

STRONG APPROXIMATION OF ALMOST PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

WŁODZIMIERZ ŁENSKI AND BOGDAN SZAL

(Communicated by L. Leindler)

Abstract. We consider summability methods generated by the class $GM(2\beta)$. We generalize some related results of P. Pych-Taberska [Studia Math. XCVI (1990), 91–103] on strong approximation of almost periodic functions by their Fourier series and S. M. Mazhar and V. Totik [J. Approx. Theory, 60(1990), 174–182] on approximation of periodic functions by matrix means of their Fourier series.

1. Introduction

Let S^p ($1 < p \leq \infty$) be the class of all almost periodic functions in the sense of Stepanov with the norm

$$\|f\|_{S^p} := \begin{cases} \sup_u \left\{ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_u^{u+\pi} |f(t)|^p dt \right\}^{1/p} & \text{when } 1 < p < \infty, \\ \sup_u |f(u)| & \text{when } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Denote yet by $C_{2\pi}$ the class of all 2π -periodic functions continuous over $Q = [-\pi, \pi]$ with the norm

$$\|f\|_{C_{2\pi}} := \sup_{t \in Q} |f(t)|.$$

Suppose that the Fourier series of $f \in S^p$ has the form

$$Sf(x) = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{\infty} A_v(f) e^{i\lambda_v x}, \quad \text{where } A_v(f) = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \int_0^L f(t) e^{-i\lambda_v t} dt,$$

with the partial sums

$$S_{\gamma_k} f(x) = \sum_{|\lambda_v| \leq \gamma_k} A_v(f) e^{i\lambda_v x}$$

and that $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_v < \lambda_{v+1}$ if $v \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, $\lim \lambda_v = \infty$, $\lambda_{-v} = -\lambda_v$, $|A_v| + |A_{-v}| > 0$. Let $\Omega_{\alpha, p}$, with some fixed positive α , be the set of functions of class S^p bounded on $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ whose Fourier exponents satisfy the condition

$$\lambda_{v+1} - \lambda_v \geq \alpha \quad (v \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}).$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 42A24.

Keywords and phrases: Almost periodic functions, rate of strong approximation, summability of Fourier series.

In case $f \in \Omega_{\alpha,p}$

$$S_{\lambda_k} f(x) = \int_0^\infty \{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\} \Psi_{\lambda_k, \lambda_k + \alpha}(t) dt,$$

where

$$\Psi_{\lambda, \eta}(t) = \frac{2 \sin \frac{(\eta - \lambda)t}{2} \sin \frac{(\eta + \lambda)t}{2}}{\pi(\eta - \lambda)t^2} \quad (0 < \lambda < \eta, \quad |t| > 0).$$

Let $A := (a_{n,k})$ be an infinite matrix of real nonnegative numbers such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k} = 1, \text{ where } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{1}$$

and let the A -transformation of $(S_{\gamma_k} f)$ be given by

$$T_{n,A,\gamma} f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k} S_{\gamma_k} f(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Let us consider the strong mean

$$T_{n,A,\gamma}^q f(x) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k} |S_{\gamma_k} f(x) - f(x)|^q \right\}^{1/q} \quad (q > 0). \tag{2}$$

If $f \in C_{2\pi}$, then as usually

$$Sf(x) = \frac{a_o(f)}{2} + \sum_{v=1}^\infty (a_v(f) \cos vx + b_v(f) \sin vx)$$

and instead of $S_{\gamma_k} f$ we will consider the partial sums

$$S_k f(x) = \frac{a_o(f)}{2} + \sum_{v=1}^k (a_v(f) \cos vx + b_v(f) \sin vx).$$

