

## A NOTE ON VECTOR-VALUED MAXIMAL MULTILINEAR OPERATORS AND THEIR COMMUTATORS

ZENGYAN SI AND QINGYING XUE

(Communicated by L. Pick)

*Abstract.* Let  $T^*$  be the maximal multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator with kernels of Dini's type and  $T_q^*(\vec{f})$  be the vector-valued version of  $T^*$ . In this paper, we consider the weighted norm inequalities for  $T_q^*(\vec{f})$ . As applications, the weighted strong type and weighted end-point weak type estimates for the commutators of  $T_q^*(\vec{f})$  were established respectively.

### 1. Introduction

Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators were introduced and first studied by Coifman and Meyer [3], [4], [5], and later on by Grafakos and Torres [10], [13] for the theory of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with standard kernels. Recently, there are a number of studies concerning multilinear singular integrals which possess rough associated kernels so that they do not belong to the standard Calderón-Zygmund classes, see, for example [1, 8, 14, 18, 22]. In 2009, Maldonado and Naibo [18] established the weighted norm inequalities, with the Muckenhoupt weights, for the bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators of type  $\omega(t)$ , and applied them to the study of para-products and bilinear pseudodifferential operators with mild regularity. In 2014, Lu, Zhang [16] studied the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators of type  $\omega(t)$  and their commutators, and gave some applications to the para-products and the bilinear pseudodifferential operators with mild regularity. In this paper, we study the weighted boundedness of vector-valued maximal multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators of type  $\omega(t)$  and the weighted norm inequalities for the commutators of vector-valued maximal multilinear operators are established.

Following [16], we say that  $T$  is a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator with kernel of type  $\omega(t)$ , denoted by  $m$ -linear  $\omega$ -CZO, if  $T$  can be extended to a bounded multilinear operator from  $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^{q_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{q,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $1 < q, q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$  with  $\frac{1}{q_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{1}{q}$ , or from  $L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \cdots \times L^{q_m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$  with  $\frac{1}{q_1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q_m} = 1$ , and if there exists a function  $K$  defined off the diagonal  $x = y_1 = \cdots = y_m$  in  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$ , satisfying

$$T\vec{f}(x) = T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) f_1(y_1) \dots f_m(y_m) dy_1 \dots dy_m, \quad (1.1)$$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 42B20, 42B25.

*Keywords and phrases:* Maximal multilinear operators, multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, commutators, vector-valued inequalities.

for all  $x \notin \bigcap_{j=1}^m \text{supp} f_j$ , and  $f_j \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$  and if there exists a constant  $A > 0$  such that

$$|K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m)| \leq \frac{A}{(|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|)^{mn}}$$

for all  $(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^{m+1}$  with  $x \neq y_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & |K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) - K(x', y_1, \dots, y_m)| \\ & \leq \frac{A}{(|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|)^{mn}} \omega \left( \frac{|x - x'|}{|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

whenever  $|x - x'| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |x - y_j|$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} & |K(x, y_1, \dots, y_j, \dots, y_m) - K(x, y_1, \dots, y'_j, \dots, y_m)| \\ & \leq \frac{A}{(|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|)^{mn}} \omega \left( \frac{|y_j - y'_j|}{|x - y_1| + \dots + |x - y_m|} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

whenever  $|y_j - y'_j| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |x - y_j|$ .

The maximal multilinear singular integral operator is defined by

$$T^*(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{\delta > 0} |T_\delta(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)|,$$

where  $T_\delta$  are the smooth truncations of  $T$  given by

$$T_\delta(\vec{f})(x) = \int_{\sum_{i=1}^m |x - y_i|^2 > \delta^2} K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) f_1(y_1) \cdots f_m(y_m) d\vec{y}.$$

Here and in the following,  $d\vec{y} = dy_1 \cdots dy_m$ .

The vector-valued multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operator  $T_q$  and vector-valued maximal multilinear operator  $T_q^*$  associated with the operator  $T$  are defined and studied by Grafakos and Martell in [11].

$$\begin{aligned} T_q(\vec{f})(x) &= |T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)|_q = \|T(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)\|_{l^q} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty |T(f_{1k}, \dots, f_{mk})(x)|^q \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_q^*(\vec{f})(x) &= |T^*(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)|_q = \|T^*(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)\|_{l^q} \\ &= \left( \sum_{k=1}^\infty |T^*(f_{1k}, \dots, f_{mk})(x)|^q \right)^{1/q}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_i = \{f_{ik}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Grafakos and Martell [11] obtain the following results.

