

WEIGHTED INEQUALITIES RELATED TO A MUCKENHOUP AND WHEEDEN PROBLEM FOR ONE-SIDE SINGULAR INTEGRALS

MARÍA SILVINA RIVEROS AND RAÚL EMILIO VIDAL

(Communicated by J. Soria)

Abstract. In this paper we obtain for T^+ , a one-sided singular integral given by a Calderón-Zygmund kernel with support in $(-\infty, 0)$, a $L^p(w)$ bound when $w \in A_1^+$. In [A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi and C. Pérez, A_1 Bounds for Calderón-Zygmund operators related to a problem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden, *Math. Res. Lett.* **16** (2009), no. 1, 149–156.], the authors proved that this bound is sharp with respect to $\|w\|_{A_1}$ and with respect to p . We also give a $L^{1,\infty}(w)$ estimate, for a related problem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden for $w \in A_1^+$. We improve the classical results, for one-sided singular integrals, by putting in the inequalities a wider class of weights.

1. Introduction

Let M be the classical Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator and w a weight (i.e. $w \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $w > 0$). C. Fefferman and E. M. Stein in [5] proved an extension of the classical weak-type $(1, 1)$ estimate:

$$\|Mf\|_{L^{1,\infty}(w)} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|Mw(x) dx, \quad (1.1)$$

where $C = C(n)$. This is a sort of duality for M . A consequence of this result, using an interpolation argument, is the following: if $1 < p < \infty$ and $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ then,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf(x))^p w(x) dx \leq Cp' \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^p Mw(x) dx,$$

where $C = C(n)$.

B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden many years ago, in [18], conjectured that the analogue of (1.1) should hold for T , a singular integral operator, namely

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|Mw(x) dx, \quad (1.2)$$

where $C = C(n, T)$.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 42B20, 42B25.

Keywords and phrases: One-sided singular integrals, Sawyer weights, weighted norm inequalities.

Supported by CONICET, and SECYT-UNC.

The best result along this line was given by C. Pérez in [20], where M is replaced by the slightly larger operator $M_{L(\log L)^\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{1,\infty}(w)} \leq C 2^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| M_{L(\log L)^\varepsilon} w(x) dx,$$

where $C = C(n, T)$.

The one-sided version of this result was obtained in [12] by M. Lorente, J. M. Martell, C. Pérez and M. S. Riveros.

M. C. Reguera in [22] and M. C. Reguera and C. Thiele in [23] proved that the Muckenhoupt-Wheeden conjecture is false. In [22] the author give a first approach by putting in the right hand side the dyadic maximal operator. In [23] they disproved (1.2) for T the Hilbert transform.

On the other hand there is a variant of the conjecture (1.2) which has a lot of interest, namely the weak Muckenhoupt-Wheeden conjecture. The idea is to assume an a priori condition on the weight w . This condition can be read essentially from inequality (1.2): a weight $w \in A_1$ if there is a finite constant C such that $Mw(x) \leq Cw(x)$ a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote $\|w\|_{A_1}$ the smallest of these C . The conjecture is the following:

Let $w \in A_1$, then

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq C \|w\|_{A_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| w(x) dx,$$

where $C = C(n, T)$.

In [11], A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosy and C. Pérez, exhibit a logarithmic growth

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{1,\infty}(w)} \leq C \|w\|_{A_1} \log(e + \|w\|_{A_1}) \|f\|_{L^1(w)}, \tag{1.3}$$

where $C = C(n, T)$. It is an open problem if this result obtained by the authors in [11] is the best possible. Recently, F. Nazarov, A. Reznikov, V. Vasyunin, A. Volberg, proved that the weak Muckenhoupt-Wheeden conjecture is also false. See [19].

To prove this logarithmic growth result, they had to study first the corresponding weighted $L^p(w)$ estimate for $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_1$,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C p p' \|w\|_{A_1} \|f\|_{L^p(w)}, \tag{1.4}$$

where $C = C(n, T)$, being the last inequality, this time, fully sharp. See [11]. As a consequence of (1.3) and applying the Rubio de Francia’s algorithm they also get the following result, let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p$ and let T be a Calderón-Zygmund operator then

$$\|T\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C \|w\|_{A_p} \log(e + \|w\|_{A_p}) \|f\|_{L^p(w)}, \tag{1.5}$$

where $C = C(n, p, T)$.

The first result of this kind obtaining the precise constant dependence on the A_p norm of w of the operator norms of singular integrals, maximal functions, and other operators in $L^p(w)$ was obtained by S. M. Buckley in [2]. There, he proves that

$$\|M\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C \|w\|_{A_p}^{\frac{1}{p-1}},$$

where $C = C(n, p)$.

Recently T. Hytönen, C. Pérez and E. Rela in [9] improved this result by giving a sharp weighted bound for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator involving the Fujii-Wilson A_∞ -constant. Also T. Hytönen and C. Pérez in [8] improved (1.3), (1.4) and several well known results, using for all these cases the Fujii-Wilson A_∞ -constant.

In this paper we obtain similar results as the ones in (1.3), (1.4) and (1.5) for one-sided weights and one-sided singular integrals.

Now we will state the results obtained in this work. The definitions of Sawyer's weights and one-sided operators will appear in the next section.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_1^+$ and T^+ be a one-sided singular integral, then,*

$$\|T^+ f\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C p p' \|w\|_{A_1^+} \|f\|_{L^p(w)}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $C = C(T^+)$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $w \in A_1^+$ and T^+ be a one-sided singular integral, then,*

$$\|T^+ f\|_{L^{1,\infty}(w)} \leq C \|w\|_{A_1^+} \log(e + \|w\|_{A_1^+}) \|f\|_{L^1(w)}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $C = C(T^+)$.

COROLLARY 1.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p^+$ and T^+ be a one-sided singular integral, then*

$$\|T^+ f\|_{L^{p,\infty}(w)} \leq C \|w\|_{A_p^+} \log(e + \|w\|_{A_p^+}) \|f\|_{L^p(w)}, \quad (1.8)$$

where $C = C(T^+)$.

By a duality argument, Corollary 1.3 implies the following:

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p^-$ and T^- be a one-sided singular integral, then for any measurable set E*

$$\|T^-(\sigma \chi_E)\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C \|w\|_{A_p^-}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \log(e + \|w\|_{A_p^-}) \sigma(E)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $C = C(T^-)$ and $\sigma = w^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}$.

In these results $\|w\|_{A_1^+}$ is the best constant of the weight $w \in A_1^+$. Clearly, every theorem has a corresponding one, reversing the orientation of \mathbb{R} .

Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and Corollaries 1.3, 1.4, for one-sided singular integrals, improve the ones obtained in [11] by putting in the inequalities a wider class of weights (the Sawyer classes).

The article is organized as follows: in Section 2 we introduce notation, definitions and well known results. In Section 3 we prove some previous lemmas that will be essential to obtain the proofs of Theorems and Corollaries given in Section 4. In Section 5 we give a weaker version and a simplest proof of Lemma 3.2 of Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we give some definitions and well known results.

2.1. One-side singular integral operators and Sawyer’s weights

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The one-sided maximal operators are defined as

$$M^+ f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f(t)| dt, \quad M^- f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x |f(t)| dt.$$

The good weights for these operators are the Sawyer weights A^+_p and A^-_p , see [25], [13], [14]. We recall the definition.