Thus, instead of $T_{n,A,\gamma} f$ and $T_{n,A,\gamma}^q f$ we will consider the quantities $T_{n,A} f$ and $T_{n,A}^q f$ defined by the formulas

$$T_{n,A} f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k} S_k f(x) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots) \tag{3}$$

and

$$T_{n,A}^q f(x) = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k} |S_k f(x) - f(x)|^q \right\}^{1/q} \quad (q > 0), \tag{4}$$

respectively. As measures of approximation by the quantities (2), (3) and (4) we use the best approximation of f by trigonometric polynomials t_k of order at most k or by

entire functions g_σ of exponential type σ bounded on the real axis, shortly $g_\sigma \in B_\sigma$ and the modulus of continuity of f , defined by the formulas

$$E_k(f)_{C_{2\pi}} = \inf_{t_k} \|f - t_k\|_{C_{2\pi}}$$

or

$$E_\sigma(f)_{S^p} = \inf_{g_\sigma} \|f - g_\sigma\|_{S^p}$$

and

$$\omega f(\delta)_X = \sup_{|t| \leq \delta} \|f(\cdot + t) - f(\cdot)\|_X, \quad X = C_{2\pi} \text{ or } X = S^p,$$

respectively.

In [10] S. M. Mazhar and V. Totik proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *Let $f \in C_{2\pi}$. Suppose $A := (a_{n,k})$ satisfies (1), $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,0} = 0$ and*

$$a_{n,k} \geq a_{n,k+1} \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

then

$$\|T_{n,A}f(x) - f\|_{C_{2\pi}} \leq K \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} \omega f\left(\frac{1}{k+1}\right)_{C_{2\pi}}.$$

Recently, L. Leindler [5] defined a new class of sequences named as sequences of rest bounded variation, briefly denoted by *RBVS*, i.e.,

$$RBVS = \left\{ a := (a_n) \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k - a_{k+1}| \leq K(a) |a_m| \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}, \quad (5)$$

where here and throughout the paper $K(a)$ always indicates a constant only depending on a .

Denote by *MS* the class of nonincreasing sequences. Then it is obvious that

$$MS \subset RBVS.$$

In [6] L. Leindler considered the class of mean rest bounded variation sequences *MRBVS*, where

$$MRBVS = \left\{ a := (a_n) \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} |a_k - a_{k+1}| \leq K(a) \frac{1}{m} \sum_{k \geq m/2}^m |a_k| \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \quad (6)$$

It is clear that

$$RBVS \subseteq MRBVS.$$

In [13] the second author proved that $RBVS \neq MRBVS$. Moreover, the above theorem was generalized for the class *MRBVS* in [12].

Further, the class of general monotone coefficients, GM , is defined as follows (see [14]):

$$GM = \left\{ a := (a_n) \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{k=m}^{2m-1} |a_k - a_{k+1}| \leq K(a) |a_m| \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}. \quad (7)$$

It is clear

$$RBVS \subset GM.$$

In [7, 14, 15, 16] was defined the class of β -general monotone sequences as follows:

DEFINITION 1. Let $\beta := (\beta_n)$ be a nonnegative sequence. The sequence of complex numbers $a := (a_n)$ is said to be β -general monotone, or $a \in GM(\beta)$, if the relation

$$\sum_{k=m}^{2m-1} |a_k - a_{k+1}| \leq K(a) \beta_m \quad (8)$$

holds for all m .

In the paper [16] Tikhonov considered, among others, the following examples of the sequences β_n :

- (1) ${}_1\beta_n = |a_n|$,
- (2) ${}_2\beta_n = \sum_{k=\lfloor n/c \rfloor}^{\lfloor cn \rfloor} \frac{|a_k|}{k}$ for some $c > 1$.

It is clear that $GM({}_1\beta) = GM$. Moreover (see [16, Remark 2.1])

$$GM({}_1\beta + {}_2\beta) \equiv GM({}_2\beta).$$

Consequently, we assume that the sequence $(K(\alpha_n))_{n=0}^\infty$ is bounded, that is, that there exists a constant K such that

$$0 \leq K(\alpha_n) \leq K$$

holds for all n , where $K(\alpha_n)$ denote the sequence of constants appearing in the inequalities (5)-(8) for the sequences $\alpha_n := (a_{nk})_{k=0}^\infty$.