**THEOREM A.** ([11]) *Let  $T$  be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, and let  $1/m < p < \infty$ ,  $1/p = 1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m$  with  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ ,  $1/m < q < \infty$  and  $1/q = 1/q_1 + \dots + 1/q_m$  with  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|T_q^*(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Cruz-Uribe, Martell and Pérez [6] obtain a weak version of Theorem A as follows:

**THEOREM B.** ([6]) *Let  $T$  be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, and let  $1/m \leq p < \infty$ ,  $1/p = 1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m$  with  $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ ,  $1/m < q < \infty$  and  $1/q = 1/q_1 + \dots + 1/q_m$  with  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|T_q^*(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{q_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Given a collection of locally integrable functions  $\vec{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_l)$ , where  $1 \leq l \leq m$ . The commutators associated with  $T$  and  $T^*$  are defined by

$$T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^m} \prod_{j=1}^l [b_j(x) - b_j(y_j)] K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(y_j) dy_j.$$

$$T_{\Pi\vec{b}}^*(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \left| \int_{\sum_{i=1}^m |x - y_i|^2 > \delta^2} \prod_{j=1}^l [b_j(x) - b_j(y_j)] K(x, y_1, \dots, y_m) \prod_{j=1}^m f_j(y_j) dy_j \right|.$$

The commutators associated with vector-valued  $T_q$  and  $T_q^*$  can be defined by

$$T_{\Pi\vec{b},q}(\vec{f})(x) = |T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f})(x)|_q = \|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)\|_{l^q} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |T_{\Pi\vec{b}}(\vec{f}_k)(x)|^q \right)^{1/q},$$

$$T_{\Pi\vec{b},q}^*(\vec{f})(x) = |T_{\Pi\vec{b}}^*(\vec{f})(x)|_q = \|T_{\Pi\vec{b}}^*(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x)\|_{l^q} = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |T_{\Pi\vec{b}}^*(\vec{f}_k)(x)|^q \right)^{1/q},$$

where  $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ , with  $f_j = \{f_{jk}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ .

There are a number of studies concerning multilinear singular integrals with standard kernels. The theory of weighted maximal multilinear Calderón-Zygmund type operators was established in [13], [2]. Xue [26] studied the weighted strong type and end-point estimates for  $T_{\Pi\vec{b}}^*$  with multiple weights. Recently, the weighted strong type and end-point estimates for  $T_{\Pi\vec{b},q}^*$  with multiple weights were obtained by Si and Xue [23] as follows:

**THEOREM C.** ([23]) *Let  $T$  be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, and let  $1/m < p < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ , with  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ ,  $1/m < q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{q_1} +$*

$\dots + \frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{1}{q}$  with  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$ . If  $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{p}}$ ,  $v_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i^{\frac{p_i}{p}}$ , and  $\vec{b} \in (BMO)^l$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|T_{\Pi\vec{b},q}^*(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^l \|b_j\|_{BMO} \prod_{j=1}^m \| |f_j|_{q_j} \|_{L^{p_j}(w_j)}.$$

**THEOREM D.** ([23]) *Let  $T$  be a multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, and let  $1/m < q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{1}{q}$  with  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$ . If  $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(1,\dots,1)}$  and  $\vec{b} \in (BMO)^l$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $\vec{b}$  such that*

$$v_{\vec{\omega}} \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_{\Pi\vec{b},q}^*(\vec{f})(x) > t^m \right\} \right) \leq C \left( \prod_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi^{(m)} \left( \frac{|f_j|_{q_j}(y_j)}{t} \right) \omega_j(y_j) dy_j \right)^{1/m},$$

where  $\Phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$  and  $\Phi^{(m)} = \overbrace{\Phi \circ \dots \circ \Phi}^m$ .

Throughout this paper, we always assume that  $\omega(t) : [0, \infty) \mapsto [0, \infty)$  is a nondecreasing function with  $0 < \omega(1) < \infty$ . For any  $a > 0$ , we say that  $\omega \in \text{Dini}(a)$ , if

$$|\omega|_{\text{Dini}(a)} = \int_0^1 \frac{\omega^a(t)}{t} dt < \infty.$$

In this paper, we will establish some weighted norm inequalities for vector-valued maximal multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators of type  $\omega(t)$  and its commutators. Our main results are as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -linear  $\omega$ -CZO with  $\omega \in \text{Dini}(1)$ , and let  $1/m < p < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$  with  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ ,  $1/m < q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m}$  with  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$ . Suppose that  $(\omega_1^{p_1}, \dots, \omega_m^{p_m}) \in (A_{p_1}, \dots, A_{p_m})$ , then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|T_q^*(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\omega_1^{p_1} \dots \omega_m^{p_m})} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \| |f_j|_{q_j} \|_{L^{p_j}(\omega_j^{p_j})}.$$

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -linear  $\omega$ -CZO with  $\omega \in \text{Dini}(1)$ , and let  $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ ,  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$  and  $0 < p, q < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ ,  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m}$ . Then, we have*

(i) *If  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$  and  $\omega \in A_{p_1} \cap \dots \cap A_{p_m}$ , then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|T_q^*(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \| |f_j|_{q_j} \|_{L^{p_j}(\omega)}.$$

(ii) *If at least one  $p_j = 1$  and  $\omega \in A_1$ , then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|T_q^*(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p,\infty}(\omega)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \| |f_j|_{q_j} \|_{L^{p_j}(\omega)}.$$

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -linear  $\omega$ -CZO, and let  $1/m < p < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$  with  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ ,  $1/m < q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{1}{q}$  with  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$ . If  $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{p}}$ ,  $\vec{b} \in (BMO)^l$  and  $\omega$  satisfies*

$$|\omega|_{\text{Dini}_{L \log L^l}(\rho)} = \int_0^1 \frac{\omega^\rho(t)}{t} \left(1 + \log^l \frac{1}{t}\right) dt < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

where  $\rho = \min\{1, q\}$ , then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|T_{\vec{\Pi}\vec{b},q}^*(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^l \|b_j\|_{BMO} \prod_{j=1}^m \| |f_j|_{q_j} \|_{L^{p_j}(w_j)}.$$