DEFINITION 2.2. Let w be a non-negative locally integrable function and $1 \leq p < \infty$. We say that $w \in A^+_p$ if there exists $C(p) < \infty$ such that for every $a < x < b$

$$\frac{1}{(b-a)^p} \left(\int_a^x w \right) \left(\int_x^b w^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} \leq C(p), \tag{2.1}$$

when $1 < p < \infty$, and for $p = 1$,

$$M^- w(x) \leq C(1) w(x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in (a, b), \tag{2.2}$$

finally $A^+_\infty = \cup_{p \geq 1} A^+_p$, see [15].

The smallest possible $C(1)$ in (2.2) here is denoted by $\|w\|_{A^+_1}$ and the smallest possible $C(p)$ in (2.1) here is denoted by $\|w\|_{A^+_p}$.

The classes A^-_p for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ are defined in a similar way.

We also define

$$M^+_r f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} |f(t)|^r dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}, \quad M^-_r f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{x-h}^x |f(t)|^r dt \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where $r \geq 1$. Observe that $M^+ f \leq M^+_r f$ for all $r \geq 1$. Also, we will consider the following maximal operators introduced by F. J. Martín-Reyes, P. Ortega and A. de la Torre in [14],

$$M^+_g f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \int_x^{x+h} |f(t)|g(t) dt \left(\int_x^{x+h} g(t) dt \right)^{-1},$$

$$M^-_g f(x) = \sup_{h>0} \int_{x-h}^x |f(t)|g(t) dt \left(\int_{x-h}^x g(t) dt \right)^{-1},$$

where g is a positive locally integrable function on \mathbb{R} .

The classes $A_p^+(g)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ are defined as follows, let w be non-negative locally integrable functions and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. We say that $w \in A_p^+(g)$ if there exists $C(p) < \infty$ such that for every $a < x < b$

$$\left(\int_a^x w \right) \left(\int_x^b g^{p'} \sigma \right)^{p-1} \leq C(p) \left(\int_a^b g \right)^p, \quad (2.3)$$

where $\sigma = w^{\frac{-1}{p-1}}$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$, when $1 < p < \infty$. For $p = 1$,

$$M_g^-(g^{-1}w)(x) \leq C(1)g^{-1}w(x), \quad \text{a.e. } x \in (a, b).$$

In [14] it was proved that $w \in A_p^+(g)$, if, and only if M_g^+ is bounded from $L^p(w)$ into $L^p(w)$, for $1 < p < \infty$, and $w \in A_1^+(g)$, if, and only if M_g^+ maps $L^1(w)$ into $L^{1,\infty}(w)$. Observe that if $g \equiv 1$ then $A_p^+(g) = A_p^+$, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

DEFINITION 2.3. We shall say that a function K in $L_{\text{loc}}^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is a Calderón-Zygmund kernel if the following properties are satisfied:

- $\|\widehat{K}\|_{\infty} < C_1$
- $|K(x)| < \frac{C_2}{|x|^n}$
- $|K(x) - K(x-y)| < \frac{C_3|y|}{|x|^{n+1}}$, where $|y| < \frac{|x|}{2}$.

The Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator associated to K is defined by

$$Tf(x) = p.v.(K * f)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n / B_{\varepsilon}(0)} K(x-y)f(y)dy,$$

and the maximal operator associated with this kernel K is

$$T^*f(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n / B_{\varepsilon}(0)} |K(x-y)||f(y)|dy.$$

A one-sided singular integral T^+ is a singular integral associated to a Calderón-Zygmund kernel with support in $(-\infty, 0)$; therefore, in that case,

$$T^+f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{x+\varepsilon}^{\infty} K(x-y)f(y)dy.$$

Examples of such kernels are given by H. Aimar, L. Forzani and F. J. Martín-Reyes in [1]. The operator T^- is defined similarly.

REMARK 2.4.

1. In [1], it is proved that the one-sided singular integral T^+ is controlled by the one-sided maximal functions M^+ in the $L^p(w)$ norm if $w \in A_{\infty}^+$.

2. It is well known to that the classes A_p are included in A_p^+ and A_p^- ; namely $A_p = A_p^- \cap A_p^+$. See [25], [13], [14].
3. The one-sided classes of weights satisfy the following factorization, $w \in A_p^+$ if only if $w = w_1 w_2^{1-p}$ with $w_1 \in A_1^+$ and $w_2 \in A_1^-$, and $\|w\|_{A_p^+} \leq \|w_1\|_{A_1^+} \|w_2\|_{A_1^-}^{p-1}$. See [25], [13], [14].
4. It is easy to check that $(M^- f)^\delta \in A_1^+$ for all $0 < \delta < 1$ with $\|(M^- f)^\delta\|_{A_1^+} \leq \frac{C}{1-\delta}$.

Finally, we recall some definition concerning Lorentz $L^{p,q}(\mu)$ spaces. Let f be a measurable function on a measure space (X, \mathcal{M}, μ) . The non-increasing rearrangement $f^*(t)$ of f is defined as

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \mu(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) \leq t\},$$

for all $0 < t < \infty$. The function f is said to belong to the Lorentz space $L^{p,q}(\mu)$ if the quantities

$$\|f\|^{p,q}(\mu) = \left(\frac{q}{p} \int_0^\infty \left[t^{1/p} f^*(t) \right]^q \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{1/q},$$

whenever $0 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q < \infty$, and

$$\|f\|^{p,\infty}(\mu) = \sup_{t>0} \left[t^{1/p} f^*(t) \right],$$

when $0 < p \leq \infty$, are finite. For more details see [26].

3. Previous Lemmas

To obtain Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we need to prove some previous results: a sharp weak reverse Hölder’s inequality for one-sided weights, a particular case of the Coifman-type estimate for one-sided singular integrals and one-sided maximal operator, and also build A_1^+ weights from duality with special control on the constant, based in the Rubio de Francia algorithm, see [6].

3.1. Sharp weak reverse Hölder’s inequality

F. J. Martín-Reyes proved a weak reverse Hölder’s inequality (see [13] Lemma 5).

LEMMA 3.1. [13] (Sharp weak reverse Hölder’s inequality) *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p^+$. There exist positive numbers δ and C such that*

$$\int_a^b w^{1+\delta} \leq CM^-(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b)^\delta \int_a^b w, \tag{3.1}$$

for every bounded interval (a, b) , and therefore

$$M_{1+\delta}^-(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b) \leq CM^-(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b),$$

and

$$M_{1+\delta}^-(w)(b) \leq CM^-(w)(b).$$

The constant C depends only on δ and the constant of the A_p^+ condition.

Here we will need to be more precise in the constants. In the proof of Lemma 3.1 the constant C depends of a constant β and the constant δ . If we chose $\beta = (4^p \|w\|_{A_p^+})^{-1}$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{4^{p+2} e^{\frac{1}{p}} \|w\|_{A_p^+}}$, when $1 < p < \infty$, and $\beta = (\|w\|_{A_1^+})^{-1}$ and $\delta = \frac{1}{16e^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{A_1^+}}$, when $p = 1$, following the same steps of the proof, we obtain that $C \leq 2$.

