

MONOTONE TRANSFORMATIONS ON $B(H)$ WITH RESPECT TO THE LEFT-STAR AND THE RIGHT-STAR PARTIAL ORDER

GREGOR DOLINAR, ALEXANDER GUTERMAN AND JANKO MAROVT

(Communicated by S. Puntanen)

Abstract. Let H be an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, and let $B(H)$ be the set of all bounded linear operators on H . In the paper equivalent definitions for the left-star and the right-star partial orders on $B(H)$ are given and bijective additive maps on $B(H)$ which preserve the left-star or the right-star partial order in both directions are characterized.

1. Introduction

Let M_n be the algebra of all $n \times n$ complex matrices and let $\text{Im}A$ denote the image of $A \in M_n$. Drazin defined in [2] a partial order on M_n , named the star partial order, in the following way:

$$A \leqslant_* B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad A^*A = A^*B \text{ and } AA^* = BA^*. \quad (1)$$

Here A^* stands for the conjugate transpose of A . Baksalary and Mitra introduced in [1] notions of order which are related.

DEFINITION 1. The left-star partial order on M_n is a relation defined by

$$A^* \leqslant B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad A^*A = A^*B \text{ and } \text{Im}A \subseteq \text{Im}B.$$

DEFINITION 2. The right-star partial order on M_n is a relation defined by

$$A \leqslant_* B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad AA^* = BA^* \text{ and } \text{Im}A^* \subseteq \text{Im}B^*.$$

It was shown in [1, Section 2] that both relations are partial orders and they are related to the star order in the following way:

$$A^* \leqslant B \quad \text{and} \quad A \leqslant_* B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad A \leqslant_* B \quad \text{for every } A, B \in M_n.$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 06A06, 15A03, 15A04, 15A86.

Keywords and phrases: Star partial order, minus partial order, left-star partial order, right-star partial order, preserver.

Many other partial orders can be also defined on M_n (see [9]). For example, Hartwig [7] introduced the rank subtractivity order as follows

$$A \overset{\sim}{\leq} B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \text{rank}(B - A) = \text{rank} B - \text{rank} A.$$

He also observed that there exists another equivalent definition of the rank subtractivity order, namely

$$A \overset{\sim}{\leq} B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad A^- A = A^- B \text{ and } A A^- = B A^-$$

where A^- is a generalized inner inverse of A . The partial order $\overset{\sim}{\leq}$ is thus usually called the minus partial order. It was observed in [1, Theorem 2.1] that for every $A, B \in M_n$ each of the relations $A \leq^* B$ or $A \leq_* B$ implies $A \overset{\sim}{\leq} B$.

Let H be an infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space, and let $B(H)$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . In [14] Šemrl extended the minus partial order from M_n to $B(H)$. Since $A \in B(H)$ has a generalized inner inverse if and only if its image is closed (see for example [11]), Šemrl found an appropriate equivalent definition of the minus partial order on M_n without using inner inverses, and then extended this definition to $B(H)$. More precisely, he proved that for $A, B \in M_n$ we have $A \overset{\sim}{\leq} B$ if and only if there exist idempotent matrices $P, Q \in M_n$ such that $\text{Im} P = \text{Im} A$, $\text{Ker} A = \text{Ker} Q$, $PA = PB$ and $AQ = BQ$. Using the same equations, only adding the closure on $\text{Im} A$ since the image of a bounded idempotent operator is closed, he then extended the concept of the minus partial order from M_n to $B(H)$. In the same paper [14] Šemrl also described the structure of corresponding automorphisms for the minus partial order on $B(H)$.

Motivated by Šemrl's results Dolinar and Marovt introduced in [4] a similar equivalent definition of the star partial order $\overset{*}{\leq}$ on $B(H)$. Namely, they showed that the usual definition of the star partial order (1) for $B(H)$ is equivalent to the following definition: for $A, B \in B(H)$, $A \overset{*}{\leq} B$ if and only if there exist self-adjoint idempotent operators $P, Q \in B(H)$ such that $\text{Im} P = \overline{\text{Im} A}$, $\text{Ker} A = \text{Ker} Q$, $PA = PB$ and $AQ = BQ$. In addition, bijective additive continuous maps which preserve the star partial order in both directions on a set of all compact operators from $B(H)$, where H is a separable Hilbert space, were characterized by Dolinar, Guterman, and Marovt in [3]. The authors restricted themselves in [3] to the set of all compact operators in $B(H)$ in order to use rank one operators in the proof and it is known that there exists a Hilbert space H and an operator $A \in B(H)$ such that there is no rank one operator $C \in B(H)$ with $C \overset{*}{\leq} A$. We will show (see Lemma 10) that this problem does not occur in the case of the left-star or the right-star partial order on $B(H)$ and hence the characterization of the corresponding automorphisms will not be restricted to the set of all compact operators from $B(H)$.

It is the aim of this paper to continue with the study of partial orders on $B(H)$. First we extend the definition of left-star and right-star partial orders from M_n to $B(H)$. Then we characterize automorphisms on $B(H)$ for the case of left-star and right-star partial orders and explain the structure of bijective additive converters between left-star and right-star orders. To indicate some possibilities for a further research we conclude the paper with an observation about left-star and right-star partial orders on the set of all Moore-Penrose invertible elements of a unital C^* -algebra.

2. The definition of left-star and right-star partial orders on $B(H)$

Let us introduce two relations on $B(H)$ and then prove that these relations are partial order relations which generalize left and right-star orders.

DEFINITION 3. For $A, B \in B(H)$ we write $A * \leq B$ if and only if there exist a self-adjoint idempotent $P \in B(H)$ and an idempotent $Q \in B(H)$, such that

$$\text{Im } P = \overline{\text{Im } A}, \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Ker } A = \text{Ker } Q, \quad (3)$$

$$PA = PB, \quad (4)$$

$$AQ = BQ. \quad (5)$$

We call this order *the left-star partial order* on $B(H)$.

DEFINITION 4. For $A, B \in B(H)$ we write $A \leq * B$ if and only if there exist an idempotent $P \in B(H)$ and a self-adjoint idempotent $Q \in B(H)$, such that

$$\text{Im } P = \overline{\text{Im } A}, \quad \text{Ker } A = \text{Ker } Q, \quad PA = PB, \quad AQ = BQ.$$

We call this order *the right-star partial order* on $B(H)$.

It will be proved that relations $* \leq$ from Definition 3 and $\leq *$ from Definition 4 are partial orders on $B(H)$. We will formulate and prove our results only for the left-star partial order, the right-star partial order can be treated in the same way by the symmetry.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. If $A * \leq B$ then $A \overset{\sim}{\leq} B$. If $A \underset{*}{\leq} B$ then $A * \leq B$.

Proof. Both implications follow directly from the definitions of $\overset{\sim}{\leq}$ and $\underset{*}{\leq}$. \square

Next lemma is formulated and proved in a similar way as [14, Theorem 2] and [4, Lemma 3].

LEMMA 2. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. The following statements are equivalent:

(i) $A * \leq B$.