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -linear  $\omega$ -CZO, and  $1/m < q < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{1}{q}$  with  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$ . If  $\vec{\omega} \in A_{(1,\dots,1)}$ ,  $\vec{b} \in (BMO)^l$  and  $\omega$  satisfies the condition (1.4), then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending on  $\vec{b}$  such that*

$$v_{\vec{\omega}} \left( \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : T_{\vec{\Pi}\vec{b},q}^*(\vec{f})(x) > t^m \right\} \right) \leq C \left( \prod_{j=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi^{(m)} \left( \frac{|f_j|_{q_j}(y_j)}{t} \right) \omega_j(y_j) dy_j \right)^{1/m},$$

where  $\Phi(t) = t(1 + \log^+ t)$  and  $\Phi^{(m)} = \overbrace{\Phi \circ \dots \circ \Phi}^m$ .

REMARK 1. Theorem 1–Theorem 4 are also hold for  $T$ .

### 2. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

Let us begin with the definition of Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, that is

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y)| dy.$$

The sharp maximal function is defined by

$$M^\sharp f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \inf_c \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - c| dy \approx \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - f_Q| dy.$$

For  $\delta > 0$ ,  $M_\delta f = M(|f|^\delta)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$  and  $M^\sharp_\delta f = M^\sharp(|f|^\delta)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}$ .

The new maximal function  $\mathcal{M}$  can be defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j(y_j)| dy_j$$

and the new vector valued maximal function can be defined by

$$\mathcal{M}(|\vec{f}|_q)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j(y_j)|_{q_j} dy_j.$$

DEFINITION 1. [15] Let  $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$  with  $1/p = 1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m$ . Given  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m)$ , set  $v_{\vec{\omega}} = \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i^{p/p_i}$ . We say that  $\vec{\omega}$  satisfies the  $A_{\vec{p}}$  condition if

$$\sup_Q \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \prod_{i=1}^m \omega_i^{p/p_i} \right)^{1/p} \prod_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega_i^{1-p'_i} \right)^{1/p'_i} < \infty,$$

when  $p_i = 1$ ,  $\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \omega_i^{1-p'_i} \right)^{1/p'_i}$  is understood as  $(\inf_Q \omega_i)^{-1}$ .

We now give a new weighted estimate of  $T^*$ .

THEOREM 5. Let  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$  and  $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{p}}$ . Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -linear  $\omega$ -CZO with  $\omega \in \text{Dini}(1)$ .

(1) If  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ , then

$$\|T^* \vec{f}\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)}.$$

(2) If  $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$ , then

$$\|T^* \vec{f}\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{L^{p_i}(\omega_i)}.$$

To prove Theorem 5 we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -linear  $\omega$ -CZO with  $\omega \in \text{Dini}(1)$ . Then, for any  $\eta > 0$ , there is a constant  $C < \infty$  depending on  $\eta$  such that for all  $\vec{f}$  in any product of  $L^{q_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  spaces, with  $1 \leq q_j < \infty$ , the following inequality hold for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$T^*(\vec{f})(x) \leq C \left( M_{\eta}(T(\vec{f}))(x) + \mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) \right).$$

*Proof.* For a fixed point  $x$  and a ball  $Q$  centered at  $x$  with radius  $\delta$ . Set  $S_{\delta} = \{\vec{y} : \sup_{1 \leq j \leq m} |x - y_j| \leq \delta\}$  and  $U_{\delta} = \{\vec{y} \in S_{\delta} : \sum_{i=1}^m |x - y_i|^2 > \delta^2\}$ . It is clear that

$$\left| T^*(\vec{f})(x) \right| \leq \sup_{\delta > 0} \left| \int_{U_{\delta}} K(x, \vec{y}) \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(y_i) dy_i \right| + \sup_{\delta > 0} \left| \int_{(S_{\delta})^c} K(x, \vec{y}) \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(y_i) dy_i \right|.$$

By using the size condition, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{U_{\delta}} K(x, \vec{y}) \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(y_i) dy_i \right| &\leq C \left| \int_{U_{\delta}} \frac{A}{(\sum_{i=1}^m |y_i - x|)^{mn}} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(y_i) dy_i \right| \\ &\leq C \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{\delta^n} \int_{Q(x, \delta)} f_i(y_i) dy_i \\ &\leq C \mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x). \end{aligned}$$

We are ready to estimate the second term. For any  $z \in Q(x, \frac{\delta}{2})$ , we have

$$\tilde{T}_\delta(\vec{f})(z) = \int_{(S_\delta)^c} K(z, \vec{y}) \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(y_i) dy_i = T(\vec{f})(z) - T(\vec{f}^0)(z),$$

where  $\vec{f}^0 = (f_1 \chi_Q, \dots, f_m \chi_Q)$ .