Then we can rewrite the equation (3.1) as

$$\int_a^b w^{r_w} \leq 2M^-(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b)^{r_w-1} \int_a^b w, \tag{3.2}$$

for every bounded interval (a, b) , and therefore

$$M_{r_w}^-(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b) \leq 2M^-(w\chi_{(a,b)})(b),$$

and

$$M_{r_w}^-(w)(b) \leq 2M^-(w)(b), \tag{3.3}$$

where $r_w = 1 + \frac{1}{4^{p+2} e^{\frac{1}{p}} \|w\|_{A_p^+}}$, when $p > 1$, and $r_w = 1 + \frac{1}{16e^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{A_1^+}}$ when $p = 1$.

The following Lemma will be necessary to prove good- λ result in the next section.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $w \in A_p^-$, $a < b < c$ and $E \subseteq (b, c)$ a measurable set. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $C = C(\varepsilon, p)$ such that if $|E| < e^{-C\|w\|_{A_p^-}} (b - a)$ then $w(E) < \varepsilon w(a, c)$.*

Proof. Let $w \in A_p^-$. Let apply the analogous to equation (3.2), i.e.

$$\int_b^c w^r \leq 2M^+(w\chi_{(b,c)})(c)^{r-1} \int_b^c w.$$

This last inequality implies

$$(M_w^+(w^{r-1}\chi_{(b,c)})(c))^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \leq 2M^+(w\chi_{(b,c)})(c),$$

where we take $r = r_w = 1 + \frac{1}{4^{p+2} e^{\frac{1}{p}} \|w\|_{A_p^-}}$, when $p > 1$ and $r = r_w = 1 + \frac{1}{16e^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{A_1^-}}$, when $p = 1$.

Using the definition of M_g^+ , with $g = w$, we have that for all $x \in (a, b)$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{w(a,c)} \int_b^c w^{r-1} w \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} &\leq (M_w^+(w^{r-1} \chi_{(x,c)})(x))^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \\ &\leq 2M^+(w\chi_{(x,c)})(x) \\ &\leq 2M^+(w\chi_{(a,c)})(x), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(a, b) \subseteq \left\{ x : M^+(w\chi_{(a,c)})(x) > \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{1}{w(a,c)} \int_b^c w^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \right\}.$$

Recalling that M^+ is of weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure, we get

$$b - a < C_1 w(a,c)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \left(\int_b^c w^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}} w(a,c),$$

where C_1 does not depend on the weight w . This last inequality says that $1 \in A_{r'}^+(w)$ (where r' is such that $\frac{1}{r'} + \frac{1}{r} = 1$), with constant C_1 , see (2.3). Let $x \in (a, b)$, by hypothesis $E \subset (b, c)$ then

$$M_w^+(\chi_E(x)) \geq \frac{1}{w(x,c)} \int_x^c \chi_E(t) w(t) dt \geq \frac{w(E)}{w(a,c)},$$

obtaining that the interval $(a, b) \subset \{x : M_w^+(\chi_E(x)) > \frac{w(E)}{2w(a,c)}\}$. Observe that M_w^+ is of weak type (r', r') with respect to the Lebesgue measure with constant $\|M_w^+\|_{L^{r'} \rightarrow L^{r', \infty}} = C_2$ (see [14]), then

$$b - a \leq \left| \left\{ x : M_w^+(\chi_E(x)) > \frac{w(E)}{2w(a,c)} \right\} \right| \leq C_2 \left(\frac{2w(a,c)}{w(E)} \right)^{r'} |E|.$$

Taking into account that $1 < r < 2$ and $C_2^{\frac{1}{r'}} \leq C_3$, where C_3 does not depend on p or $\|w\|_{A_p^-}$ (see [14]), then

$$\frac{w(E)}{w(a,c)} < \left(C_2 \frac{|E|}{b-a} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} < C_3 e^{\frac{-C\|w\|_{A_p^-}}{r'}} < \varepsilon, \tag{3.4}$$

where the last inequality holds by choosing an appropriate C depending only on p and ε . \square

3.2. The Coifman-type estimate

Now we give a particular case of the Coifman-type estimate. In order to do this we need a kind of good- λ inequality result. We will use the next result due to S. M. Buckley in [2].

LEMMA 3.3. [2] Let $g \in L^\infty(I)$ and T be an operator for which

$$|\{x : T\phi(x) > \alpha\}| \leq \left(\frac{Cp\|\phi\|_p}{\alpha} \right),$$

for all $\phi \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, sufficiently large p and α and C being a constant independent of p .

Then,

$$|\{x : Tg(x) > \alpha\}| \leq Ce^{\frac{\alpha}{\|g\|_\infty}} |I|.$$

LEMMA 3.4. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $w \in A_p^-$, T^- be a one-sided singular integral and T^* the maximal operator related to T^- . Then, there exist positive constants $c_1, c_2, \gamma_0 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R} : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, M^-f(x) < \gamma\lambda\}| < c_1 e^{-\frac{c_2}{\gamma}} |\{T^*f(x) > \lambda\}|$$

holds for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\lambda > 0$. Also, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists c' depending on ε, γ_0 and p such that

$$w \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, M^-f(x) < \frac{c'\lambda}{\|w\|_{A_p^-}} \right\} \right) < \varepsilon w(\{T^*f(x) > \lambda\}).$$

Proof. Since $\{x : T^*f(x) > \lambda\}$ is an open set and it has finite measure for $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, it can be written as a disjoint countable union of open intervals. Let $J = (a, b)$ be such an interval. It is enough to prove that there exist c_1, c_2, c' and γ_0 such that

$$|\{x \in J : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, M^-f(x) < \gamma\lambda\}| < c_1 e^{-\frac{c_2}{\gamma}} |J|,$$

and

$$w \left(\left\{ x \in J : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, M^-f(x) < \frac{c'\lambda}{\|w\|_{A_p^-}} \right\} \right) < \varepsilon w(J),$$

for every $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$ and every $\lambda > 0$. Let us take a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ in $J = (a, b)$ in such a way that $x_0 = b$ and $x_{i-1} - x_i = x_i - a$ for every $i > 0$. Observe that we only need to prove that

$$|\{x \in (x_{i+1}, x_i) : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, M^-f(x) < \gamma\lambda\}| < c_1 e^{-\frac{c_2}{\gamma}} (x_{i+1} - x_{i+2}). \tag{3.5}$$

By Lemma 3.2 there exists c' depending on $\varepsilon, \gamma_0, p, c_1, c_2$, such that

$$w \left(\left\{ x \in (x_{i+1}, x_i) : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, M^-f(x) < \frac{c'\lambda}{\|w\|_{A_p^-}} \right\} \right) < \varepsilon w(x_i, x_{i+2}). \tag{3.6}$$

Let us show (3.5). Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\{x \in (x_{i+1}, x_i) : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, M^-f(x) < \gamma\lambda\} = \emptyset$ there is nothing to prove. We choose $\bar{a} < a$ such that $x_i - a = a - \bar{a}$ and

$$\xi = \sup\{x \in (x_{i+1}, x_i) : M^-f(x) \leq \gamma\lambda\}.$$

Let us write $f = f_1 + f_2$ with $f_1 = f\chi(\bar{a}, \xi)$ then

$$\{x \in (x_{i+1}, x_i) : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, M^-f(x) < \gamma\lambda\} \subset A \cup B,$$

where

$$A = \{x \in (x_{i+1}, \xi) : T^*f_1(x) > \frac{1}{2}\lambda, M^-f(x) < \gamma\lambda\},$$

$$B = \left\{x \in (x_{i+1}, \xi) : T^*f_2(x) > \frac{3}{2}\lambda, M^-f(x) < \gamma\lambda\right\}.$$

The second set B is essentially empty for γ small enough. By standard estimation (see [1]), we get that for $x \in (x_{i+1}, \xi)$, $T^*f_2(x) \leq \frac{3}{2}\lambda$ then

$$\left\{x \in (x_{i+1}, \xi) : T^*f_2(x) > \frac{3}{2}\lambda, M^-f(x) < \gamma\lambda\right\} = \emptyset,$$

for $0 < \gamma < \gamma_0$ small enough.