(ii) There exist closed subspaces $H_1, H_2, H_3 \subseteq H$, such that

$$A, B : H_1 \oplus H_2 \rightarrow H_3 \oplus H_3^\perp$$

have matrix representations

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & B_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_3$ and $B_1 : H_2 \rightarrow H_3^\perp$ are bounded linear operators and A_1 is injective with $\overline{\text{Im } A_1} = H_3$.

$$(iii) \overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(B-A)} \text{ and } \overline{\text{Im}B^*} = \overline{\text{Im}A^*} \oplus \overline{\text{Im}(B^* - A^*)}.$$

Proof. First we prove that (i) implies (ii). Let P and $Q \in B(H)$ be idempotent operators satisfying

$$\text{Im}P = \overline{\text{Im}A}, \quad P^* = P, \quad \text{Ker}A = \text{Ker}Q, \quad PA = PB, \quad AQ = BQ.$$

Let $H_1 = \text{Im}Q$, $H_2 = \text{Ker}Q$, $H_3 = \text{Im}P$. Then $H_3^\perp = \text{Ker}P$ since $P = P^*$ and $H = H_1 \oplus H_2 = H_3 \oplus H_3^\perp$. Representing A according to this direct decomposition, we obtain $A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, where $A_1 : H_1 \rightarrow H_3$ is an injective operator by (3) whose image is dense in H_3 by (2). From (5) we conclude that $B = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & B_2 \\ 0 & B_1 \end{bmatrix}$. It follows by (4) that $\text{Im}(B - A) \subseteq \text{Ker}P$, hence $B_2 = 0$ and B has the desired form as well.

Second, (ii) implies (iii). There exist idempotents $P, Q \in B(H)$ such that $\text{Im}Q = H_1$, $\text{Ker}Q = H_2$, $\text{Im}P = H_3$, $\text{Ker}P = H_3^\perp$, and $P = P^*$. Now it is easy to check that $A^* \leq B$. Since $\text{Im}A \subseteq H_3$ and $\text{Im}(B - A) \subseteq H_3^\perp$, it follows that $\text{Im}A \perp \text{Im}(B - A)$, hence $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(B - A)}$. By Proposition 1, $A \leq B$ and therefore by [14, Theorem 2] the other equality in (iii) is also true.

Third, (iii) implies (i). There exists an idempotent $P \in B(H)$ such that $\text{Im}P = \overline{\text{Im}A}$, $\text{Ker}P = \overline{\text{Im}A}^\perp$, and $P = P^*$. Then $\text{Im}(B - A) \subseteq \overline{\text{Im}(B - A)} \subseteq \overline{\text{Im}A}^\perp = \text{Ker}P$. It follows that $P(B - A) = 0$ which implies (4). Since $\overline{\text{Im}B^*} = \overline{\text{Im}A^*} \oplus \overline{\text{Im}(B^* - A^*)}$ we can define an idempotent $R \in B(H)$ such that $\text{Im}R = \overline{\text{Im}A^*}$ and $\text{Im}(B^* - A^*) \subseteq \text{Ker}R$. It follows that $R(B^* - A^*) = 0$. Therefore, for $Q = R^*$ equalities (3) and (5) hold. Hence, $A^* \leq B$. \square

The following lemma follows directly from Definition 3 and Definition 4.

LEMMA 3. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$. Then $A^* \leq B$ if and only if $A^* \leq^* B^*$.*

THEOREM 4. *The relation $* \leq$, defined in Definition 3, is a partial order on $B(H)$.*

Proof. 1. $A^* \leq A$ for all $A \in B(H)$ by item (iii) of Lemma 2.

2. If $A^* \leq B$ and $B^* \leq A$ for some $A, B \in B(H)$ then $A \leq B$ and $B \leq A$ by Proposition 1. Hence, $A = B$ by [14, Corollary 3].

3. It remains to check transitivity. So, let $A^* \leq B$ and $B^* \leq C$ for some $A, B, C \in B(H)$. We are going to show that the condition (iii) from Lemma 2 is satisfied. First, note that by Proposition 1, $A \leq B$ and $B \leq C$, which implies by [14, Corollary 3] that $A \leq C$, so the second part of (iii) from Lemma 2 is satisfied. To show that $A^* \leq C$ it remains to prove that $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(C - A)}$. By item (ii) of Lemma 2 we have $\overline{\text{Im}A} \subseteq \overline{\text{Im}B} \subseteq \overline{\text{Im}C}$. Since $\overline{\text{Im}B} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(C - B)}$, we may conclude that $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(C - B)}$. Also

$$\text{Im}(C - A) \subseteq \text{Im}(C - B) + \text{Im}(B - A) \subseteq \overline{\text{Im}(C - B)} + \overline{\text{Im}(B - A)}.$$

Since $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(B-A)}$ and $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(C-B)}$, it follows that $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(C-A)}$. Using the fact that the inner product is continuous we may conclude that $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(C-A)}$. \square

Let us prove the equivalence of two definitions of left-star partial orders.

THEOREM 5. *The left-star partial order, given by the usual Definition 1 is equivalent to the left-star partial order, given by Definition 3 on M_n and $B(H)$.*

Proof. First, let us prove that Definition 3 implies Definition 1. Let $*\leq$ be the order defined with Definition 3 and suppose $A*\leq B$, $A, B \in B(H)$. Observe that then $\text{Im}A \subseteq \text{Im}B$. From item (iii) of Lemma 2 we have $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(B-A)}$. So, $\langle (B-A)x, Ax \rangle = 0$ and therefore $\langle A^*(B-A)x, x \rangle = 0$, $x \in H$. It follows that $A^*A = A^*B$.

To prove the converse implication, let us assume that for $A, B \in B(H)$ we have $A^*A = A^*B$ and $\text{Im}A \subseteq \text{Im}B$. There exists a unique partial isometry W such that $A = \sqrt{AA^*}W$ is the polar decomposition of A with $\text{Im}W = \overline{\text{Im}\sqrt{AA^*}}$. Let $\tilde{P} \in B(H)$ be the self-adjoint idempotent such that $\text{Im}\tilde{P} = \overline{\text{Im}\sqrt{AA^*}}$. Identically as in the proof of [4, Theorem 5] we may show that $\tilde{P}A = \tilde{P}B$. Since $A = \sqrt{AA^*}W$, it follows that $\text{Im}A \subseteq \overline{\text{Im}\sqrt{AA^*}}$ and hence $\overline{\text{Im}A} \subseteq \overline{\text{Im}\sqrt{AA^*}}$. There exists a self-adjoint idempotent $P \in B(H)$ such that $\text{Im}P = \overline{\text{Im}A}$. So, $\text{Im}P \subseteq \text{Im}\tilde{P}$ which yields $P\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}P = P$ and hence $PA = PB$. It follows that $\text{Im}(B-A) \subseteq \text{Ker}P = (\text{Im}P)^\perp = \overline{\text{Im}A}^\perp$ and therefore $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(B-A)}$. Observe that the left-star partial order implies minus partial order and then by [14, Theorem 2], $\overline{\text{Im}B^*} = \overline{\text{Im}A^*} \oplus \overline{\text{Im}(B^* - A^*)}$. By Lemma 2 the assertion follows. \square

3. Automorphisms of $B(H)$

Let us start with some auxiliary results.

