

## CONVOLUTION INEQUALITIES IN WEIGHTED LORENTZ SPACES

MARTIN KŘEPELA

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

*Abstract.* We characterize boundedness of a convolution operator with a fixed kernel between the weighted Lorentz spaces  $\Lambda^p(v)$  and  $\Gamma^q(w)$  for  $0 < p \leq q \leq \infty$ ,  $1 \leq q < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq p = \infty$ . We provide corresponding weighted Young-type inequalities and also study basic properties of some new involved r.i. spaces.

### 1. Introduction

Methods involving convolution of a function  $f$  with a kernel function  $g$ , i.e.

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(t-x) dx, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1)$$

have experienced a great attention and a widespread use in various important parts of analysis. By choosing a specific kernel in this general setting, we get many well-known operators, which themselves are of substantial importance. As examples here we can mention Newton, Riesz or Bessel potentials, Stieltjes and Hilbert transforms, mollifying operators, etc. One of the main questions in this field is the boundedness of the linear operator given by a fixed  $g$  and the formula

$$T_g : f \mapsto f * g$$

between certain function spaces. This problem is further related to convolution inequalities. The classic case is the well-known Young inequality stating that for  $1 \leq p, q, r \leq \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} = 1 + \frac{1}{q}$  it holds

$$\|f * g\|_q \leq \|f\|_p \|g\|_r, \quad f \in L^p, g \in L^r.$$

Here  $\|\cdot\|_p$  denotes the Lebesgue  $L^p$ -norm. The connection to the boundedness question is obvious: If  $X, Y, Z$  are given function spaces and the inequality

$$\|f * g\|_Z \leq C \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y, \quad f \in X, g \in Y, \quad (2)$$

we get the boundedness  $T_g : X \rightarrow Z$  for any  $g \in Y$ . On the other hand, if we have the estimate  $\|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow Z} \leq C \|g\|_Y$ , then we retrieve (2). Notice here also that the assumption

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 44A35, 26D10, 46E30.

*Keywords and phrases:* Convolution, Young inequality, O'Neil inequality, Lorentz spaces, weights.

$p \leq q$  in the Young inequality cannot be avoided. Indeed, as shown by Hörmander in [9], a nontrivial convolution operator is never bounded from  $L^p$  to  $L^q$  if  $q < p$ .

The Young inequality was further developed for classical Lorentz spaces  $L_{\alpha,\beta}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$ , generated by

$$\|f\|_{L_{\alpha,\beta}} := \left( \int_0^\infty (f^*(x))^\beta x^{\frac{\beta}{\alpha}-1} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad 1 \leq \beta < \infty,$$

$$\|f\|_{L_{\alpha,\infty}} := \sup_{x \in (0,\infty)} f^*(x)x^{\frac{1}{\alpha}},$$

and  $L_{(\alpha,\beta)}$  generated by

$$\|f\|_{L_{(\alpha,\beta)}} := \|f^{**}\|_{L_{\alpha,\beta}}.$$

Here  $f^*$  stands for the nonincreasing rearrangement of  $f$  and  $f^{**}$  for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function (see e.g. [1]).

O’Neil [16] proved that, for  $1 < a, b, c < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$  such that  $1 + \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$  and  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$ , the inequality

$$\|f * g\|_{L_{a,q}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{b,p}} \|g\|_{L_{c,r}}, \quad f \in L_{b,p}, g \in L_{c,r}, \tag{3}$$

is satisfied. This result was further improved in [10, 20] up to the range  $0 < a, b, c < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < p \leq \infty$ . Blozinski [2] showed that in a limit case of (3) with  $a = b$  and  $c = 1$ , for an a.e. nonnegative  $g$ ,

$$T_g : L_{p,b} \rightarrow L_{q,b}$$

holds if and only if  $g = 0$  a.e. However, in a recent paper [14] Nursultanov and Tikhonov proved that the same problem has a nontrivial solution if we replace the interval of integration in (1) by  $(0, 1)$  and consider the convolution for 1-periodic functions. In that case the inequality

$$\|f * g\|_{L_{b,q}} \leq C \|f\|_{L_{b,p}} \|g\|_{L_{(1,r)}}$$

was shown to be satisfied for all 1-periodic  $f \in L_{b,p}$ ,  $g \in L_{(1,r)}$ . Here the functionals  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{\alpha,\beta}}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{(\alpha,\beta)}}$  are naturally given just on  $(0, 1)$ , as well.

In this paper, we provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the boundedness  $T_g : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)$  for fixed weights  $v, w$  and various combinations of the parameters  $p, q$ . Moreover, we obtain Young-type inequalities (2) for  $X = \Lambda^p(v)$ ,  $Z = \Gamma^q(w)$  and characterize the largest rearrangement-invariant space  $Y$  for which these inequalities are valid.

To obtain these results we use the classical O’Neil inequality [16] and the weighted Hardy-type inequalities which have undergone a wide development in the last two decades. A survey of the classical cases may be found e.g. in [4], newer and more general results are developed and summarized in [8]. (For further related results see

e.g. [12].) Our method enables us to obtain both the results for convolutions on  $\mathbb{R}$  and on a finite interval.

Our paper proceeds in the following way: In Section 2 we present the definitions, state the problems and prove some preliminary results. Section 3 includes the main results, i.e. the weighted Young-type inequalities involving  $\Lambda$  and  $\Gamma$  spaces. In Section 4 we present some additional results and also verify that the results of [16, 2, 14] mentioned above follow as special cases of our theorems. Finally, Section 5 deals with some fundamental properties of function spaces which appear in the inequalities.

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout the text we use the following notation: If  $\Omega$  is a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , we write  $\mathcal{M}(\Omega) := \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable}\}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_+(\Omega) := \{f \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega); f \geq 0 \text{ a.e.}\}$ . If  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , we define the conjugate exponent  $p'$  by  $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$ .

In what follows, we will consider  $m \in (0, \infty]$ , unless specified else. We denote

$$\mathcal{P}_m := \begin{cases} \{f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}); m\text{-periodic}\} & \text{if } m < \infty, \\ \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}) & \text{if } m = \infty, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}_m := \{f \in \mathcal{P}_m; f \geq 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is even, } f \text{ is nonincreasing on } (0, \frac{m}{2})\}.$$

Notice that  $\mathcal{P}_m, \mathcal{E}_m \subset \mathcal{M}(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$  in the sense of the restriction of  $f$  to  $(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$ . We introduce these classes to be able to treat both the convolution on  $\mathbb{R}$  (as in [16] etc.) and the convolution of  $m$ -periodic functions,  $m < \infty$ , (as in [14]) at once. In the case  $m = \infty$ , the description of the classes is rather simple:  $\mathcal{P}_\infty = \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\infty$  consists of nonnegative ‘‘symmetrically decreasing’’ functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

The usual notation  $F \lesssim G$  means that  $F \leq CG$  where  $C$  is a constant independent of appropriate quantities in  $F$  and  $G$ . If  $C^{-1}F \leq G \leq CF$  with such  $C$ , we write  $F \simeq G$  and  $C$  is then called the *equivalence constant*. By  $L^1_{loc}$  we denote the set of all locally integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Next, a *weight*  $w$  is a nonnegative function on  $(0, m)$  such that for all  $t \in (0, m)$  it holds  $0 < W(t) < \infty$ , where

$$W(t) := \int_0^t w(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, m].$$

For a weight  $w$ , the  $L^q(w)$ -norm of  $f \in \mathcal{M}(0, m)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^q(w)} &:= \left( \int_0^m |f(t)|^q w(t) dt \right)^{1/q}, \quad q < \infty, \\ \|f\|_{L^\infty(w)} &:= \text{ess sup}_{t \in (0, m)} |f(t)| w(t). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_m$ . We define the *convolution*  $f * g$  by

$$(f * g)(t) := \int_{-\frac{m}{2}}^{\frac{m}{2}} f(x)g(t-x) dx, \tag{4}$$

if the right-hand side is well-defined for a.e.  $t \in (-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$ . Notice that if  $f * g$  is defined, then  $f * g \in \mathcal{P}_m$ .

