

REMARKS ON SHERMAN LIKE INEQUALITIES FOR (α, β) -CONVEX FUNCTIONS

MAREK NIEZGODA

(Communicated by I. Perić)

Abstract. In this paper, Sherman's inequality is extended from convex functions to the class of (α, β) -convex functions including (k, h) -convex functions. Sherman's type results corresponding to Jensen-Steffensen, Mercer-Steffensen and Brunk inequalities are established. The obtained results are applied to mixed (α, β) -convex functions.

1. Introduction

A vector $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be *majorized* by a vector $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, written as $\mathbf{y} \prec \mathbf{x}$, if

$$\sum_{i=1}^j y_{[i]} \leq \sum_{i=1}^j x_{[i]} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

with equality for $j = n$ (see [10, p. 8]). Here $y_{[i]}$ and $x_{[i]}$ are the i th largest entry of \mathbf{y} and \mathbf{x} , respectively.

An $m \times n$ real matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$ is said to be *row stochastic* if $a_{ij} \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and all row sums of \mathbf{A} are equal to 1, i.e., $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. If in addition the transpose $\mathbf{A}^T = (a_{ji})$ of $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$ is row stochastic, then \mathbf{A} is called *doubly stochastic*. In other words, an $n \times n$ matrix \mathbf{A} is doubly stochastic iff $\mathbf{A} \geq 0$ (entrywise) and $\mathbf{e}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}\mathbf{A}^T$, where $\mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1)$ is the $1 \times n$ vector of ones.

It is well-known (see [10, pp. 33]) that for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathbf{y} \prec \mathbf{x} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{A} \quad \text{for some doubly stochastic } n \times n \text{ matrix } \mathbf{A}. \quad (1)$$

A real function F defined on a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be *Schur-convex* on S if for $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S$,

$$\mathbf{y} \prec \mathbf{x} \quad \text{implies} \quad F(\mathbf{y}) \leq F(\mathbf{x}).$$

A relationship between Schur-convexity and standard convexity is included in the following *Majorization Theorem* (see [10, pp. 92-93]).

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 39B62, 26D15.

Keywords and phrases: Majorization, Schur-convex function, convex function, (α, β) -convex function, (k, h) -convex function, h -convex function, Toader's c -convex function, Breckner's s -convex function.

THEOREM A. Assume that f is a real convex function defined on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Then, for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in I^n$,

$$\mathbf{y} \prec \mathbf{x} \text{ implies } \sum_{i=1}^n f(y_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i). \tag{2}$$

That is, the function

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \text{ for } (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$$

is Schur-convex on I^n , whenever f is convex on I .

EXAMPLE 1.1. Petrović’s inequality [6, p. 123] says that if f is a real convex function defined on interval $[0, \infty)$, then

$$f(x_1) + f(x_2) + \dots + f(x_n) \leq f(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) + (n - 1)f(0) \tag{3}$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in [0, \infty)$.

Inequality (3) is a corollary to (2), because

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \prec (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{n-1 \text{ times}})$$

for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in [0, \infty)$.

Throughout, for a positive integer p , we denote $\mathbb{R}_+^p = \{(x_1, \dots, x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^p : x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_p \geq 0\}$.

A more general result is the following *Sherman Theorem* ([17], see also [3, 7]).

THEOREM B. Assume that f is a real convex function defined on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in I^m$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, and

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}^T \text{ and } \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{A} \text{ for some } m \times n \text{ row stochastic matrix } \mathbf{A} = (a_{ij}), \tag{4}$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(y_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(x_j). \tag{5}$$

If f is concave, then the inequality (5) is reversed.

REMARK 1.2. (i) Observe that Theorem B implies Theorem A by the substitution $m = n$ and $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{e} = (1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, because $\mathbf{y} \prec \mathbf{x}$ gives $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}^T$ with some doubly stochastic matrix \mathbf{A} (see (1)) and $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{e}$.

- (ii) In the case $m = 1$ and $\mathbf{b} = [1]$, Sherman’s inequality (5) reduces to Jensen’s inequality.
- (iii) The Sherman theorem is usually given in the form of the equivalence of (4) and (5). See [3] for its proof.

To illustrate Sherman’s inequality (5) we now provide an example.