Now we can give the conditions to be used later on. We assume that for all n

$$\sum_{k=m}^{2m-1} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \leq K \sum_{k=\lfloor m/c \rfloor}^{\lfloor cm \rfloor} \frac{a_{n,k}}{k} \quad (9)$$

holds if $\alpha_n = (a_{n,k})_{k=0}^\infty$ belongs to $GM({}_2\beta)$, for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

In this paper we consider the class $GM({}_2\beta)$ in estimate of the quantity $\left\| T_{n,A,\gamma}^q f \right\|_{SP}$. Precisely, we extend the result of S. M. Mazhar and V. Totik (see [10, Theorem 1]) and generalize the following result of P. Pych-Taberska (see [11, Theorem 5]):

THEOREM 2. *If $f \in \Omega_{\alpha,\infty}$, $\alpha > 0$ and $q \geq 2$, then*

$$\|T_{n,A,\gamma}^q f\|_{S^\infty} \ll \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \left[\omega f \left(\frac{\pi}{k+1} \right)_{S^\infty} \right]^q \right\}^{1/q} + \frac{\|f\|_{S^\infty}}{(n+1)^{1/q}},$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $\gamma = (\gamma_k)$ is a sequence with $\gamma_k = \frac{\alpha k}{2}$, $a_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n+1}$ when $k \leq n$ and $a_{n,k} = 0$ otherwise.

We shall write $I_1 \ll I_2$ if there exists a positive constant K , sometimes depended on some parameters, such that $I_1 \leq KI_2$.

2. Statement of the results

We start with two propositions.

PROPOSITION 1. *If $f \in \Omega_{\alpha,p}$, $\alpha > 0$, $n = O(r_n)$ and $q > 0$, then*

$$\left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} \ll \|f\|_{SP},$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

PROPOSITION 2. *If $f \in \Omega_{\alpha,p}$, $\alpha > 0$, $n = O(r_n)$ and $q > 0$, then*

$$\left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} \ll E_{\frac{\alpha(n-r_n)}{2}}(f)_{SP},$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

In the special case $p = \infty$ and $f \in C_{2\pi}$ Proposition 2 reduce to the fundamental result of L. Leindler (see [8, Theorem 1]).

Our main results are following

THEOREM 3. *If $f \in \Omega_{\alpha,p}$, $\alpha > 0$, $p \geq q$, $(a_{n,k})_{k=0}^\infty \in GM(2\beta)$ for all n , (1) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,0} = 0$ hold, then*

$$\|T_{n,A,\gamma}^q f\|_{SP} \ll \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2^{[c]+1}}}^q(f)_{SP} \right\}^{1/q}, \tag{10}$$

for some $c > 1$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $\gamma = (\gamma_k)$ is a sequence with $\gamma_k = \frac{\alpha k}{2}$.

THEOREM 4. *If $f \in \Omega_{\alpha,p}$, $\alpha > 0$, $p \geq q$, $(a_{n,k})_{k=0}^\infty \in GM(2\beta)$ for all n , (1) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n,0} = 0$ hold, then*

$$\|T_{n,A,\gamma}^q f\|_{SP} \ll \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k} \omega^q f \left(\frac{\pi}{k+1} \right)_{SP} \right\}^{1/q},$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $\gamma = (\gamma_k)$ is a sequence with $\gamma_k = \frac{\alpha k}{2}$.

THEOREM 5. *If we additionally suppose that $(a_{n,k})_{k=0}^\infty \in MS$ then*

$$\|T_{n,A,\gamma}^q f\|_{SP} \ll \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}^p(f)_{SP} \right\}^{1/q},$$

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, where $\gamma = (\gamma_k)$ is a sequence with $\gamma_k = \frac{\alpha k}{2}$.

