

## ALMOST EVERYWHERE CONVERGENCE OF DYADIC TRIANGULAR–FEJÉR MEANS OF TWO–DIMENSIONAL WALSH–FOURIER SERIES

GYÖRGY GÁT AND USHANGI GOGINAVA

(Communicated by T. Erdélyi)

*Abstract.* It is proved that the maximal operators of the dyadic triangular-Fejér means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series is of weak type  $(1,1)$ . Moreover, the dyadic triangular-Fejér means of the function  $f \in L_1$  converge almost everywhere to  $f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 1. Introduction

Lebesgue's [13] theorem is well known for trigonometric Fourier series: the Fejér means  $\sigma_n f$  of  $f$  converge to  $f$  almost everywhere if  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\mathbb{T} := [-\pi, \pi)$  (see also Zygmund [26]).

An analogous result for Walsh–Fourier series is due to Fine [1]. Later, Schipp [16] showed that the maximal operator  $\sigma^*$  of the Fejér means of the one-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series is of weak type  $(1,1)$ , from which the a.e. convergence follows by standard arguments. Schipp's result implies by interpolation also the boundedness of  $\sigma^* : L_p(G) \rightarrow L_p(G)$ , where  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . This fails to hold for  $p = 1$ , but Fujii [2] proved that  $\sigma^*$  is bounded from the dyadic Hardy space  $H_1(G)$  to the space  $L_1(G)$  (see also Simon [18]). Fujii's theorem was extended by Weisz [20]. Namely, he proved that  $\sigma^*$  is bounded from the martingale Hardy space  $H_p(G)$  to the space  $L_p(G)$  for  $p > 1/2$ . Simon [19] gave a counterexample, which shows that this boundedness does not hold for  $0 < p < 1/2$ . In the endpoint case  $p = 1/2$ , Weisz [22] proved that  $\sigma^*$  is bounded from the Hardy space  $H_{1/2}(G)$  to the space  $\text{weak-}L_{1/2}(G)$ . Goginava proved in [8, 9] that the maximal operator of the Fejér means of the one dimensional Walsh–Fourier series is not bounded from the Hardy space  $H_{1/2}(G)$  to the space  $L_{1/2}(G)$ .

Marcinkiewicz [14] verified for two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series that the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means

$$\sigma_n^\square f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_j^\square(f)$$

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 42C10.

*Keywords and phrases:* Two-dimensional Walsh system, triangular means, Hardy spaces, almost everywhere summability.

Research was supported by project TÁMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0051 and by Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant DI/9/5-100/13 (Function spaces, weighted inequalities for integral operators and problems of summability of Fourier series).

of a function  $f \in L \log L(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$  converge a.e. to  $f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $S_j^\square(f)$  denotes the quadratical partial sums of the Fourier series of  $f$ . Later, Zhizhiashvili [24, 25] extended this result to all  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$ .

An analogous result for two-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series is due to Weisz [21]. Moreover, he proved that the maximal operator  $\sigma_*^\square f = \sup_{n \geq 1} |\sigma_n^\square f|$  is bounded from the dyadic martingale Hardy space  $H_p(G \times G)$  to the space  $L_p(G \times G)$  for  $p > 2/3$ . The second author [11, 8, 9] proved that the maximal operator  $\sigma_*^\square$  is bounded from  $H_{2/3}(G \times G)$  to weak  $-L_{2/3}(G \times G)$  and is not bounded from  $H_{2/3}(G \times G)$  to  $L_{2/3}(G \times G)$ . The first author gave [5] the following necessary and sufficient condition for a.e. convergence of generalized Marcinkiewicz means of two-dimensional integrable functions. Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^2$  be a function. Define the following Marcinkiewicz-like means:

$$\sigma_n^{\square, \alpha} f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{\alpha(|n|, j)}^\square(f).$$

