

UPPER BOUND FOR SPECTRA OF JENSEN OPERATOR AND ITS APPLICATION TO REVERSE ARITHMETIC–GEOMETRIC MEANS

HONGLIANG ZUO, MASATOSHI FUJII, JUN ICHI FUJII AND YUKI SEO

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. In this paper we consider Jensen’s operator, which includes bounded self-adjoint operator on Hilbert space, and establish the optimal upper bound for Jensen’s operator by means of discrete Jensen’s functional. The obtained results are applied to operator means, then we get refinements of numerous reverse arithmetic-geometric operators mean inequalities on Hilbert space.

2. Introduction

Let H be a Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{B}_h(H)$ be the semi-space of all bounded self-adjoint operators on H . Besides, let $\mathcal{B}^+(H)$ denote the set of all positive operators in $\mathcal{B}_h(H)$. Throughout this paper, for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, $A, B \in \mathcal{B}^+(H)$, the following notations are defined:

$$A \nabla_{\mu} B = (1 - \mu)A + \mu B, \quad A \sharp_{\mu} B = A^{1/2}(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})^{\mu}A^{1/2},$$

see F. Kubo and T. Ando [8]. When $\mu = 1/2$ we write $A \nabla B$ and $A \sharp B$, respectively. The Specht ratio [11] denoted by

$$S(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{1}{t-1}}}{e \log t^{\frac{1}{t-1}}} \quad \text{for } t > 0, t \neq 1; \quad \text{and } S(1) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 1} S(t) = 1$$

has the following properties.

- (i) $S(h) = S(1/h) \geq 1$ for $h > 0$.
- (ii) $S(h)$ is a monotone increasing function on $(1, +\infty)$.
- (iii) $S(h)$ is a monotone decreasing function on $(0, 1)$.

We start from the famous arithmetic-geometric operator mean inequality:

$$A \nabla_{\mu} B \geq A \sharp_{\mu} B, \quad \mu \in [0, 1].$$

And its reverse inequality was given in [12] with the Specht ratio as follows:

$$A \nabla_{\mu} B \leq S(h)A \sharp_{\mu} B, \quad \mu \in [0, 1],$$

where $0 < aI_H \leq A, B \leq bI_H$ and $h = \frac{b}{a}$.

Afterward, an improvement of the reverse inequality was given in [3] as follows:

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 47A30, 47A63.

Keywords and phrases: Jensen operator, reverse arithmetic-geometric means, Jensen’s functional.

This research was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10471085).

THEOREM F. *If $0 < aI_H \leq A, B \leq bI_H, \mu \in [0, 1]$, then*

$$A\nabla_{\mu}B - 2r(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \leq S(\sqrt{h})A\sharp_{\mu}B;$$

$$A\nabla_{\mu}B - A\sharp_{\mu}B - 2r(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \leq \omega L(\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}) \log S(\sqrt{h})A.$$

where $r = \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}$, $\omega = \max\{\sqrt{a}, \sqrt{b}\}$, $L(a, b) = \frac{a-b}{\log a - \log b}$, $h = \frac{b}{a}$.

See [1, 2, 5, 6] for more related developments of the arithmetic-geometric means inequality.

Recently, M. Krnić et al. [7] introduced Jensen’s operator, which includes bounded self-adjoint operator on Hilbert space, and established some bounds for spectra of Jensen’s operator. The obtained results are then applied to operator means. In such a way, they get refinements and conversions of numerous mean inequalities for Hilbert space operators. But the reverse weighted arithmetic-geometric operator mean inequalities were not obtained.

In this paper we also consider Jensen’s operator, and establish optimal upper bound for Jensen’s operator by means of discrete Jensen’s functional. Based on this, we obtain the reverse weighted arithmetic-geometric operator mean inequalities.

3. Upper bound for spectra of Jensen operator

In the last few years converses Jensen inequality have been largely investigated and many published literatures are related to this topic. Now the given lemma is a special case of the Pečarić et al.’s result and it is stated as follows.

LEMMA 1. [6, 10] *If f is convex function on $[a, b]$, $x_i \in [a, b]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\sum p_i = 1$, then*

$$\sum p_i f(x_i) - f(\sum p_i x_i) \leq T_f(a, b), \tag{1}$$

where $T_f(a, b) = \max\{(1 - \mu)f(a) + \mu f(b) - f((1 - \mu)a + \mu b); \mu \in [0, 1]\}$.