LEMMA 6. *If $P \in B(H)$ is a self-adjoint idempotent and $A*\leq P$, then A is a self-adjoint idempotent and $AP = PA = A$.*

Proof. Let $P \in B(H)$ be a self-adjoint idempotent and $A*\leq P$. By Proposition 1, $A*\leq P$ implies $A \tilde{\leq} P$. By [14] we may conclude that A is an idempotent and that $AP = PA = A$. It remains to show that A is a self-adjoint operator. By Lemma 2 it follows that $\overline{\text{Im}A} \perp \overline{\text{Im}(P-A)}$. So, $\langle (P-A)x, Ax \rangle = 0$ for every $x \in H$ and therefore, since H is a complex Hilbert space, $A^*A = A^*P$. Note that A^*A is a self-adjoint operator. It follows that

$$A^*A = (A^*P)^* = PA = A.$$

This yields that A is a self-adjoint idempotent. \square

LEMMA 7. *Let $U \in B(H)$ be a unitary operator and $S \in B(H)$ an invertible operator. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. Then*

- $A \leq B$ if and only if $UAS^* \leq UBS$,
- $A \leq^* B$ if and only if $SAU \leq^* SBU$.

Proof. Let $A \leq B$. Then there exist idempotent operators $P, Q \in B(H)$ such that $\text{Im} P = \overline{\text{Im} A}$, $\text{Ker} A = \text{Ker} Q$, $P^* = P$, $PA = PB$ and $AQ = BQ$. Suppose $U \in B(H)$ is a unitary operator and $S \in B(H)$ is an invertible operator. Then $UAS^* \leq UBS$ for a self-adjoint idempotent $P_1 = UPU^*$ and an idempotent $Q_1 = S^{-1}QS$. Conversely, let $U \in B(H)$ be a unitary operator and $S \in B(H)$ an invertible operator such that $UAS^* \leq UBS$. Then for a unitary operator U^* and invertible operator S^{-1} it follows by the first part that $A \leq B$.

The second claim can be proved in a similar way. \square

We will denote by $I(H)$ the set of all idempotent operators in $B(H)$. Let $x, y \in H$ be nonzero vectors. We denote by $x \otimes y^*$ a rank one operator in $B(H)$ defined in the following way: $(x \otimes y^*)z = \langle z, y \rangle x$, for every $z \in H$. Note that every rank one operator in $B(H)$ can be written in this form.

LEMMA 8. Let $x, y \in H$ be nonzero vectors and $A \in B(H)$. The following two statements are equivalent:

- (i) $x \otimes y^* \leq^* A$.
- (ii) $Ay = \langle y, y \rangle x$ and $y \in \text{Im} A^*$.

Proof. Suppose first that $x \otimes y^* \leq^* A$ for some nonzero vectors $x, y \in H$ and $A \in B(H)$. So, there exist $P, Q \in I(H)$, $Q = Q^*$ such that $\text{Im} P = \text{Im} x \otimes y^*$, $\text{Ker} Q = \text{Ker} x \otimes y^*$, $P(x \otimes y^*) = PA$ and $(x \otimes y^*)Q = AQ$. From $\text{Ker} Q = \text{Ker} x \otimes y^*$ we have $\text{Im} Q = \text{Im} y \otimes x^*$, and hence $\text{Im} Q = \text{Lin}\{y\}$. Since Q is a rank one self-adjoint idempotent, it has the following form: $Q = \frac{y}{\|y\|} \otimes \left(\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right)^* = \frac{y \otimes y^*}{\langle y, y \rangle}$. From $(x \otimes y^*)Q = AQ$ it follows that $(x \otimes y^*)Q = \frac{1}{\langle y, y \rangle} (Ay \otimes y^*)$. Note that $x \otimes y^* = (x \otimes y^*)Q$. So, $\langle z, y \rangle x = \frac{1}{\langle y, y \rangle} \langle z, y \rangle Ay$ for every $z \in H$. We may conclude that $Ay = \langle y, y \rangle x$.

Since $\text{Im} P = \text{Im} x \otimes y^*$, we have $P(x \otimes y^*) = x \otimes y^*$ and hence $x \otimes y^* = PA$. So, $y \otimes x^* = A^*P^*$ and hence $y \in \text{Im} A^*$.

Conversely, let $x, y \in H$ be nonzero vectors and $A \in B(H)$ such that $y \in \text{Im} A^*$ and $Ay = \langle y, y \rangle x$. Let $Q = \frac{y \otimes y^*}{\langle y, y \rangle}$. So, Q is a self-adjoint idempotent operator in $B(H)$ and $\text{Ker} Q = \text{Ker} x \otimes y^*$. Also, $(x \otimes y^*)Q = x \otimes y^*$. Let now $z \in H$. Then

$$AQz = \frac{1}{\langle y, y \rangle} A(\langle z, y \rangle y) = \frac{1}{\langle y, y \rangle} \langle z, y \rangle \langle y, y \rangle x = (x \otimes y^*)z$$

and therefore $(x \otimes y^*)Q = AQ$.

Since $y \in \text{Im} A^*$, there exists $u \in H$ such that $A^*u = y$. Let $x \otimes u^* = P$. Then

$$\langle x, u \rangle = \left\langle A \left(\frac{y}{\langle y, y \rangle} \right), u \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{y}{\langle y, y \rangle}, A^*u \right\rangle = 1.$$

It follows that $P = x \otimes u^*$ is an idempotent. Clearly, $\text{Im} P = \text{Im} x \otimes y^*$. Let $z \in H$. Then

$$P(x \otimes y^*)z = (x \otimes u^*)(x \otimes y^*)z = \langle z, y \rangle \langle x, u \rangle x = \langle z, y \rangle x = (x \otimes y^*)z$$

and

$$PAz = (x \otimes u^*)Az = \langle Az, u \rangle x = \langle z, A^*u \rangle x = \langle z, y \rangle x = (x \otimes y^*)z.$$

So, $P(x \otimes y^*) = PA$ and hence $x \otimes y^* \leq_* A$. \square

The following lemma, which can be proved directly, follows easily from Lemma 3 and Lemma 8.

LEMMA 9. *Let $x, y \in H$ be nonzero vectors and $A \in B(H)$. The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $x \otimes y^* \leq_* A$.
- (ii) $A^*x = \langle x, x \rangle y$ and $x \in \text{Im} A$.

We will now show that for every nonzero operator $A \in B(H)$ there exists a rank one operator C such that $C \leq_* A$.

LEMMA 10. *Let $A \in B(H)$ be nonzero. For every nonzero $x \in \text{Im} A$ there exists nonzero $y \in H$ such that $x \otimes y^* \leq_* A$.*

Proof. By Lemma 9 it remains to prove that there exists a nonzero $y \in H$ such that $A^*x = \langle x, x \rangle y$. Suppose that there is no such y . So, $A^* \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2} \right) = 0$ and hence $\langle A^*x, z \rangle = 0$ for every $z \in H$. Therefore $\langle x, Az \rangle = 0$ for every $z \in H$ which yields that x is orthogonal to $\text{Im} A$. But $x \in \text{Im} A$, hence $x = 0$, a contradiction. \square

With the next lemma we will present a similar observation for the right-star partial order.