For  $f \in \mathcal{M}(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$  we define the *nonincreasing rearrangement* of  $f$  by

$$f^*(t) := \inf \{s \geq 0; |\{\tau \in (-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}), |f(\tau)| > s\}| \leq t\}, \quad t \in (0, m), \quad (5)$$

and the *maximal function*  $f^{**}$  by

$$f^{**}(t) := \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds, \quad t \in (0, m), \quad (6)$$

see e.g. [1]. Observe that, although the  $m$ -periodic function  $f$  (for  $m < \infty$ ) is defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , the above defined rearrangement of  $f$  represents just the rearrangement of  $f$ 's restriction to the interval of periodicity. If  $m = \infty$ , we get the "standard" rearrangement and convolution on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Again, this approach will allow us to cover the results for both finite and infinite  $m$  by a single theorem. It may be also worth noticing that, if  $f \in \mathcal{E}_m$ , the properties of  $f$  yield  $f(t) = f^*(2t)$  for all  $t \in (0, \frac{m}{2})$ , a fact which will be useful later.

The following definition includes the standard definition of an r.i. norm (see [1]), modified for functions from the class  $\mathcal{P}_m$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $\rho : \mathcal{P}_m \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  be a mapping. We call  $\rho$  a *rearrangement-invariant (r.i.) Banach function norm* or just simply an *r.i. norm* if for all  $f, g, f_n \in \mathcal{P}_m$ , ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), for all constants  $a \geq 0$  and all measurable subsets  $E$  of  $(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$ , the following properties hold:

$$(P1) \quad \rho(f + g) \leq \rho(f) + \rho(g),$$

$$(P2) \quad \rho(af) = a\rho(f),$$

$$(P3) \quad \rho(f) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f = 0 \text{ a.e.},$$

$$(P4) \quad 0 \leq g \leq f \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow \rho(g) \leq \rho(f),$$

$$(P5) \quad 0 \leq f_n \uparrow f \text{ a.e.} \Rightarrow \rho(f_n) \uparrow \rho(f),$$

$$(P6) \quad |E| < \infty \Rightarrow \rho(\chi_E) < \infty,$$

$$(P7) \quad |E| < \infty \Rightarrow \int_E f \leq C_E \rho(f) \text{ for some constant } C_E \in (0, \infty) \text{ depending on } E \text{ and } \rho \text{ but independent of } f,$$

$$(P8) \quad f^* = g^* \text{ on } (0, m) \Rightarrow \rho(f) = \rho(g).$$

If  $\rho$  is an r.i. norm, the collection  $X = X(\rho)$  of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{P}_m$  such that  $\rho(|f|) < \infty$  is called an *r.i. space*. For formal reasons, we will consider the set consisting only of the zero function to be also an r.i. space.

The mapping  $\rho$  is called an *r.i. quasi-norm* if for all  $f, g, f_n \in \mathcal{P}_m$ , ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), all  $a \geq 0$  and all measurable  $E \subset (-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$ , the conditions

$$(P1^*) \quad \rho(f + g) \leq B(\rho(f) + \rho(g)) \text{ for some constant } B \in (1, \infty) \text{ independent of } f, g,$$

and (P2)–(P8) are satisfied. In that case,  $X(\rho)$  is said to be a *quasi-normed r.i. space*. We call  $X(\rho)$  an *r.i. lattice* if for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_m$ , all  $a \geq 0$  and all measurable  $E \subset (-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$ , the conditions (P2), (P4), (P6) and (P8) are satisfied.

If  $X(\rho)$  is an r.i. lattice, for every  $f \in \mathcal{P}_m$  we define  $\|f\|_X := \rho(|f|)$ . Notice that  $\|\cdot\|_X$  is not necessarily a norm.

We say that an r.i. lattice  $X$  is *embedded* into an r.i. lattice  $Y$  and write  $X \hookrightarrow Y$  if there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|f\|_Y \leq C\|f\|_X$  for all  $f \in X$ .

Let  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$ . We consider the operator  $T_g$  defined by

$$T_g : f \mapsto f * g, \tag{7}$$

acting on all functions  $f \in \mathcal{P}_m$  for which  $f * g$  is defined. We will study the boundedness

$$T_g : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w),$$

where  $v, w$  are weights on  $(0, m)$  and  $\Lambda^p(v), \Gamma^q(w)$  are the *weighted Lorentz spaces* defined as

$$\Lambda^p(v) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{P}_m; \|f\|_{\Lambda^p(v)} := \left( \int_0^m (f^*(x))^p v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\Gamma^q(w) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{P}_m; \|f\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} := \left( \int_0^m (f^{**}(x))^q w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty \right\}$$

for  $p, q \in (0, \infty)$ , and

$$\Lambda^\infty(v) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{P}_m; \|f\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v)} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0, m)} f^*(x)v(x) < \infty \right\},$$

$$\Gamma^\infty(w) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{P}_m; \|f\|_{\Gamma^\infty(w)} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0, m)} f^{**}(x)w(x) < \infty \right\}.$$

Of course, for  $m < \infty$ , the  $\Lambda$  or  $\Gamma$  norm of  $f \in \mathcal{P}_m$  controls just the behavior of  $f$  on the periodical segment. Let us also point out that  $\Lambda^p(v)$  with  $p \in (0, \infty]$  is not necessarily a normed (not even quasi-normed) linear space (see e.g. [7] and the references therein). Since

$$(f + g)^{**}(t) \leq f^{**}(t) + g^{**}(t), \quad t \in (0, m), \tag{8}$$

(see e.g. [1, p. 54]), the structure  $\Gamma^q(w)$  is a normed linear space for  $q \in [1, \infty]$  but only quasi-normed for  $q \in (0, 1)$ . However, we will still refer to  $\Lambda^p(v)$  and  $\Gamma^q(w)$  as to “spaces” and to  $\|\cdot\|_{\Lambda^p(v)}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\Gamma^q(w)}$  as to “norms”. Notice also that the weighted Lorentz spaces are always at least r.i. lattices.

Our first aim is the following: Given weights  $v, w$  and exponents  $p, q$ , we want to find sufficient conditions on the kernel  $g$  under which  $T_g : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)$  is bounded, i.e.

$$\|f * g\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} = \|T_g f\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} \leq C\|f\|_{\Lambda^p(v)}, \quad f \in \Lambda^p(v), \tag{9}$$

and to obtain estimates for the optimal constant  $C = \|T_g\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)}$  in terms of  $g$ . Recall that the operator norm of  $T_g$  is given by

$$\|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow Z} := \sup_{\|f\|_X \leq 1} \|T_g f\|_Z.$$

Let us formally put  $\|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow Z} := \infty$  if there exists a function  $f \in X$  such that  $T_g f$  is not defined.

In addition to this, it will be shown that if  $g \in \mathcal{E}_m$ , then the sufficient conditions are also necessary for the boundedness  $T_g : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)$ .

Later on, we will see that  $\|T_g\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)}$  is estimated from above by a norm of  $g$  in an r.i. space  $Y$ . (In case of  $g \in \mathcal{E}_m$ , it will even hold  $\|T_g\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} \simeq \|g\|_Y$ .) This will allow us to write the result in the form of a Young-O’Neil inequality

$$\|f * g\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Lambda^p(v)} \|g\|_Y, \quad f \in \Lambda^p(v), g \in Y. \tag{10}$$

Moreover, the space  $Y$  will be optimal in the following sense:

DEFINITION 2.2. Let  $X, Y, Z$  be r.i. lattices. We say that  $Y$  is *optimal for the pair*  $(X, Z)$  if the inequality (2) holds and the following is satisfied: If  $\tilde{Y}$  is an r.i. lattice such that

$$\|f * g\|_Z \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\tilde{Y}}, \quad f \in X, g \in \tilde{Y},$$

holds, then  $\tilde{Y} \leftrightarrow Y$ .

