

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF INNER PRODUCT SPACES BY INEQUALITIES INVOLVING SEMI-INNER PRODUCT

PAWEŁ WÓJCIK

(Communicated by M. Sal Moslehian)

Abstract. Using the notion of semi-inner product in normed spaces, in this paper we provide some new characterizations of inner product spaces. We answer a question posed by Dragomir, whether the property (N) is characteristic for inner product spaces. We show that the space X is of (N)-type if and only if the norm in X comes from an inner product.

1. Introduction

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space over $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$. G. Lumer [6] and J. R. Giles [4] proved that in a normed space X there always exists a mapping $[\cdot|\cdot] : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ satisfying the following properties:

$$(sip1) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K} : \quad [\alpha x + \beta y|z] = \alpha [x|z] + \beta [y|z];$$

$$(sip2) \quad \forall x, y \in X \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K} : \quad [x|\alpha y] = \overline{\alpha} [x|y];$$

$$(sip3) \quad \forall x, y \in X : \quad |[x|y]| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|;$$

$$(sip4) \quad \forall x \in X : \quad [x|x] = \|x\|^2.$$

Such a mapping is called a *semi-inner-product* (s.i.p.) in X (generating the norm $\|\cdot\|$). There may exist infinitely many different semi-inner-products in X . There is a unique one if and only if X is *smooth* (i.e., there is a unique supporting hyperplane at each point of the unit sphere S). If X is an inner product space, the only s.i.p. on X is the inner-product itself.

The *semi-orthogonality* of vectors x and y in X (with respect to a given semi-inner product), is defined as follows:

$$x \perp_s y \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad [y|x] = 0.$$

Of course, in an inner product space we have $\perp_s = \perp$.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 46C15, 46C50, 46B20, 39B62.

Keywords and phrases: Semi-inner product, characterization of inner product spaces, inequality, projection, smoothness, norm derivative.

2. Open problem

By [3, Proposition 4, p.21] “a normed space X is smooth if and only if there exists a unique Lumer-Giles semi-inner product which generates the norm”.

DEFINITION 1. [3, Definition 28, p. 165] Assume that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a smooth normed linear space. It is said to be of (N) -type if the s.i.p. $[\cdot|\cdot]$ that generates the norm satisfies the condition:

$$\forall_{x,y,z \in X} : |[x|y+z]| \leq |[x|y]| + |[x|z]|. \quad (1)$$

It is obvious that any inner product space is a smooth normed space of (N) -type.

PROBLEM 1. [3, Remark 22, p. 165] Is it true that a normed space of (N) -type has to be inner product space?

We will solve this open problem. Namely, we will give a characterization of inner product spaces. In fact, we will prove that Problem 1 can be strengthened. Indeed, it is not necessary to assume that X is smooth.

3. Main results

In this section, we treat the Problem 1. Thus, we derive a new condition characterizing inner product spaces. The following theorem will be useful to derive the main result.

THEOREM 1. [5], [2] *Let X be a normed space such that $\dim X \geq 3$. The normed linear space X is an inner product space if and only if for each two-dimensional subspace X_1 of X there exists a linear operator $P: X \rightarrow X_1$ with the following properties:*

- (i) $P = P \circ P$;
- (ii) $\|P\| = 1$.

For real spaces it has been proved by Kakutani [5]. Bohnenblust [2] extended it to complex spaces.

Next we prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a normed space such that $\dim X = 3$ and let $[\cdot|\cdot]$ be a given semi-inner product in X . The space X satisfies (1) if and only if the norm in X comes from an inner product.*

Proof. Assume that X satisfies (1). Fix arbitrarily a subspace $M \subset X$ and suppose that $\dim M = 2$. Fix arbitrarily two linearly independent vectors $a, b \in M$. Using (sip1) and (sip4) we have for $c := -\frac{[b|a]}{\|a\|^2}a + b$

$$[c|a] \stackrel{(\text{sip1})}{=} -\frac{[b|a]}{\|a\|^2}[a|a] + [b|a] \stackrel{(\text{sip4})}{=} -\frac{[b|a]}{\|a\|^2}\|a\|^2 + [b|a] = 0, \quad \text{i.e., } a \perp c. \quad (2)$$

Fix $p \notin M$. Define $d := -\frac{[p|a]}{\|a\|^2}a + p$. Applying again (sip1) and (sip4) we get

$$[d|a] \stackrel{\text{(sip1)}}{=} -\frac{[p|a]}{\|a\|^2}[a|a] + [p|a] \stackrel{\text{(sip4)}}{=} -\frac{[p|a]}{\|a\|^2}\|a\|^2 + [p|a] = 0, \quad \text{i.e., } a \perp_s d. \quad (3)$$