LEMMA 2. [6, 12] *For $0 < a < b$,*

$$T_{-\log}(a, b) = \max \left\{ \log \frac{(1 - \mu)a + \mu b}{a^{1-\mu}b^{\mu}}; \mu \in [0, 1] \right\} = \log S(b/a). \tag{2}$$

In particular,

$$T_{-\log}(a, 1) = S(1/a) = S(a), \quad T_{-\log}(1, b) = S(b),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\exp}(\log a, \log b) &= \max\{(1 - \mu)a + \mu b - a^{1-\mu}b^{\mu}; \mu \in [0, 1]\} \\ &= L(a, b) \log S(b/a). \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Let $f : [a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous convex function and $\mathcal{F}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all continuous convex functions on $[a, b]$, I_H denote identity operator on Hilbert space. Now Jensen's operator [2]: $\mathcal{J} : \mathcal{F}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{B}_h(H) \times [a, b] \times \mathbb{R}_+^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^+(H)$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{J}(f, D, \delta, \mathbf{p}) = p_1 f(D) + p_2 f(\delta) I_H - f(p_1 D + p_2 \delta I_H), \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2$ with $p_1 + p_2 = 1$, $a I_H \leq D \leq b I_H$ and $a < b$.

THEOREM 3. *If \mathcal{J} is an operator defined by (4), then*

$$\mathcal{J}(f, D, \delta, \mathbf{p}) \leq T_f(a, b) I_H \leq \left[f(a) + f(b) - 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] I_H. \tag{5}$$

Proof. We consider Jensen's functional

$$j(f, x, \delta, \mathbf{p}) = p_1 f(x) + p_2 f(\delta) - f(p_1 x + p_2 \delta)$$

as a function in variable x . Lemma 1 yields the following inequality:

$$j(f, x, \delta, \mathbf{p}) \leq T_f(a, b). \tag{6}$$

Now if $D \in \mathcal{B}_h(H)$ satisfies $a I_H \leq D \leq b I_H$, then, according to monotonicity property for operator function, we also insert D in the above inequality. As a result we get relation

$$\mathcal{J}(f, D, \delta, \mathbf{p}) \leq T_f(a, b) I_H.$$

Since $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu f(a) + (1 - \mu) f(b) - f(\mu a + (1 - \mu) b) \\ & \leq \max\{\mu, 1 - \mu\} \left[f(a) + f(b) - 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \right] \\ & \leq f(a) + f(b) - 2f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

4. Application to reverse A-G mean inequality

COROLLARY 4. *If $0 < aA \leq B \leq bA$ for some $a < 1 < b$, then*

$$A \nabla_{\mu} B \leq \max\{S(a), S(b)\} A \sharp_{\mu} B \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1. \tag{7}$$

Proof. First of all, we note that

$$T_{-\log}(a, 1) = \log S(a) \quad \text{and} \quad T_{-\log}(1, b) = \log S(b)$$

by Lemma 2. Let $D = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$ and $F = E([a, 1])$ where $E(\cdot)$ is the family of spectral projections of D . Put $H_1 = FH$, $H_2 = (I_H - F)H = E([1, b])H$ and

$$D = D_1 \oplus D_2 \text{ on } H_1 \oplus H_2.$$

By the assumption, we have $aI_H \leq D \leq bI_H$ and moreover

$$(i) aI_{H_1} \leq D_1 \leq I_{H_1} \text{ and } (ii) I_{H_2} \leq D_2 \leq bI_{H_2}.$$

We now apply Theorem 3 for $f(x) = -\log x$, $\delta = 1$ and $p_1 = \mu$ for (i) and (ii) respectively.

The case (i): It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\log((\mu D_1 + (1 - \mu)I_{H_1})D_1^{-\mu}) \leq T_{-\log}(a, 1)I_{H_1} = \log S(a)I_{H_1},$$

so that

$$(\mu D_1 + (1 - \mu)I_{H_1})D_1^{-\mu} \leq S(a)I_{H_1}.$$

The case (ii): It follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\log((\mu D_2 + (1 - \mu)I_{H_2})D_2^{-\mu}) \leq \log S(b)I_{H_2},$$

so that

$$(\mu D_2 + (1 - \mu)I_{H_2})D_2^{-\mu} \leq S(b)I_{H_2}.$$

Combining with (i) and (ii) in above, we have

$$(\mu D + (1 - \mu)I_H)D^{-\mu} \leq S(a)I_{H_1} \oplus S(b)I_{H_2} \leq \max\{S(a), S(b)\}I_H.$$