In other words, the optimal lattice for  $(X, Z)$  is the essentially largest one for which (2) is satisfied.

The key result in our method is the O’Neil inequality [16, Lemma 2.5]:

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $m \in (0, \infty]$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_m \cap L^1_{loc}$ . Then, for every  $t \in (0, m)$  it holds

$$(f * g)^{**}(t) \leq t f^{**}(t) g^{**}(t) + \int_t^m f^*(s) g^*(s) ds. \tag{11}$$

Observe that for convolutions both on a bounded and unbounded interval we get the same estimate (11) which allows us to treat the two cases at once, as mentioned before.

Furthermore, we are going to use the fact that the O’Neil inequality is sharp in the following way:

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $m \in (0, \infty]$ . Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{E}_m \cap L^1_{loc}$ . Then for every  $t \in (0, m)$  it holds

$$t f^{**}(t) g^{**}(t) + \int_t^m f^*(y) g^*(y) dy \leq 12 (f * g)^{**}(t). \tag{12}$$

*Proof.* The result was mentioned in [16] without proof. A part of the proof is sketched e.g. in [18, Remark, p. 145]. For the convenience of the reader, we present the whole proof here.

Let  $m \in (0, \infty]$  and  $f, g \in \mathcal{E}_m \cap L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . According to the symmetry, we observe that  $f(t) = f^*(2t)$  and  $g(t) = g^*(2t)$  for all  $t \in (0, \frac{m}{2})$ . Now let  $t \in (0, \frac{m}{2})$  be fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t f(t-x)g(x) \, dx &\geq g(t) \int_0^t f(t-x) \, dx = g(t) \int_0^t f(x) \, dx \\ &= g^*(2t) \int_0^t f^*(2x) \, dx = \frac{g^*(2t)}{2} \int_0^{2t} f^*(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{\frac{m}{2}} f(t-x)g(x) \, dx &= \int_t^{\frac{m}{2}} f(x-t)g(x) \, dx \geq \int_t^{\frac{m}{2}} f(x)g(x) \, dx \\ &= \int_t^{\frac{m}{2}} f^*(2x)g^*(2x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{2t}^m f^*(x)g^*(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus it holds

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)(t) &\geq \int_0^t f(t-x)g(x) \, dx + \int_t^{\frac{m}{2}} f(t-x)g(x) \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left( g^*(2t) \int_0^{2t} f^*(x) \, dx + \int_{2t}^m f^*(x)g^*(x) \, dx \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get  $g^*(2t) \int_0^{2t} f^*(x) \, dx + \int_{2t}^m f^*(x)g^*(x) \, dx \leq 2(f * g)(t)$ . The left-hand side is equal to the expression  $\int_0^m f^*(x) \min\{g^*(x), g^*(2t)\} \, dx$  which is clearly nonincreasing in  $t$ . Thus, we obtain

$$g^*(2t) \int_0^{2t} f^*(x) \, dx + \int_{2t}^m f^*(x)g^*(x) \, dx \leq 2(f * g)^*(t). \tag{13}$$

Now, using Fubini theorem and the following part of (13):

$$g^*(2t) \int_0^{2t} f^*(x) \, dx \leq 2(f * g)^*(t)$$

(once as it is and once with  $f$  and  $g$  having changed places), we write

$$\begin{aligned} 2tg^{**}(2t)f^{**}(2t) &= \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^{2t} g^*(y) \, dy \int_0^{2t} f^*(x) \, dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^{2t} g^*(y) \int_0^y f^*(x) \, dx \, dy + \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^{2t} g^*(y) \int_y^{2t} f^*(x) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^{2t} g^*(y) \int_0^y f^*(x) \, dx \, dy + \frac{1}{2t} \int_0^{2t} f^*(x) \int_0^x g^*(y) \, dy \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{t} \int_0^{2t} (f * g)^*\left(\frac{y}{2}\right) \, dy \\ &= \frac{4}{t} \int_0^t (f * g)^*(y) \, dy \\ &= 4(f * g)^{**}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this and (13), we finally proceed to

$$\begin{aligned}
 2tg^{**}(2t)f^{**}(2t) + \int_{2t}^m f^*(x)g^*(x) dx &\leq 4(f * g)^{**}(t) + 2(f * g)^*(t) \\
 &\leq 6(f * g)^{**}(t) \leq 12(f * g)^{**}(2t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t \in (0, \frac{m}{2})$ , we have proved (12).  $\square$

REMARK 2.5. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \in \mathcal{E}_m \cap L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . Then the inequality (12) is actually satisfied for any  $f, g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$  such that  $f(t) = \tilde{f}(t + a)$  and  $g(t) = \tilde{g}(t + b)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows from the fact that  $(f * g)^* = (\tilde{f} * \tilde{g})^*$ .

### 3. Main results

We start this section with the general theorem below. It treats the boundedness of the operator  $T_g$  between an r.i. lattice  $X$  and  $\Gamma^q(w)$ .

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $m \in (0, \infty]$ . Let  $X$  be an r.i. lattice over  $(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$  and let  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$ . Let  $w$  be a weight and  $q \in (0, \infty]$ . For  $f \in \mathcal{P}_m$ ,  $t \in (0, m)$  put*

$$R_g^1 f(t) := t f^{**}(t) g^{**}(t), \quad R_g^2 f(t) := \int_t^m f^*(s) g^*(s) ds, \quad R_g f(t) := R_g^1 f(t) + R_g^2 f(t).$$

Then

- (i) *If  $R_g : X \rightarrow L^q(w)$  is bounded, then  $T_g : X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)$  is bounded and*

$$\|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow L^q(w)} < \infty.$$

- (ii) *Let  $g \in \mathcal{E}_m$ . If  $T_g : X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)$  is bounded, then  $R_g : X \rightarrow L^q(w)$  is bounded and*

$$\|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow L^q(w)} \lesssim \|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} < \infty.$$

- (iii) *If there exists an r.i. space  $Y$  over  $(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$  such that, for all  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$ , it holds  $\|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow L^q(w)} \simeq \|g\|_Y$ , then  $Y$  is optimal for  $(X, \Gamma^q(w))$ .*

*Proof.* (i) It holds  $\|R_{|g|}\|_{X \rightarrow L^q(w)} = \|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow L^q(w)} < \infty$ . Thus, for any  $f \in X$ , it holds  $R_{|g|}|f|(t) < \infty$  for  $t \in (0, m)$ . From (11) we get  $(T_{|g|}|f|)^{**}(t) \leq R_{|g|}|f|(t) < \infty$  for  $t \in (0, m)$ , therefore  $T_{|g|}|f|(t) < \infty$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, m)$ . Thus,  $|T_g f(t)| \leq T_{|g|}|f|(t)$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, m)$ , so  $T_g$  is well-defined on  $X$ . Next, we get

$$\|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} = \sup_{\|f\|_X \leq 1} \|(T_g f)^{**}\|_{L^q(w)} \leq \sup_{\|f\|_X \leq 1} \|R_g f\|_{L^q(w)} = \|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow L^q(w)}.$$

(ii) Let  $g \in \mathcal{E}_m$  and  $T_g : X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)$  be bounded. By definition of the operator norm, there exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of functions such that  $\|f_n\|_X \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_g f_n\|_{L^q(w)} = \|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow L^q(w)}.$$



Since  $R_g f = R_g \tilde{f}$  if  $f^* = \tilde{f}^*$ , we may assume that  $f_n \in \mathcal{E}_m$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.4 we obtain  $\|R_g f_n\|_{L^q(w)} \leq 12 \|f_n * g\|_{\Gamma^q(w)}$ , hence

$$\frac{1}{12} \|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow L^q(w)} = \frac{1}{12} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|R_g f_n\|_{L^q(w)} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n * g\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} \leq \|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)},$$

so the proof of this part is finished.