LEMMA 11. *Let $A \in B(H)$ be nonzero and suppose the image of A is closed. Let $y \in \text{Im} A^*, y \neq 0$. Then there exists a nonzero $l \in H$ such that $y \otimes l^* \leq_* A^*$.*

Proof. Since $y \in \text{Im} A^*, y \neq 0$, there exists $u \in H, u \neq 0$, such that $A^*u = y$. Let $z = \frac{u}{\|u\|^2}$. Then $\|z\| = \frac{1}{\|u\|}$, hence $A^*z = \langle z, z \rangle y$. Suppose $z \notin \text{Im} A$. Since $\text{Im} A = \overline{\text{Im} A}$, it follows that $H = \text{Ker} A^* \oplus \text{Im} A$. Write $z = k + l_1$ where $k \in \text{Ker} A^*$ and $l_1 \in \text{Im} A$. If $l_1 = 0$, then $A^*z = 0$, a contradiction since $z \neq 0, y \neq 0$ and $A^*z = \langle z, z \rangle y$. It follows that $l_1 \neq 0$ and

$$A^*z = A^*l_1 = \langle k + l_1, k + l_1 \rangle y = (\langle k, k \rangle + \langle l_1, l_1 \rangle)y.$$

Let $a = \frac{\langle k, k \rangle + \langle l_1, l_1 \rangle}{\langle l_1, l_1 \rangle} y$. So, $a \in \text{Lin}\{y\}$ and $y = \frac{\langle l_1, l_1 \rangle}{\langle k, k \rangle + \langle l_1, l_1 \rangle} a$. It follows that

$$A^*l_1 = \langle l_1, l_1 \rangle a.$$

Recall that $l_1 \in \text{Im}A$. Lemma 8 yields $a \otimes l_1^* \leq_* A^*$. Since $a \in \text{Lin}\{y\}$, there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $a = \alpha y$. So, $a \otimes l_1^* = y \otimes (\overline{\alpha}l_1)^*$. Let $l = \overline{\alpha}l_1$ hence $y \otimes l^* \leq_* A^*$. \square

Let now $x \otimes y^*$ and $u \otimes v^*$ be two rank one operators in $B(H)$. Let us define the following relation between rank one operators in $B(H)$: we write $x \otimes y^* \sim u \otimes v^*$ if x and u are linearly dependent or y and v are linearly dependent. So, for two rank one operators $A, B \in B(H)$ we write $A \sim B$ if A and B have the same image or the same kernel.

LEMMA 12. *Let $A, B \in B(H)$, $A \neq B$, be rank one operators in $B(H)$. The following two statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $A \sim B$;
- (ii) *There does not exist a rank two operator $C \in B(H)$ such that $A^* \leq C$ and $B^* \leq C$.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let $A, B \in B(H)$, $A \neq B$, be rank one operators in $B(H)$ and $A \sim B$. Denote $A = x \otimes y^*, B = u \otimes v^*$. Let C be any rank two operator in $B(H)$ such that $A^* \leq C$. Suppose first that x and u are linearly dependent and y and v are also linearly dependent. So, $A = \lambda B$ for some nonzero $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that then $B = x \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}y\right)^*$. Since $A^* \leq C$, by Lemma 9 we have $C^*x = \langle x, x \rangle y$. Suppose that $B^* \leq C$. It follows that $C^*x = \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle x, x \rangle y$. So, $\langle x, x \rangle y = \frac{1}{\lambda} \langle x, x \rangle y$ hence $\lambda = 1$. This yields $A = B$, a contradiction.

Suppose now that x and u are linearly dependent and that y and v are linearly independent. Without loss of generality we may write $A = x \otimes y^*$ and $B = x \otimes v^*$. Suppose that there exists a rank two operator $C \in B(H)$ such that $A, B^* \leq C$. So, $C^*x = \langle x, x \rangle y$ and $C^*x = \langle x, x \rangle v$ hence $y = v$, a contradiction.

Next, suppose that x and u are linearly independent and that y and v are linearly dependent. We may write $A = x \otimes y^*$ and $B = u \otimes y^*$. Again, suppose that there exists a rank two operator $C \in B(H)$ such that $A, B^* \leq C$. So, $C^*x = \langle x, x \rangle y$, $C^*u = \langle u, u \rangle y$, and $x, u \in \text{Im}C$. It follows that

$$C^* \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2} \right) = C^* \left(\frac{u}{\|u\|^2} \right)$$

hence $C^* \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2} - \frac{u}{\|u\|^2} \right) = 0$. So, $\frac{x}{\|x\|^2} - \frac{u}{\|u\|^2} \in \text{Ker}C^* = (\text{Im}C)^\perp$. Since $x, u \in \text{Im}C$, it follows that $\frac{x}{\|x\|^2} - \frac{u}{\|u\|^2} \in \text{Im}C$. We may conclude that $\frac{x}{\|x\|^2} - \frac{u}{\|u\|^2} = 0$ which yields that x and u are linearly dependent, a contradiction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): To prove the converse implication suppose $A \not\sim B$. Again, let $A = x \otimes y^*, B = u \otimes v^*$. So, x and u are linearly independent and y and v are linearly independent. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\|x\| = \|u\| = 1$. There exists $z \in \text{Lin}\{x, u\}$ with $\langle x, z \rangle = 0$ and $\langle u, z \rangle \neq 0$. Let $C \in B(H)$ be defined in the following way:

$$C = x \otimes y^* + z \otimes s^* \quad \text{where } s = \frac{v - \langle u, x \rangle y}{\langle u, z \rangle}.$$

Since v and y are linearly independent, it follows that s and y are also linearly independent. Recall that z and x are linearly independent. We may conclude that C is a rank two operator and since $z \in \text{Lin}\{x, u\}$ it follows that $\text{Im}C = \text{Lin}\{x, u\}$. So, $u, x \in \text{Im}C$. Also,

$$C^*x = (y \otimes x^* + s \otimes z^*)x = \langle x, x \rangle y + \langle x, z \rangle s = \langle x, x \rangle y$$

and

$$C^*u = \langle u, x \rangle y + \langle u, z \rangle s = \langle u, x \rangle y + \langle u, z \rangle \frac{v - \langle u, x \rangle y}{\langle u, z \rangle} = v = \langle u, u \rangle v.$$

Hence $x \otimes y^* \leq C$ and $u \otimes v^* \leq C$. We found a rank two operator C such that $A, B \leq C$. \square

LEMMA 13. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. Suppose that for every rank one operator $C \in B(H)$ we have

$$C^* \leq A \quad \text{if and only if} \quad C^* \leq B.$$

Then $A = B$.

Proof. Let $A, B \in B(H)$. Assume that for every rank one operator $C \in B(H)$ we have $C^* \leq A$ if and only if $C^* \leq B$. Let $x \in \text{Im} A$. By Lemma 10 there exists a nonzero $y \in H$ such that $x \otimes y^* \leq A$. It follows that $x \otimes y^* \leq B$ therefore by Lemma 9, $x \in \text{Im}B$. We proved that $\text{Im}A \subseteq \text{Im}B$. In the same way we prove that $\text{Im}B \subseteq \text{Im}A$, hence $\text{Im}A = \text{Im}B$.