It is easy to see that $\text{span}\{a, c\} = M$ and $d \notin M$. Therefore $\text{span}\{a, c, d\} = X$. Define $u := -\frac{[d|c]}{\|c\|^2}c + d$. In a similar way we obtain

$$[u|c] = 0, \quad \text{i.e., } c \perp_s u. \quad (4)$$

Moreover,

$$[u|a] = \left[-\frac{[d|c]}{\|c\|^2}c + d \middle| a \right] = -\frac{[d|c]}{\|c\|^2}[c|a] + [d|a] \stackrel{(2),(3)}{=} 0, \quad \text{i.e., } a \perp_s u. \quad (5)$$

We will show that for any $\gamma \in \mathbb{K}$ we have $M \perp_s \gamma u$. Fix $m \in M$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{K}$. It follows that, for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}$, one has $m = \alpha a + \beta c$. Using (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |[\gamma u | m]| &= |[\gamma u | \alpha a + \beta c]| \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} |[\gamma u | \alpha a]| + |[\gamma u | \beta c]| \stackrel{\text{(sip1)}}{=} \\ &= |\gamma| \cdot (|[u|\alpha a]| + |[u|\beta c]|) \stackrel{\text{(sip2)}}{=} |\gamma| \cdot (|\overline{\alpha} \cdot [u|a]| + |\overline{\beta} \cdot [u|c]|) \stackrel{(4),(5)}{=} \\ &= |\gamma| \cdot (|\overline{\alpha} \cdot 0| + |\overline{\beta} \cdot 0|) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $M \perp_s \gamma u$.

It is easy to see that $u \notin M$. Therefore $\text{span}\{a, c, u\} = X$. Define a linear mapping $P: X \rightarrow X$ by $P(\alpha_1 a + \alpha_2 c + \alpha_3 u) := \alpha_1 a + \alpha_2 c$. It is easy to see that $P(X) = M$ and $P = P \circ P$. Thus P is a projection from X onto M . Applying properties $M \perp_s \gamma u$ and $P(X) = M$ we obtain

$$\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{K} : P(X) \perp_s \gamma u. \quad (6)$$

Since $\|P\| = \|P \circ P\| \leq \|P\| \cdot \|P\|$, we get $1 \leq \|P\|$. Now we prove the converse, i.e., $\|P\| \leq 1$. Fix $x \in X$. It follows that, for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{K}$, one has $x = \alpha a + \beta c + \gamma u$. Since $[Px|Px] \stackrel{\text{(sip4)}}{=} \|Px\|^2 \geq 0$, we get $[Px|Px] = |[Px|Px]|$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Px\|^2 &= [Px|Px] = |[Px|Px]| = |[Px|Px] + 0| \stackrel{(6)}{=} \\ &= |[Px|Px] + [\gamma u|Px]| \stackrel{\text{(sip1)}}{=} |[P(x) + \gamma u|Px]| \stackrel{\text{(sip3)}}{\leq} \\ &\leq \|P(x) + \gamma u\| \cdot \|P(x)\| = \|\alpha a + \beta c + \gamma u\| \cdot \|Px\| = \\ &= \|x\| \cdot \|Px\|, \end{aligned}$$

whence $\|Px\| \leq \|x\|$. Thus $\|P\| \leq 1$ and finally $\|P\| = 1$.

From Theorem 1, the norm in X comes from an inner product. The converse implication is obvious. \square

Now, let us prove the general case.

THEOREM 3. *Let X be a normed space such that $\dim X \geq 3$ and let $[\cdot, \cdot]$ be a given semi-inner product in X . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\forall_{x,y,z \in X} : |[x|y+z]| \leq |[x|y]| + |[x|z]|;$
- (b) $\forall_{x,y,z \in X} : [x|y+z] = [x|y] + [x|z];$
- (c) *The norm in X comes from an inner product.*

Proof. Implications (c) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (a) are obvious. We show (a) \Rightarrow (c). Assume that X satisfies (a). We show that the parallelogram law holds. Fix arbitrarily two vectors $x, y \in X$. Fix a subspace $Y \subset X$ such that $x, y \in Y$ and $\dim Y = 3$. We define the norm $\|\cdot\|_Y : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\|\cdot\|_Y := \|\cdot\|$. According to Theorem 2, the norm $\|\cdot\|_Y$ satisfies the parallelogram law. Thus

$$\|x+y\|^2 + \|x-y\|^2 = \|x+y\|_Y^2 + \|x-y\|_Y^2 = 2\|x\|_Y^2 + 2\|y\|_Y^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2.$$