(iii) If  $g \in Y$ , we get

$$\|f * g\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} = \|T_g f\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_X \|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} \simeq \|f\|_X \|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow L^q(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_Y,$$

hence (2) holds with the given  $Y$ . Now let  $\tilde{Y}$  be an r.i. lattice such that

$$\|f * g\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_X \|g\|_{\tilde{Y}}, \quad f \in X, g \in \tilde{Y}. \tag{14}$$

Let  $g \in \mathcal{E}_m$  and  $\|g\|_{\tilde{Y}} < \infty$ . From (14) we get that  $\|T_g\|_{SP(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\tilde{Y}}$ . Hence, (ii) yields that  $\|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow \Lambda^q(w)} \lesssim \|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)}$ . Together we obtain

$$\|g\|_Y \simeq \|R_g\|_{X \rightarrow \Lambda^q(w)} \lesssim \|T_g\|_{X \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|g\|_{\tilde{Y}}.$$

Since  $Y, \tilde{Y}$  are r.i., it holds

$$\|g\|_Y \lesssim \|g\|_{\tilde{Y}}, \quad g \in \tilde{Y},$$

hence  $\tilde{Y} \hookrightarrow Y$ . Therefore, we have proved that  $Y$  is optimal for the pair  $(X, \Gamma^q(w))$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to bring the desired results about the convolution operator between  $\Lambda^p(v)$  and  $\Gamma^q(w)$ . We are going to characterize the norm  $\|\cdot\|_Y$  of the r.i. space  $Y := \{h \in \mathcal{P}_m; \|h\|_Y < \infty\}$  which is optimal for  $(\Lambda^p(v), \Gamma^q(w))$  in (10). The form of the results varies depending on the mutual relation of  $p$  and  $q$ . We need to find estimates on  $\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$ ,  $\|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$ . The norm  $\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$  equals the best constant  $C_1$  such that

$$\left( \int_0^m (f^{**}(t))^q t^q (g^{**}(t))^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_1 \left( \int_0^m (f^*(t))^p v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{M} \left(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}\right), \tag{15}$$

holds, while  $\|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$  equals the best  $C_2$  in

$$\left( \int_0^m \left( \int_t^m f^*(s) g^*(s) ds \right)^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C_2 \left( \int_0^m (f^*(t))^p v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad f \in \mathcal{M} \left(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2}\right). \tag{16}$$

Both (15) and (16) are Hardy-type inequalities for monotone functions and the optimal constants  $C_1, C_2$  have been fully characterized. The inequality (15) represents the embedding  $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \Gamma$  (see e.g. [4, 3]). A similar survey of (16) may be found e.g. in [8]. Direct references are given in the proof of Theorem 3.2 below.

In what follows, we will use the fact that for any  $m \in (0, \infty]$  and any  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R})$  it holds

$$\sup_{x \in (0, m)} \varphi(x) + \sup_{x \in (0, m)} \psi(x) \simeq \sup_{x \in (0, m)} [\varphi(x) + \psi(x)].$$

We also apply the convention “ $\frac{\infty}{\infty} := 0$ ”.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $m \in (0, \infty]$  and let  $v, w$  be weights. For  $g \in \mathcal{F}_m$  let  $\|g\|_Y$  be given by the following:*

(i) *If  $0 < p \leq 1, p \leq q < \infty$ , let*

$$\|g\|_Y := \sup_{x \in (0, m)} xV^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \left[ (g^{**}(x))^q W(x) + \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^q w(t) dt \right]^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

(ii) *If  $1 < p \leq q < \infty$ , let*

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_Y := & \sup_{x \in (0, m)} \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^{p'} t^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x) \\ & + g^{**}(x) x W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x) V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \\ & + \left( \int_0^x t^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) *If  $1 < q < p < \infty$ , let*

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_Y := & \left[ \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left( \int_0^x t^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{r}{q'}} x^{p'} V^{-p'}(x) v(x) \right. \\ & + (g^{**}(x))^r x^r W^{\frac{r}{q}}(x) V^{-\frac{r}{q}}(x) v(x) \\ & \left. + W^{\frac{r}{p}}(x) w(x) \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^{p'} t^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{r}{p'}} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ & + \left( \int_0^m x^q (g^{**}(x))^q w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(m). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) *If  $1 = q < p < \infty$ , let*

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_Y := & \left[ \int_0^m \left( g^{**}(x) W(x) + \int_x^m g^{**}(t) w(t) dt \right)^{p'} x^{p'} V^{-p'}(x) v(x) dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ & + \int_0^m x g^{**}(x) w(x) dx V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(m). \end{aligned}$$

*Then, for each choice of  $p, q$  from the previous list, the inequality (10) is satisfied. If  $g \in \mathcal{E}_m$ , then  $\|T_g\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} \simeq \|g\|_Y$ . The space  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  is optimal for the pair  $(\Lambda^p(v), \Gamma^q(w))$ .*

*Proof.* As for checking that  $Y$  generated by  $\|\cdot\|_Y$  in each of the cases is a (quasi-) normed r.i. space, we refer to Proposition 5.6.

Now let us focus on the main part of the proof. At first, clearly it is  $\|R_g\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$ . In each case (i)–(iv), we will use the known equivalent estimates of  $\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$ ,  $\|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$ . They have a form of certain functionals of  $g$  and we will show that, when added together, they actually form a norm of  $g$  in  $Y$ , i.e.  $\|g\|_Y \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$  for every  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$ .

Then the results will follow from Theorem 3.1: By its (i) part, if  $g \in Y$ , then  $T_g : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)$  is bounded and  $\|T_g\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|g\|_Y$ , hence (10) is satisfied. By Theorem 3.1 (ii), if  $g \in \mathcal{E}_m$ , then we get even  $\|T_g\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} \simeq \|g\|_Y$ . Theorem 3.1 (iii) then implies the optimality of  $Y$ .

So, in each case we just need to check that  $\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$ , obtained from the appropriate Hardy-type inequalities, are equivalent to  $\|g\|_Y$  for any  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$ .

(i) By [19, Theorem 3(b)] and [13, Theorem 2.1(a)] we get

$$\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \simeq \sup_{x \in (0,m)} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \left[ x \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \left( \int_0^x t^q (g^{**}(t))^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right],$$

$$\|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \simeq \sup_{x \in (0,m)} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \left( \int_0^x \left( \int_t^x g^*(s) ds \right)^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Obviously,  $\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \simeq \|g\|_Y$ .

(ii) From [17, Theorem 2] and the dual version of [15, Theorem 1.1] it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} &\simeq \sup_{x \in (0,m)} \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_0^x t^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in (0,m)} \left( \int_0^x t^q (g^{**}(t))^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \\ &=: A_1 + A_2, \\ \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} &\simeq \sup_{x \in (0,m)} \left( \int_x^m \left( \int_x^t g^*(s) ds \right)^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x) \\ &\quad + \sup_{x \in (0,m)} \left( \int_0^x \left( \int_t^x g^*(s) ds \right)^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) \\ &=: A_3 + A_4. \end{aligned}$$

Since for every  $x \in (0, m)$  it holds

$$\begin{aligned} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) &\geq \left( V^{1-p'}(x) - V^{1-p'}(m) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &= \left( \int_x^m \left( -V^{1-p'} \right)'(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \simeq \left( \int_x^m V^{-p'}(t) v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

we get

$$\left( \int_0^x \left( \int_0^x g^*(s) \, ds \right)^q w(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_x^m V^{-p'} v \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \lesssim \left( \int_0^x \left( \int_0^x g^*(s) \, ds \right)^q w(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x)$$

and so

$$A_5 := \sup_{x \in (0, m)} \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^{p'} t^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} W^{\frac{1}{q}}(x) \lesssim A_2 + A_3 + A_4.$$

Observe also that  $A_3 \lesssim A_5$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} &\lesssim A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 \leq A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 + A_5 \\ &\lesssim A_1 + A_2 + A_4 + A_5 \\ &\lesssim A_1 + A_2 + A_3 + A_4 \\ &\lesssim \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A_1 + A_2 + A_4 + A_5 \simeq \|g\|_Y$ , we have obtained  $\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \simeq \|g\|_Y$ .