REMARK 1. Taking $a_{n,k} = \frac{1}{n+1}$ when $k \leq n$ and $a_{n,k} = 0$ otherwise, in the case $p = \infty$ we obtain the better estimate than this one from [11, Theorem 5].

3. Proofs of the results

3.1. Proof of Proposition 1

Denote by $S_k^* f$ the sums of the form

$$S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f(x) = \sum_{|\lambda_\nu| \leq \frac{\alpha k}{2}} A_\nu(f) e^{i\lambda_\nu x}$$

such that the interval $\left(\frac{\alpha k}{2}, \frac{\alpha(k+1)}{2}\right)$ does not contain any λ_ν . Applying Lemma 1.10.2 of [9] we easily verify that

$$S_k^* f(x) - f(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_x(t) \Psi_k(t) dt,$$

where $\varphi_x(t) := f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x)$ and $\Psi_k(t) = \Psi_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}, \frac{\alpha(k+1)}{2}}(t)$ i.e.,

$$\Psi_k(t) = \frac{4 \sin \frac{\alpha t}{4} \sin \frac{\alpha(2k+1)t}{4}}{\pi \alpha t^2}$$

(see also [3], p. 41). Evidently, if the interval $\left(\frac{\alpha k}{2}, \frac{\alpha(k+1)}{2}\right)$ contains a Fourier exponent λ_ν , then

$$S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f(x) = S_{k+1}^* f(x) - \left(A_\nu(f) e^{i\lambda_\nu x} + A_{-\nu}(f) e^{-i\lambda_\nu x} \right).$$

Since

$$\left\{ \sum_{\nu=-\infty}^\infty |A_\nu(f)|^q \right\}^{1/q} \leq \|f\|_{BP} \quad \text{for } 1 < p \leq 2 \text{ and } q = \frac{p}{p-1} \quad ([1, \text{p. 78}])$$

and

$$\|f\|_{B^p} \leq \|f\|_{S^p} \text{ for } p \geq 1 \text{ ([2, p. 7])},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{B^p}$ is the Besicovitch norm, we have

$$|A_{\pm\nu}(f)| \leq \|f\|_{S^p} \text{ for } p > 1,$$

whence the deviation

$$\frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f(x) - f(x) \right|^q$$

can be estimated from above by

$$\frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| \int_0^\infty \varphi_x(t) \Psi_{k+\kappa}(t) dt \right|^q + \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} (\|f\|_{S^p})^q,$$

where κ equals 0 or 1. Putting $h = 2\pi/(\alpha n)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \varphi_x(t) \Psi_{k+\kappa}(t) dt &= \left(\int_0^h + \int_h^{nh} + \int_{nh}^\infty \right) \varphi_x(t) \Psi_{k+\kappa}(t) dt \\ &= I_1(k) + I_2(k) + I_3(k). \end{aligned}$$

By elementary calculations we get

$$|I_1(k)| \leq \frac{(2k+3)\alpha}{4\pi} \int_0^h |\varphi_x(t)| dt \ll \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h |\varphi_x(t)| dt$$

and

$$|I_3(k)| \leq \int_{nh}^\infty |\varphi_x(t) \Psi_{k+\kappa}(t)| dt \ll \int_{nh}^\infty \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{t^2} dt.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} [|I_1(k)| + |I_3(k)|]^q \ll \left[\frac{1}{h} \int_0^h |\varphi_x(t)| dt + \int_{nh}^\infty \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|}{t^2} dt \right]^q.$$

Consequently, we have to estimate the quantity $\frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} |I_2(k)|^q$. The inequality of Hausdorff-Young [17, Chap. XII, Th. 3.3 II] yields (cf. [11, p. 102])

$$\frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} |I_2(k)|^q \ll \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |I_2(k)|^q \ll \frac{1}{n} \left[\int_h^{nh} \frac{|\varphi_x(t)|^{q'}}{t^{q'}} dt \right]^{q/q'},$$

where $q' = \frac{q}{q-1}$ and $q \geq 2$.

