

GENERALIZATIONS AND IMPROVEMENTS OF CONVERSE JENSEN'S INEQUALITY FOR CONVEX HULLS IN \mathbb{R}^k

J. PEČARIĆ AND J. PERIĆ

(Communicated by Marko Matić)

Abstract. In this paper we prove generalizations and improvements of Lah-Ribarič and related inequalities for convex functions on convex hulls in \mathbb{R}^k and, analogously, for convex functions on k -simplices in \mathbb{R}^k . We also verify that one of them is a generalization and an improvement of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality for simplices.

1. Introduction

Let U be a convex subset of \mathbb{R}^k and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function, $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in U$ and p_1, \dots, p_n nonnegative real numbers with $P_n = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i > 0$, then Jensen's inequality

$$f\left(\frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \mathbf{x}_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) \quad (1)$$

holds.

Convex hull of vectors $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is the set

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{x}_i \mid \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1 \right\}$$

and is denoted by $K = \text{co}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\})$.

Barycentric coordinates over K are continuous real functions $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ on K with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i(\mathbf{x}) &\geq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{x}) &= 1, \\ \mathbf{x} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{x}_i. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26B25, 26D15.

Keywords and phrases: Jensen's inequality, Jessen's inequality, Lah-Ribarič inequality, convex functions, convex hull, k -simplex, barycentric coordinates, Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

If $\mathbf{x}_2 - \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_1$ are linearly independent vectors, then each $\mathbf{x} \in K$ can be written in the unique way as a convex combination of $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ in the form (2).

We also consider k -simplex $S = \text{co}(\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}\})$ in \mathbb{R}^k which is a convex hull of its vertices $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where $\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1} - \mathbf{v}_1 \in \mathbb{R}^k$ are linearly independent. In this case we denote the simplex by $S = [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}]$. Barycentric coordinates $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$ over S are nonnegative linear polynomials on S and have a special form (see [1]).

Let E be a non-empty set and L be a linear class of real-valued functions $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which contains constant functions, that is, L has the following properties:

$$(L1) \quad (\forall f, g \in L) (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}) \quad af + bg \in L$$

$$(L2) \quad 1 \in L, \text{ that is, if } f(t) = 1 \text{ for all } t \in E, \text{ then } f \in L.$$

We consider positive linear functionals $A: L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, or in other words we assume:

$$(A1) \quad (\forall f, g \in L) (\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}) \quad A(af + bg) = aA(f) + bA(g) \text{ (linearity)}$$

$$(A2) \quad (\forall f \in L) (f \geq 0 \implies A(f) \geq 0) \text{ (positivity).}$$

If additionally the condition $A(1) = 1$ is satisfied, we say that A is a positive normalized linear functional.

With L^k we denote the linear class of functions $\mathbf{g}: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ defined by

$$\mathbf{g}(t) = (g_1(t), \dots, g_k(t)), \quad g_i \in L, \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

For a given linear functional A , we also consider linear operator $\tilde{A} = (A, \dots, A): L^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$ defined by

$$\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}) = (A(g_1), \dots, A(g_k)). \tag{3}$$

If $A(1) = 1$ is satisfied, then using (A1) we also have:

$$(A3) \quad A(f(\mathbf{g})) = f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g})) \text{ for every linear function } f \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^k.$$

The following result is Jessen’s generalization of Jensen’s inequality for convex functions (see [8, p. 45]) which involves positive normalized linear functionals.

THEOREM 1. *Let L satisfy (L1) and (L2) on a nonempty set E and let A be a positive normalized linear functional on L . If f is a continuous convex function on an interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, then for all $g \in L$ such that $f(g) \in I$ we have $A(g) \in I$ and*

$$f(A(g)) \leq A(f(g)). \tag{4}$$

The next theorem, proved by J. Pečarić and P. R. Beesack in 1985., presents a generalization of Lah-Ribarić inequality (see [7, p. 98], [8, p. 98]).

THEOREM 2. (Lah-Ribarić inequality) *Let L satisfy properties (L1) and (L2) and A be a positive normalized linear functional on L . Let f be a convex function on an interval $I = [m, M] \subset \mathbb{R}$ ($-\infty < m < M < \infty$). Then for all $g \in L$ such that $g(E) \subset I$ and $f(g) \in L$*

$$A(f(g)) \leq \frac{M - A(g)}{M - m} f(m) + \frac{A(g) - m}{M - m} f(M). \tag{5}$$

Using Theorem 2, Beesack and Pečarić in 1987. also proved the next result [8, p. 101].