(iii) In this case [17, Theorem 2] and the dual version of [15, Theorem 1.2] (cf. also [4, Theorem 4.1] and [8, Theorem 5.1]) yield

$$\begin{aligned} &\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \\ &\simeq \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_t^\infty (g^{**}(x))^q w(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} \left( \int_0^t x^{p'} V^{-p'}(x) v(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{r}{q'}} t^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_0^x t^q (g^{**}(t))^q w(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} V^{-\frac{r}{q}}(x) v(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^m x^q (g^{**}(x))^q w(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(m) \\ &=: A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \\ &\simeq \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_0^x \left( \int_t^x g^*(s) \, ds \right)^q w(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} V^{-\frac{r}{q}}(x) v(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^\infty \left( \int_x^m \left( \int_x^t g^*(s) \, ds \right)^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{r}{p'}} W^{\frac{r}{p}}(x) w(x) \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\ &=: A_4 + A_5. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly it holds

$$A_2 + A_4 \simeq \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_0^x g^*(s) ds \right)^r W^{\frac{r}{q}}(x) V^{-\frac{r}{q}}(x) v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} =: A_6.$$

Integration by parts and (17) provides that for all  $t \in (0, m)$  we have

$$\int_t^m W^{\frac{r}{p}}(x) \left( \int_x^m V^{-p'} v \right)^{\frac{r}{p'}} w(x) dx \lesssim \int_t^m W^{\frac{r}{q}}(x) V^{-\frac{r}{q}}(x) v(x) dx.$$

The function  $x \mapsto (\int_0^x g^*(s) ds)^r$  is nondecreasing, so by Hardy's lemma (an analogue of [1, Proposition 3.6, p. 56]) we obtain

$$A_7 := \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_0^x g^*(s) ds \right)^r W^{\frac{r}{p}}(x) \left( \int_x^m V^{-p'} v \right)^{\frac{r}{p'}} w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim A_6,$$

thus also  $A_5 + A_7 \lesssim A_2 + A_4 + A_5$ . Next, we can write

$$A_5 + A_7 \simeq \left( \int_0^m W^{\frac{r}{p}}(x) w(x) \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^{p'} t^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{r}{p'}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} =: A_8,$$

hence putting all the estimates together yields

$$A_2 + A_4 + A_5 \lesssim A_6 + A_8 \lesssim A_2 + A_4 + A_5$$

and so finally  $\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \simeq A_1 + A_3 + A_6 + A_8 \simeq \|g\|_Y$ .  
 (iv) By [4, Theorem 4.1(iv)] and [8, Theorem 5.1(v)] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} &\simeq \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_0^x t g^{**}(t) w(t) dt \right)^{p'} V^{-p'}(x) v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\quad + \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_x^\infty g^{**}(t) w(t) dt \right)^{p'} x^{p'} V^{-p'}(x) v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\quad + \int_0^m x g^{**}(x) w(x) dx V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(\infty) \\ &=: A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \\ \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} &\simeq \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_0^x \int_t^x g^*(y) dy w(t) dt \right)^{p'} V^{-p'}(x) v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$A_1 + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \simeq \left( \int_0^m (g^{**}(x))^{p'} x^{p'} W^{p'}(x) V^{-p'}(x) v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} =: A_4,$$

hence  $\|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} \simeq A_2 + A_3 + A_4 \simeq \|g\|_Y$ .  $\square$

For a given combination of weights  $v, w$  and exponents  $p, q$  in Theorems 3.2-3.6 we get the optimal space  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ . However, this space may consist only of a.e. zero functions. In such case we have the following observation:

**COROLLARY 3.3.** *Let  $m \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $p, q \in (0, \infty]$ , let  $v, w$  be weights. Let the optimal space  $Y$  for  $(\Lambda^p(v), \Gamma^q(w))$  in (10) satisfy  $Y = \{0\}$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$  be nonnegative a.e. and such that  $T_g : \Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)$  is bounded. Then  $g = 0$  a.e.*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$  be nonnegative and  $g \not\equiv 0$  in measure. Then there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $a, b \in (-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$  and  $h = \varepsilon \chi_{(a,b)}$  such that  $h \leq g$  a.e. Since  $h \not\equiv 0$ , it holds  $\|h\|_Y = \infty$  and therefore, by Theorem 3.1 (ii) and Remark 2.5,  $T_h$  is not bounded between  $\Lambda^p(v)$  and  $\Gamma^q(w)$ . Since  $0 \leq h \leq g$ , for every nonnegative  $f \in \Lambda^p(v)$  we get  $0 \leq T_h f \leq T_g f$ . Thus also  $(T_h f)^* \leq (T_g f)^*$  and it follows that  $T_g$  is not bounded between  $\Lambda^p(v)$  and  $\Gamma^q(w)$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 3.4.** In general, functions from  $\Lambda^p(v)$  do not have to be locally integrable. In particular, for  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , we know that  $\Lambda^p(v) \subset L^1_{loc}$  if and only if one of the following conditions is satisfied (cf. [4, 17, 19]):

- (a)  $p \in (0, 1]$  and  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0+} tV^{-\frac{1}{p}}(t) < \infty$ ,
- (b)  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\int_0^\varepsilon t^{p'-1}V^{1-p'}(t) dt < \infty$ .

Let  $\Lambda^p(v) \not\subset L^1_{loc}$ . Then  $T_g$  is well-defined on  $\Lambda^p(v)$  if and only if  $g = 0$  a.e. One may directly check that  $Y = \{0\}$  in all cases of Theorem 3.2 (i)–(iv). Hence, this theorem (trivially) holds even for  $\Lambda^p(v) \not\subset L^1_{loc}$ , thus we do not assume (a) or (b) in its statement.

Now we state the results for the weak-type spaces. The way of proving them is the same as in Theorem 3.2. Analogues of Corollary 3.3 and Remark 3.4 hold for these cases as well.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Let  $m \in (0, \infty]$ . Let  $v, w$  be weights. For  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$  let  $\|g\|_Y$  be given by what follows:*

- (i) *If  $0 < p \leq 1$ , then*

$$\|g\|_Y := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < x < y < m} \left[ g^{**}(y)w(y)xV^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) + g^{**}(y)w(x)yV^{-\frac{1}{p}}(y) \right].$$

- (ii) *If  $1 < p < \infty$ , then*

$$\|g\|_Y := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < x < m} w(x) \left[ \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^{p'} t^{p'} V^{-p'}(t) v(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} + g^{**}(x) \left( \int_0^x t^{p'-1} V^{1-p'}(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right].$$

Then, for  $p \in (0, \infty)$ , it holds

$$\|f * g\|_{\Gamma^\infty(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Lambda^p(v)} \|g\|_Y, \quad f \in \Lambda^p(v), g \in Y. \tag{18}$$

Moreover, if  $g \in \mathcal{E}_m$ , then  $\|Tg\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^\infty(w)} \simeq \|g\|_Y$ . The space  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  is optimal for the pair  $(\Lambda^p(v), \Gamma^\infty(w))$ .

*Proof.* We will again show that  $\|g\|_Y \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)}$  and apply Theorem 3.1. (For more details see the proof of Theorem 3.2.)

(i) It holds (cf. [4, Theorem 2.6(i)])

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} &\simeq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < x < y < m} g^{**}(y)w(y)xV^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x), \\ \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} &\simeq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{0 < x < y < m} \int_x^y g^*(s) \, ds \, w(x)V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(y). \end{aligned}$$

In the definition of  $\|g\|_Y$  we observe that  $\|g\|_Y \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} + B$ , where

$$B := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0, m)} xg^{**}(x)w(x)V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x).$$

However, it is easy to see that  $B \leq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)}$ , therefore  $\|g\|_Y \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)}$ .