The following properties will play a prominent role in the a.e. convergence behavior of  $\sigma_n^{\square, \alpha}$ . ( $\#B$  denotes the cardinality of set  $B$  and  $|n|$  is the integer part of  $\log_2 n$ .) Let  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  denote the set of positive integers.

$$\#\{l \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha_j(|n|, l) = \alpha_j(|n|, k), l < n\} \leq C \quad (k < n, n \in \mathbb{P}, j = 1, 2), \tag{1}$$

$$\max\{\alpha_j(|n|, k) : k < n\} \leq Cn \quad (n \in \mathbb{P}, j = 1, 2). \tag{2}$$

Conditions (1) and (2) are necessary and sufficient in the following sense. In [5] the first author proved: Let  $\alpha$  satisfy (1) and (2). Then we have the a.e. convergence

$$\sigma_n^{\square, \alpha} f \rightarrow f$$

for every  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ . It is clear that Condition (1) is necessary, and so does Condition (2) in the following sense (see also [5]). Let  $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be any function with property  $\gamma(+\infty) = +\infty$ . Then there exists a function  $\alpha$  satisfying (1) and

$$\max\{\alpha_1(|n|, k) : k < n\} \leq Cn, \max\{\alpha_2(|n|, k) : k < n\} \leq Cn\gamma(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{P})$$

and  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$  such that  $\limsup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\sigma_n^{\square, \alpha} f| = +\infty$  almost everywhere.

Weisz [23] studied the triangular partial sums and the Fejér means

$$\sigma_n^\Delta f = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_j^\Delta f$$

of the two-dimensional trigonometric Fourier series. This summability method is rarely investigated in the literature (see the references in [23]). In [12] Goginava and Weisz proved that the maximal operator  $\sigma_\#^\Delta := \sup_n \left| \sigma_{2^n}^\Delta f \right|$  of the Fejér means of the triangular partial sums of the double Walsh–Fourier series is bounded from the dyadic Hardy

space  $H_p(G \times G)$  to the  $L_p(G \times G)$  if  $p > 1/2$ , is bounded from  $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$  to the space weak-  $L_{1/2}(G \times G)$  and it is not bounded from  $H_{1/2}(G \times G)$  to  $L_{1/2}(G \times G)$ .

For triangular partial sums of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series it is well-known [17] the operators  $S_{2^A}^\Delta$  are not uniformly bounded on  $L_p$  for  $1 \leq p \neq 2$ .

In [6] Gát and Goginava proved that the operators  $\sigma_n^\Delta$  of the triangular-Fejér-means of a two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series are uniformly bounded from the dyadic Hardy space  $H_p$  to  $L_p$  for all  $4/5 < p \leq \infty$ .

In this paper we prove that the maximal operators of the dyadic triangular-Fejér means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series is of weak type (1,1). Moreover, the dyadic triangular-Fejér means of the function  $f \in L_1$  converge almost everywhere to  $f$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The results for summability of quadratical partial sums of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series can be found in [7, 10, 3, 4, 5].

### 2. Definitions and the notation

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  denote the set of positive integers,  $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$ . Denote by  $Z_2$  the discrete cyclic group of order 2, that is  $Z_2 = \{0, 1\}$ , where the group operation is the modulo 2 addition and every subset is open. A Haar measure on  $Z_2$  is given such that the measure of a singleton is  $1/2$ . Let  $G$  be the complete direct product of the countable infinite copies of the compact groups  $Z_2$ . The elements of  $G$  are of the form  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$  with  $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). The group operation on  $G$  is the coordinate-wise addition, the measure (denoted by  $\mu$ ) and the topology are the product measure and topology. The compact Abelian group  $G$  is called the Walsh group. A base for the neighborhoods of  $G$  can be given by

$$I_n(x) := I_n(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\},$$

where  $I_0(x) := G$  and  $x \in G, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . These sets are called the dyadic intervals. Let  $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in G$  denote the null element of  $G$ ,  $I_n := I_n(0)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),  $\bar{I}_n := G \setminus I_n$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \in G$  denote