EXAMPLE 1.3. Bougoffa [4, Theorem 1.2] showed that if f is a real convex function defined on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, then for $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in I$,

$$\frac{n-1}{n} \left[f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}\right) + \dots + f\left(\frac{x_{n-1}+x_n}{2}\right) + f\left(\frac{x_n+x_1}{2}\right) \right] + f\left(\frac{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n}{n}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i). \tag{6}$$

To prove (6) with the help of Theorem B, consider the matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}^T of sizes $(n+1) \times n$ and $n \times (n+1)$, respectively, given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} & \dots & \frac{1}{n} & \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{A}^T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \dots & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{n} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{n} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easily seen that for

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = \left(\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_{n \text{ times}}, \frac{n}{n-1} \right),$$

we have

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}^T = \left(\frac{x_1+x_2}{2}, \frac{x_2+x_3}{2}, \dots, \frac{x_{n-1}+x_n}{2}, \frac{x_n+x_1}{2}, \frac{x_1+x_2+\dots+x_n}{n} \right)$$

and

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{A} = \frac{n}{n-1} (1, 1, \dots, 1).$$

Now, inequality (6) is a direct consequence of (5).

REMARK 1.4. (i) The proof of Theorem B can be based on Jensen inequality.

- (ii) On the other hand, it is not hard to check that Theorem B remains valid if convex functions are replaced by subadditive functions, and a row stochastic matrix is replaced by the all-ones matrix.

The first purpose of this paper is to extend Sherman's Theorem B from convex functions to so-called (α, β) -convex functions (see Section 2). The second purpose is to derive Sherman's type results corresponding to Jensen-Steffensen, Mercer-Steffensen and Brunk inequalities (see Section 3). Also, (k, h) -convex functions and Toader's c -convex functions are discussed in this context. The obtained results are applied to mixed (α, β) -convex functions in Section 4. This leads to a combination of Jensen and Bellman inequalities.

2. Sherman type results for (α, β) -convex functions

In this paper, for given vectors $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that a function $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is (α, β) -convex (resp. (α, β) -concave) on a set $S \subset I^n$ if the following inequality holds:

$$f(\langle \alpha, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \leq (\geq) \langle \beta, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \quad \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in S, \quad (7)$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n , and $f(\mathbf{x}) = (f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n))$ for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in S$ (cf. [9]).

The class of functions satisfying inequality (7) (with $\alpha = \beta$ or $\alpha \neq \beta$) includes convex functions, subadditive functions, starshaped functions, Breckner's s -convex functions [6, p. 254], Godunova-Levin functions [18], P -functions [16, 18], h -convex functions [18], Toader's c -convex functions [8], (k, h) -convex functions [9, 12, 15], etc. For some details, see below.

(i) *Jensen's inequality* [1]: Let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i), \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in S = I^n$ and $p_i \geq 0$, $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i > 0$.

(ii) *Jensen-Steffensen inequality* [1] asserts that if $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, such that $[a, b] \subset I$ with $a < b$, and if $a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq b$ and

$$0 \leq W_i \leq W_n, \quad W_n > 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (9)$$

where $W_i = \sum_{j=1}^i w_j$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$f\left(\frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j x_j\right) \leq \frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_j f(x_j). \quad (10)$$

Statement (9) is called *Steffensen's condition*. Here $S = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in I^n : a \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq b\}$.

- (iii) *Brunk inequality* [5]: Let f be a real convex function defined on $[0, x_1]$ with $f(0) \leq 0$. Assume that $1 \geq h_1 \geq h_2 \geq \dots \geq h_n \geq 0$ and $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n \geq 0$. Then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} h_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} h_i f(x_i). \tag{11}$$

- (iv) *Mercer's inequality* [11, Theorem 1.2]: If f is a real convex function on an interval containing numbers x_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $0 < x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$, then

$$f\left(x_1 - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i + x_n\right) \leq f(x_1) - \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i) + f(x_n), \tag{12}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$ with $w_i > 0$.

- (v) *Mercer-Steffensen inequality* [1, Theorem 2]: Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function, where I is an interval in \mathbb{R} , and let $[a, b] \subset I$ with $a < b$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ be real n -tuples. If $a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq b$ and

$$w_i \neq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq W_i \leq W_n, \quad W_n > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{13}$$

where $W_i = \sum_{j=1}^i w_j$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$f\left(a - \frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i + b\right) \leq f(a) - \frac{1}{W_n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_i) + f(b). \tag{14}$$

- (vi) *Generalized Mercer type inequality* [13, Theorem 2.1]: Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous convex function on interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ with $a_j \in I$, and $\mathbf{X} = (x_{ij})$ be a real $n \times m$ matrix such that $x_{ij} \in I$ for all i, j .