THEOREM 3. *Let L , A and f be as in Theorem 2. Let J be an interval in \mathbb{R} such that $f(I) \subset J$. If $F : J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function in the first variable, then for all $g \in L$ such that $g(E) \subset I$ and $f(g) \in L$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} F(A(f(g)), f(A(g))) &\leq \max_{x \in [m, M]} F\left(\frac{M - x}{M - m} f(m) + \frac{x - m}{M - m} f(M), f(x)\right) \\ &= \max_{\theta \in [0, 1]} F(\theta f(m) + (1 - \theta)f(M), f(\theta m + (1 - \theta)M)). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

REMARK 1. If we choose $F(x, y) = x - y$, as a simple consequence of Theorem 3 it follows

$$A(f(g)) - f(A(g)) \leq \max_{\theta \in [0, 1]} [\theta f(m) + (1 - \theta)f(M) - f(\theta m + (1 - \theta)M)]. \tag{7}$$

Taking $F(x, y) = \frac{x}{y}$, for $f > 0$, it follows

$$\frac{A(f(g))}{f(A(g))} \leq \max_{\theta \in [0, 1]} \left[\frac{\theta f(m) + (1 - \theta)f(M)}{f(\theta m + (1 - \theta)M)} \right]. \tag{8}$$

An additional generalization of Jessen's inequality (4) is proved by E. J. McShane (see [6], [8, p. 48]).

THEOREM 4. (McShane's inequality) *Let L satisfy properties (L1) and (L2), A be a positive normalized linear functional on L and \tilde{A} defined as in (3). Let f be a continuous convex function on a closed convex set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. Then for all $g \in L^k$ such that $g(E) \subset U$ and $f(g) \in L$, we have that $\tilde{A}(g) \in U$ and*

$$f(\tilde{A}(g)) \leq A(f(g)). \tag{9}$$

J. Pečarić and S. Ivelić in [3] proved the following generalization of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 5. *Let L satisfy properties (L1) and (L2) on nonempty set E and A be a positive normalized linear functional on L . Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $K = \text{co}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\})$. Let f be a convex function on K and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ barycentric coordinates over K . Then for all $g \in L^k$ such that $g(E) \subset K$ and $f(g), \lambda_i(g) \in L, i = 1, \dots, n$ we have*

$$A(f(g)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(g)) f(\mathbf{x}_i).$$

2. Main results

Our main results are generalizations and improvements of Theorems 3 and 5 which will be obtained using the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let ϕ be a convex function on U where U is a convex set in \mathbb{R}^k , $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \in U^n$ and $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$ be nonnegative n -tuple such that $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - n\phi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i\right) \right] \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \mathbf{x}_i\right) \\ & \leq \max\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) - n\phi\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a simple consequence of [7, p. 717, Theorem 1]. \square

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote

$$\Delta_{n-1} = \left\{ (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) : \mu_i \geq 0, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 1 \right\}.$$

We also need to equip our linear class L from Introduction with an additional property denoted by (L3):

$$(L3) \quad (\forall f, g \in L) (\min\{f, g\} \in L \text{ and } \max\{f, g\} \in L) \text{ (lattice property)}.$$

Obviously, (\mathbb{R}^E, \leq) (with standard ordering) is a lattice.

Also, if f is a function defined on a convex subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in U$, we denote

$$S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\mathbf{x}_i) - n f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i\right).$$

Obviously, if f is convex, $S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \geq 0$.

Next theorem presents an improvement of Theorem 5.

THEOREM 6. *Let L satisfy properties (L1), (L2) and (L3) on a nonempty set E and A be a positive normalized linear functional on L . Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $K = co(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\})$. Let f be a convex function on K and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ barycentric coordinates over K . Then for all $\mathbf{g} \in L^k$ such that $\mathbf{g}(E) \subset K$ and $f(\mathbf{g}), \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}) \in L, i = 1, \dots, n$, we have*

$$A(f(\mathbf{g})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n). \tag{10}$$

Proof. For each $t \in E$ we have $\mathbf{g}(t) \in K$. Using barycentric coordinates we have $\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t)) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t)) = 1$ and

$$\mathbf{g}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))\mathbf{x}_i.$$

Since f is convex, we can apply Lemma 1, and then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{g}(t)) &= f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))\mathbf{x}_i\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))f(\mathbf{x}_i) - \min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))\} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n f(\mathbf{x}_i) - nf\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{x}_i\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now, applying the functional A on (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(f(\mathbf{g})) &\leq A\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g})f(\mathbf{x}_i) - \min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}))f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2. Theorem 6 is an improvement of Theorem 5 since under the required assumptions we have

$$A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \geq 0.$$

REMARK 3. If all the assumptions of Theorem 6 are satisfied and additionally f is continuous, then

$$f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g})) \leq A(f(\mathbf{g})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}))f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n).$$

The first inequality is from Theorem 4 and the second from Theorem 6.