Note again that for every $x \in \text{Im}A = \text{Im}B$ there exists nonzero $y_1 \in H$ such that $x \otimes y_1^* \leq A$. But since for every rank one operator $C \in B(H)$, $C^* \leq A$ if and only if $C^* \leq B$, we have that $x \otimes y_1^* \leq B$. It follows by Lemma 9 that

$$A^* \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2} \right) = B^* \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|^2} \right),$$

hence $A^*x = B^*x$ for every $x \in \text{Im}A = \text{Im}B$. By the continuity of A^* and B^* we may conclude that $A^*x = B^*x$ for every $x \in \overline{\text{Im}A} = \overline{\text{Im}B}$.

Note that $\text{Ker}A^* = \text{Ker}B^*$ and $H = \text{Ker}A^* \oplus \overline{\text{Im}A}$. Take any $x \in H$. Then $x = u + v$ for some $u \in \text{Ker}A^*$ and $v \in \overline{\text{Im}A}$. It follows that

$$A^*x = A^*v = B^*v = B^*x.$$

So, $A^* = B^*$ and therefore $A = B$. \square

Let $x, y \in H$ be nonzero. Let us define the following sets of operators:

$$L_x = \{x \otimes v^* : v \in H \setminus \{0\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad R_y = \{z \otimes y^* : z \in H \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Note that every operator in L_x and every operator in R_y is of rank one.

LEMMA 14. An operator A is invertible if and only if for every nonzero $x \in H$ and for every nonzero $y \in H$ there exist $B \in L_x$ and $C \in R_y$ such that $B, C \leq A$.

Proof. Suppose first that for every nonzero $x \in H$ and for every nonzero $y \in H$ there exist $B \in L_x$ and $C \in R_y$ such that $B, C * \leq A$. Let $x \in H, x \neq 0$. Then there exist $v \in H \setminus \{0\}$ such that $B = x \otimes v * \leq A$. By Lemma 9, $x \in \text{Im} A$ hence A is surjective. Similarly, for $y \in H, y \neq 0$, there exists $z \in H \setminus \{0\}$ such that $C = z \otimes y * \leq A$. It follows that $A * z = \langle z, z \rangle y$ hence $y \in \text{Im} A^*$. This yields $\text{Im} A^* = H$ and hence $\text{Ker} A = \{0\}$. So, A is also injective and hence bijective.

Conversely, suppose $A \in B(H)$ is invertible. Let $x \in H = \text{Im} A, x \neq 0$. By Lemma 10 there exists $y \in H \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x \otimes y * \leq A$. If we denote $B = x \otimes y^*$, then $B \in L_x$ and $B * \leq A$. Since A is invertible, A^* is also invertible. Let $y \in H = \text{Im} A^*, y \neq 0$. By Lemma 11 there exists a nonzero $u \in H$ such that $y \otimes u^* \leq *A^*$, hence by Lemma 3, $u \otimes y * \leq A$. \square

Let us now state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 15. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. Assume that $\phi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a bijective, additive map such that for every pair $A, B \in B(H)$ we have*

$$A * \leq B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi(A) * \leq \phi(B).$$

Then there exist a unitary operator $U \in B(H)$ and an invertible operator $S \in B(H)$, or there exist an antiunitary operator $U : H \rightarrow H$ and a bounded, bijective, conjugate-linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\phi(A) = UAS$$

for every $A \in B(H)$.

Proof. We split it into the different steps.

1. $\phi(0) = 0$. Since $0 * \leq \phi^{-1}(0)$, we have $\phi(0) * \leq 0$, thus $\phi(0) = 0$.
2. ϕ preserves rank one. Denote by $B_1(H)$ the set of all rank one operators in $B(H)$. Let $A \in B_1(H), B \in B(H)$ and suppose $B * \leq A$. By Lemma 2 it follows that then either $B = 0$ or $B = A$. Of course, if $B = 0$ or $B = A$, then $B * \leq A$. We may conclude that $\phi(B_1(H)) = B_1(H)$.
3. ϕ preserves rank two. Similarly, $A \in B(H)$ is of rank two if and only if for every $B \in B(H)$ where $B * \leq A$ and $B \neq A$ it follows that $B \in \{0\} \cup B_1(H)$. So, ϕ maps the set of all rank two operators onto itself.
4. ϕ preserves the relation \sim in both directions. Indeed, it follows by Lemma 12 that for every pair $A, B \in B_1(H)$ we have:

$$A \sim B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi(A) \sim \phi(B),$$

which is the required condition.

5. *Action of ϕ on the sets L_x, R_y .* Let $x, y \in H, x \neq 0, y \neq 0$. Note that for every pair of operators $A, B \in L_x$ we have $A \sim B$, and for every pair of operators $C, D \in R_y$ we also have $C \sim D$. Let \mathcal{T} be a subset of $B_1(H)$ such that for every $A, B \in \mathcal{T}$ we have $A \sim B$. Then there exists a nonzero $x \in H$ such that $\mathcal{T} \subseteq L_x$ or there exists a nonzero $y \in H$ such that $\mathcal{T} \subseteq R_y$. Since ϕ is bijective and preserves the relation \sim in

both directions, it follows that for every nonzero $x \in H$ there exists a nonzero $u \in H$ such that $\phi(L_x) = L_u$, or there exists a nonzero $y \in H$ such that $\phi(L_x) = R_y$. Similarly, for every nonzero $y \in H$ there exists a nonzero $x \in H$ such that $\phi(R_y) = L_x$, or there exists a nonzero $v \in H$ such that $\phi(R_y) = R_v$. Since ϕ^{-1} has the same properties as ϕ we may conclude that for every nonzero $u \in H$ there exists a nonzero $x \in H$ such that $\phi(L_x) = L_u$ or there exists a nonzero $y \in H$ such that $\phi(R_y) = L_u$. Similarly, for every nonzero $v \in H$ there exists a nonzero $x \in H$ such that $\phi(L_x) = R_v$, or there exists a nonzero $y \in H$ such that $\phi(R_y) = R_v$.

6. ϕ preserves the invertibility. Let now $A \in B(H)$ be an invertible operator and suppose $u \in H$ is nonzero. There exists a nonzero $x \in H$ such that $\phi(L_x) = L_u$, or there exists a nonzero $y \in H$ such that $\phi(R_y) = L_u$. Suppose $\phi(L_x) = L_u$. Since A is invertible, it follows by Lemma 14 that there exists $B \in L_x$ such that $B* \leq A$. So, $\phi(B)* \leq \phi(A)$. Note that $\phi(B) \in L_u$. Similarly, if $\phi(R_y) = L_u$ there exists $C \in R_y$ such that $\phi(C)* \leq \phi(A)$ and $\phi(C) \in L_u$. So, since ϕ is surjective, we may find for every nonzero $u \in H$ an operator $D \in L_u$ such that $D* \leq \phi(A)$. In the same way we prove that there exists an operator $E \in R_u$ such that $E* \leq \phi(A)$. By Lemma 14 we may conclude that $\phi(A)$ is an invertible operator. Since ϕ^{-1} has the same properties as ϕ it follows that $A \in B(H)$ is invertible if and only if $\phi(A)$ is invertible.

7. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\phi(I) = I$. Indeed, $\phi(I)$, where I is the identity operator, is also invertible. By Lemma 7 we may replace the map ϕ with the map $\psi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ which is defined in the following way: $\psi(A) = \phi(A)\phi^{-1}(I)$. From now on we may and will assume that

$$\phi(I) = I.$$

8. ϕ leaves invariant the set $\mathcal{P}(H)$ of all self-adjoint idempotent operators in $B(H)$. By Definition 3 it is clear that for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ we have $P* \leq I$. So, $\phi(P)* \leq I$ hence by Lemma 6 $\phi(P)$ is also a self-adjoint idempotent. Since ϕ preserves the left-star partial order in both directions, we may conclude that $\phi(\mathcal{P}(H)) = \mathcal{P}(H)$.

9. Restriction of ϕ on $\phi(\mathcal{P}(H))$. Let $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Lemma 6 yields that if $P* \leq Q$, then $PQ = QP = P$ and hence $P \leq Q$ where \leq denotes the usual order (i.e. $P \leq Q$ if and only if $PQ = QP = P$) on $\mathcal{P}(H)$. Also, directly from Definition 3 it follows that if $PQ = QP = P$ for $P, Q \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, then $P* \leq Q$. So, on the set $\mathcal{P}(H)$ the minus order $\bar{\leq}$ coincides with the left-star partial order $* \leq$. The restriction of ϕ to $\mathcal{P}(H)$ is a bijective and additive map from $\mathcal{P}(H)$ to $\mathcal{P}(H)$ which preserves the minus order in both directions.

10. Action of ϕ on the set of closed subspaces in H . We may identify closed subspaces in H with self-adjoint idempotents in $B(H)$. So, the map ϕ induces a lattice automorphism, i.e. a bijective map ω which is defined on the set of all closed subspaces in H and where $M \subseteq N$ if and only if $\omega(M) \subseteq \omega(N)$ for every pair of closed subspaces M, N in H . Recall that H is an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space. By a result of Fillmore and Longstaff [5, Theorem 1] there exists a bicontinuous linear or conjugate linear bijection $S : H \rightarrow H$ such that $\omega(M) = SM$ for every closed subspace M in H . This means that for our map ϕ we have

$$\phi(P_M) = P_{S(M)}$$

for every $P_M \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. Here P_M denotes the self-adjoint idempotent such that $\text{Im } P_M = M$.

11. We will now show that without loss of generality we may assume that $S : H \rightarrow H$ is a unitary or antiunitary operator. Let $x \in H$ such that $\|x\| = 1$. It follows that $\phi(x \otimes x^*)$ is a rank one self-adjoint idempotent. Denote $\phi(x \otimes x^*) = a \otimes a^*$. So, $\|a\| = 1$. Let $y \in H$, $\|y\| = 1$, such that $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. Then there exists $b \in H$, $\|b\| = 1$, such that $\phi(y \otimes y^*) = b \otimes b^*$. Suppose that $\langle a, b \rangle \neq 0$. The operator $\phi(x \otimes x^* + y \otimes y^*)$ is a self-adjoint idempotent of rank two. Since ϕ is additive, we have $\phi(x \otimes x^* + y \otimes y^*) = a \otimes a^* + b \otimes b^*$, and since this is a rank two operator, we may conclude that a and b are linearly independent. From

$$(a \otimes a^* + b \otimes b^*)(a \otimes a^* + b \otimes b^*) = a \otimes a^* + b \otimes b^*$$

it follows that $\langle b, a \rangle (a \otimes b^*)z = -\langle a, b \rangle (b \otimes a^*)z$ for every $z \in H$. Let $z = b$. So, $\langle b, a \rangle \langle b, b \rangle a = -\langle a, b \rangle \langle b, a \rangle b$. Since $\langle b, a \rangle \neq 0$, we have $a = -\frac{\langle a, b \rangle}{\langle b, b \rangle} b$ hence a and b are linearly dependent, a contradiction. So, $\langle a, b \rangle = 0$.

On one hand $\text{Im } \phi(x \otimes x^*) = \text{Lin}\{a\}$ and on the other hand, since $\phi(P_M) = P_{S(M)}$ for every $P_M \in \mathcal{P}(H)$, we have $\text{Im } \phi(x \otimes x^*) = S(\text{Lin}\{x\}) = \text{Lin}\{Sx\}$. So, a and Sx are linearly dependent hence $a = \alpha Sx$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Similarly, there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $b = \beta Sy$. It follows that

$$0 = \langle a, b \rangle = \alpha \bar{\beta} \langle Sx, Sy \rangle = \alpha \bar{\beta} \langle S^* Sx, y \rangle.$$

We proved that $\langle S^* Sx, y \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in H$, $\|y\| = 1$, which is orthogonal to x . Note that then for any (fixed) $x \in H$ we have $\langle S^* Sx, y \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in H$ where $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$. So, $S^* Sx$ is a scalar multiple of x hence linear operators $S^* S$ and I are locally linearly dependent. It is known (see for example [10]) that for linear operators which are of rank at least two, local linear dependence implies linear dependence. Hence $S^* S = \mu I$ for some $\mu > 0$. Let $U = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mu}} S$. Then $U^* U = I$, and since $SS^* = \mu I$, we get $UU^* = I$. We may conclude that $U : H \rightarrow H$ is a unitary or antiunitary operator.

12. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\phi(P) = P$ for every self-adjoint idempotent $P \in B(H)$. By the previous two steps,

$$\phi(P_M) = P_{U(M)}$$

for every $P_M \in \mathcal{P}(H)$. This implies that

$$\phi(P) = UPU^*$$

for every $P \in \mathcal{P}(H)$.

Let us now define $\psi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ in the following way: $\psi(A) = U^* \phi(A) U$. Then $\psi(P) = P$. Without loss of generality we may and will assume that

$$\phi(P) = P \quad \text{for every self-adjoint idempotent } P \in B(H).$$

13. We are going to show that $\phi(PB(H)P) = PB(H)P$, where $PB(H)P = \{PAP : A \in B(H)\}$, $P \in B(H)$ is a finite rank self-adjoint idempotent of rank $n \geq 3$. Since ϕ^{-1}

has the same properties as ϕ , it is enough to show that $\phi(PB(H)P) \subseteq PB(H)P$. It is easy to see that $A \in PB(H)P$ if and only if $\text{Im}A \subseteq \text{Im}P$ and $\text{Ker}P \subseteq \text{Ker}A$.

a). Let us first show that for every rank one operator $A \in PB(H)P$ it follows that $\phi(A) \in PB(H)P$. Suppose $A = \alpha x \otimes y^*$ where $\|x\| = \|y\| = 1$ and $A \in PB(H)P$. Since $A \sim x \otimes x^*$ and $A \sim y \otimes y^*$ and since $x \otimes x^*$ and $y \otimes y^*$ are self-adjoint idempotents, it follows that $\phi(A) \sim \phi(x \otimes x^*) = x \otimes x^*$ and $\phi(A) \sim y \otimes y^*$.