Note that the integral  $\int_{(S_\delta)^c} |K(z, \vec{y}) - K(x, \vec{y})| \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(y_i) dy_i$  can be written as a sum of integrals over sets  $R_{\mathcal{J}} \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^m$  for some  $\mathcal{J} := \{i_1, \dots, i_l\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\}$ , such that for  $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in R_{\mathcal{J}}$  we have  $i \in \mathcal{J}$  if and only if  $|x - y_i| \leq \delta$ . On  $S_\delta^c$  the set  $\mathcal{J}^c$  is not empty, by using a similar argument as in [16] we obtain that  $\omega\left(\frac{|z-x|}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}^c} |z-y_i|}\right) \leq \omega(2^{-k})$ . Consequently, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \tilde{T}_\delta(\vec{f})(x) - \tilde{T}_\delta(\vec{f})(z) \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{(S_\delta)^c} |K(z, \vec{y}) - K(x, \vec{y})| \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(y_i) dy_i \\ & \leq C \sum_{\vec{y} \in R_{\mathcal{J}}} \int_{Q(x, \delta)^l} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}} |f_i^0(y_i) dy_i| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{(\mathcal{Q}_k)^{m-l}} \frac{A}{(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}^c} |z - y_i|)^{m\alpha}} \omega\left(\frac{|z-x|}{\sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}^c} |z - y_i|}\right) \\ & \quad \times \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}^c} |f_i^\infty(y_i)| dy_i \\ & \leq C \sum_{\vec{y} \in R_{\mathcal{J}}} \int_{Q(x, \delta)^l} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}} |f_i^0(y_i) dy_i| \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{(\mathcal{Q}_k)^{m-l}} \frac{\omega(2^{-k})}{|2^k Q|^m} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}^c} |f_i^\infty(y_i)| dy_i \\ & \leq C \sum_{\vec{y} \in R_{\mathcal{J}}} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \omega(2^{-k}) \frac{1}{|2^k Q|^m} \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}} \int_{Q(x, \delta)} |f_i^0(y_i) dy_i| \prod_{i \in \mathcal{J}^c} \int_{2^k Q} |f_i^\infty(y_i)| dy_i \\ & \leq C |\omega|_{\text{Dini}(1)} \mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}_k = (2^k Q) \setminus (2^{k-1} Q)$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  and in the second inequality, we used the smooth condition (1.2).

Thus, we obtain

$$\left| \int_{(S_\delta)^c} K(x, \vec{y}) \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(y_i) dy_i \right| \leq C \mathcal{M}(\vec{f})(x) + \left| T(\vec{f})(z) \right| + \left| T(\vec{f}^0)(z) \right|.$$

The rest of the proof is the same as in [13], we are done.  $\square$

LEMMA 2. ([7]) *If  $\omega \in A_p$  and  $p > 1$ , then  $M$  maps from  $L^p(\omega)$  to  $L^p(\omega)$ .*

LEMMA 3. ([2]) *If  $\omega \in A_p$  and  $p \geq 1$ , then  $M$  maps from  $L^{p,\infty}(\omega)$  to  $L^{p,\infty}(\omega)$ .*

LEMMA 4. ([16]) *Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -linear  $\omega$ -CZO with  $\omega \in \text{Dini}(1)$ . Let  $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$  with  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$  and  $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{p}}$ .*

(1) If  $1 < p_j < \infty$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , then

$$\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\omega_j)}.$$

(2) If  $1 \leq p_j < \infty$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and at least one of the  $p_j = 1$ , then

$$\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\omega_j)}.$$

LEMMA 5. ([15]) Let  $\vec{p} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$  with  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$  and  $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m$ .

(1) If  $1 < p_j < \infty$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\omega_1) \times \dots \times L^{p_m}(\omega_m)$  to  $L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})$  if and only if  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m) \in A_{\vec{p}}$ .

(2) If  $1 \leq p_j < \infty$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , then  $\mathcal{M}$  is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\omega_1) \times \dots \times L^{p_m}(\omega_m)$  to  $L^{p,\infty}(v_{\vec{\omega}})$  if and only if  $\vec{\omega} = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m) \in A_{\vec{p}}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 5.* Theorem 5 follows by using Lemma 1–Lemma 5.

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^*(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} &\leq C \left( \|M_{\eta}(T(\vec{f}))\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} + \|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \right) \\ &= C \left( \|M(|T(\vec{f})|^{\eta})\|_{L^{\frac{p}{\eta}}(v_{\vec{\omega}})}^{\frac{1}{\eta}} + \|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \| |T(\vec{f})|^{\eta} \|_{L^{\frac{p}{\eta}}(v_{\vec{\omega}})}^{\frac{1}{\eta}} + \|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \right) \\ &\leq C \left( \|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} + \|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(v_{\vec{\omega}})} \right) \\ &\leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\omega_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first inequality we used Lemma 1. The second inequality is due to Lemma 2 and the fact  $v_{\vec{\omega}} \in A_{mp}$  for all  $\vec{\omega} \in A_{\vec{p}}$ . The last inequality is follows by Lemma 4 and Lemma 5. If we use Lemma 3 instead of using Lemma 2, by using the same arguments, we can get the weak type estimates.  $\square$

Note that if each  $\omega_j \in A_{p_j}$ , then  $\prod_{j=1}^m A_{p_j} \subset A_{\vec{p}}$  and this inclusion is strict (see [15] for details). This fact together with Theorem 5 and Lemma 4 yields the following weighted estimates.