If \mathbf{a} majorizes each row of \mathbf{X} , i.e., $(x_{i1}, \dots, x_{im}) \prec (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, then

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_j - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_{ij}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m f(a_j) - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i f(x_{ij}), \tag{15}$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1$ with $w_i \geq 0$.

- (vii) *Toader's c -convex functions* $f : I = [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $c \in [0, 1]$ are defined by the following inequality (see [8]):

$$f(t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_{n-1} x_{n-1} + c t_n x_n) \leq t_1 f(x_1) + \dots + t_{n-1} f(x_{n-1}) + c t_n f(x_n) \tag{16}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S = [0, b]^n$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, 1]$ with $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1$.

In particular, as noted in [8], (16) gives the standard convexity for $c = 1$, and the starshapedness for $n = 2$ and $c = 0$.

(viii) *Breckner's s-convex functions* $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, I \subset \mathbb{R}, s \in (0, 1]$, are defined by the inequality (see [6, p. 254], cf. [18, p. 304]):

$$f(t_1x_1 + \dots + t_nx_n) \leq t_1^s f(x_1) + \dots + t_n^s f(x_n) \tag{17}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S = I^n$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in (0, 1)$ with $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1$.

(ix) *P-functions* $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, I \subset \mathbb{R}$, are defined by the inequality (cf. [16],[18, p. 304]):

$$f(t_1x_1 + \dots + t_nx_n) \leq f(x_1) + \dots + f(x_n) \tag{18}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S = I^n$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in (0, 1)$ with $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1$.

(x) *Godunova-Levin functions* $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, I \subset \mathbb{R}$, are defined by the inequality (cf. [18, p. 303]):

$$f(t_1x_1 + \dots + t_nx_n) \leq \frac{1}{t_1} f(x_1) + \dots + \frac{1}{t_n} f(x_n) \tag{19}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S = I^n$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in (0, 1)$ with $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1$.

(xi) Let $h : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a given function. An *h-convex function* $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, I \subset \mathbb{R}$, is defined by the inequality (cf. [18, p. 304]):

$$f(t_1x_1 + \dots + t_nx_n) \leq h(t_1)f(x_1) + \dots + h(t_n)f(x_n) \tag{20}$$

for all $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S = I^n$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in (0, 1)$ with $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1$.

Now we are at position to state a result of Sherman type for (α, β) -convex functions.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$ and $\mathbf{B} = (b_{ij})$ be $m \times n$ -matrices with i th rows α_i and β_i , respectively, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an (α_i, β_i) -convex function on a set $\emptyset \neq S \subset I^n$ with an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, i.e.,*

$$f(\langle \alpha_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \leq \langle \beta_i, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in S, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \tag{21}$$

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in S$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in I^m$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$.

If

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}^T \text{ and } \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{B} \tag{22}$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(y_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(x_j). \tag{23}$$

If f is (α_i, β_i) -concave, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, then the inequality (23) is reversed.

Proof. By denoting $f(\mathbf{y}) = (f(y_1), f(y_2), \dots, f(y_m))$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(y_i) = \langle \mathbf{b}, f(\mathbf{y}) \rangle. \tag{24}$$

Here $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^m .

From $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}^T$ we derive

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Now, by using (21), we conclude that

$$f(y_i) = f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}x_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij}f(x_j), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Hence

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \leq_C \left(\sum_{j=1}^n b_{1j}f(x_j), \sum_{j=1}^n b_{2j}f(x_j), \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n b_{mj}f(x_j) \right) = f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{B}^T,$$

where $f(\mathbf{x}) = (f(x_1), f(x_2), \dots, f(x_n))$ and \leq_C denotes the componentwise preorder on \mathbb{R}^m .

In consequence, from (24) and (22) and by the positivity of $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(y_i) = \langle \mathbf{b}, f(\mathbf{y}) \rangle \leq \langle \mathbf{b}, f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{B}^T \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b}\mathbf{B}, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{a}, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(x_j),$$

as required. \square

Let $k : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a given function and $n \geq 2$ be a given positive integer. Then a set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $(k; n)$ -convex if

$$k(t_1)x_1 + \dots + k(t_n)x_n \in I$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in (0, 1)$ with $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1$. (Cf. [12, Def. 2.1], [15]).