Suppose first that x and y are linearly independent. Denote $\phi(A) = z \otimes w^*$, $z \neq 0$, $w \neq 0$. It follows that z and x are linearly dependent or w and x are linearly dependent. Also, z and y are linearly dependent or w and y are linearly dependent. Since x and y are linearly independent, we may conclude that there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $z = \alpha x$ and $w = \beta y$, or there exist $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $z = \gamma y$ and $w = \delta x$. It follows that $\phi(A) = \lambda x \otimes y^*$ or $\phi(A) = \mu y \otimes x^*$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. In both cases $\phi(A) \in PB(H)P$.

Assume now that x and y are linearly dependent, i.e. $A = \varepsilon x \otimes x^*$ for some $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. Since ϕ^{-1} has the same properties as ϕ , every operator of the form $\lambda u \otimes v^*$ where u and v are linearly independent is the image of the operator $\alpha u \otimes v^*$ or of the operator $\beta v \otimes u^*$ for some nonzero α and β . Recall that $\phi(x \otimes x^*) = x \otimes x^*$ for every rank one self-adjoint idempotent $x \otimes x^*$. Therefore, there exist $a \in H \setminus \{0\}$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ such that $\phi(A) = \phi(\varepsilon x \otimes x^*) = \gamma a \otimes a^*$. Again, since $A \sim x \otimes x^*$, we obtain $\gamma a \otimes a^* \sim x \otimes x^*$. It follows that $\phi(A) = \delta x \otimes x^*$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and therefore $\phi(A) \in PB(H)P$.

We have just proved that $A \in PB(H)P$ implies $\phi(A) \in PB(H)P$ for every rank one operator A .

b). Let now $D \in PB(H)P$ be any nonzero operator. By Lemma 9 and Lemma 10, $\text{Im}D = \cup \{\text{Im}C : C \in B_1(H) \text{ and } C^* \leq D\}$. For every $C = x \otimes y^*$ where $C^* \leq D$ we have $D^*x = \langle x, x \rangle y$ and $x \in \text{Im}D$. So, $\text{Im}C \subseteq \text{Im}D \subseteq \text{Im}P$. Also, $\text{Im}C^* \subseteq \text{Im}D^*$ hence $\text{Ker}P \subseteq \text{Ker}D \subseteq \text{Ker}C$. It follows that $C \in PB(H)P$ and hence $\phi(C) \in PB(H)P$ by the part a).

The map ϕ is bijective, preserves the order $* \leq$ in both directions and maps the set of all rank one operators onto itself therefore

$$\text{Im} \phi(D) = \cup \{\text{Im} \phi(C) : C \in B_1(H) \text{ and } C^* \leq D\}.$$

Since $\text{Im} \phi(C) \subseteq \text{Im}P$ for every $C \in B_1(H)$ where $C^* \leq D$, it follows that $\text{Im} \phi(D) \subseteq \text{Im}P$.

In order to prove that $\phi(D) \in PB(H)P$ we will show that $\text{Im} \phi(D)^* \subseteq \text{Im}P$. Let us first show that

$$\text{Im} \phi(D)^* = \cup \{\text{Im} \phi(C)^* : C \in B_1(H) \text{ and } \phi(C)^* \leq \phi(D)^*\}.$$

For $y \in \text{Im} \phi(D)^*$ there exists by Lemma 11 a nonzero $a \in \text{Lin}\{y\}$ and $l \in H$, $l \neq 0$, such that $a \otimes l^* \leq \phi(D)^*$. Also, there exists $C \in B_1(H)$ such that $\phi(C)^* = a \otimes l^*$. Note that $\text{Lin}\{a\} = \text{Lin}\{y\}$ and $\text{Lin}\{a\} = \text{Im} \phi(C)^*$. We proved that for every $y \in \text{Im} \phi(D)^*$ there exists $C \in B_1(H)$ such that $y \in \text{Im} \phi(C)^*$. It follows that

$$\text{Im} \phi(D)^* \subseteq \cup \{\text{Im} \phi(C)^* : \phi(C)^* \in B_1(H) \text{ and } \phi(C)^* \leq \phi(D)^*\}.$$

The converse inclusion follows from the fact that $\phi(C)^* \leq^* \phi(D)^*$ implies $\text{Im } \phi(C)^* \subseteq \text{Im } \phi(D)^*$.

By Lemma 3 and since ϕ is bijective and maps the set of all rank one operators onto itself we conclude that

$$\text{Im } \phi(D)^* = \cup \{ \text{Im } \phi(C)^* : C \in B_1(H) \text{ and } C \leq^* D \}.$$

Clearly, if $A \in PB(H)P$ then $A^* \in PB(H)P$. So, since $D \in PB(H)P$ and hence for every $C \in B_1(H)$ where $C \leq^* D$ we have $\phi(C) \in PB(H)P$, it follows that $\text{Im } \phi(C)^* \subseteq \text{Im } P$. This implies that $\text{Im } \phi(D)^* \subseteq \text{Im } P$ and hence $\phi(D) \in PB(H)P$.

We proved that if P is any finite rank self-adjoint idempotent of rank greater than 2, then $\phi(PB(H)P) = PB(H)P$.

14. *Reduction to monotone maps on M_n .* Suppose $P \in B(H)$ is a finite rank self-adjoint idempotent of rank $n \geq 3$. The set $PB(H)P$ can be then identified with the set M_n . The restriction of ϕ to $PB(H)P$ may be considered as a bijective, additive map on M_n which preserves the left-star partial order in both directions. As a corollary of a result by Guterman [6, Theorem 3.5] we get that if a bijective additive $T : M_n \rightarrow M_n$ preserves the left-star partial order in one direction (i.e., $A \leq B$ implies $\phi(A)^* \leq \phi(B)^*$), then T belongs to one of the following types: $X \mapsto U_n X S_n, X \mapsto U_n \bar{X} S_n, X \mapsto U_n X^t S_n, X \mapsto U_n X^* S_n$, where $U_n \in M_n$ is unitary and $S_n \in M_n$ is invertible. Here X^t denotes the transpose of X , and \bar{X} is the matrix obtained from X by taking complex conjugate values of its entries. It is straightforward to check that only the transformation of type $X \mapsto U_n X S_n$ preserves the left-star order in both directions. Thus we may conclude that $\phi(X) = U_n X S_n$ for any $X \in M_n$. Since $\phi(P) = P$ for every self-adjoint idempotent matrix P in M_n , we may conclude that $U_n = S_n = I_n$ and $\phi(A) = A$ for every $A \in M_n$.

Since P is any finite rank self-adjoint idempotent of rank at least three, we may conclude that $\phi(C) = C$ for every rank one $C \in B(H)$. It follows by Lemma 13 that then $\phi(A) = A$ for every $A \in B(H)$.

15. Taking into account the assumptions that were made in Step 7 and in Step 12 about the map ϕ we may conclude that there exist a unitary operator $U \in B(H)$ and an invertible operator $S \in B(H)$, or there exist an antiunitary operator $U : H \rightarrow H$ and a bounded, bijective, conjugate-linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\phi(A) = UAS$$

for every $A \in B(H)$. \square

Symmetrically we can prove a similar result for the right-star partial order on $B(H)$.