REMARK 4. We know that under the assumptions of Theorem 6 we have

$$A(f(\mathbf{g})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}))f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n).$$

Dividing this by $f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g})) = f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))\mathbf{x}_i\right)$, when $f > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A(f(\mathbf{g}))}{f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))} &\leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}))f(\mathbf{x}_i)}{f(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}))\mathbf{x}_i} - \frac{A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\})}{f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))}S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \\ &\leq \max_{\Delta_{n-1}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)}{f(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i)} - \frac{A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\})}{f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))}S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$A(f(\mathbf{g})) \leq \max_{\Delta_{n-1}} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i f(\mathbf{x}_i)}{f(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i)} f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g})) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n). \tag{12}$$

This is an improvement of the inequality (2.6) from [3].

REMARK 5. As a special case of Theorem 6 for $k = 1$ we get [5, Theorem 12], and if we take p and q nonnegative real numbers such that $A(g) = \frac{pm + qM}{p + q}$ we get right hand side of the inequality (2.3) in [4].

Using Theorem 6 we prove a generalization and an improvement of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 7. Let L satisfy properties (L1), (L2) and (L3) on nonempty set E , A be a positive normalized linear functional on L and \tilde{A} defined as in (3). Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $K = co(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\})$. Let f be a convex function on K and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ barycentric coordinates over K . If J is an interval in \mathbb{R} such that $f(K) \subset J$ and $F: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function in the first variable, then for all $\mathbf{g} \in L^k$ such that $\mathbf{g}(E) \subset K$ and $f(\mathbf{g}), \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}) \in L, i = 1, \dots, n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(A(f(\mathbf{g})), f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))\right) \\ & \leq F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))\right) \\ & \leq \max_{\Delta_{n-1}} F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Proof. For each $t \in E$ we have $\mathbf{g}(t) \in K$. Using barycentric coordinates we have $\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t)) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n, \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t)) = 1$ and

$$\mathbf{g}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t)) \mathbf{x}_i.$$

Since A is a positive normalized linear functional on L and \tilde{A} a linear operator on L^k , we have

$$\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}) = (A(g_1), \dots, A(g_k)) = \sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) \mathbf{x}_i,$$

where $A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) = A(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) = A(1) = 1$. Therefore, $\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}) \in K$.

Since $F: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function in the first variable, using (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F\left(A(f(\mathbf{g})), f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))\right) \\
 & \leq F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}))f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))\right). \quad (14)
 \end{aligned}$$

By substitutions

$$A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) = \mu_i, i = 1, \dots, n,$$

it follows

$$\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}))f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))\right) \\
 & = F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i\right)\right) \\
 & \leq \max_{\Delta_{n-1}} F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i\right)\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By combining (14) and the last inequality we get (13). \square

REMARK 6. If we choose $F(x, y) = x - y$, as a simple consequence of Theorem 7 it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A(f(\tilde{\mathbf{g}})) - f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g})) \\
 & \leq \max_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i\right) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n) \right). \quad (15)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking $F(x, y) = \frac{x}{y}$, for $f > 0$, it follows

$$\frac{A(f(\mathbf{g}))}{f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))} \leq \max_{\Delta_{n-1}} \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\})S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)}{f(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i)} \right). \quad (16)$$

The inequalities (15) and (16) present generalizations and improvements of (7) and (8).

Replacing F by $-F$ in Theorem 7 we get the next theorem.