Hence it implies that

$$(\mu D + (1 - \mu)I_H) \leq \max\{S(a), S(b)\}D^\mu.$$

Finally, multiplying $A^{1/2}$ on both sides, we have the desired inequality

$$A\nabla_\mu B \leq \max\{S(a), S(b)\}A\sharp_\mu B. \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 5. [12] If $0 < aI_H \leq A, B \leq bI_H$ for some $a < b$, then

$$A\nabla_\mu B \leq S(b/a)A\sharp_\mu B \text{ for } 0 \leq \mu \leq 1. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Let $D = A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2}$, then $0 \leq \frac{a}{b} \leq D \leq \frac{b}{a}$. By the same way as in the proof of Corollary 4 and $S(b/a) = S(a/b)$, we get the desired inequality. \square

COROLLARY 6. The assumption as in Corollary 4. Then

$$A\nabla_\mu B - A\sharp_\mu B \leq \max\{L(a, 1)\log S(a), L(1, b)\log S(b)\}A, \tag{9}$$

where $L(a, b)$ is defined as in Theorem F.

Proof. Let $D = D_1 \oplus D_2$ on $H_1 \oplus H_2$ be as in the proof of Corollary 4. Then it is easily seen that $aI_H \leq D \leq bI_H$, $aI_{H_1} \leq D_1 \leq 0$, $0 \leq D_2 \leq bI_{H_2}$ and

$$T(\exp, \log D_i, 0, (\mu, 1 - \mu)) = \mu D_i + (1 - \mu)I_{H_i} - D_i^\mu \quad (i = 1, 2).$$

Applying Theorem 3, we have

$$\mu D_1 + (1 - \mu)I_{H_1} - D_1^\mu \leq L(a, 1) \log S(a)I_{H_1}$$

and

$$\mu D_2 + (1 - \mu)I_{H_2} - D_2^\mu \leq L(1, b) \log S(b)I_{H_2}.$$

Combining with them and multiplying $A^{1/2}$ on its both sides, we have the required inequality. \square

COROLLARY 7. [12] *If $0 < aI_H \leq A, B \leq bI_H$ for some $a < b$, $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, then*

$$A \nabla_\mu B - A \sharp_\mu B \leq L(1, h) \log S(h)A, \tag{10}$$

where $L(1, h)$ is defined as in Theorem F, $h = b/a$.

Proof. Let $D = \log(A^{-1/2}BA^{-1/2})$, then $-\log h \leq D \leq \log h$. By the same way as in the proof of Corollary 6 and using $L(1, 1/h) \leq L(1, h)$, $S(1/h) = S(h)$, we get the desired operator inequality. \square

THEOREM 8. *If $0 < aA \leq B \leq bA$ for some $a < 1 < b$, $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, then*

$$A \nabla_\mu B - 2r(A \nabla B - A \sharp B) \leq \max\{S(\sqrt{a}), S(\sqrt{b})\}A \sharp_\mu B, \tag{11}$$

where $r = \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}$.

Proof. If $0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then $0 \leq 2\mu \leq 1$. Since $0 < aA \leq B \leq bA$ admits that $\sqrt{a}A \leq A \sharp B \leq \sqrt{b}A$, we substitute B by $A \sharp B$ and μ by 2μ in (7) of Corollary 4, then

$$A \nabla_{2\mu}(A \sharp B) \leq \max\{S(\sqrt{a}), S(\sqrt{b})\}A \sharp_{2\mu}(A \sharp B),$$

equivalently,

$$A \nabla_\mu B - 2\mu(A \nabla B - A \sharp B) \leq \max\{S(\sqrt{a}), S(\sqrt{b})\}A \sharp_\mu B. \tag{12}$$

If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1$, then $0 \leq 1 - \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$. $0 < aA \leq B \leq bA$ admits $0 < \frac{1}{b}B \leq A \leq \frac{1}{a}B$, then, by the inequality (12), we have

$$B \nabla_{1-\mu}A - 2(1 - \mu)(B \nabla A - B \sharp A) \leq \max\{S(\sqrt{1/b}), S(\sqrt{1/a})\}B \sharp_{1-\mu}A,$$

then, by $S(\sqrt{1/a}) = S(\sqrt{a})$ and $S(\sqrt{1/b}) = S(\sqrt{b})$, we have

$$A \nabla_\mu B - 2(1 - \mu)(A \nabla B - A \sharp B) \leq \max\{S(\sqrt{a}), S(\sqrt{b})\}A \sharp_\mu B. \tag{13}$$

Therefore, for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, we have

$$A \nabla_\mu B - 2r(A \nabla B - A \sharp B) \leq \max\{S(\sqrt{a}), S(\sqrt{b})\}A \sharp_\mu B,$$

where $r = \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}$. \square

THEOREM 9. [3] If $0 < aI_H \leq A, B \leq bI_H$ for some $a < b$, $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, then

$$A\nabla_\mu B - 2r(A\nabla B - A\sharp_\mu B) \leq S(\sqrt{b/a})A\sharp_\mu B, \tag{14}$$

where $r = \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}$.