THEOREM 16. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. Assume that $\phi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a bijective, additive map such that for every pair $A, B \in B(H)$ we have*

$$A \leq^* B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi(A) \leq^* \phi(B).$$

Then there exist a unitary operator $U \in B(H)$ and an invertible operator $S \in B(H)$, or there exist an antiunitary operator $U : H \rightarrow H$ and a bounded, bijective, conjugate-linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\phi(A) = SAU$$

for every $A \in B(H)$.

As a corollary of Theorem 15 we can also characterize the converters between right-star and left-star partial orders.

COROLLARY 17. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. Assume that $\phi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ is a bijective, additive map such that for every pair $A, B \in B(H)$ we have*

$$A \leq_* B \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \phi(A) * \leq \phi(B).$$

Then there exist a unitary operator $U \in B(H)$ and an invertible operator $S \in B(H)$, or there exist an antiunitary operator $U : H \rightarrow H$ and a bounded, bijective, conjugate-linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\phi(A) = UA^*S$$

for every $A \in B(H)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3, $A * \leq B$ if and only if $A^* \leq_* B^*$. Thus the transformation $\phi_1 : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)$ defined by $\phi_1(X) = \phi(X^*)$ for all $X \in B(H)$ satisfies the conditions

$$A * \leq B \quad \text{iff} \quad A^* \leq_* B^* \quad \text{iff} \quad \phi(A^*) * \leq \phi(B^*) \quad \text{iff} \quad \phi_1(A) * \leq \phi_1(B)$$

for all $A, B \in B(H)$. Since ϕ is bijective and additive, ϕ_1 is also bijective and additive. So ϕ_1 satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 15 and hence there exist a unitary operator $U \in B(H)$ and an invertible operator $S \in B(H)$, or there exist an antiunitary operator $U : H \rightarrow H$ and a bounded, bijective, conjugate-linear map $S : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\phi_1(A) = UAS$$

for every $A \in B(H)$. Since $\phi_1(X) = \phi(X^*)$ for every $X \in B(H)$, ϕ has the required form. \square

To show similar result for a bijective additive map ϕ converting $* \leq$ to $\leq *$ we apply the above corollary to the transformation ϕ^{-1} .

4. Left-star and right-star partial orders on A^\dagger

Since the general C^* -algebra framework may be a good ground for a further research, let us conclude our paper with an observation about left-star and right-star partial orders on a certain subset of a unital C^* -algebra. So, let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -algebra with unit 1. For $a \in \mathcal{A}$ let us consider the following equations

$$(1) \quad aba = a, \quad (2) \quad bab = b, \quad (3) \quad (ab)^* = ab, \quad (4) \quad (ba)^* = ba.$$

It is known (see, for example, [12]) that the set of all $b \in \mathcal{A}$ that satisfy equations (1)–(4) is empty or a singleton and when it is a singleton its unique element is called the Moore-Penrose inverse of a . We will denote the Moore-Penrose inverse of a by a^\dagger . The subset of \mathcal{A} consisting of all Moore-Penrose invertible elements of \mathcal{A} will be denoted by \mathcal{A}^\dagger . Inspired by a paper of Baksalary and Mitra [1], Liu, Benítez and Zhong generalized in [8] the left-star and the right-star partial order to \mathcal{A}^\dagger in the following way.

For $a \in \mathcal{A}^\dagger$ let $a_l^\pi = 1 - a^\dagger a$ and $a_r^\pi = 1 - aa^\dagger$. Observe that a_l^π and a_r^π are self-adjointed idempotents. In [8] authors defined for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}^\dagger$ the following relations:

$$a \leq_* b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a^* a = a^* b \text{ and } b_r^\pi a = 0,$$

and

$$a \leq^* b \quad \text{if and only if} \quad aa^* = ba^* \text{ and } ab_l^\pi = 0.$$

They stated that if A and B are complex $n \times n$ matrices, then $B_r^\pi A = 0$ if and only if $\text{Im} A \subseteq \text{Im} B$, and $AB_l^\pi = 0$ if and only if $\text{Im} A^* \subseteq \text{Im} B^*$. It can be easily proved that the same is also true for Moore-Penrose invertible elements $A, B \in B(H)$. Recall that an operator $A \in B(H)$ has a Moore-Penrose inverse if and only if its image is closed (see, for example, [13]), so on the set of operators from $B(H)$ with a closed image the above orders are equivalent respectively to the left-star and the right-star order presented in this paper.

Acknowledgements. The research was partially supported by the joint Slovene–Russian grant BI-RU12-13-022. The second author was also supported by the grants MD-2502.2012.1 and RFBR 12-01-00140-a.

The authors wish to thank the referees for helpful comments, suggestions and especially for drawing our attention to the paper [8].

REFERENCES

- [1] J. K. BAKSALARY, S. K. MITRA, *Left-star and right-star partial orderings*, Linear Algebra Appl. **149** (1991), 73–89.
- [2] M. P. DRAZIN, *Natural structures on semigroups with involution*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 139–141.
- [3] G. DOLINAR, A. GUTERMAN, J. MAROVT, *Automorphisms of $K(H)$ with respect to the star partial order*, Operators and Matrices **7** (2013), No. 1, 225–239.
- [4] G. DOLINAR, J. MAROVT, *Star partial order on $B(H)$* , Linear Algebra Appl. **434** (2011), 319–326.
- [5] P. A. FILLMORE, W. E. LONGSTAFF, *On isomorphisms of lattices of closed subspaces*, Can. J. Math. **5** (1984), 820–829.
- [6] A. E. GUTERMAN, *Monotone additive transformations on matrices*, Mat. Zametki, **81** (2007), 681–692.
- [7] R. E. HARTWIG, *How to partially order regular elements*, Math. Japon. **25** (1980), 1–13.
- [8] X. LIU, J. BENÍTEZ, J. ZHONG, *Some results on partial ordering and reverse order law of elements of C^* -algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **370** (2010), 295–301.
- [9] S. K. MITRA, P. BHIMASANKARAM, S. B. MALIK, *Matrix partial orders, shorted operators and applications*, World Scientific, London, 2010.
- [10] L. MOLNAR, A. KOVACS, *An extension of a characterization of the automorphisms of Hilbert space effect algebras*, Rep. Math. Phys. **52** (2003), 141–149.

- [11] M. Z. NASHED (ed.), *Generalized inverses and applications*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1976.
- [12] R. PENROSE, *A generalized inverse for matrices*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **51** (1955), 406–413.
- [13] S. ROCH, B. SILBERMANN, *C^* -algebra techniques in numerical analysis*, J. Operator Theory **35** (1996), 241–280.
- [14] P. ŠEMRL, *Automorphisms of $B(H)$ with respect to minus partial order*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **369** (2010), 205–213.

(Received May 28, 2012)

Gregor Dolinar
Faculty of Electrical Engineering
University of Ljubljana
Tržaška 25
SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
e-mail: gregor.dolinar@fe.uni-lj.si

Alexander Guterman
Department of Higher Algebra
Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics
Moscow State University
Moscow, 119991, GSP-1, Russia
e-mail: guterman@list.ru

Janko Marovt
Faculty of Economics and Business
University of Maribor
Razlagova 14
SI-2000 Maribor, Slovenia
e-mail: janko.marovt@uni-mb.si