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k} \quad (x \in G, k \in \mathbb{N})$$

the  $k$ -th Rademacher function. If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $n = \sum_{i=0}^\infty n_i 2^i$ , where  $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ), i.e.  $n$  is expressed in the number system of base 2. Denote  $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$ , that is,  $2^{|n|} \leq n < 2^{|n|+1}$ . Set

$$n_{(s)} := \sum_{k=0}^s n_k 2^k, n^{(s)} := \sum_{k=s}^\infty n_k 2^k.$$

Define the dyadic addition of natural numbers  $n$  and  $j$  as

$$n \oplus j := \sum_{k=0}^\infty |n_k - j_k|.$$

Also use the notation  $n \wedge j = \min\{n, j\}$ . The Walsh–Paley system is defined as the sequence of Walsh–Paley functions:

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|} n_k x_k} \quad (x \in G, \quad n \in \mathbb{P}).$$

The Walsh–Dirichlet kernel is defined by

$$D_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k(x), \quad D_0(x) = 0.$$

Recall that ([17])

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \bar{I}_n, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

$$D_n(x) = w_n(x) \left( \sum_{r=0}^{i-1} n_r 2^r - n_i 2^i \right) \text{ for } x \in J_i := I_i \setminus I_{i+1}.$$

In this paper we consider the double system  $\{w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2) : i, j \in \mathbb{N}\}$  on  $G \times G$ .

The rectangular partial sums of the 2-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series are defined as

$$S_{M,N}f(x^1, x^2) := \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \widehat{f}(i, j) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2), \tag{4}$$

where the number

$$\widehat{f}(i, j) = \int_{G \times G} f(x^1, x^2) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2)$$

is said to be the  $(i, j)$ th Walsh–Fourier coefficient of the function  $f$ . Denote

$$S_M^\square f(x^1, x^2) := S_{M,M}f(x^1, x^2).$$

The triangular partial sums of the 2-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series are defined as

$$S_k^\Delta f(x^1, x^2) := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-i-1} \widehat{f}(i, j) w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2).$$

Denote

$$D_k^\square(x^1, x^2) := D_k(x^1) D_k(x^2)$$

and

$$D_k^\Delta(x^1, x^2) := \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-i-1} w_i(x^1) w_j(x^2).$$

The norm (or the quasinorm) of the space  $L_p(G \times G)$  is defined by

$$\|f\|_p := \left( \int_{G \times G} |f(x^1, x^2)|^p d\mu(x^1, x^2) \right)^{1/p} \quad (0 < p \leq \infty).$$

The space weak- $L_p(G \times G)$  consists of all measurable functions  $f$  for which

$$\|f\|_{\text{weak-}L_p(G \times G)} := \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \mu(|f| > \lambda)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{P}$  and a function  $f$  the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means and triangular Fejér means of order  $n$  of the 2-dimensional Walsh–Fourier series of a function  $f$  is given by

$$\sigma_n^\square f(x^1, x^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_j^\square f(x^1, x^2)$$

and

$$\sigma_n^\triangle f(x^1, x^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_j^\triangle f(x^1, x^2),$$

respectively. It is easy to show that

$$\sigma_n^\square f(x^1, x^2) = \int_{G \times G} f(u^1, u^2) K_n^\square(x^1 + u^1, x^2 + u^2) d\mu(u^1, u^2)$$

and

$$\sigma_n^\triangle f(x^1, x^2) = \int_{G \times G} f(u^1, u^2) K_n^\triangle(x^1 + u^1, x^2 + u^2) d\mu(u^1, u^2), \tag{5}$$

where

$$K_n^\square(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} D_j^\square(x^1, x^2)$$

and

$$K_n^\triangle(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} D_j^\triangle(x^1, x^2).$$

It is known that (see [6])

$$K_n^\triangle(x^1, x^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_{n-i}(x^1) D_i(x^2). \tag{6}$$

Consequently, from (4) and (6) we can write

$$\sigma_n^\triangle f(x^1, x^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{n-i,i} f(x^1, x^2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{i,n-i} f(x^1, x^2). \tag{7}$$

In ([12]) it is proved that the following is true.