Proof. From the assumption $0 < aI_H \leq A, B \leq bI_H$, we have

$$\sqrt{a/b}A \leq A\sharp B \leq \sqrt{b/a}A, \quad \sqrt{a/b}B \leq B\sharp A \leq \sqrt{b/a}B,$$

If $0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$, by $\sqrt{a/b}A \leq A\sharp B \leq \sqrt{b/a}A$, we substitute B by $A\sharp B$ and μ by 2μ in the inequality (7) of Corollary 4, then

$$A\nabla_{2\mu}(A\sharp B) \leq \max\{S(\sqrt{b/a}), S(\sqrt{a/b})\}A\sharp_{2\mu}(A\sharp B),$$

using $S(\sqrt{b/a}) = S(\sqrt{a/b})$, we have

$$A\nabla_\mu B - 2\mu(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \leq S(\sqrt{b/a})A\sharp_\mu B. \tag{15}$$

If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1$, then $0 \leq 1 - \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By the inequality (15) and $\sqrt{a/b}B \leq B\sharp A \leq \sqrt{b/a}B$, we have

$$B\nabla_{1-\mu}A - 2(1 - \mu)(B\nabla A - B\sharp A) \leq S(\sqrt{b/a})B\sharp_{1-\mu}A.$$

Or, equivalently,

$$A\nabla_\mu B - 2(1 - \mu)(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \leq S(\sqrt{b/a})A\sharp_\mu B. \tag{16}$$

Therefore,

$$A\nabla_\mu B - 2r(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \leq S(\sqrt{b/a})A\sharp_\mu B, \tag{17}$$

where $r = \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}$. \square

THEOREM 10. If $0 < aA \leq B \leq bA$ for some $a < 1 < b$, $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & A\nabla_\mu B - A\sharp_{2\mu}B - 2r(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \\ & \leq \max\{L(1, \sqrt{1/a}) \log S(\sqrt{a}), L(1, \sqrt{1/b}) \log S(\sqrt{b})\}bA, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}$.

Proof. If $0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$, since $\sqrt{a}A \leq A\sharp B \leq \sqrt{b}A$, then, substitute B by $A\sharp B$ and μ by 2μ in (9) of Corollary 6,

$$\begin{aligned} & A\nabla_{2\mu}(A\sharp B) - A\sharp_{2\mu}(A\sharp B) = A\nabla_\mu B - A\sharp_\mu B - 2\mu(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \\ & \leq \max\{L(1, \sqrt{a}) \log S(\sqrt{a}), L(1, \sqrt{b}) \log S(\sqrt{b})\}A. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1$, then $0 \leq 1 - \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By $\frac{1}{b}B \leq A \leq \frac{1}{a}B$ and the inequality (18), then

$$\begin{aligned} & B\nabla_{1-\mu}A - B\sharp_{1-\mu}A - 2(1 - \mu)(B\nabla A - B\sharp A) \\ & \leq \max\{L(1, \sqrt{1/a}) \log S(\sqrt{a}), L(1, \sqrt{1/b}) \log S(\sqrt{b})\}B, \end{aligned}$$

by $B \leq bA$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A\nabla_{\mu}B - A\sharp_{\mu}B - 2(1 - \mu)(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \\ & \leq \max\{L(1, \sqrt{1/a}) \log S(\sqrt{a}), L(1, \sqrt{1/b}) \log S(\sqrt{b})\}bA. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Therefore, for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A\nabla_{\mu}B - A\sharp_{\mu}B - 2r(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \\ & \leq \max\{L(1, \sqrt{1/a}) \log S(\sqrt{a}), L(1, \sqrt{1/b}) \log S(\sqrt{b})\}bA, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}$. \square

THEOREM 11. [3] *If $0 < aI_H \leq A, B \leq bI_H$ for some $a < b$, $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, then*

$$A\nabla_{\mu}B - A\sharp_{\mu}B - 2r(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \leq bL(1, \sqrt{h}) \log S(\sqrt{h}), \tag{20}$$

where $r = \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}, h = b/a$.