Let $k, h : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two given functions and $n \geq 2$ be a given positive integer. A function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined on a $(k; n)$ -convex set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $(k, h; n)$ -convex if

$$f(k(t_1)x_1 + \dots + k(t_n)x_n) \leq h(t_1)f(x_1) + \dots + h(t_n)f(x_n)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in (0, 1)$ with $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1$. (Cf. [12, Def. 2.4], [6, p. 254], [15]).

Given a function $g : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and an $m \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{T} = (t_{ij})$ such that $t_{ij} \in (0, 1)$ for all i, j , we define $g(\mathbf{T})$ to be the matrix $(g(t_{ij}))$.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let $k, h : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be two given functions and $n \geq 2$ be a given positive integer. Assume that f is a real $(k, h; n)$ -convex function defined on a $(k; n)$ -convex set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.*

If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in I^m$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, and

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}(k(\mathbf{T}))^T \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}(h(\mathbf{T}))$$

for some $m \times n$ row stochastic matrix \mathbf{T} , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(y_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(x_j). \tag{25}$$

Proof. Use Theorem 2.1 with $\mathbf{A} = k(\mathbf{T})$ and $\mathbf{B} = h(\mathbf{T})$. \square

EXAMPLE 2.3. By setting $k(t) = t$ and $h(t) = t^s$, $t, s \in (0, 1)$, we can apply Corollary 2.2 to Breckner’s s -convex functions (see (17)).

Assume that $f : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is an s -convex function defined on $I = (0, \infty)$, $s \in (0, 1)$.

If $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in I^m$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, and

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{T}^T \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{T}^s \tag{26}$$

for some $m \times n$ row stochastic matrix $\mathbf{T} = (t_{ij})$, then (25) holds with (26).

3. The case $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$

Our aim in this section is to give some applications of Theorem 2.1 when $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$.

REMARK 3.1. Theorem A is a special case of Theorem 2.1 with $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$ connected with the classical Jensen’s inequality (8).

The next result is related to Jensen-Steffensen inequality (10).

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real convex function defined on an interval $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$ with $a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq b$, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) \in I^m$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$.*

If $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}^T$ and $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{A}$ for some $m \times n$ matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$ with rows satisfying Steffensen’s condition:

$$0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} \leq 1 = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \tag{27}$$

then (23) holds.

Proof. According to Jensen-Steffensen inequality (10) for convex f we get that f is (α_i, α_i) -convex, i.e.,

$$f(\langle \alpha_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \leq \langle \alpha_i, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where α_i is the i th row of \mathbf{A} . Now, it is enough to employ Theorem 2.1 with $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{B}$. \square

In the next example we present a generalization of the inequality of Brunk (11).

EXAMPLE 3.3. Suppose that f is a real convex function defined on interval $I = [0, x_1]$ with $f(0) \leq 0$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$ with $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n > 0$, and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$.

If $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij}) = ((-1)^{j-1} h_{ij})$ is an $m \times n$ matrix with rows such that

$$1 \geq h_{i1} \geq h_{i2} \geq \dots \geq h_{in} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{j-1} h_{ij} x_j \right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(x_j),$$

where $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{bA}$, i.e., $a_j = (-1)^{j-1} \sum_{i=1}^m b_i h_{ij}$.

In the forthcoming example we use Toader’s c -convexity (16) with $n = 2$.

EXAMPLE 3.4. Assume f is a real Toader’s c -convex function defined on an interval $I = [0, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2) \in I^2$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$.

If \mathbf{A} is an $m \times 2$ matrix with rows $\alpha_i = (a_{i1}, ca_{i2})$ such that

$$a_{i1} + a_{i2} = 1, \quad a_{i1}, a_{i2} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(a_{i1}x_1 + ca_{i2}x_2) \leq a_1 f(x_1) + a_2 f(x_2),$$

where $a_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i a_{i1}$ and $a_2 = c \sum_{i=1}^m b_i a_{i2}$.

In Corollary 3.5 we provide an extension of Mercer-Steffensen inequality (14).

COROLLARY 3.5. Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real convex function defined on an interval $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$ with $a \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n \leq b$, and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$.

If $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$ is an $m \times n$ matrix with rows satisfying Steffensen’s condition (27), then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f \left(a - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j + b \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(a) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(b), \quad (28)$$

where $a_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} b_i$.

Proof. By $\mathbf{1}_m$ we denote the (column) m -tuple of ones.