LEMMA 6. Let  $T$  be an  $m$ -linear  $\omega$ -CZO with  $\omega \in \text{Dini}(1)$ . Consider an  $m$ -tuple  $(\omega_1^{p_1}, \dots, \omega_m^{p_m}) \in (A_{p_1}, \dots, A_{p_m})$ , where  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{m} < p < \infty$  satisfy  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ . Then there exists a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|T(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\omega_1^{p_1} \dots \omega_m^{p_m})} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\omega_j^{p_j})},$$



and

$$\|T^*(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\omega_1^{p_1} \cdots \omega_m^{p_m})} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\omega_j^{p_j})}.$$

LEMMA 7. ([11]) *Let  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{m} < q < \infty$  be fixed indices such that  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{q_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{q_m}$ . If for all functions  $f_j \in L^{q_j}(\omega_j^{q_j})$  and  $(\omega_1^{q_1}, \dots, \omega_m^{q_m}) \in (A_{q_1}, \dots, A_{q_m})$ , the following estimate holds*

$$\|\mathcal{T}(\vec{f})\|_{L^q(\omega_1^q \cdots \omega_m^q)} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{q_j}(\omega_j^{q_j})}.$$

Then for  $1 < p_1, \dots, p_m < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{m} < p < \infty$  with  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m}$ , and  $1 < s_1, \dots, s_m < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{m} < s < \infty$  with  $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{s_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{s_m}$ , and all  $(\omega_1^{p_1}, \dots, \omega_m^{p_m}) \in (A_{p_1}, \dots, A_{p_m})$ , the following inequality holds

$$\left\| \left( \sum_k |\mathcal{T}(f_{1k}, \dots, f_{mk})|^s \right)^{\frac{1}{s}} \right\|_{L^p(\omega_1^{p_1} \cdots \omega_m^{p_m})} \leq C \prod_{j=1}^m \left\| \left( \sum_k |f_{jk}|^{s_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{s_j}} \right\|_{L^{p_j}(\omega_j^{p_j})}.$$

*Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.* As a consequence of Lemma 6 and Lemma 7, we obtain Theorem 1 (see the proof of Corollary 3 in [11]). For any weight  $\omega \in A_\infty$  and  $p \in [\frac{1}{m}, \infty)$ , from Theorem 6.2 [16] we know that for all bounded functions  $\vec{f} = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$  with compact support, we have  $\|T\vec{f}\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|\mathcal{M}(\vec{f})\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|\prod_{j=1}^m M(f_j)\|_{L^p(\omega)}$ . Similarly, we obtain that  $\|T^*\vec{f}\|_{L^p(\omega)} \leq C \|\prod_{j=1}^m M(f_j)\|_{L^p(\omega)}$ . Then, Theorem 2 follows by a repetition of the same steps as in Corollary 3.3 in [6]. In fact, we apply Theorem 2.1 in [6] to the families

$$\mathcal{F} \left( T(f_1, \dots, f_m), \prod_{j=1}^m Mf_j \right), \quad \mathcal{F} \left( T^*(f_1, \dots, f_m), \prod_{j=1}^m Mf_j \right).$$

Hölder’s inequality and the normal inequalities for the maximal operator yield the desired results.  $\square$

### 3. Proofs of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4

Proofs of Theorem 3 and 4 follows from similar steps in [23], we omit the proof. We just give two key lemmas.

For  $1 \leq l \leq m$ , we define  $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}^l(|\vec{f}|_q)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}^l(|\vec{f}|_q)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^l \| |f_j|_{q_j} \|_{L(\log L), Q} \prod_{j=l+1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j|_{q_j},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}(|\vec{f}|_q)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^m \| |f_j|_{q_j} \|_{L(\log L), Q},$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}(|\vec{f}|_q)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j|_{q_j},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the cubes containing  $x$ .

LEMMA 8. *Let  $0 < \delta < 1/m$ ,  $1/m < q < \infty$  and  $1/q = 1/q_1 + \dots + 1/q_m$  with  $1 < q_1, \dots, q_m < \infty$ . If  $\omega$  satisfies the condition (1.4), then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$M_\delta^\#(T_q^*(\vec{f}))(x) \leq C \mathcal{M}(|\vec{f}|_q)(x)$$

for any smooth vector function  $\{\vec{f}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $Q$  be a cube containing  $x$  with side length  $l(Q)$ . For any smooth vector function sequence  $\{\vec{f}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ , set  $\vec{f}_k^\infty = \vec{f}_k - \vec{f}_k^0$ , where  $\vec{f}_k^0 = \vec{f}_k \chi_{8Q} = (f_{1k} \chi_{8Q}, \dots, f_{mk} \chi_{8Q})$ . Since  $0 < \delta < 1/2 < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| |\mathcal{W}_q^*(\vec{f})(y)|^\delta - |c|^\delta \right| dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ & \leq C \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| \mathcal{W}_q^*(\vec{f}^0)(y) \right|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} + \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| \sup_\eta |\mathcal{W}_\eta(\vec{f} \chi_{(8Q)^c})(y) - c_\eta| \right|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ & = U_1 + U_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c = \left| \sup_{\eta > 0} c_\eta \right|_q = \left( \sum_{k \geq 1} \left| \sup_{\eta > 0} c_{\eta k} \right|^q \right)^{1/q}$ .