Proof. From the assumption $0 < aI_H \leq A, B \leq bI_H$, we have

$$1/\sqrt{h}A \leq A\sharp B \leq \sqrt{h}A, \quad 1/\sqrt{h}B \leq B\sharp A \leq \sqrt{h}B.$$

If $0 \leq \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then, substitute B by $A\sharp B$ and μ by 2μ in (9) of Corollary 6, and using $L(1/\sqrt{h}, 1) \leq L(1, \sqrt{h})$ and $S(1/\sqrt{h}) = S(\sqrt{h})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A\nabla_{2\mu}(A\sharp B) - A\sharp_{2\mu}(A\sharp B) = A\nabla_{\mu}B - A\sharp_{\mu}B - 2\mu(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \\ & \leq L(1, \sqrt{h}) \log S(\sqrt{h})A \leq bL(1, \sqrt{h}) \log S(\sqrt{h}). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

If $\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu \leq 1$, then $0 \leq 1 - \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}$. By the inequality (21), we have

$$B\nabla_{1-\mu}A - B\sharp_{1-\mu}A - 2(1 - \mu)(B\nabla A - B\sharp A) \leq L(1, \sqrt{h}) \log S(\sqrt{h})B,$$

then

$$A\nabla_{\mu}B - A\sharp_{\mu}B - 2(1 - \mu)(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \leq bL(1, \sqrt{h}) \log S(\sqrt{h}). \tag{22}$$

Therefore, we have

$$A\nabla_{\mu}B - A\sharp_{\mu}B - 2r(A\nabla B - A\sharp B) \leq bL(1, \sqrt{h}) \log S(\sqrt{h})$$

for $0 \leq \mu \leq 1$, where $r = \min\{\mu, 1 - \mu\}$. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank anonymous referee for his valuable suggestion and comment to improve the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. BARIĆ, M. MATIĆ AND J. PEČARIĆ, *On the bounds for the normalized Jensen functional and Jensen-Steffensen inequality*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, **12** (2009), 413–432.
- [2] S. FURUICHI, *On refined Young inequalities and reverse inequalities*, *J. Math. Inequal.*, **5** (2011), 21–31.
- [3] S. FURUICHI, *Refined Young inequalities with Specht's ratio*, *J. Egyptian Math. Soc.*, **20** (2012), 46–49.
- [4] T. FURUTA, J. MIĆIĆ HOT AND J. PEČARIĆ, Y. SEO, *Mond-Pečarić Method in Operator Inequalities*, Element, Zagreb, 2005.
- [5] F. KITANEH AND Y. MANASRAH, *Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **36** (2010), 262–269.
- [6] M. KLARIĆ BAKULA, J. PEČARIĆ AND J. PERIĆ, *On the converse Jensen inequality*, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **218** (2012), 6566–6575.
- [7] M. KRNIC, N. LOVRIČEVIĆ AND J. PEČARIĆ, *Jensen's operator and applications to mean inequalities for operators in Hilbert space*, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, **35** (2012), 1–14.
- [8] F. KUBO AND T. ANDO, *Means of positive operators*, *Math. Ann.*, **264** (1980), 205–224.
- [9] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ AND A. M. FINK, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1993.
- [10] J. PEČARIĆ AND P. R. BEESACK, *On Jessen's inequality for convex functions II*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **118** (1986), 125–144.
- [11] W. SPECHT, *Zer Theorie der elementaren Mittel*, *Math. Z.*, **74** (1960), 91–98.
- [12] M. TOMINAGA, *Specht's ratio in the Young inequality*, *Sci. Math. Japon.*, **55** (2002), 583–588.

(Received August 2, 2012)

Hongliang Zuo
 College of Mathematics and Information Science
 Henan Normal University
 Xinxiang, Henan, 453007, China
 e-mail: zuodke@yahoo.com

Masatoshi Fujii
 Department of Mathematics
 Osaka Kyoiku University
 Kashiwara, Osaka 582-8582, Japan
 e-mail: mfujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

Jun Ichi Fujii
 Department of Arts and Sciences (Information Science)
 Osaka Kyoiku University
 Kashiwara, Osaka 582-8582, Japan
 e-mail: fujii@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

Yuki Seo
 Faculty of Engineering
 Shibaura Institute of Technology
 Saitama-city, Saitama 337-8570, Japan
 e-mail: yukis@sic.shibaura-it.ac.jp