THEOREM 8. *Let L satisfy properties (L1), (L2) and (L3) on nonempty set E , A be a positive normalized linear functional on L and \tilde{A} defined as in (3). Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $K = \text{co}(\{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n\})$. Let f be a convex function on K and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ barycentric coordinates over K . If J is an interval in \mathbb{R} such that $f(K) \subset J$ and $F: J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an decreasing function in the first variable, then for all $\mathbf{g} \in L^k$ such that $\mathbf{g}(E) \subset K$ and $f(\mathbf{g}), \lambda_i(\mathbf{g}) \in L, i = 1, \dots, n$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & F\left(A(f(\mathbf{g})), f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))\right) \\ & \geq F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))\right) \\ & \geq \min_{\Delta_{n-1}} F\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i f(\mathbf{x}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^n(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n), f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \mathbf{x}_i\right)\right). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

3. Convex functions on k -simplices in \mathbb{R}^k

Let S be a k -simplex in \mathbb{R}^k with vertices $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^k$. The barycentric coordinates $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$ over S are nonnegative linear polynomials which satisfy Lagrange’s property

$$\lambda_i(\mathbf{v}_j) = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

It is known (see [1]) that for each $\mathbf{x} \in S$ barycentric coordinates $\lambda_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, \lambda_{k+1}(\mathbf{x})$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)}, \\ \lambda_2(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_3, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)}, \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda_{k+1}(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{x}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where $\text{Vol}_k(F)$ denotes the k -dimensional Lebesgue measure of a measurable set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. Here, for example, $[\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{x}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}]$ denotes the subsimplex obtained by replacing \mathbf{v}_2 by \mathbf{x} , i.e. the subsimplex opposite to \mathbf{v}_2 , when adding \mathbf{x} as a new vertex.

The signed volume $\text{Vol}_k(S)$ is given by $(k + 1) \times (k + 1)$ determinant

$$\text{Vol}_k(S) = \frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ v_{11} & v_{21} & & v_{k+11} \\ v_{12} & v_{22} & & v_{k+12} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{1k} & v_{2k} & \cdots & v_{k+1k} \end{vmatrix},$$

where $\mathbf{v}_1 = (v_{11}, v_{12}, \dots, v_{1k}), \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1} = (v_{k+11}, v_{k+12}, \dots, v_{k+1k})$ (see [9]).

Since vectors $\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1} - \mathbf{v}_1$ are linearly independent, then each $\mathbf{x} \in S$ can be written as a convex combination of $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}$ in the form

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{x}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} \mathbf{v}_{k+1}. \tag{19}$$

Now we present an analog of Theorem 6 for convex functions defined on k -simplices in \mathbb{R}^k .

THEOREM 9. *Let L satisfy properties (L1), (L2) and (L3) on a nonempty set E , A be a positive normalized linear functional on L and \tilde{A} defined as in (3). Let f be a convex function on a k -simplex $S = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}]$ in \mathbb{R}^k and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$ be barycentric coordinates over S . Then for all $\mathbf{g} \in L^k$ such that $\mathbf{g}(E) \subset S$ and $f(\mathbf{g}) \in L$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} A(f(\mathbf{g})) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) f(\mathbf{v}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^{k+1}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}) \\ &= \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}), \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} f(\mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{A}(\mathbf{g})])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} f(\mathbf{v}_{k+1}) \\ &\quad - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^{k+1}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Theorem 6 with

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\mathbf{g}(t)) &= \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{g}(t), \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} = \frac{\frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ g_1(t) & v_{21} & & v_{k+11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ g_k(t) & v_{2k} & \dots & v_{k+1k} \end{vmatrix}}{\frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ v_{11} & v_{21} & & v_{k+11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{1k} & v_{2k} & \dots & v_{k+1k} \end{vmatrix}}, \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda_{k+1}(\mathbf{g}(t)) &= \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{g}(t)])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} = \frac{\frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ v_{11} & & v_{k1} & g_1(t) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_{1k} & \dots & v_{kk} & g_k(t) \end{vmatrix}}{\frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ v_{11} & v_{21} & & v_{k+11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{1k} & v_{2k} & \dots & v_{k+1k} \end{vmatrix}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(\lambda_1(\mathbf{g})) &= \frac{\frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ A(\mathbf{g}_1) & v_{21} & & v_{k+11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ A(\mathbf{g}_k) & v_{2k} & \cdots & v_{k+1k} \end{vmatrix}}{\frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ v_{11} & v_{21} & & v_{k+11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{1k} & v_{2k} & \cdots & v_{k+1k} \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\text{Vol}_k\left(\left[\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}), \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}\right]\right)}{\text{Vol}_k(S)}, \\
 &\vdots \\
 A(\lambda_{k+1}(\mathbf{g})) &= \frac{\frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ v_{11} & & v_{k1} & A(\mathbf{g}_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ v_{1k} & \cdots & v_{kk} & A(\mathbf{g}_k) \end{vmatrix}}{\frac{1}{k!} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ v_{11} & v_{21} & & v_{k+11} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ v_{1k} & v_{2k} & \cdots & v_{k+1k} \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\text{Vol}_k\left(\left[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \tilde{A}(\mathbf{g})\right]\right)}{\text{Vol}_k(S)}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{21}$$

Using Theorem 9 we prove an analog of Theorem 7 for k -simplices in \mathbb{R}^k .