For  $U_1$ , we applying Kolmogorov’s inequality and Theorem 2 to get

$$\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| \mathcal{W}_q^*(\vec{f}^0)(y) \right|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \leq C \mathcal{M}(|\vec{f}|_q)(x).$$

To estimate  $U_2$ , we choose  $c_\eta = \sum_{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \neq \vec{0}} c_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{\alpha}}$ , where  $c_{\vec{\alpha}}^{\vec{\alpha}} = \mathcal{W}_\eta(f_{1k}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, f_{mk}^{\alpha_m})(x)$ . We may split  $U_2 \leq \sum_{\vec{\alpha}, \vec{\alpha} \neq \vec{0}} U_{2\vec{\alpha}}$ , where

$$U_{2\vec{\alpha}} = \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sup_\eta \left| \mathcal{W}_\eta(f_{1k}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, f_{mk}^{\alpha_m})(y) - \mathcal{W}_\eta(f_{1k}^{\alpha_1}, \dots, f_{mk}^{\alpha_m})(x) \right|^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}}.$$

We now consider the case  $\vec{\alpha} = (\infty, \dots, \infty)$ . For  $x, y \in Q$  and  $y_j \in 2^{s+3}Q \setminus 2^{s+2}Q$ , we have  $|y - y_j| \geq 2^s \sqrt{n}l(Q)$  and  $|y - x| \leq \sqrt{n}l(Q)$ , and  $|K(y, \vec{y}) - K(x, \vec{y})| \leq \frac{C\omega(2^{-s})}{|2^s Q|^m}$ .

Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(y) - \mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(x)| \\ & \leq C \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 8Q)^m} |K(y, \vec{y}) - K(x, \vec{y})| \prod_{j=1}^m |f_{jk}^\infty(y_j)| d\vec{y} \\ & \leq C \sum_{s=1}^\infty \int_{(2^{s+3}Q)^m \setminus (2^{s+2}Q)^m} \frac{A}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |z - y_j|\right)^{mn}} \omega\left(\frac{|y-x|}{\sum_{j=1}^m |z - y_j|}\right) \prod_{j=1}^m |f_{jk}^\infty(y_j)| d\vec{y} \\ & \leq C \sum_{s=1}^\infty \omega(2^{-s}) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2^{(s+3)n}|Q|} \int_{2^{s+3}Q} |f_{jk}^\infty(y_j)| dy_j \\ & = C \sum_{s=1}^\infty \omega(2^{-s}) G_{sk}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_{s,jk} = \frac{1}{2^{(s+3)n}|Q|} \int_{2^{s+3}Q} |f_{jk}^\infty(y_j)| dy_j$  and  $G_{sk} = \prod_{j=1}^m g_{s,jk}$ . Then, we get

$$|\mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(y) - \mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(x)|_q \leq C \left( \sum_{s=1}^\infty \omega^p(2^{-s}) \sum_k G_{sk}^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

By Minkowski’s inequality and Hölder’s inequality, we obtain that

$$|\mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(y) - \mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(x)|_q \leq C |\omega|_{\text{Dini}_{L \log L}(\rho)} \mathcal{M}(|\vec{f}|_q)(x).$$

Since  $0 < \delta < 1/2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sup_\eta |\mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(y) - \mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(x)|_q^\delta dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\ & \leq C \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sup_\eta |\mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(y) - \mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{mk}^\infty)(x)|_q dy \\ & \leq C |\omega|_{\text{Dini}_{L \log L}(\rho)} \mathcal{M}(|\vec{f}|_q)(x). \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate the typical term  $I_{\vec{\alpha}}$  with  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m)$ ,  $\alpha_i = 0$  or  $\infty$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . We may assume that  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_l = \infty$  and  $\alpha_{l+1} = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$ . For  $x, y \in Q$  and any  $y_j \in 2^{s+3}Q \setminus 2^{s+2}Q$  with  $j \neq l+1, \dots, m$ , one has  $|z - y_j| \geq 2^s \sqrt{n}l(Q)$ , then  $|K(z, \vec{y}) - K(x, \vec{y})| \leq \frac{C\omega(2^{-s})}{|2^s Q|^m}$ . We observe the following fact

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{lk}^\infty, f_{(l+1)k}^0 \cdots f_{(m)k}^0)(y) - \mathcal{U}_\eta(f_{1k}^\infty, \dots, f_{lk}^\infty, f_{(l+1)k}^0 \cdots f_{(m)k}^0)(x)| \\ & \leq C \prod_{j=l+1}^m \int_{8Q} |f_{jk}^\infty(y_j)| dy_j \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus 8Q)^l} \frac{\omega\left(\frac{|y-x|}{\sum_{j=1}^m |z - y_j|}\right)}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |z - y_j|\right)^{ml}} \prod_{i=1}^l |f_{ik}^\infty(y_i)| dy_1 \cdots dy_l \\ & \leq C \sum_{s=1}^\infty \omega(2^{-s}) \prod_{j=l+1}^m \int_{2^{s+3}Q} |f_{jk}^\infty(y_j)| dy_j \frac{1}{|2^{(s+3)Q}|^m} \int_{(2^{s+3}Q)^l} \prod_{j=1}^l |f_{jk}^\infty(y_j)| dy_j \\ & \leq C \sum_{s=1}^\infty \omega(2^{-s}) \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{2^{(s+3)n}|Q|} \int_{2^{s+3}Q} |f_{jk}^\infty(y_j)| dy_j. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is the same as in [23], hence we proved Lemma 8.  $\square$