Now we work with set A . Let $\Omega = \{x \in (x_{i+1}, \xi) : M^-f_1(x) > 3\gamma\lambda\}$, observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f_1(t) dt \leq 4\gamma\lambda(x_i - x_{i+1}).$$

The last inequality implies that $\Omega \subset (\bar{a}, \tilde{a})$ with $\tilde{a} - \xi = \frac{4}{3}(x_i - x_{i+1})$. Let us write $\Omega = \cup I_j$ where $I_j = (a_j, b_j)$ are disjoint maximal intervals. Then

$$\frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{I_j} f_1(t) dt = 3\gamma\lambda.$$

We define $I_j^+ = (b_j, c_j)$, $|I_j^+| = 2|I_j|$, $\tilde{\Omega} = \cup(I_j^+ \cup I_j) = \cup \tilde{I}_j$ and $f_1 = g + h$ with

$$g = f_1\chi_{\mathbb{R}/\Omega} + \sum_j 3\gamma\lambda\chi_{I_j}, \quad h = \sum_j h_j = \sum_j (f_1 - 3\gamma\lambda)\chi_{I_j}.$$

Observe that $g \leq 3\gamma\lambda$ and g has support in (\bar{a}, \tilde{a}) . Then using Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\left| \left\{x : T^*g(x) > \frac{\lambda}{4}\right\} \right| \leq e^{\frac{-c}{\gamma}}(\tilde{a} - \bar{a}) \leq \frac{32}{3}e^{\frac{-c}{\gamma}}(x_{i+1} - x_{i+2}).$$

Now let us study T^*h for $x \notin \widetilde{\Omega}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T^*h(x)| &\leq \sum_j \int_{I_j} |h_j(y)(K(x-y) - K(x-b_j))| dy \\ &\leq C \sum_j \int_{I_j} |h_j(y)| \frac{y-b_j}{(x-b_j)^2} dy \\ &\leq \frac{3}{2} C \sum_j \frac{\delta_j}{\delta_j^2 + (x-b_j)^2} \int_{I_j} |h_j(y)| dy \\ &\leq 9C\gamma\lambda \sum_j \frac{\delta_j}{\delta_j^2 + (x-b_j)^2} |I_j| \\ &\leq C\gamma\lambda \sum_j \frac{\delta_j^2}{\delta_j^2 + (x-b_j)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_j = c_j - a_j$. We write $\Delta(x) = \sum_j \frac{\delta_j^2}{\delta_j^2 + (x-b_j)^2}$.

Observe that if $x \in \widetilde{\Omega}$ then $M^-f(x) \geq \gamma\lambda$. In fact, if $x \in I_j$, for some j , then by definition of Ω we have that $3\gamma\lambda < M^-f_1(x) < M^-f(x)$. If $x \in I_j^+$ then

$$3\gamma\lambda = \frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{I_j} f_1(t) dt = \frac{x-a_j}{(x-a_j)|I_j|} \int_{a_j}^x f(t) dt \leq 3M^-f(x).$$

By the exponential Carleson’s estimation, (see [3]), we have

$$\left| \left\{ x \in (x_{i+1}, \xi) : \Delta(x) > \frac{c}{\gamma} \right\} \right| < C e^{-\frac{c}{\gamma}} |(x_{i+1}, \xi)| \leq 2C e^{-\frac{c}{\gamma}} (x_{i+1} - x_{i+2}),$$

therefore

$$\left| \left\{ x \in (x_{i+1}, \xi) : T^*h(x) > \frac{1}{4}\lambda, M^-f(x) < \gamma\lambda \right\} \right| \leq C e^{-\frac{c}{\gamma}} (x_{i+1} - x_{i+2}).$$

Putting together this last estimate with the ones for f_2 and g , we obtain the desired result. \square

LEMMA 3.5. *Let $p \geq 1$, $w \in A_p^-$ and let T^- be a one-sided singular integral. Then there exists a constant $C = C(p, T^-)$, such that*

$$\|T^-f\|_{L^1(w)} \leq C \|w\|_{A_p^-} \|M^-f\|_{L^1(w)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.4, for $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}$ exists c' such that

$$w \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : T^*f(x) > 2\lambda, M^-f(x) < \frac{c'\lambda}{\|w\|_{A_p^-}} \right\} \right) < \frac{1}{4} w(\{T^*f(x) > \lambda\}).$$

Observe that

$$\int_0^N w(\{T^- f > \lambda\}) d\lambda \leq 2 \int_0^{\frac{N}{2}} w(\{T^* f > 2\lambda\}) d\lambda \leq B_1 + B_2,$$

where

$$B_1 = 2 \int_0^{\frac{N}{2}} w \left(\left\{ T^* f > 2\lambda, M^- f < \frac{c'\lambda}{\|w\|_{A_p^-}} \right\} \right) d\lambda,$$

$$B_2 = 2 \int_0^{\frac{N}{2}} w \left(\left\{ M^- f \geq \frac{c'\lambda}{\|w\|_{A_p^-}} \right\} \right) d\lambda.$$

For B_1 , we obtain

$$B_1 = 2 \int_0^{\frac{N}{2}} w \left(\left\{ T^* f > 2\lambda, M^- f < \frac{c'\lambda}{\|w\|_{A_p^-}} \right\} \right) d\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^N w(\{T^* f > \lambda\}) d\lambda.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^N w(\{T^* f > \lambda\}) d\lambda \leq \frac{2\|w\|_{A_p^-}}{c'} \int_0^{\frac{Nc'}{2\|w\|_{A_p^-}}} w(M^- f \geq \lambda) d\lambda,$$

then

$$\|T^- f\|_{L^1(w)} \leq \frac{4\|w\|_{A_p^-}}{c'} \|M^- f\|_{L^1(w)},$$

obtaining the desired result. \square

For the next result we shall need the following Lemma due to A. K. Lerner, S. Ombrosi and C. Pérez in [11].

LEMMA 3.6. [11] *Let $1 < s < \infty$ and v be a weight. There exists an operator R in $L^s(v)$ such that*

- $h \leq R(h)$
- $\|R(h)\|_{L^s(v)} \leq 2\|h\|_{L^s(v)}$
- $R(h)(v)^{\frac{1}{s}} \in A_1$ with $\|R(h)(v)^{\frac{1}{s}}\|_{A_1} \leq cs'$.