(ii) We get (cf. [4, Theorem 2.6(i)] again)

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} &\simeq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0, m)} g^{**}(x)w(x) \left( \int_0^x t^{p'-1}V^{1-p'}(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \\ \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} &\simeq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0, m)} w(x) \left( \int_x^m \left( \int_x^t g^*(s) \, ds \right)^{p'} V^{-p'}(t)v(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_x^m V^{-p'}(t)v(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} &\leq V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x) = \frac{V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(x)}{x} \left( \int_0^x t^{p'-1} \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{x} \left( \int_0^x V^{1-p'}(t)t^{p'-1} \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$B := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x > 0} xg^{**}(x)w(x) \left( \int_x^\infty V^{-p'}(t)v(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)}.$$

Thus,

$$\|g\|_Y \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} + B \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^p(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)}$$

and the proof is finished.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $m \in (0, \infty]$ . Let  $v, w$  be weights. For  $g \in \mathcal{D}_m$  let  $\|g\|_Y$  be given by what follows:*

(i) *If  $0 < q < \infty$ , then*

$$\|g\|_Y := \left( \int_0^m \left( g^{**}(x) \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (0,t)} v(s)} + \int_x^m \frac{g^*(t) dt}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (0,t)} v(s)} \right)^q w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

(ii) *If  $q = \infty$ , then*

$$\|g\|_Y := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0,m)} \left( g^{**}(x) \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (0,t)} v(s)} + \int_x^m \frac{g^*(t) dt}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (0,t)} v(s)} \right) w(x).$$

*Then, for  $q \in (0, \infty]$ , it holds*

$$\|f * g\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v)} \|g\|_Y, \quad f \in \Lambda^\infty(v), g \in Y. \tag{19}$$

*Moreover, if  $g \in \mathcal{E}_m$ , then  $\|T_g\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow \Gamma^q(w)} \simeq \|g\|_Y$ . The space  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  is optimal for the pair  $(\Lambda^\infty(v), \Gamma^q(w))$ .*

*Proof.* Once again, let us show  $\|g\|_Y \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$  and apply Theorem 3.1. (See details in the analogous proof of Theorem 3.2.)

(i) From [8, Theorem 5.5] it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} &= \left( \int_0^m \left( g^{**}(x) \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (0,t)} v(s)} \right)^q w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\ \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} &= \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m \frac{g^*(t) dt}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (0,t)} v(s)} \right)^q w(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

One clearly sees that  $\|g\|_Y \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^q(w)}$ .

(ii) Here, by [8, Theorem 5.5] as well, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0,m)} g^{**}(x) \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (0,t)} v(s)} w(x), \\ \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} &= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0,m)} \int_x^m \frac{g^*(t) dt}{\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{s \in (0,t)} v(s)} w(x), \end{aligned}$$

and thus obviously  $\|g\|_Y \simeq \|R_g^1\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)} + \|R_g^2\|_{\Lambda^\infty(v) \rightarrow L^\infty(w)}$ .  $\square$



### 4. Further results and applications

At first, here we present two additional results of independent interest. The proposition below provides an alternative expression for the right-hand side of O’Neil inequality (11):

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *Let  $m \in (0, \infty]$  and let  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_m \cap L^1_{loc}$ . Then for every  $t \in (0, m)$  it holds:*

$$t f^{**}(t) g^{**}(t) + \int_t^m f^* g^* = \limsup_{s \rightarrow m^-} s f^{**}(s) g^{**}(s) + \int_t^m (f^{**} - f^*)(g^{**} - g^*).$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $f^{**}, g^{**} < \infty$  on  $(0, \infty)$ , otherwise the identity holds trivially. Recall that  $(g^{**})'(t) = \frac{g^*(t) - g^{**}(t)}{t}$  for all  $t > 0$ . Assume first  $m < \infty$  and take a fixed  $t \in (0, m)$ . Then integration by parts yields

$$\int_t^m f^{**}(g^{**} - g^*) = [-s f^{**}(s) g^{**}(s)]_{s=t}^m + \int_t^m f^* g^{**}.$$

Subtracting  $\int_t^m f^*(g^{**} - g^*)$  from both sides, we get

$$\int_t^m (f^{**} - f^*)(g^{**} - g^*) = [-s f^{**}(s) g^{**}(s)]_{s=t}^m + \int_t^m f^* g^*,$$

hence

$$t f^{**}(t) g^{**}(t) + \int_t^m f^* g^* = m f^{**}(m) g^{**}(m) + \int_t^m (f^{**} - f^*)(g^{**} - g^*). \tag{20}$$

Notice that since all integrals involved in the procedure exist and are finite, all performed steps were correct. Now, consider  $f, g \in \mathcal{M}$  and suppose that  $f^*, f^{**}, g^*, g^{**}$  are rearrangements on  $\mathbb{R}$  (given by (5) and (6) with  $m = \infty$ ). By the previous part, (20) holds for any parameter  $m \in (0, \infty)$ , thus passing  $m \rightarrow \infty$  on both sides and using the monotone convergence theorem gives the result for  $m = \infty$ .  $\square$

We now get the following corollary:

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *Let  $m \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_m \cap L^1_{loc}$  and let  $w$  be a weight. Denote  $\|\cdot\|_1 := \|\cdot\|_{L^1(0,m)}$ . Then*

$$\int_0^m (f * g)^{**} w \leq \frac{\|f\|_1 \|g\|_1 \|w\|_1}{m} + \int_0^m (f^{**}(t) - f^*(t))(g^{**}(t) - g^*(t)) W(t) dt. \tag{21}$$

*Proof.* Following Lemma 2.3 and Proposition 4.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^m (f * g)^{**}(t) w(t) dt \\ & \leq \int_0^m \left[ t f^{**}(t) g^{**}(t) + \int_t^m f^*(s) g^*(s) ds \right] w(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \int_0^m \left[ m f^{**}(m) g^{**}(m) + \int_t^m (f^{**}(s) - f^*(s))(g^{**}(s) - g^*(s)) \, ds \right] w(t) \, dt \\
 &\leq \frac{\|f\|_1 \|g\|_1 \|w\|_1}{m} + \int_0^m \int_t^m (f^{**}(s) - f^*(s))(g^{**}(s) - g^*(s)) w(t) \, ds \, dt \\
 &= \frac{\|f\|_1 \|g\|_1 \|w\|_1}{m} + \int_0^m (f^{**}(t) - f^*(t))(g^{**}(t) - g^*(t)) W(t) \, dt. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

This improves the result of [14, Lemma 2.1], in which a weaker version of it is proved, namely with  $g^{**}$  instead of  $g^{**} - g^*$  in the integrand on the right-hand side of (21).

Next, let us show that our theorems cover the classical convolution-related results which we thus can obtain by applying the inequalities from Section 2 to special choices of weights.