LEMMA 9. Let  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < 1/m$ . If  $\omega$  satisfies the condition (1.4), then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  depending only on  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_\delta^\#(T_{\Pi b, q}^* \vec{f})(x) &\leq C \prod_{j=1}^l \|b_j\|_{BMO} \left( \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}^l(|\vec{f}|_q)(x) + M_\varepsilon(T_q^* \vec{f})(x) \right) \\
 &+ C \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_j^l} \prod_{i \in \sigma} \|b_i\|_{BMO} M_\varepsilon(T_{\Pi b_{\sigma', q}}^* \vec{f})(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

for any smooth vector function  $\{\vec{f}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  and for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\sigma' = \{1, \dots, l\} \setminus \sigma$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this part is similar to that of Lemma 2.3 in [23], we just give the part of the proof that is different. We apply Kolmogorov’s estimate and Theorem 2 to get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left( \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left| \mathcal{W}_q^*((b_1(\cdot) - \lambda_1) \cdots (b_l(\cdot) - \lambda_l) \vec{f}^0)(z) \right|^\delta dz \right)^{1/\delta} \\
 &\leq C \|\mathcal{W}_q^*((b_1(\cdot) - \lambda_1) \cdots (b_l(\cdot) - \lambda_l) \vec{f}^0)\|_{L^{1/m, \infty}(Q, \frac{dz}{|Q|})} \\
 &\leq C \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |b_j(z) - \lambda_j| |f_j(z)|_{q_j} dz \prod_{j=l+1}^m \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j(z)|_{q_j} dz \\
 &\leq C \prod_{j=1}^l \|b_j\|_{BMO} \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}^l(|\vec{f}|_q)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

If all the  $\alpha_j = \infty$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{\eta > 0} \left| (\mathcal{W}_\eta((b_1(\cdot) - \lambda_1) \cdots (b_l(\cdot) - \lambda_l) \vec{f}^\alpha))(z) - (\mathcal{W}_\eta((b_1(\cdot) - \lambda_1) \cdots (b_l(\cdot) - \lambda_l) \vec{f}^\alpha))(x) \right|_q \\
 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{(\mathcal{Q}_k)^m} |K(z, \vec{y}) - K(x, \vec{y})| |(b_1(y_1) - \lambda_1) \cdots (b_l(y_l) - \lambda_l)| |f_1(y_1)|_{q_1} \cdots |f_m(y_m)|_{q_m} d\vec{y} \\
 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty \omega(2^{-k}) \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{1}{2^{(k+3)n}|Q|} \int_{2^{k+3}Q} |b_j(y_j) - \lambda_j| |f_j|_{q_j} dy_j \prod_{j=l+1}^m \frac{1}{2^{(k+3)n}|Q|} \int_{2^{k+3}Q} |f_j|_{q_j} dy_j \\
 &\leq C \sum_{k=1}^\infty \omega(2^{-k}) k^l \prod_{j=1}^l \|b_j\|_{BMO} \|f_j\|_{q_j} \|L(\log L)_{2^{k+3}Q}\| \prod_{j=l+1}^m \frac{1}{2^{(k+3)n}|Q|} \int_{2^{k+3}Q} |f_j|_{q_j} dy_j \\
 &\leq C |\omega|_{\text{Dini}_{L \log L}(\rho)} \prod_{j=1}^l \|b_j\|_{BMO} \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}^l(|\vec{f}|_q)(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the last inequality we used the fact that  $\omega$  satisfies the condition (1.4).

If  $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_l = \infty$  and  $\alpha_{l+1} = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$ . Minkowski's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\eta > 0} \left| \mathcal{W}_\eta((b_1(\cdot) - \lambda_1) \cdots (b_l(\cdot) - \lambda_l) f_1^\infty, \dots, f_i^\infty, f_{i+1}^0, \dots, f_m^0)(z) \right. \\ & \left. - \mathcal{W}_\eta((b_1(\cdot) - \lambda_1) \cdots (b_l(\cdot) - \lambda_l) f_1^\infty, \dots, f_i^\infty, f_{i+1}^0, \dots, f_m^0)(x) \right|_q \\ & \leq C \int_{(\mathbb{Q}_k)^l} \frac{A|(b_1(y_1) - \lambda_1) \cdots (b_l(y_l) - \lambda_l)| |f_1(y_1)|_{q_1} \cdots |f_l(y_l)|_{q_l} dy_1 \cdots dy_l}{(|z - y_1| + \cdots + |z - y_m|)^{mn}} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{j=l+1}^m \int_{8Q} |f_j(y_j)|_{q_j} dy_j \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\omega(2^{-k})}{(2^k |Q|^{\frac{1}{n}})^{mn}} \prod_{j=1}^l \int_{2^{k+3}Q} |b_j(y_j) - \lambda_j| |f_j(y_j)|_{q_j} dy_j \prod_{j=l+1}^m \int_{2^{k+3}Q} |f_j(y_j)|_{q_j} dy_j \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=1}^m \omega(2^{-k}) k^l \prod_{j=1}^l \|b_j\|_{BMO} \| |f_j|_{q_j} \|_{L(\log L), 2^{k+3}Q} \prod_{j=l+1}^m \frac{1}{2^{(k+3)n} |Q|} \int_{2^{k+3}Q} |f_j|_{q_j} dy_j \\ & \leq C |\omega|_{\text{Dini}_{L \log L}(\rho)} \prod_{j=1}^l \|b_j\|_{BMO} \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)}^l(|\vec{f}|_q)(x). \end{aligned}$$

In other cases, the estimates is similar. Then we proved Lemma 9.  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* The authors would also like to thank the referee for his/her valuable comments.