It is known that the weight $(M_r^- w)^{1-p'}$ belongs to the A_∞^- class with the corresponding constants independent of w . Hence the next Lemma is a particular case of the Coifman-type estimate.

LEMMA 3.7. *Let T^- be a one-sided singular integral, $p, r \geq 1$. Then there exists $C = C(T^-)$ such that*

$$\left\| \frac{T^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)} \leq Cp' \left\| \frac{M^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. By duality we have

$$\left\| \frac{T^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)} = \sup_{\|h\|_{L^p(M_r^- w)}=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |T^- f| h dx.$$

Choosing $s = p$ and $v = M_r^- w$, by Lemma 3.6, there exists an operator R such that $R(h)(M_r^- w)^{\frac{1}{p}} \in A_1$ with $\|R(h)(M_r^- w)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|_{A_1} \leq cp'$, then by Remark 2.4, item (2), $R(h)(M_r^- w)^{\frac{1}{p}} \in A_1^-$ with $\|R(h)(M_r^- w)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|_{A_1^-} \leq cp'$.

Now using the Remark 2.4, item (3) and item (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(h)\|_{A_3^-} &= \|R(h)(M_r^- w)^{\frac{1}{p}} [(M_r^- w)^{\frac{1}{2p}}]^{-2}\|_{A_3^+} \\ &\leq \|R(h)(M_r^- w)^{\frac{1}{p}}\|_{A_1^-} \| (M_r^- w)^{\frac{1}{2p}} \|_{A_1^+}^2 \\ &\leq cp' \left(\frac{c}{1 - \frac{1}{2pr}} \right)^2 \leq Cp'. \end{aligned}$$

Finally by Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |T^- f| h dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |T^- f| R(h) dx \leq C \|R(h)\|_{A_3^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}} M^-(f) R(h) dx \\ &\leq Cp' \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{M^- f}{M_r^- w} R(h) M_r^- w dx \leq Cp' \left\| \frac{M^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)} \|R(h)\|_{L^p(M_r^- w)}. \end{aligned}$$

As $\|h\|_{L^p(M_r^- w)} = 1$ we have

$$\left\| \frac{T^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)} \leq Cp' \left\| \frac{M^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)}. \quad \square$$

4. Proof of the results

4.1. Proof of the Theorems

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we first need to show the following result:

THEOREM 4.1. *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $1 < r < 2$, w a weight and T^+ be a one-sided singular integral. Then*

$$\|T^+ f\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C p p'(r')^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|f\|_{L_p(M_r^- w)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $C = C(T^+)$.

Proof. Observe that T^- is the adjoint operator of T^+ , with kernel supported in $(0, \infty)$. Also observe that as $(M_r^- w) \in A_1^+ \subset A_p^+$, then $(M_r^- w)^{1-p'} \in A_{p'}^- \subset A_\infty^-$. Therefore (4.1) is equivalent to prove

$$\left\| \frac{T^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)} \leq C p p' (r')^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left\| \frac{f}{w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(w)}.$$

By Hölder’s inequality

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f w^{-\frac{1}{p}} w^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b w^r \right)^{\frac{1}{pr}} \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b (f w^{-\frac{1}{p}})^{(pr)'} \right)^{\frac{1}{(pr)'}}$$

and taking supremum we get

$$(M^- f(b))^{p'} \leq (M_r^- w(b))^{p'-1} (M_{(pr)'}^-(f w^{-\frac{1}{p}}(b)))^{p'},$$

then

$$\left\| \frac{M^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)} \leq \left\| M_{(pr)'}^-(f w^{-\frac{1}{p}}) \right\|_{L^{p'}(w)}.$$

Now using that $\|M_k^- g\|_{L^s} \leq C \left(\frac{s}{k}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \|g\|_{L^s}$, for $g = f w^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $k = (pr)'$ and $s = p'$ we get

$$\left\| \frac{M^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)} \leq C \left(\frac{rp-1}{r-1} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{pr}} \left\| \frac{f}{w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(w)} \leq C p \left(\frac{1}{r-1} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{pr}} \left\| \frac{f}{w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(w)}.$$

Observe that $t^{\frac{1}{t}} \leq 2$ for $t \geq 1$, then

$$\left(\frac{1}{r-1} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{pr}} \leq (r')^{1-\frac{1}{p+1}+\frac{1}{pr'}} \leq 2(r')^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Finally applying Lemma 3.7 we get,

$$\left\| \frac{T^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)} \leq C p' \left\| \frac{M^- f}{M_r^- w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(M_r^- w)} \leq C p p' (r')^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left\| \frac{f}{w} \right\|_{L^{p'}(w)}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. This result is a consequence of Theorem 4.1. Using the equation (3.3), we observe that $r'_w \lesssim \|w\|_{A_1^+}$ and $M_{r'_w}^-(w)(x) \leq 2M^-(w)(x) \leq 2\|w\|_{A_1^+} w(x)$ a.e. x . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^+ f\|_{L^p(w)} &\leq C p p' (r'_w)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p(x) M_{r'_w}^-(w)(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq C p p' (\|w\|_{A_1^+})^{\frac{1}{p'}} \|w\|_{A_1^+}^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \\ &\leq C p p' \|w\|_{A_1^+} \|f\|_{L^p(w)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality we assume that $0 \leq f \in L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Let

$$\Omega = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : M^+ f(x) > \lambda\} = \bigcup_j I_j = \bigcup_j (a_j, b_j),$$

where $I_j = (a_j, b_j)$ are the connected component of Ω and they satisfy

$$\frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{I_j} f(y) dy = \lambda.$$

Note that if $x \notin \Omega$, then for all $h \geq 0$

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(y) dy \leq \lambda.$$

Therefore $f(x) \leq \lambda$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega$. Let $I_j^- = (c_j, a_j)$ with c_j chosen so that $|I_j^-| = 2|I_j|$ and set

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \bigcup_j (I_j^- \cup I_j) = \bigcup_j \tilde{I}_j.$$

We write $f = g + h$ where

$$g = f \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega} + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \lambda \chi_{I_j}, \quad h = \sum_{j=1}^\infty h_j = \sum_{j=1}^\infty (f - \lambda) \chi_{I_j}.$$

Observe that $0 \leq g(x) \leq \lambda$ for a.e. x and also that h_j has vanishing integral. Then

$$\begin{aligned} w(\{x : |T^+ f(x)| > \lambda\}) &\leq w(\tilde{\Omega}) + w\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega} : |T^+ h(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) \\ &\quad + w\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega} : |T^+ g(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) = I + II + III. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate I :

$$I = w(\tilde{\Omega}) \leq \sum_j (w(I_j^-) + w(I_j)),$$

for each j

$$\begin{aligned} w(I_j^-) &= \frac{w(I_j^-)}{|I_j|} |I_j| = \frac{w(I_j^-)}{|I_j|} \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{I_j} f(x) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{I_j} \frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{I_j^-} w(t) dt f(x) dx \leq \frac{3}{\lambda} \int_{I_j} \frac{1}{(x - c_j)} \int_{c_j}^x w(t) dt f(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{3}{\lambda} \int_{I_j} f(x) M^- w(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $(w, M^-w) \in A_1^+$ then M^+ is weak type $(1, 1)$ with respect to this pair of weights, then

$$\sum_j w(I_j) = w(\{x : M^+ f(x) > \lambda\}) < \frac{4}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) M^- w(t) dt,$$

therefore

$$I = w(\tilde{\Omega}) \leq \frac{7}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) M^- w(t) dt \leq \frac{7}{\lambda} \|w\|_{A_1^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) w(t) dt.$$