REMARK 4.3. O’Neil’s result [16, Theorem 2.6] says that for  $1 < a, b, c < \infty$  and  $1 \leq q < p < \infty$  such that  $1 + \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c}$  and  $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p}$  the inequality (3) holds for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{P}_m$ , where  $m$  may be both finite or infinite and the functionals  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{a,\beta}}$  are defined on a corresponding interval  $(0, m)$ . Let us show that this result now follows as a special case of Theorem 3.2 (iii)/(iv):

Consider  $q > 1$ . Recall that since  $a, b, c > 1$ , it holds  $\|\cdot\|_{L_{a,q}} \simeq \|\cdot\|_{L_{(a,q)}}$  and analogously for  $L_{c,r}$  (see e.g. [1, p. 219]). Hence, it suffices to confirm the inequality

$$\|f * g\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Lambda^p(v)} \|g\|_{\Gamma^r(u)} \tag{22}$$

with  $v(x) := x^{\frac{p}{b}-1}$ ,  $w(x) := x^{\frac{q}{a}-1}$  and  $u(x) := x^{\frac{r}{c}-1}$ . By application of Theorem 3.2 (iii) and a direct calculation involving the given weights, we obtain that  $\|f * g\|_{\Gamma^q(w)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\Lambda^p(v)} \|g\|_Y$  holds with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|g\|_Y &\simeq \|g\|_{\Gamma^r(u)} + V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(m) \left( \int_0^m (g^{**}(t))^q t^q w(t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 &\quad + \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^q t^{\frac{q}{a}-1} \, dt \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} x^{\frac{r(b-1)}{b}-1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\quad + \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^{p'} t^{\frac{b-p}{b(p-1)}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{r}{p'}} x^{\frac{r}{a}-1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g^{**}$  is nonincreasing, the Hardy-type inequality [8, Theorem 5.1(iii)] implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left( \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^q t^{\frac{q}{a}-1} \, dt \right)^{\frac{r}{q}} x^{\frac{r(b-1)}{b}-1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \|g\|_{\Gamma^r(u)}, \\
 &\left( \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^{p'} t^{\frac{b-p}{b(p-1)}} \, dt \right)^{\frac{r}{p'}} x^{\frac{r}{a}-1} \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \lesssim \|g\|_{\Gamma^r(u)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $m = \infty$ , we obtain that  $V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(m) \left( \int_0^m (g^{**}(t))^q t^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = 0$  since  $V(\infty) = \infty$  (by the convention “ $\frac{\infty}{\infty} = 0$ ”). For  $m < \infty$ , from [17, Remark (i), p. 148] it follows

$$V^{-\frac{1}{p}}(m) \left( \int_0^m (g^{**}(t))^q t^q w(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|g\|_{\Gamma^r(u)}.$$

Verifying the requirements of all the used theorems is yet again done by a direct calculation of the weights. We got  $\|\cdot\|_Y \simeq \|\cdot\|_{\Gamma^r(u)}$  and it shows that (22) holds.

The case  $q = 1$  follows analogously using Theorem 3.2 (iv) and the same sources. Therefore, we checked that from Theorem 3.2 it follows that the inequality (3) holds and  $L_{c,r}$  is the optimal space for the pair  $(L_{b,p}, L_{a,q})$ .

REMARK 4.4. Furthermore, we can investigate the limit case of (3) with  $a = b$  and  $c = 1$ . Using exactly the same method as above, we reach the inequality

$$\|f * g\|_{L_{b,q}} \lesssim \|f\|_{L_{b,p}} \|g\|_{L_{(1,r)}}, \quad f \in L_{b,p}, g \in L_{(1,r)}.$$

For  $m < \infty$  we obtain the result of [14, Theorem 2.1(a)] so. Unlike the case of a finite  $m$ , for  $m = \infty$  the space  $L_{(1,r)}$ , which we obtained as the optimal one, consists only of the a.e. zero function. Thus, Corollary 3.3 yields: If  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$  is nonnegative, then  $T_g$  is bounded from  $L_{b,p}$  to  $L_{b,q}$  if and only if  $g = 0$  a.e. Hence, we recovered the result of [2, Theorem 2] for convolution operators.

### 5. Properties of related function spaces

In this part we introduce a new type of function spaces based on the optimal space  $Y$  we got in the previous and list some basic properties of these structures. We define them as systems of functions over the domain  $(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$ , where  $m$  is, without loss of generality, taken from  $[1, \infty]$ .

DEFINITION 5.1. Let  $m \in [1, \infty]$ ,  $p, q \in (0, \infty)$  and let  $u, v$  be weights. For  $g \in \mathcal{P}_m$  we define

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)} &:= \left( \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^p u(t) dt \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \\ \|g\|_{K^{p,\infty}(u,v)} &:= \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0,m)} \left( \int_x^m (g^{**}(t))^p u(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(x), \\ \|g\|_{K^{\infty,q}(u,v)} &:= \left( \int_0^m \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (x,m)} (g^{**}(t)u(t))^q v(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we put  $K^{p,q}(u, v) := \{f \in \mathcal{P}_m; \|f\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)} < \infty\}$ , analogously we define  $K^{p,\infty}(u, v)$  and  $K^{\infty,q}(u, v)$ .

We could also consider the norm

$$\|g\|_{K^{\infty,\infty}(u,v)} := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t>x>0} g^{**}(t)u(t)v(x).$$

However, this would bring no innovation since  $\|\cdot\|_{K^{\infty,\infty}(u,v)}$  then coincides with  $\|\cdot\|_{\Gamma^\infty(\omega)}$  for  $\omega(t) := u(t) \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0,t)} v(x)$ .

Function spaces which actually are special cases of these have already been sporadically mentioned before. For example, in [6], the space  $K^{1,\infty}(u,v)$  with a special choice of  $u, v$  appears as the optimal space for a certain Sobolev embedding into a Morrey-type space.

We start with showing the conditions under which a  $K$  space is nontrivial.

PROPOSITION 5.2. *Let  $m \in [1, \infty]$  and let  $u, v$  be weights. Then:*

(i) *If  $0 < p, q < \infty$ , then  $K^{p,q}(u, v) \neq \{0\}$  if and only if*

$$\int_0^m \left( \int_x^m \frac{u(t)}{(t+1)^p} dt \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} v(x) dx < \infty. \tag{23}$$

(ii) *If  $0 < p < \infty$ , then  $K^{p,\infty}(u, v) \neq \{0\}$  if and only if*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0,m)} \left( \int_x^m \frac{u(t)}{(t+1)^p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(x) < \infty.$$

(iii) *If  $0 < q < \infty$ , then  $K^{\infty,q}(u, v) \neq \{0\}$  if and only if*

$$\int_0^m \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (x,m)} \frac{u^q(t)}{(t+1)^q} v(x) dx < \infty.$$

*Proof.* (i) At first, one sees that for all  $t > 0$  it holds

$$\frac{1}{2(t+1)^p} \leq \chi_{[0,1]}(t) + \frac{\chi_{[1,m]}(t)}{t^p} \leq \frac{2^p}{(t+1)^p}. \tag{24}$$

Assume that there exists  $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{M}(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$  such that  $\|f\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)} < \infty$ . Then it holds  $0 < f^{**}(1) < \infty$  and by (24) we get

$$\infty > \|f\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}^q \geq \|f^{**}(1)\chi_{[0,1]}\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}^q \geq \frac{(f^{**}(1))^q}{2^q} \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m \frac{u(t)}{(t+1)^p} dt \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} v(x).$$

Now assume that (23) holds. Then by the other part of (24) we obtain that  $\chi_{[0,1]} \in K^{p,q}(u, v)$ . Cases (ii) and (iii) are proved analogously.  $\square$

Recall (see e.g. [1, p. 73]) the spaces  $L^1 \cap L^\infty$  and  $L^1 + L^\infty$  generated by the norms

$$\|f\|_{L^1 + L^\infty} := \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} \{\|f_1\|_1 + \|f_2\|_\infty\}, \quad \|f\|_{L^1 \cap L^\infty} := \max\{\|f\|_1, \|f\|_\infty\},$$

where  $L^1 = L^1(0, m)$  and  $L^\infty = L^\infty(0, m)$ .