The first author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11401175 and No. 11501169). The second author was supported partly by NSFC (No. 11471041), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. 2014kJCA10) and NCET-13-0065.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. A. BUI, X. T. DUONG, *Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear operators and applications to multilinear Fourier multipliers*, Bull. Sci. Math. **137** (2013), 63–75.
- [2] X. CHEN, *Weighted estimates for maximal operator of multilinear singular intergral*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **58** (2010), 129–135.
- [3] R. R. COIFMAN AND Y. MEYER, *On commutators of singular integrals and bilinear singular integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **212** (1975), 315–331.
- [4] R. R. COIFMAN AND Y. MEYER, *Commutateurs d'intégrales singulières et opérateurs multilinéaires*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble **28** (1978), 177–202.
- [5] R. R. COIFMAN AND Y. MEYER, *Au-delà des opérateurs pseudo-différentiels*, Asterisque 57, 1978.
- [6] D. CRUZ-URIBE, J. M. MARTELL AND C. PÉREZ, *Extrapolation from  $A_\infty$  weights and applications*, J. Func. Anal. **213** (2004), 412–439.
- [7] J. DUOANDIKOETXEA, *Fourier Analysis*, Graduate Studies in Math. 29, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 2001.
- [8] X. T. DUONG, L. GRAFAKOS, L. YAN, *Multilinear operators with non-smooth kernels and commutators of singular integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 2089–2113.
- [9] C. FEFFERMAN AND E. STEIN, *Some maximal inequalities*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 107–115.

- [10] L. GRAFAKOS AND R. TORRES, *Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*, Adv. Math. **165** (2002), 124–164.
- [11] L. GRAFAKOS AND J. M. MARTELL, *Extrapolation of weighted norm inequalities for multivariable operators*, J. Geom. Anal. **14** (2004), 19–46.
- [12] L. GRAFAKOS, L. LIU AND D. YANG, *Multiple weighted norm inequalities for maximal multilinear singular integrals with non-smooth kernels*, Proc. Roy. Soc. of Edinburgh Sect. A **141** (2011), 755–775.
- [13] L. GRAFAKOS, R. H. TORRES, *Maximal operator and weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integrals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **51** (2002), 1261–1276.
- [14] G. HU, C.-C. LIN, *Weighted norm inequalities for multilinear singular integral operators and applications*, Anal. Appl. **12** (2014), 269–291.
- [15] A. K. LERNER, S. OMBROSI, C. PÉREZ, R. H. TORRES AND R. TRUJILLO-GONZÁLEZ, *New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), 1222–1264.
- [16] G. LU, P. ZHANG, *Multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators with kernels of Dini's type and applications*, Nonlinear Anal. **107** (2014), 92–117.
- [17] B. MOUKENHOUP, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207–226.
- [18] D. MALDONADO, V. NAIBO, *Weighted norm inequalities for paraproducts and bilinear pseudodifferential operators with mild regularity*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **15** (2009), 218–261.
- [19] C. PÉREZ AND R. H. TORRES, *Sharp maximal function estimates for multilinear singular integrals*, Harmonic Analysis at Mount Holyoke, Contemporary Mathematics **320** (2003), 323–331.
- [20] C. PÉREZ AND R. TRUJILLO-GONZÁLEZ, *Sharp weighted estimates for multilinear commutators*, J. London Math. Soc. **65** (2002), 672–692.
- [21] C. PÉREZ, G. PRADOLINI, R. H. TORRES AND R. TRUJILLO-GONZÁLEZ, *End-point estimates for iterated commutators of multilinear singular integrals*, to appear in Journal of London Math. Soc..
- [22] C. PÉREZ AND R. H. TORRES, *Minimal regularity conditions for the end-point estimate of bilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B **1** (2014), 1–13.
- [23] Z. SI AND Q. XUE, *Weighted estimates for commutators of vector-valued maximal multilinear operators*, Nonlinear Anal. **96** (2014) 96–108.
- [24] Z. SI AND Q. XUE, *Weighted estimates for vector-valued of commutators multilinear operators*, Taiwanese J. Math. **17** (2013), 661–674.
- [25] L. TANG, *Weighted estimates for vector-valued commutators of multilinear operators*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh **138A** (2008), 897–922.
- [26] Q. XUE, *Weighted estimates for the iterated commutators of multilinear maximal and fractional type operators*, Studia Math **217** (2013), 97–122.

(Received April 1, 2015)

Zengyan Si  
 School of Mathematics and Information Science  
 Henan Polytechnic University  
 Jiaozuo 454000, People's Republic of China  
 e-mail: zengyan@hpu.edu.cn

Qingying Xue  
 School of Mathematical Sciences  
 Beijing Normal University  
 Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems  
 Ministry of Education  
 Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China  
 e-mail: qyxue@bnu.edu.cn