By monotonicity of $\left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^v \right\}^{1/v}$ with respect to $v > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^v \right\}^{1/v} \right\|_{SP} \leq \left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} \\ & \ll \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \|\varphi(t)\|_{SP} dt + \int_{nh}^\infty \frac{\|\varphi(t)\|_{SP}}{t^2} dt + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \int_h^{nh} \frac{\|\varphi(t)\|_{SP}^{q'}}{t^{q'}} dt \right\}^{1/q'} + \|f\|_{SP} \\ & \ll \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \|f\|_{SP} dt + \int_{nh}^\infty \frac{\|f\|_{SP}}{t^2} dt + \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \int_h^{nh} \frac{\|f\|_{SP}^{q'}}{t^{q'}} dt \right\}^{1/q'} + \|f\|_{SP} \\ & \ll \|f\|_{SP} \left[2 + \int_{nh}^\infty \frac{1}{t^2} dt + \left(\frac{1}{n} \int_h^{nh} \frac{1}{t^{q'}} dt \right)^{1/q'} \right] \ll \|f\|_{SP}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $v \in (0, q]$ such that $q' \leq p$.

Thus the desired result follows. \square

3.2. Proof of Proposition 2

The proof is standard and the estimate follows from that of Proposition 1. Namely, taking $g_\sigma \in B_\sigma$ such that $E_\sigma(f)_{SP} = \|f - g_\sigma\|_{SP}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} \\ & = \left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - g_\sigma - (f - g_\sigma) \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} \\ & = \left\| \left\{ \frac{1}{r_n} \sum_{k=n-r_n}^{n-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} (f - g_\sigma) - (f - g_\sigma) \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} \\ & \ll \|f - g_\sigma\|_{SP}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\sigma = \frac{\alpha(n-r_n)}{2}$, and thus our result follows. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3

Let

$$\|T_{n,A,\gamma}^q f\|_{SP} = \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{2^{[c]}-1} a_{n,k} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^q + \sum_{k=2^{[c]}}^\infty a_{n,k} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{2^{[c]-1}} a_{n,k} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} + \left\| \left\{ \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} a_{n,k} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

for some $c > 1$. Using Proposition 2 we obtain, for $p \geq q$,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{2^{[c]-1}} a_{n,k} \frac{k/2+1}{k/2+1} \sum_{l=k/2}^k \left| S_{\frac{\alpha l}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} \\ &\leq \left\{ 2^{[c]} \sum_{k=0}^{2^{[c]-1}} a_{n,k} \left\| \left[\frac{1}{k/2+1} \sum_{l=k/2}^k \left| S_{\frac{\alpha l}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right]^{1/q} \right\|_{SP}^q \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\ll \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{2^{[c]-1}} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha}{4}}^q(f)_{SP} \right\}^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

By partial summation, our Proposition 2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \left\| \left\{ \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-2} (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) \sum_{l=2^m}^k \left| S_{\frac{\alpha l}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + a_{n,2^{m+1}-1} \sum_{l=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha l}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right] \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{SP} \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-2} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \left\| \left(\sum_{l=2^m}^k \left| S_{\frac{\alpha l}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{SP}^q \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + a_{n,2^{m+1}-1} \left\| \left(\sum_{l=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} \left| S_{\frac{\alpha l}{2}} f - f \right|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{SP}^q \right] \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\ll \left\{ \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} \left[2^m \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-2} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2^m a_{n,2^{m+1}-1} E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \right] \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\ll \left\{ \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} 2^m E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \left[\sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-2} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| + a_{n,2^{m+1}-1} \right] \right\}^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

for $p \geq q$.