By taking $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = \mathbf{x}\mathbf{A}^T \in I^m$, $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = \mathbf{b}\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = a\mathbf{1}^T - \mathbf{y} + b\mathbf{1}^T$, $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} = \mathbf{b}$, and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = (\mathbf{1}_m, -\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{1}_m) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (a, \mathbf{x}, b) = (a, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, b) \in I^{n+2},$$

we derive $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \tilde{\mathbf{x}}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}^T$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{a}} = \tilde{\mathbf{b}}\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$.

Moreover, by Mercer-Steffensen inequality (14) we find that

$$f(a - \langle \alpha_i, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b) \leq f(a) - \langle \alpha_i, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle + f(b), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where α_i denotes the i th row of the matrix \mathbf{A} . Thus we obtain

$$f(\langle \tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\mathbf{x}} \rangle) \leq \langle \tilde{\alpha}_i, f(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \rangle, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ denotes the i th row of the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}$. Consequently, f is $(\tilde{\alpha}_i, \tilde{\alpha}_i)$ -convex.

So, by employing Theorem 2.1 we infer that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{b}_i f(\tilde{y}_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n+2} \tilde{a}_j f(\tilde{x}_j). \quad (29)$$

Hence, for $a_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} b_i$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(\tilde{y}_i) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i \right) f(a) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(x_j) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^m b_i \right) f(b), \quad (30)$$

since

$$\tilde{y}_i = a - y_i + b = a - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j + b,$$

$$\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_{n+2} = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i, \quad \tilde{a}_{j+1} = - \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} b_i = -a_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

For this reason inequality (28) follows from (29)-(30), completing the proof. \square

We now interpret the last corollary in the context of Mercer's inequality (12).

EXAMPLE 3.6. Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real convex function defined on an interval $I = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$ with $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_n$, and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$.

If $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$ is an $m \times n$ row stochastic matrix, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(x_1 - \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j + x_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(x_1) - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^m b_i f(x_n),$$

where $a_j = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} b_i$.

4. Combining (α, β) -convexity and (γ, δ) -convexity

In this section we focus on convex combinations of (α, β) -convexity and (γ, δ) -convexity.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be convex on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. Let α, β, γ and δ be given vectors in \mathbb{R}^n . Assume that f is (α, β) -convex and (γ, δ) -convex on a set $S \subset I^n$, i.e.,*

$$f(\langle \alpha, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \leq \langle \beta, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle \text{ and } f(\langle \gamma, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \leq \langle \delta, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S. \tag{31}$$

Then for any $t \in [0, 1]$ function f is $(t\alpha + (1-t)\gamma, t\beta + (1-t)\delta)$ -convex on S , i.e.,

$$f(\langle t\alpha + (1-t)\gamma, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \leq \langle t\beta + (1-t)\delta, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \quad \mathbf{x} \in S.$$

Proof. Fix arbitrarily $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathbf{x} \in S$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(\langle t\alpha + (1-t)\gamma, \mathbf{x} \rangle) &= f(t\langle \alpha, \mathbf{x} \rangle + (1-t)\langle \gamma, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \leq tf(\langle \alpha, \mathbf{x} \rangle) + (1-t)f(\langle \gamma, \mathbf{x} \rangle) \\ &\leq t\langle \beta, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle + (1-t)\langle \delta, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle = \langle t\beta + (1-t)\delta, f(\mathbf{x}) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. The former inequality is a consequence of the (standard) convexity of f , and the latter follows from (31). This finishes the proof. \square

EXAMPLE 4.2. Suppose that $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex on an interval $I = [0, x_1]$ and $f(0) \leq 0$. By Bellman’s inequality (see [2, p. 462]), for $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n \geq 0$, it holds that

$$f(x_1 - x_2 + x_3 - x_4 + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}x_n) \leq f(x_1) - f(x_2) + f(x_3) - f(x_4) + \dots + (-1)^{n-1}f(x_n).$$

In other words, by putting $\alpha = \beta = (1, -1, 1, -1, \dots, (-1)^{n-1})$, we see that f is (α, β) -convex. Furthermore, by Jensen’s inequality, f is also (γ, δ) -convex, where $\gamma = \delta = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ with $w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_n = 1$.