THEOREM 10. *Let L satisfy properties (L1), (L2) and (L3) on a nonempty set E , A be a positive normalized linear functional on L and \tilde{A} defined as in (3). Let f be a convex function on a k -simplex $S = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}]$ in \mathbb{R}^k and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+1}$ be barycentric coordinates over S . If J is an interval in \mathbb{R} such that $f(S) \subset J$ and $F : J \times J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an increasing function in the first variable, then for all $\mathbf{g} \in L^k$ such that $\mathbf{g}(E) \subset S$ and $f(\mathbf{g}) \in L$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &F\left(A(f(\mathbf{g})), f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}))\right) \\
 &\leq \max_{\mathbf{x} \in S} F\left(\frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} f(\mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{x}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} f(\mathbf{v}_{k+1})\right. \\
 &\quad \left.- A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^{k+1}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}), f(\mathbf{x})\right) \\
 &= \max_{\Delta_k} F\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mu_i f(\mathbf{v}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^{k+1}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}), f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mu_i \mathbf{v}_i\right)\right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{22}$$

Proof. Analogous to the proof of Theorem 7 with substitutions

$$\mu_1 = \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)}, \dots, \mu_{k+1} = \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{x}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)},$$

and

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mu_i \mathbf{v}_i. \quad \square$$

REMARK 7. If all the assumptions of Theorem 9 are satisfied and in addition f is continuous, then

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g})) &\leq A(f(\mathbf{g})) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} A(\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})) f(\mathbf{v}_i) - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^{k+1}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}) \\ &= \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}), \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} f(\mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{A}(\mathbf{g})])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} f(\mathbf{v}_{k+1}) \\ &\quad - A(\min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g})\}) S_f^{k+1}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

The first inequality is from Theorem 4 and the second from Theorem 9.

EXAMPLE 1. Let $S = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}]$ be a k -simplex in \mathbb{R}^k and f a continuous convex function on S . Let $(E, \mathcal{A}, \lambda)$ be a measure space with positive measure λ such that $\lambda(E) < \infty$. Let L be a linear class of measurable real functions on E . We define the functional $A: L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$A(g) = \frac{1}{\lambda(E)} \int_E g(t) d\lambda(t).$$

It is obvious that A is positive normalized linear functional on L . Then the linear operator \tilde{A} is defined by

$$\tilde{A}(\mathbf{g}) = \frac{1}{\lambda(E)} \int_E \mathbf{g}(t) d\lambda(t).$$

We denote $\bar{\mathbf{g}} = \frac{1}{\lambda(E)} \int_E \mathbf{g}(t) d\lambda(t)$. If $\mathbf{g}(E) \subset S$ and $f(\mathbf{g}) \in L$, then from (23) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(\bar{\mathbf{g}}) &\leq A(f(\mathbf{g})) \\ &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\bar{\mathbf{g}}, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} f(\mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \bar{\mathbf{g}}])}{\text{Vol}_k(S)} f(\mathbf{v}_{k+1}) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(E)} \int_E \min\{\lambda_i(\mathbf{g}(t))\} d\lambda(t) \right) S_f^{k+1}(\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