Since (9) holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{n,s+1} - a_{n,r} \\ & \leq |a_{n,r} - a_{n,s+1}| \leq \sum_{k=r}^s |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-2} |a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}| \ll \sum_{k=\lceil 2^m/c \rceil}^{\lceil c2^m \rceil} \frac{a_{n,k}}{k} \quad (2 \leq 2^m \leq r \leq s \leq 2^{m+1} - 2), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$a_{n,s+1} \ll a_{n,r} + \sum_{k=\lceil 2^m/c \rceil}^{\lceil c2^m \rceil} \frac{a_{n,k}}{k} \quad (2 \leq 2^m \leq r \leq s \leq 2^{m+1} - 2).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^m a_{n,2^{m+1}-1} &= \frac{2^m}{2^m - 1} \sum_{r=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-2} a_{n,2^{m+1}-1} \\ &\ll \sum_{r=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-2} \left(a_{n,r} + \sum_{k=\lceil 2^m/c \rceil}^{\lceil c2^m \rceil} \frac{a_{n,k}}{k} \right) \\ &\ll \sum_{r=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} a_{n,r} + 2^m \sum_{k=\lceil 2^m/c \rceil}^{\lceil c2^m \rceil} \frac{a_{n,k}}{k} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$I_2 \ll \left\{ \sum_{m=\lceil c \rceil}^{\infty} \left[2^m E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \sum_{k=\lceil 2^m/c \rceil}^{\lceil c2^m \rceil} \frac{a_{n,k}}{k} + E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}-1} a_{n,k} \right] \right\}^{1/q}.$$

Using typical transformations we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\ll \left\{ \sum_{m=\lceil c \rceil}^{\infty} \left[2^m E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \sum_{k=2^{m-\lceil c \rceil}}^{2^{m+\lceil c \rceil}} \frac{a_{n,k}}{k} + E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+1}} a_{n,k} \right] \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\ll \left\{ \sum_{m=\lceil c \rceil}^{\infty} E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \sum_{k=2^{m-\lceil c \rceil}}^{2^{m+\lceil c \rceil}} a_{n,k} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{m=\lceil c \rceil}^{\infty} E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \sum_{k=2^{m-\lceil c \rceil}}^{2^m-1} a_{n,k} + \sum_{m=\lceil c \rceil}^{\infty} E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+\lceil c \rceil}} a_{n,k} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &\ll \left\{ \sum_{m=\lceil c \rceil}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{m-\lceil c \rceil}}^{2^m-1} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} + \sum_{m=\lceil c \rceil}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+\lceil c \rceil}} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2^{\lceil c \rceil+1}}}^q(f)_{SP} \right\}^{1/q} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\ll \left\{ \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^{m-[c]}}^{2^m-1} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} + \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} \sum_{k=2^m}^{2^{m+[c]}-1} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2^{[c]+1}}}^q(f)_{SP} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} a_{n,2^{m+[c]}} \right\}^{1/q} \\
 &= \left\{ \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} \sum_{r=1}^{[c]} \sum_{k=2^{m-r}}^{2^{m-r+1}-1} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} + \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^{[c]-1} \sum_{k=2^{m+r}}^{2^{m+r+1}-1} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2^{[c]+1}}}^q(f)_{SP} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{m=[c]}^{\infty} E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} a_{n,2^{m+[c]}} \right\}^{1/q} \\
 &\leq \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{[c]} \sum_{k=2^{[c]-r}}^{\infty} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} + \sum_{r=0}^{[c]-1} \sum_{k=2^{[c]+r}}^{\infty} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2^{[c]+1}}}^q(f)_{SP} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=2^{2[c]}}^{\infty} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha 2^m}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \right\}^{1/q} \ll \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2^{[c]+1}}}^q(f)_{SP} \right\}^{1/q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain the desired result. \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 4

The proof follows by the Jackson type theorem

$$E_{\sigma}(f)_{SP} \ll \omega f \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \right)_{SP}$$

and basic properties of the modulus of continuity $\omega f(\cdot)_{SP}$. \square