We have proved that the parallelogram law holds. Therefore, the norm has to come from an inner product. \square

4. Norm derivatives

From now on we assume that the considered normed spaces are real. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real normed space. We define two mappings $\rho'_+, \rho'_- : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} :$

$$\rho'_\pm(x, y) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^\pm} \frac{\|x+ty\|^2 - \|x\|^2}{2t} = \|x\| \cdot \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^\pm} \frac{\|x+ty\| - \|x\|}{t}.$$

This mappings are called *norm derivatives*. Now, we recall their useful properties (the proofs can be found in [1] and [3]):

- (nd1) $\forall_{x,y \in X} \forall_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} : \rho'_\pm(x, \alpha x + y) = \alpha \|x\|^2 + \rho'_\pm(x, y);$
- (nd2) $\forall_{x,y \in X} \forall_{\alpha \geq 0} : \rho'_\pm(\alpha x, y) = \alpha \rho'_\pm(x, y) = \rho'_\pm(x, \alpha y);$
- (nd2') $\forall_{x,y \in X} \forall_{\alpha < 0} : \rho'_\pm(\alpha x, y) = \alpha \rho'_\mp(x, y) = \rho'_\pm(x, \alpha y);$
- (nd3) $\forall_{x,y \in X} : |\rho'_\pm(x, y)| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|;$
- (nd4) $\forall_{x \in X} : \rho'_\pm(x, x) = \|x\|^2;$

Moreover, the mappings ρ'_+, ρ'_- are continuous with respect to the second variable, but not necessarily with respect to the first one.

Now, fix the semi-inner product $[\cdot, \cdot]$. Then,

$$\forall_{x,y \in X} \quad \rho'_\pm(x, y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^\pm} [y|x+ty]. \tag{7}$$

It is known that, X is smooth if and only if $\rho'_+(x, y) = \rho'_-(x, y) = [y|x]$ for all $x, y \in X$.

The following mapping $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_g : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ was introduced by Miličić [7]:

$$\langle y|x \rangle_g := \frac{1}{2} (\rho'_+(x, y) + \rho'_-(x, y))$$

and is called a *M-semi-inner product* (briefly *M-s.i.p.*). From the above properties of the mappings ρ'_+, ρ'_- we get:

(Msip1) $\forall_{x,y \in X} \forall_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} : \langle \alpha x + y|x \rangle_g = \alpha \|x\|^2 + \langle y|x \rangle_g;$

- (Msip2) $\forall_{x,y \in X} \forall_{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}} : \langle \alpha x | y \rangle_g = \alpha \langle x | y \rangle_g = \langle x | \alpha y \rangle_g;$
- (Msip3) $\forall_{x,y \in X} : |\langle x | y \rangle_g| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\|;$
- (Msip4) $\forall_{x \in X} : \langle x | x \rangle_g = \|x\|^2;$

In a similar way as earlier, we introduce ρ -orthogonality:

$$x \perp_\rho y \iff \langle y | x \rangle_g = 0.$$

If $(X, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ is an inner product space, then $\langle y | x \rangle = [y | x] = \langle y | x \rangle_g$ for arbitrary $x, y \in X$. Hence we have $\perp = \perp_s = \perp_\rho$.

5. Semi-smooth spaces

A normed space X is called *semi-smooth* if the M-semi-inner-product is additive with respect to the first variable, i.e.,

$$\forall_{x,y,z \in X} \langle x + y | z \rangle_g = \langle x | z \rangle_g + \langle y | z \rangle_g.$$

Each smooth space is semi-smooth in the above sense but not conversely (l^1 is a suitable example). If X is a real semi-smooth space, then the M-semi-inner-product is a real semi-inner-product in sense of Lumer-Giles.

THEOREM 4. *Assume that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is a semi-smooth normed linear space such that $\dim X \geq 3$. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $\forall_{x,y,z \in X} : |\langle x | y + z \rangle_g| \leq |\langle x | y \rangle_g| + |\langle x | z \rangle_g|;$
- (b) $\forall_{x,y,z \in X} : \langle x | y + z \rangle_g = \langle x | y \rangle_g + \langle x | z \rangle_g;$
- (c) *The norm in X comes from an inner product.*

Proof. The space X is semi-smooth. Therefore, the M-semi-inner-product is a real semi-inner-product. Now by applying Theorem 3 we arrive at the desired assertion. \square

6. Concluding remarks and problems

Let us now consider the conditions:

- (A) $\forall_{x,y,z \in X} : |\rho'_+(x + y, z)| \leq |\rho'_+(x, z)| + |\rho'_+(y, z)|;$
- (B) $\forall_{x,y,z \in X} : |\rho'_-(x + y, z)| \leq |\rho'_-(x, z)| + |\rho'_-(y, z)|;$

The latter two conditions are equivalent. Indeed, suppose that (A) holds. Fix arbitrarily three vectors x, y, z . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho'_-(x + y, z)| &\stackrel{(nd2^*)}{=} |-\rho'_+((-x) + (-y), z)| \stackrel{(A)}{\leq} |\rho'_+((-x) + (-y), z)| \\ &\leq |\rho'_+(-x, z)| + |\rho'_+(-y, z)| \stackrel{(nd2^*)}{=} |-\rho'_-(x, z)| + |-\rho'_-(y, z)| = \\ &= |\rho'_-(x, z)| + |\rho'_-(y, z)|. \end{aligned}$$

The reverse implication can be proved analogously.

In the case of a smooth space X we have $\rho'_+(\cdot, \diamond) = \rho'_-(\cdot, \diamond) = [\diamond \cdot]$. Thus, if X is smooth, then (1) \Leftrightarrow (A) \Leftrightarrow (B). According to Theorem 3, both (A) and (B) characterizes X as an inner product space.

The case of non-smooth spaces remains an open problem. But on the other hand, the following assertion holds true.

THEOREM 5. *For an arbitrary real normed space X we have (1) \Rightarrow (A) and (1) \Rightarrow (B).*

Proof. Fix arbitrarily three vectors $x, y, z \in X$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho'_+(x+y, z)| &\stackrel{(7)}{=} \left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} [z|x+y+tz] \right| = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} |[z|x+y+tz]| = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \left[z|x + \frac{1}{2}tz + y + \frac{1}{2}tz \right] \right| \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\left| \left[z|x + \frac{1}{2}tz \right] \right| + \left| \left[z|y + \frac{1}{2}tz \right] \right| \right) = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \left[z|x + \frac{1}{2}tz \right] \right| + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \left[z|y + \frac{1}{2}tz \right] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} |\rho'_+(x, z)| + |\rho'_+(y, z)| &\stackrel{(\text{nd}2)}{=} \left| 2\rho'_+\left(x, \frac{1}{2}z\right) \right| + \left| 2\rho'_+\left(y, \frac{1}{2}z\right) \right| \stackrel{(7)}{=} \\ &= \left| 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\frac{1}{2}z|x + t \cdot \frac{1}{2}z \right] \right| + \left| 2 \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\frac{1}{2}z|y + t \cdot \frac{1}{2}z \right] \right| = \\ &= \left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[z|x + \frac{1}{2}tz \right] \right| + \left| \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[z|y + \frac{1}{2}tz \right] \right| = \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \left[z|x + \frac{1}{2}tz \right] \right| + \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left| \left[z|y + \frac{1}{2}tz \right] \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain $|\rho'_+(x+y, z)| \leq |\rho'_+(x, z)| + |\rho'_+(y, z)|$. In a similar way one can prove (1) \Rightarrow (B). \square

The problem arises whether the reverse is true.

PROBLEM 2. If the condition (A) holds, does $\|\cdot\|$ derive from an inner product?

There is the following natural problem connected with Theorems 2, 3.

PROBLEM 3. Is it necessary to assume that $\dim X \geq 3$? The two-dimensional case remains an open problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. ALSINA, J. SIKORSKA, M. SANTOS TOMÁS, *Norm Derivatives and Characterizations of Inner Product Spaces*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2009.
- [2] H. F. BOHNENBLUST, *A characterization of complex Hilbert spaces*, Portugal. Math. **3** (2), (1942), 103–109.
- [3] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Semi-Inner Products and Applications*, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Hauppauge, NY, 2004.
- [4] J. R. GILES, *Classes of semi-inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **129** (1967), 436–446.
- [5] S. KAKUTANI, *Some characterizations of Euclidean space*, Japan. J. Math. **16** (1939), 93–97.
- [6] G. LUMER, *Semi-inner-product spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **100** (1961), 29–43.
- [7] P. M. MILIČIĆ, *Sur la G-orthogonalité dans les espaces normés*, Mat. Vesnik, **39** (1987), 325–334.

(Received May 29, 2014)

Paweł Wójcik
Institute of Mathematics
Pedagogical University of Cracow
Podchorążych 2, 30-084 Kraków
Poland
e-mail: pwojcik@up.krakow.pl