To estimate II , let $r_j = |I_j| = |I_j^-|/2$. Now we use that h_j is supported in I_j , $\int_{I_j} h_j = 0$, and that K is supported in $(-\infty, 0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} II &= w\left(\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega} : |T^+ h(x)| > \frac{\lambda}{2}\right\}\right) \leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega}} |T^+ h(t)| w(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_j \int_{I_j} |h_j(y)| \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{I}_j} |K(t-y) - K(t-a_j)| w(t) dt dy \\ &= \frac{2}{\lambda} \sum_j \int_{I_j} |h_j(y)| \int_{-\infty}^{c_j} |K(t-y) - K(t-a_j)| w(t) dt dy. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that it is suffice to obtain that for all $y \in I_j$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{c_j} |K(t-y) - K(t-a_j)| w(t) dt \leq C \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{I_j} M^-(w \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{I}_j}).$$

To see this we use the condition of the kernel K ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{c_j} |K(t-y) - K(t-a_j)| w(t) dt &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{a_j - 2^{k+1}r_j}^{a_j - 2^k r_j} |K(t-y) - K(t-a_j)| w(t) dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{a_j - 2^{k+1}r_j}^{a_j - 2^k r_j} \left| \frac{y - a_j}{(t - a_j)^2} \right| w(t) dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{y - a_j}{(2^k r_j)^2} \int_{a_j - 2^{k+1}r_j}^{a_j - 2^k r_j} w(t) \chi_{(a_j - 2^k r_j, a_j - 2^{k+1}r_j)} dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{1}{(2^k r_j)} \int_{a_j - 2^{k+1}r_j}^{a_j - 2^k r_j} w(t) \chi_{(a_j - 2^k r_j, a_j - 2^{k+1}r_j)} dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $C = C(T^+)$. If $x \in I_j$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{-\infty}^{c_j} |K(t-y) - K(t-a_j)| w(t) dt \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{x - a_j + 2^{k+1}r_j}{2^k r_j} \frac{1}{x - a_j + 2^{k+1}r_j} \int_{a_j - 2^{k+1}r_j}^x w(t) \chi_{(a_j - 2^k r_j, a_j - 2^{k+1}r_j)} dt \\ &\leq CM^- w \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{I}_j}(x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \left(\frac{x - a_j}{2^k r_j} + \frac{2^{k+1}r_j}{2^k r_j} \right) \leq CM^-(w \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{I}_j})(x), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 II &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_j \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{I_j} M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j}) \int_{I_j} |h_j(y)| \, dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_j \int_{I_j} |h_j(y)| M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(y) \, dy \\
 &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \left[\sum_j \int_{I_j} f(y) M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(y) \, dy + \sum_j \int_{I_j} |g(y)| M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(y) \, dy \right] \\
 &= \frac{C}{\lambda} (A + B).
 \end{aligned}$$

For A there is nothing to prove. To work with B we need to prove the following inequality

$$M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(y) \leq \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{z \in I_j} M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(z), \tag{4.2}$$

for all $y \in I_j$. In fact for $y, z \in I_j$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(y) &= \sup_{t < y} \frac{1}{y-t} \int_t^y w(s)\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j}(s) \, ds = \sup_{t < c_j} \frac{1}{y-t} \int_t^{c_j} w(s)\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j}(s) \, ds \\
 &\leq \sup_{t < c_j} \frac{3}{2} \frac{1}{z-t} \int_t^{c_j} w(s)\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j}(s) \, ds \leq \frac{3}{2} M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &= \sum_j \int_{I_j} |g(y)| M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(y) \, dy = \sum_j \int_{I_j} \lambda M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(y) \, dy \\
 &\leq \sum_j \int_{I_j} f(t) \, dt \frac{1}{|I_j|} \int_{I_j} M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(y) \, dy \\
 &\leq \frac{3}{2} \sum_j \int_{I_j} f(t) \, dt \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{I_j} M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j}) \leq \frac{3}{2} \sum_j \int_{I_j} f(t) M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(t) \, dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

So

$$II \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_j \int_{I_j} f(t) M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{I}_j})(t) \, dt \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|w\|_{A_1^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) w(t) \, dt.$$

Finally we estimate III . First observe that doing the same proof that in (4.2) we obtain

$$M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{\Omega}})(y) \leq \frac{3}{2} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{z \in I_j} M^-(w\chi_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\tilde{\Omega}})(z), \tag{4.3}$$

for all $y \in I_j$.

By Chevichef's inequality, using the fact that $g \leq \lambda$ and choosing $r = r_w = 1 + \frac{1}{16e^{\frac{1}{p}} \|w\|_{A_1^+}}$ in order to apply Theorem 4.1 and equation (3.3), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} III &= w \left(\left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega} : |T^+g|(x) > \frac{\lambda}{2} \right\} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2^p}{\lambda^p} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|T^+g|(x))^p w(x) \chi_{(\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega})}(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^p}{\lambda^p} (Cp p' (r')^{\frac{1}{p'}})^p \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|g|(x))^p M_r^-(w \chi_{(\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega})})(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{\lambda} (Cp p' (r')^{\frac{1}{p'}})^p \int_{\mathbb{R}} (|g|(x)) M^-(w \chi_{(\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega})})(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{\lambda} (Cp p' ((r')^{\frac{1}{p'}})^p \left[\int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega}} |g(x)| M^-(w \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega}})(x) dx + \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} |g(x)| M^-(w \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega}})(x) dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $f(x) = g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \Omega$ and arguing as in *B* for the integral of g in Ω , this time using (4.3), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(x)| M^-(w \chi_{\mathbb{R} \setminus \tilde{\Omega}})(x) dx \leq \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) M^-(w)(x) dx.$$

Now the fact that $w \in A_1^+$ and $r' = r'_w \leq C \|w\|_{A_1^+}$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} III &\leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{\lambda} (Cp p' ((r')^{\frac{1}{p'}})^p \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) M^-(w)(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^{p+1}}{\lambda} (Cp p' (\|w\|_{A_1^+})^{\frac{1}{p'}})^p \|w\|_{A_1^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) w(x) dx \\ &\leq \frac{C^p 2^{p+1}}{\lambda} [p p' \|w\|_{A_1^+}]^p \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) w(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We take $p = 1 + \frac{1}{\log(e + \|w\|_{A_1^+})}$ and observing that $t^{(\log(e+t))^{-1}}$ and $t^{t^{-1}}$ are bounded for $t > 1$ we have

$$[p p' \|w\|_{A_1^+}]^p \leq C \log(e + \|w\|_{A_1^+}) \|w\|_{A_1^+},$$

and as $1 < p < 2$ we obtain

$$III \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \log(e + \|w\|_{A_1^+}) \|w\|_{A_1^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) w(x) dx.$$

Combining this estimate with *I* and *II* completes the proof. \square

REMARK 4.2. The choice of p , in proof of Theorem 1.2, is similar to the one given in [11]. The conjecture or goal was to find a linear dependence of the constant $\|w\|_{A_1}$. In [19] the authors proved that this is not possible. The nearest one is a $t \log t$

dependence of the constant, by the kind of steps followed to approach to the result. Maybe it can be shown that a $t \log^\varepsilon t$, dependence is possible, for some $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. To prove this result, a better estimate in Theorem 4.1 it should be obtain. C. Pérez in [21] conjectures that a $t \log^\varepsilon t$ dependence is not possible.