In summary, in light of Lemma 4.1, for $t \in [0, 1]$ the function f is $(t\alpha + (1-t)\gamma, t\beta + (1-t)\delta)$ -convex on $S = \{\mathbf{x} \in I^n : x_1 \geq \dots \geq x_n\}$, i.e., for $\mathbf{x} \in S$,

$$f\left(\sum_{j=1}^n ((-1)^{j-1}t + (1-t)w_j)x_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n ((-1)^{j-1}t + (1-t)w_j)f(x_j). \tag{32}$$

It is evident that (32) becomes Jensen’s inequality for $t = 0$, and Bellman’s inequality for $t = 1$.

Finally, we demonstrate a Sherman type result based on inequality (32).

COROLLARY 4.3. *Let $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real convex function defined on an interval $I = [0, x_1] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $f(0) \leq 0$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in I^n$ with $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_n \geq 0$, and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$.*

If $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})$ is an $m \times n$ matrix with rows α_i of the form

$$\alpha_i = t(1, -1, 1, -1, \dots, (-1)^{n-1}) + (1-t)(w_{i1}, w_{i2}, \dots, w_{in}) \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

with $t \in [0, 1]$, $w_{i1} + w_{i2} + \dots + w_{in} = 1$, $w_{ij} \geq 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^m b_i f \left(\sum_{j=1}^n ((-1)^{j-1} t + (1-t)w_{ij}) x_j \right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n a_j f(x_j),$$

where $a_j = \sum_{i=1}^m ((-1)^{j-1} t + (1-t)w_{ij}) b_i$.

Proof. It is sufficient to apply Theorem 2.1. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. ABRAMOVICH, M. KLARIČIĆ BAKULA, M. MATIĆ AND J. PEČARIĆ, *A variant of Jensen – Steffensen’s inequality and quasi-arithmetic means*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **307**, (2005), 370–386.
- [2] M. BJELICA, *Refinement and converse of Brunk-Olkin inequality*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **227**, (1998), 462–467.
- [3] J. BORCEA, *Equilibrium points of logarithmic potentials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **359**, (2007), 3209–3237.
- [4] L. BOUGOFFA, *New inequalities about convex functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **7**, 4 (2006), Art. 148.
- [5] H. D. BRUNK, *On an inequality for convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **7**, (1956), 817–824.
- [6] W. W. BRECKNER AND T. TRIF, *Convex Functions and Related Functional Equations: Selected Topics*, Cluj University Press, Cluj, 2008.
- [7] A.-M. BURTEA, *Two examples of weighted majorization*, Annals of the University of Craiova, Mathematics and Computer Science Series, **37**, 2 (2000), 92–99.
- [8] M. KLARIČIĆ BAKULA, J. PEČARIĆ AND M. RIBIČIĆ, *Companion inequalities to Jensen’s inequality for m -convex and α, m -convex functions*, J. Ineq. Pure & Appl. Math. **7**, 5 (2006), Article 194.
- [9] G. MAKSA AND Z. PÁLES, *The equality case in some recent convexity inequalities*, Opuscula Math., **31**, 2 (2011), 269–277.
- [10] A. W. MARSHALL, I. OLKIN AND B. C. ARNOLD, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, Second Edition, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [11] A. MCD. MERCER, *A variant of Jensen’s inequality*, J. Ineq. Pure & Appl. Math., **4**, 4 (2003), Article 73.
- [12] B. MICHERDA AND T. RAJBA, *On some Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér inequalities for (k, h) -convex functions*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **15**, 4 (2012), 931–940.
- [13] M. NIEZGODA, *A generalization of Mercer’s result on convex functions*, Nonlinear Anal.: Theory Methods Appl., **71**, (2009), 2771–2779.
- [14] M. NIEZGODA, *Inequalities for convex functions and doubly stochastic matrices*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **16**, 1 (2013), 221–232.
- [15] M. NIEZGODA, *On $(k, h; m)$ -convex mappings and applications*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **17**, 2 (2014), 665–678.
- [16] C. E. M. PEARCE AND A. M. RUBINOV, *P -functions, quasi-convex functions and Hadamard-type inequalities*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **240**, (1999), 92–104.
- [17] S. SHERMAN, *On a theorem of Hardy*, Littlewood, Pólya, and Blackwell, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **37**, 1 (1957), 826–831.
- [18] S. VAROŠANEC, *On h -convexity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **326**, (2007), 303–311.

(Received September 12, 2013)

Marek Niezgoda

Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science

University of Life Sciences in Lublin

Akademia 15, 20-950 Lublin, Poland

e-mail: marek.niezgoda@up.lublin.pl, bniezgoda@wp.pl