12) and (8). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\| [f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})] \|_n \leq \left\| \left[\frac{1}{2 - 2\alpha} \phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij}) \right] \right\|_n \tag{21}$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 6, there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(a) - A(a)\| \leq \frac{1}{2 - 2\alpha} \phi(a, a, -2a)$$

for all $a \in X$.

By Lemma 3 and (10),

$$\| [f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})] \|_n \leq \| [\|f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})\|] \|_n \leq \left\| \left[\frac{1}{2-2\alpha} \phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}) \right] \right\|_n$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$. So we obtain the inequality (21). \square

COROLLARY 7. *Let r, θ be positive real numbers with $r < 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (11). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\| f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}]) \|_n \leq \left\| \left[\frac{2-2^r}{2-2^r} \theta \|x_{ij}\|^r \right] \right\|_n$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 8 by taking $\phi(a, b, c) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|c\|^r)$ for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then we can choose $\alpha = 2^{r-1}$ and we get the desired result. \square

THEOREM 9. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping and let $\phi : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying (8) and (20). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\| [f(x_{ij}) - A(x_{ij})] \|_n \leq \left\| \left[\frac{\alpha}{2-2\alpha} \phi(x_{ij}, x_{ij}, -2x_{ij}) \right] \right\|_n$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 8. \square

COROLLARY 8. *Let r, θ be positive real numbers with $r > 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (11). Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$\| f_n([x_{ij}]) - A_n([x_{ij}]) \|_n \leq \left\| \left[\frac{2^2+2}{2^r-2} \theta \|x_{ij}\|^r \right] \right\|_n$$

for all $x = [x_{ij}] \in M_n(X)$.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 9 by taking $\phi(a, b, c) = \theta(\|a\|^r + \|b\|^r + \|c\|^r)$ for all $a, b, c \in X$. Then we can choose $\alpha = 2^{1-r}$ and we get the desired result. \square

Acknowledgements.

C. Park was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF-2012R1A1A2004299) and D. Y. Shin was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (NRF-2010-0021792).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. ACZEL AND J. DHOMBRES, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [2] T. AOKI, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [3] L. CĂDARIU AND V. RADU, *Fixed points and the stability of Jensen's functional equation*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **4**, no. 1, Art. ID 4 (2003).
- [4] L. CĂDARIU AND V. RADU, *On the stability of the Cauchy functional equation: a fixed point approach*, Grazer Math. Ber. **346** (2004), 43–52.
- [5] L. CĂDARIU AND V. RADU, *Fixed point methods for the generalized stability of functional equations in a single variable*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2008**, Art. ID 749392 (2008).
- [6] M.-D. CHOI AND E. EFFROS, *Injectivity and operator spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **24** (1977), 156–209.
- [7] P. CZERWIK, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific Publishing Company, New Jersey, Hong Kong, Singapore and London, 2002.
- [8] J. DIAZ AND B. MARGOLIS, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 305–309.
- [9] E. EFFROS, *On multilinear completely bounded module maps*, Contemp. Math. **62**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987, pp. 479–501.
- [10] E. EFFROS AND Z.-J. RUAN, *On approximation properties for operator spaces*, Internat. J. Math. **1** (1990), 163–187.
- [11] E. EFFROS AND Z.-J. RUAN, *On the abstract characterization of operator spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1993), 579–584.
- [12] M. ESHAGHI GORDJI AND M. B. SAVADKOUHI, *Stability of a mixed type cubic-quartic functional equation in non-Archimedean spaces*, Appl. Math. Letters **23** (2010), 1198–1202.
- [13] W. FECHNER, *Stability of a functional inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation*, Aequationes Math. **71** (2006), 149–161.
- [14] Z. GAJDA, *On stability of additive mappings*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **14** (1991), 431–434.
- [15] P. GĂVRUTA, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [16] A. GILÁNYI, *Eine zur Parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente Ungleichung*, Aequationes Math. **62** (2001), 303–309.
- [17] A. GILÁNYI, *On a problem by K. Nikodem*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **5** (2002), 707–710.
- [18] U. HAAGERUP, *Decomp. of completely bounded maps*, unpublished manuscript.
- [19] D. H. HYERS, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [20] D. H. HYERS, G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [21] G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of ψ -additive mappings*, J. Approx. Theory **72** (1993), 131–137.
- [22] G. ISAC AND TH. M. RASSIAS, *Stability of ψ -additive mappings: Applications to nonlinear analysis*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci. **19** (1996), 219–228.
- [23] K. JUN AND Y. LEE, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of the Pexiderized quadratic equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **297** (2004), 70–86.
- [24] S. JUNG, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press Inc., Palm Harbor, Florida, 2001.
- [25] Y. JUNG AND I. CHANG, *The stability of a cubic type functional equation with the fixed point alternative*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **306** (2005), 752–760.
- [26] D. MIHEȚ AND V. RADU, *On the stability of the additive Cauchy functional equation in random normed spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **343** (2008), 567–572.
- [27] M. MIRZAVAZIRI AND M. S. MOSLEHIAN, *A fixed point approach to stability of a quadratic equation*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **37** (2006), 361–376.
- [28] C. PARK, *Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **36** (2005), 79–97.
- [29] C. PARK, *Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2007**, Art. ID 50175 (2007).

- [30] C. PARK, *Generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of quadratic functional equations: a fixed point approach*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2008**, Art. ID 493751 (2008).
- [31] C. PARK, Y. CHO AND M. HAN, *Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2007**, Art. ID 41820 (2007).
- [32] G. PISIER, *Grothendieck's Theorem for non-commutative C^* -algebras with an appendix on Grothendieck's constants*, J. Funct. Anal. **29** (1978), 397–415.
- [33] V. RADU, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory **4** (2003), 91–96.
- [34] J. M. RASSIAS, *Solution of a problem of Ulam*, J. Approx. Theory **57** (1989), 268–273.
- [35] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [36] TH. M. RASSIAS, *Problem 16; 2*, Report of the 27th International Symp. on Functional Equations, Aequationes Math. **39** (1990), 292–293; 309.
- [37] TH. M. RASSIAS (ed.), *Functional Equations and Inequalities*, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.
- [38] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 264–284.
- [39] TH. M. RASSIAS, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Math. Appl. **62** (2000), 23–130.
- [40] TH. M. RASSIAS AND P. ŠEMRL, *On the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989–993.
- [41] J. RÄTZ, *On inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation*, Aequationes Math. **66** (2003), 191–200.
- [42] Z.-J. RUAN, *Subspaces of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. **76** (1988), 217–230.
- [43] D. SHIN, S. LEE, C. BYUN AND S. KIM, *On matrix normed spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **27** (1983), 103–112.
- [44] S. M. ULAM, *A Collection of the Mathematical Problems*, Interscience Publ. New York, 1960.

(Received September 17, 2012)

Choonkil Park
 Research Institute for Natural Sciences
 Hanyang University
 Seoul 133-791, Korea
 e-mail: baak@hanyang.ac.kr

Dong Yun Shin
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Seoul
 Seoul 130-743, Korea
 e-mail: dyshin@uos.ac.kr

Jung Rye Lee
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Seoul
 Seoul 130-743, Korea
 e-mail: jrlee@daejin.ac.kr