4.2. Proof of the Corollaries

To prove the Corollary 1.3, we need to build A_1^+ weights with special control on the constant, based in the Rubio de Francia algorithm. In order to do this we need the one-sided version of the Buckley result sharp estimate in norm L^p of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M respect to weights $w \in A_p$. This Theorem was proved by F. J. Martín-Reyes and A. de la Torre in [17].

THEOREM 4.3. [17] *If $w \in A_p^-$ then*

$$\|M^-\|_{L^p(w)} \leq Cp'2^{p'} \|w\|_{A_p^-}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}. \tag{4.4}$$

The equivalent of the following Lemma for weights in A_p , is proven in [4].

LEMMA 4.4. *Let $1 < q < \infty$ and let $w \in A_q^+$. Then there exists a nonnegative sublinear operator D bounded on $L^{q'}$ such that for any nonnegative $h \in L^{q'}(w)$:*

1. $h \leq D(h)$;
2. $\|D(h)\|_{L^{q'}(w)} \leq 2\|h\|_{L^{q'}(w)}$;
3. $D(h) \cdot w \in A_1^+$ with $\|D(h) \cdot w\|_{A_1^+} \leq Cq2^q \|w\|_{A_q^+}$,

where the constant C not depend on $\|w\|_{A_1^+}$ and q .

Proof. We define the operator $S(h) = w^{-1}M^-(|h|w)$, then S is bounded in $L^{q'}(w)$, moreover, $\|S\|_{L^{q'}(w)} \leq Cq2^q \|w\|_{A_q^+}$, indeed using equation (4.4) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sh\|_{L^{q'}(w)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (w^{-1}M^-(|h|w))^{q'} w dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (M^-(|h|w))^{q'} w^{1-q'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \\ &\leq \|M^-\|_{L^{q'}(w^{1-q'})} \| |h|w \|_{L^{q'}(w^{1-q'})} \leq Cq2^q \|w^{1-q'}\|_{A_{q'}^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \| |h| \|_{L^{q'}(w)}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $w \in A_q^+$ implies $w^{1-q'} \in A_{q'}^-$ and that $\|w^{1-q'}\|_{A_{q'}^-} = \|w\|_{A_q^+}^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$, we get $\|S\|_{L^{q'}(w)} \leq Cq2^q \|w\|_{A_q^+}$, as claimed.

Now we define the operator D via the following convergent Neumann series:

$$D(h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{S^k(h)}{2^k \|S\|^k}, \quad \text{where } \|S\| = \|S\|_{L^{q'}(w)}.$$

Then (1) and (2) are clearly satisfied.

(3) It follows from the definition of D and the sublinearity of S that

$$S(D(h)) \leq 2\|S\|(D(h) - h) \leq 2\|S\|D(h),$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M^-(D(h)w) &= M^-(D(h)w)w^{-1}w = S(D(h))w \leq 2\|S\|D(h)w \\ &\leq cq2^q\|w\|_{A_p^+}D(h)w. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Corollary 1.3. For $\alpha > 0$ we set $\Omega_\alpha = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |T^+f(x)| > \alpha\}$ and let $\varphi(t) = t \log(e + t)$. Applying Lemma 4.4 with $q = p$, we get a sublinear operator D bounded on $L^{p'}$ satisfying properties (1), (2), and (3). Using these properties and Theorem 1.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_\alpha} h w dx &\leq \int_{\Omega_\alpha} D(h) w dx \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \varphi(\|D(h) \cdot w\|_{A_1^+}) \|f\|_{L^1(D(h) \cdot w)} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \varphi(Cp2^p\|w\|_{A_p^+}) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|D(h) w dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\alpha} 2\varphi(Cp2^p)\varphi(\|w\|_{A_p^+}) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p w dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} D(h)^{p'} w dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \varphi(\|w\|_{A_p^+}) \|f\|_{L^p(w)} \|h\|_{L^{p'}(w)}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by taking the supremum over all h with $\|h\|_{L^{p'}(w)} = 1$. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Given a one-sided singular operator T^- , its adjoint operator is T^+ . Let $w \in A_p^-$ then $\sigma = w^{1-p'} \in A_{p'}^+$ with $\|\sigma\|_{A_{p'}^+} = \|w\|_{A_p^-}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. Applying Corollary 1.3 to the one-sided singular operator T^+ and the weight σ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^+\|_{L^{p',\infty}(\sigma)} &\leq C\|w\|_{A_p^-}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \log\left(e + \|w\|_{A_p^-}^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right) \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\sigma)} \\ &\leq C\|w\|_{A_p^-}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \log(e + \|w\|_{A_p^-}) \|f\|_{L^{p'}(\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

From this, by duality we obtain

$$\|T^-\|_{L^p(w)} \leq C\|w\|_{A_p^-}^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \log(e + \|w\|_{A_p^-}) \left\| \frac{f}{\sigma} \right\|_{L^{p,1}(\sigma)},$$

where $L^{p,1}(\sigma)$ is the standard weighted Lorentz space. Setting here $f = \sigma\chi_E$, where E is any measurable set, completes the proof. \square

5. Appendix

We will give an easier proof of a slight weak version of Lemma 3.2.

In [24] M. S. Riveros and A. de la Torre, using Lemma 5 in [13], obtained another version of weak reverse Hölder’s inequality. If we use the equation (3.2), following the same steps of the proof in [24], we obtain a new result with special control on the constant.

LEMMA 5.1. [24] (One-sided RHI) *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $w \in A_p^+$ and $a < b < c$ with $b - a = 2(c - b)$. If $r = 1 + \frac{1}{4p+2e^{\frac{1}{e}}\|w\|_{A_p^+}}$, for $p > 1$ and $r = 1 + \frac{1}{16e^{\frac{1}{e}}\|w\|_{A_1^+}}$, for $p = 1$ then*

$$\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b w^r \leq C \left(\frac{1}{c-a} \int_a^c w \right)^r,$$

where C does not depends on the weight w .