3.5. Proof of Theorem 5

If $(a_{n,k})_{k=0}^{\infty} \in MS$, then $(a_{n,k})_{k=0}^{\infty} \in GM(\beta)$ and using Theorem 4 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|T_{n,A,\gamma}^q f\| &\ll \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2^{[c]+1}}}^q(f)_{SP} \right\}^{1/q} = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=k2^{[c]}}^{(k+1)2^{[c]}-1} a_{n,m} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2^{[c]+1}}}^q(f)_{SP} \right\}^{1/q} \\
 &\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} \sum_{m=k2^{[c]}}^{(k+1)2^{[c]}-1} a_{n,m} \right\}^{1/q} \leq \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{[c]} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} a_{n,k2^{[c]}} \right\}^{1/q} \\
 &\leq \left\{ 2^{[c]} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} a_{n,k} \right\}^{1/q} \ll \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} E_{\frac{\alpha k}{2}}^q(f)_{SP} a_{n,k} \right\}^{1/q}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This ends our proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. AVANTAGGIATI, G. BRUNO AND B. IANNACCI, *The Hausdorff-Young theorem for almost periodic functions and some applications*, Nonlinear analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, Vol. **25**, No. 1, (1995), pp. 61–87.
- [2] A. D. BAILEY, *Almost Everywhere Convergence of Dyadic Partial Sums of Fourier Series for Almost Periodic Functions*, Master of Philosophy, A thesis submitted to School of Mathematics of The University of Birmingham for the degree of Master of Philosophy, September 2008.
- [3] A. S. BESICOVITCH, *Almost periodic functions*, Cambridge, 1932.
- [4] E. A. BREDIKHINA, *On the best approximation of almost periodic functions by entire functions of finite order*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **117** (1957), 17–20 (in Russian).
- [5] L. LEINDLER, *On the uniform convergence and boundedness of a certain class of sine series*, Analysis Math., **27** (2001), 279–285.
- [6] L. LEINDLER, *Integrability conditions pertaining to Orlicz space*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., **8** (2) (2007), Art. 38, 6 pp.
- [7] L. LEINDLER, *A new extension of monotone sequence and its application*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., **7** (1) (2006), Art. 39, 7 pp.
- [8] L. LEINDLER, *Strong approximation by Fourier series*, Akadémiai Kiadó (Budapest, 1985).
- [9] B. L. LEVITAN, *Almost periodic functions*. Gos. Izdat. Tekh-Teoret. Liter., Moscow 1953 (in Russian).
- [10] S. M. MAZHAR AND V. TOTIK, *Approximation of continuous functions by T -means of Fourier series*, J. Approx. Theory, **60** (1990), 174–182.
- [11] P. PYCH-TABERSKA, *Approximation properties of the partial sums of Fourier series of almost periodic functions*, Studia Math. XCVI (1990), 91–103.
- [12] B. SZAL, *On the degree of strong approximation of continuous functions by special matrix*, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math., **10**, 4 (2009), Art. 111, 8 pp.
- [13] B. SZAL, *A note on the uniform convergence and boundedness a generalized class of sine series*, Commentat. Math., **48**, 1 (2008), 85–94.
- [14] S. TIKHONOV, *Trigonometric series with general monotone coefficients*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **326** (1) (2007), 721–735.
- [15] S. TIKHONOV, *On uniform convergence of trigonometric series*, Mat. Zametki, **81** (2) (2007), 304–310, translation in Math. Notes, **81** (2) (2007), 268–274.
- [16] S. TIKHONOV, *Best approximation and moduli of smoothness: Computation and equivalence theorems*, J. Approx. Theory, **153** (2008), 19–39.
- [17] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*, Cambridge, 2002.

(Received September 6, 2012)

Włodzimierz Lencki
University of Zielona Góra
Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometrics
65-516 Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a
Poland
e-mail: W.Lencki@wmie.uz.zgora.pl

Bogdan Szal
University of Zielona Góra
Faculty of Mathematics, Computer Science and Econometrics
65-516 Zielona Góra, ul. Szafrana 4a
Poland
e-mail: B.Szal@wmie.uz.zgora.pl