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let  $m \in [1, \infty]$ . Let  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$  and let  $u, v$  be weights such that  $K^{p,q}(u, v) \neq \{0\}$ . Then*

$$L^1 \cap L^\infty \hookrightarrow K^{p,q}(u, v) \hookrightarrow L^1 + L^\infty.$$

*Proof.* This is proved directly by exactly the same method as in [11, Proposition 1.4(2)] where an analogous result for  $\Gamma$  spaces is shown.  $\square$

From Proposition 5.3 we see that if  $\|\cdot\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)} \lesssim \|\cdot\|_{L^1+L^\infty}$ , then  $K^{p,q}(u, v) = L^1 + L^\infty$  in the sense of equivalence of norms. This is considered to be another type of triviality. We characterize it by what follows:

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let  $m \in [1, \infty]$  and let  $u, v$  be weights. Then:*

(i) *If  $0 < p, q < \infty$ , then  $K^{p,q}(u, v) = L^1 + L^\infty$  if and only if*

$$C := \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m \left( \frac{1}{t} + 1 \right)^p u(t) dt \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} v(x) dx < \infty.$$

(ii) *If  $0 < p < \infty$ , then  $K^{p,\infty}(u, v) = L^1 + L^\infty$  if and only if*

$$\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (0,m)} \left( \int_x^m \left( \frac{1}{t} + 1 \right)^p u(t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} v(x) < \infty.$$

(iii) *If  $0 < q < \infty$ , then  $K^{\infty,q}(u, v) = L^1 + L^\infty$  if and only if*

$$\int_0^m \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{t \in (x,m)} \left( \frac{1}{t} + 1 \right)^q u^q(t) v(x) dx < \infty.$$

*Proof.* (i) First let us suppose that  $C = \infty$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define the function  $f_n := n\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]} + 1$ . Then  $\|f_n\|_{L^1+L^\infty} \leq 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  but by the monotone convergence theorem it holds  $\|f_n\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}^q \uparrow C = \infty$ . Thus,  $L^1 + L^\infty \not\hookrightarrow K^{p,q}(u, v)$ .

Now assume that  $C < \infty$ . Let  $f \in L^1 + L^\infty$  be arbitrary. Let  $f_1 \in L^1$  and  $f_2 \in L^\infty$  be functions such that  $f = f_1 + f_2$  and  $\|f\|_{L^1+L^\infty} \geq \frac{1}{2}(\|f_1\|_1 + \|f_2\|_\infty)$ . Then  $f^{**}(t) \leq \frac{\|f_1\|_1}{t} + \|f_2\|_\infty$ ,  $t \in (0, m)$ , and thus it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}^q &= \|f_1 + f_2\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}^q \leq \int_0^m \left( \int_x^m \left( \frac{\|f_1\|_1}{t} + \|f_2\|_\infty \right)^p u(t) dt \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} v(x) dx \\ &\leq 2^q C \|f\|_{L^1+L^\infty}^q, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $L^1 + L^\infty \hookrightarrow K^{p,q}(u, v)$ . Thus,  $L^1 + L^\infty = K^{p,q}(u, v)$  by Proposition 5.3. The proofs of (ii) and (iii) are analogous.  $\square$

REMARK 5.5. Notice that if  $m < \infty$ , the conditions may be slightly simplified: In Proposition 5.2 (i), the factor  $\frac{u(t)}{(t+1)^p}$  in (23) may be replaced just by  $u(t)$  and analogously in Proposition 5.2 (ii), (iii). In Proposition 5.4 (i) we may replace  $(1 + \frac{1}{t})^p$  by  $\frac{1}{t^p}$  and similarly in (ii) and (iii).

Finally, let us justify our use of the word “space” in connection with these structures.

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let  $m \in [1, \infty]$ . Let  $p, q \in (0, \infty]$  and let  $u, v$  be weights such that  $K^{p,q}(u, v) \neq \{0\}$ . Then  $\|\cdot\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}$  is an r.i. quasi-norm. If  $p, q \geq 1$ , then  $\|\cdot\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}$  is an r.i. norm.*

*Proof.* We will check that the functional  $\|\cdot\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}$  satisfies the P-properties from Definition 2.1. The (P1\*) property follows from (8). In the case  $p, q \geq 1$ , Minkowski inequality is used to get (P1). Conditions (P2)–(P4) are easy to check using the properties of rearrangement (see [1, p. 41]). Property (P6) follows by the nontriviality conditions of Proposition 5.2. Next, let  $E \subset (-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$  be measurable and  $|E| < \infty$ . It holds (see [1, p. 74]) that  $\int_0^{|E|} f^* = \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} (\|f_1\|_1 + |E|\|f_2\|_\infty)$  and, by Proposition 5.3, there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\|f\|_{L^1+L^\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}$  for all  $f \in K^{p,q}(u, v)$ . Hence, for all  $f \in K^{p,q}(u, v)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E f &\leq \int_0^{|E|} f^* = \inf_{f=f_1+f_2} (\|f_1\|_1 + |E|\|f_2\|_\infty) \leq (1 + |E|)\|f\|_{L^1+L^\infty} \\ &\leq C(1 + |E|)\|f\|_{K^{p,q}(u,v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (P7) holds. The last condition (P8) is obvious.  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* I would like to thank the referee for some good suggestions which have improved the final version of this paper. I also thank Sorina Barza, Luboš Pick and Amiran Gogatishvili for advice and comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. BENNETT, R. SHARPLEY, *Interpolation of operators*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 129. Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [2] A. P. BLOZINSKI, *Convolution of  $L(p, q)$  functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (32), 237–240, 1972.
- [3] M. CARRO, A. GOGATISHVILI, J. MARTÍN, L. PICK, *Weighted inequalities involving two Hardy operators with applications to embeddings of function spaces*, J. Operator Theory, (59), 309–332, 2008.
- [4] M. CARRO, L. PICK, J. SORIA, V. D. STEPANOV, *On embeddings between classical Lorentz spaces*, Math. Inequal. Appl. (4), 397–428, 2001.
- [5] M. CARRO, J. SORIA, *Boundedness of some integral operators*, Canad. J. Math., (45), 1155–1166, 1993.
- [6] A. CIANCHI, L. PICK, *Sobolev embeddings into spaces of Campanato, Morrey, and Hölder type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (282), 128–150, 2003.
- [7] M. ČWIKEL, A. KAMIŃSKA, L. MALIGRANDA, L. PICK, *Are generalized Lorentz “spaces” really spaces?*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (132), 3615–3625, 2004.

- [8] A. GOGATISHVILI, V. D. STEPANOV, *Reduction theorems for operators on the cones of monotone functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **(405)**, 156–172, 2013.
- [9] L. HÖRMANDER, *Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces*, Acta Math., **(104)**, 93–140, 1960.
- [10] R. A. HUNT, *On  $L(p, q)$  spaces*, Enseign. Math., **(12)**, 249–276, 1966.
- [11] A. KAMIŃSKA, L. MALIGRANDA, *On Lorentz spaces  $\Gamma_{p,w}$* , Israel J. Math., **(140)**, 285–318, 2004.
- [12] A. KUFNER, L.-E. PERSSON, *Weighted inequalities of Hardy type*, World Scientific Publishing Co., River Edge, 2003.
- [13] E. A. MYASNIKOV, L.-E. PERSSON, V. D. STEPANOV, *On the best constants in certain integral inequalities for monotone functions*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), **(59)**, 613–624, 1994.
- [14] E. NURSULTANOV, S. TIKHONOV, *Convolution inequalities in Lorentz spaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **(17)**, 486–505, 2011.
- [15] R. OINAROV, *Two-sided estimates of the norm of some classes of integral operators*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., **(204)**, 205–214, 1994.
- [16] R. O'NEIL, *Convolution operators and  $L(p, q)$  spaces*, Duke Math. J., **(30)**, 129–142, 1963.
- [17] E. SAWYER, *Boundedness of classical operators on classical Lorentz spaces*, Studia Math., **(96)**, 145–158, 1990.
- [18] R. SHARPLEY, *Counterexamples for classical operators on Lorentz-Zygmund spaces*, Studia Math., **(68)**, 141–158, 1980.
- [19] V. D. STEPANOV, *The weighted Hardy's inequality for nonincreasing functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **(338)**, 173–186, 1993.
- [20] L. Y. H. YAP, *Some remarks on convolution operators and  $L(p, q)$  spaces*, Duke Math. J., **(36)**, 647–658, 1969.

(Received April 5, 2013)

*Martin Křepela*  
Karlstad University, Faculty of Health, Science and Technology  
Department of Mathematics and Computer Science  
651 88 Karlstad, Sweden  
e-mail: martin.krepela@kau.se