The following Lemma is a slight weak version of Lemma 3.2.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $p \geq 1$, $w \in A_p^-$, $a < b < c$ such that $2(b - a) = (c - b)$ and $E \subseteq (b, c)$ a measurable set. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C = C(\varepsilon, p)$ such that if $|E| < e^{-C\|w\|_{A_p^-}}(b - a)$ then $w(E) < \varepsilon w(a, c)$.*

Proof. We will use the analogous to Lemma 5.1 for A_p^- weights.

$$\begin{aligned} w(E) &= \frac{1}{c-b} \int_b^c w \chi_E(c-b) \leq (c-b) \left(\frac{1}{c-b} \int_b^c w^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\frac{1}{c-b} \int_b^c \chi_E^{r'} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \\ &= \left(\frac{|E|}{c-b} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} (c-b) C \frac{1}{c-a} \int_a^c w \leq \left(\frac{|E|}{b-a} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}} C \int_a^c w \leq \varepsilon w(a, c), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by following the same steps as in (3.4). \square

As a Corollary of Lemma 5.1 we obtain another proof of Proposition 3 in [13], this is

COROLLARY 5.3. *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p^+$. Then $w \in A_{p-\varepsilon}^+$, with $p - \varepsilon = \frac{p-1}{r(\sigma)} + 1$ where $\sigma = w^{1-p'}$ and $r(\sigma)$ is the one obtained in the analogous version of Lemma 5.1 for a weight in $A_{p'}^-$.*

Proof. In [24] it is proved that $w \in A_p^+$ if, and only if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{a,b,c,d} \frac{1}{(b-a)^p} \left(\int_a^b w \right) \left(\int_c^d w^{\frac{-1}{p-1}} \right)^{p-1} < C. \tag{5.1}$$

where the supremum is taken over all a, b, c, d such that $a < b < c < d$ and $2(b - a) = 2(d - c) = c - b$.

Let $r = r(\sigma)$ be the one of Lemma 5.1 and a, b, c, d as in the previous line, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b w \right) \left(\frac{1}{d-c} \int_c^d w^{\frac{-1}{p-\varepsilon-1}} \right)^{p-\varepsilon-1} &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b w \right) \left(\frac{1}{d-c} \int_c^d \sigma^r \right)^{\frac{p-1}{r}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b w \right) \left(\frac{1}{d-b} C \int_b^d \sigma \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq (C)^{p-1} \|w\|_{A_p^+}, \end{aligned}$$

where C does not depend on p nor w . \square

Acknowledgement. We want to thank the referee for the helpful comments and indications. Also we want to thank to C. Pérez for suggesting this problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. AIMAR, L. FORZANI AND F. J. MARTÍN-REYES, *On weighted inequalities for singular integrals*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, **125**, (1997), 2057–2064.
- [2] S. M. BUCKLEY, *Estimates fro operator norms on weighted spaces and reverse Jensen inequalities*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **340** no. 1, (1993), 253–272.
- [3] L. CARLÉSON, *On convergence and growth of partial sums of Fourier series*, Acta Math. **116**, (1966), 135–157.
- [4] O. DRAGIČEVIĆ, L. GRAFAKOS, M. C. PEREYRA AND S. PETERMICHL, *Extrapolation and sharp norm estimates for classical operators on weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Publ. Math., **49** no. 1, (2005), 73–91.
- [5] C. FEFFERMAN, E. M. STEIN, *Some maximal inequalities*, Amer. Jour. Math. **93**, (1971), 107–115.
- [6] J. GARCÍA-CUERVA AND J. L. RUBIO DE FRANCIA, *Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics*, North Holland Mathematics Studies **116**, (1985).
- [7] T. HYTÖNEN, M. LACEY AND C. PÉREZ, *Sharp weighted bounds for the q -variation of singular integrals*, Bulletin London Math. Soc. (2013); doi: 10.1112/blms/bds114.
- [8] T. HYTÖNEN AND C. PÉREZ, *Sharp weighted bounds involving A_∞* , Analysis and PDE **6**, no 4, (2013) 777–818.
- [9] T. HYTÖNEN, C. PÉREZ AND E. RELA, *Sharp Reverse Hölder property for A_∞ weights on spaces of homogeneous type*, Journal of Functional Analysis **263**, (2012) 3883–3899.
- [10] A. K. LERNER, S. OMBROSI, AND C. PÉREZ, *Sharp A_1 bounds for Calderón-Zygmund operators and the relationship with a problem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2008, no. 6, Art. ID rmm161, 11 pp.
- [11] A. K. LERNER, S. OMBROSI, AND C. PÉREZ, *A_1 Bounds for Calderón-Zygmund operators related to a problem of Muckenhoupt and Wheeden*, Math. Res. Lett. **16** no. 1, (2009), 149–156.
- [12] M. LORENTE, J. M. MARTELL, C. PÉREZ AND M. S. RIVEROS, *Generalized Hörmander condition and weighted endpoint estimates*, Studia Math. **195** no 2, (2009), 157–192.
- [13] F. J. MARTÍN-REYES, *New proofs of weighted inequalities for the one-sided Hardy-Littlewood functions*, Proceedings for the American Mathematical Society, **117**, (1993), 691–698.
- [14] F. J. MARTÍN-REYES, P. ORTEGA AND A. DE LA TORRE, *Weighted inequalities for one-sided maximal functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **319**, (1990), 517–534.
- [15] F. J. MARTÍN-REYES, L. PICK AND A. DE LA TORRE, *A_∞^+ Condition*, Can. J. Math. **45** no 6, (1993), 1231–1244.
- [16] F. J. MARTÍN-REYES AND A. DE LA TORRE, *Two weight norm inequalities for fractional one-sided maximal operators*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society. **117**, (1993), 483–489.

- [17] F. J. MARTÍN-REYES AND A. DE LA TORRE, *Sharp weighted bounds for one-sided maximal operators*, to appear in *Collectanea Mathematica*, 2015.
- [18] B. MUCKENHOUP-T, R. WHEEDEN, *Personal communication to C. Pérez*.
- [19] F. NAZAROV, A. REZNIKOV, V. VASYUNIN, A. VOLBERG, *Weak norm estimates of weighted singular operators and Bellman functions*, Preprint, http://sashavolberg.files.wordpress.com/2010/11/a11_71oghilb11_21_2010.pdf.
- [20] C. PÉREZ, *Weighted norm inequalities for singular integral operators*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **49**, (1994), 296–308.
- [21] C. PÉREZ, *Personal communication*.
- [22] M. C. REGUERA, *On Muckenhoupt-Wheeden conjecture*, *Adv. Math.* **227**, No. 4, (2011), 1436–1450.
- [23] M. C. REGUERA AND C. THIELE, *The Hilbert transform does not map $L^1(Mw)$ to $L^{1,\infty}(w)$* , *Math. Res. Lett.* **19** (2012), no. 1, 1–7.
- [24] M. S. RIVEROS AND A. DE LA TORRE, *On the best ranges for A_p^+ and RH_p^+* , *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, **51** (126), (2001), 285–301.
- [25] E. SAWYER, *Weighted inequalities for the one-sided maximal Hardy Littlewood maximal functions*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **297**, (1986), 53–61.
- [26] E. STEIN AND G. WEISS, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press., (1975).

(Received October 29, 2014)

María Silvina Riveros
Facultad de Matemática Astronomía y Física
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
CIEM (CONICET) 5000 Córdoba, Argentina
e-mail: sriveros@famaf.unc.edu.ar

Raúl Emilio Vidal
Facultad de Matemática Astronomía y Física
Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
CIEM (CONICET) 5000 Córdoba, Argentina
e-mail: rauloemilio@gmail.com