REMARK 8. Let $S = [\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}]$ be a k -simplex in \mathbb{R}^k . If we put $E = S$, $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{id}_S$ and λ is Lebesgue measure on S , from Example 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{id}}_S &= \frac{1}{|S|} \int_S t dt = \mathbf{v}^* = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbf{v}_i \\ A(f(\mathbf{id}_S)) &= \frac{1}{|S|} \int_S f(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{v}^* is the barycenter of S . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\mathbf{v}^*) &\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \int_S f(t) dt \\
 &\leq \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}^*, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}])}{|S|} f(\mathbf{v}_1) + \dots + \frac{\text{Vol}_k([\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}^*])}{|S|} f(\mathbf{v}_{k+1}) \\
 &\quad - \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_S \min\{\lambda_i(t)\} dt \right) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} f(\mathbf{v}_i) - (k+1)f(\mathbf{v}^*) \right] \tag{25} \\
 &= \frac{1}{k+1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} f(\mathbf{v}_i) \right) - \left(\frac{1}{|S|} \int_S \min\{\lambda_i(t)\} dt \right) \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} f(\mathbf{v}_i) - (k+1)f(\mathbf{v}^*) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1, \dots, k+1$, let S_j be the simplex whose vertices are \mathbf{v}^* and all vertices of S except \mathbf{v}_i . Denote by \mathbf{v}_i^* the barycentre of $S_i, i = 1, \dots, k+1$. Since $\text{Vol}_k(S_i) = \text{Vol}_k(S_j), i, j = 1, \dots, k+1$, it follows from (18) that $t \in S_j$ implies $\min\{\lambda_i(t)\} = \lambda_j(t)$. It follows

$$\int_S \min\{\lambda_i(t)\} dt = \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \int_{S_j} \lambda_j(t) dt. \tag{26}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{S_j} \lambda_j(t) dt &= \frac{1}{|S|} \int_{S_j} \text{Vol}_k[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, t, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}] dt \\
 &= \frac{1}{|S|} \text{Vol}_k \left[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \int_{S_j} t dt, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1} \right] \\
 &= \frac{|S_j|}{|S|} \text{Vol}_k[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_j^*, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}] = \frac{1}{k+1} \text{Vol}_k[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_j^*, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}] \\
 &= \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} \text{Vol}_k[\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}^*, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{k+1}] = \frac{1}{(k+1)^3} |S|. \tag{27}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (26) and (27) we get

$$\int_S \min\{\lambda_i(t)\} dt = \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} |S|. \tag{28}$$

Now, putting (28) in (25), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(\mathbf{v}^*) &\leq \frac{1}{|S|} \int_S f(t) dt \\
 &\leq \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} f(\mathbf{v}_i) + \frac{1}{k+1} f(\mathbf{v}^*),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is obtained in [2, Theorem 4.1].

It can be easily verified that the right-hand side of this inequality is equivalent to the k -dimensional version of the Hammer-Bullen inequality, namely

$$\frac{1}{|S|} \int_S f(t) dt - f(\mathbf{v}^*) \leq \frac{k}{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} f(\mathbf{v}_i) - \frac{k}{|S|} \int_S f(t) dt$$

which is proved, for example in [10].

In one dimension this is an improvement of classical Hermite-Hadamard inequality

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(t) dt \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} S_f^2(a,b).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BESSENYEI, *The Hermite-Hadamard inequality on simplices*, Amer. Math. Monthly **115** (4) (2008), 339–345.
- [2] A. GUESSAB, G. SCHMEISSER, *Convexity results and sharp error estimates in approximate multivariate integration*, Math. Comp., **73** (247) (2003), 1365–1384.
- [3] S. IVELIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, *Generalizations of Converse Jensen's inequality and related results*, J. Math. Ineq., **5** (1) (2011), 43–60.
- [4] M. KLARIČIĆ BAKULA, J. PEČARIĆ, J. PERIĆ, *Extensions of the Hermite-Hadamard inequality with Applications*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **12** (2012), no. 4, 899–921.
- [5] M. KLARIČIĆ BAKULA, J. PEČARIĆ, J. PERIĆ, *On the converse Jensen inequality*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (11) (2012), 6566–6575.
- [6] E. J. MCSHANE, *Jensen's inequality*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **43** (1937), 521–527.
- [7] D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, J. PEČARIĆ, A. M. FINK, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, Kulwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 1993.
- [8] J. E. PEČARIĆ, F. PROSCHAN, Y. L. TONG, *Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1992.
- [9] R. T. ROCKAFELLAR, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Math. Ser. No. 28.
- [10] S. WĄSOWICZ, A. WITKOWSKI, *On some inequality of Hermite-Hadamard type*, Opuscula Math. **32/3** (2012), 591–600.

(Received February 12, 2013)

J. Pečarić
Faculty of Textile Technology
University of Zagreb
Prilaz Baruna Filipovića 30
10 000 Zagreb, Croatia
e-mail: pecaric@hazu.hr

J. Perić
Faculty of Science, Departments of Mathematics
University of Split
Tetlina 12
21 000 Split, Croatia
e-mail: jperic@pmfst.hr