**THEOREM GW.** (Goginava, Weisz) *Let  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} S_{i,2^n-i} f(x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2) \text{ a. e. } (x^1, x^2) \in G \times G \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In [5, Corollary 3] it is proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM G.** (Gát) *Let  $a : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a lacunary sequence. That is,  $a_{n+1}/a_n \geq q > 1$  for some  $q$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Besides, let  $\alpha_j : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  satisfy the following two conditions ( $j = 1, 2$ ).*

$$\#\{l \in \mathbb{N} : \alpha_j(n, l) = \alpha_j(n, k), l < a_n\} \leq C, \max\{\alpha_j(n, k) : k < a_n\} \leq Ca_n,$$

( $j = 1, 2$ ). Then for every  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$  we have

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^{a_n-1} S_{\alpha_1(n,i), \alpha_2(n,i)} f(x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2)$$

for a.e.  $(x^1, x^2) \in G \times G$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $\alpha_1(n, i) = i$  and  $\alpha_2(n, i) = a_n - i$ , then we have the relation

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \sum_{i=1}^{a_n-1} S_{i, a_n-i} f(x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2) \text{ a. e. } (x^1, x^2) \in G \times G \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We note that in the case of trigonometric system Weisz [23] proved that for  $f \in L_1(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T})$  the almost everywhere convergence  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{i, n-i} f \rightarrow f$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) holds. This issue with respect to the Walsh system is still open.

In this paper we introduce notion of dyadic triangular-Fejér means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series as follows

$$\check{\sigma}_n^\Delta f(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{i, n \oplus i} f(x^1, x^2).$$

It is easy to show that

$$\check{\sigma}_n^\Delta f(x^1, x^2) = \int_{G \times G} f(u^1, u^2) \check{K}_n^\Delta(x^1 + u^1, x^2 + u^2) d\mu(u^1, u^2),$$

where

$$\check{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D_k(x^1) D_{n \oplus k}(x^2).$$

The maximal operator of dyadic triangular-Fejér means of the two dimensional Walsh-Fourier series is defined as follows

$$\check{\sigma}_*^\Delta f := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{P}} \left| \check{\sigma}_n^\Delta f \right|$$

The notation  $a \lesssim b$  in the proofs stands for  $a < c \cdot b$ , where  $c$  is an absolute constant.

We study the weak type inequality for the maximal operator of the dyadic triangular-Fejér means of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. In particular, the following is true.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ . Then*

$$\left\| \dot{\sigma}_*^\Delta f \right\|_{\text{weak-}L_1} \lesssim \|f\|_1.$$

The weak type (1,1) inequality and the usual density argument of Marcinkiewicz and Zygmund [15] and the fact that  $\dot{\sigma}_n^\Delta P \rightarrow P$  everywhere for each two-dimensional Walsh polynomial (which will be proved later) imply

COROLLARY 1. *Let  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ . Then*

$$\dot{\sigma}_n^\Delta f(x^1, x^2) \rightarrow f(x^1, x^2) \text{ a.e. as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof.* Denote by  $\overline{I_a \times I_a}$  the complement of the set  $I_a \times I_a$  and let  $J_k = I_k \setminus I_{k+1}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). First we prove that for any  $a \in \mathbb{P}$  the following inequality is true

$$\int_{\overline{I_a \times I_a}} \sup_{n \geq 2^{a-1}} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \lesssim 1. \tag{8}$$

Indeed, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{I_a \times I_a}} \sup_{n \geq 2^{a-1}} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \tag{9} \\ & \lesssim \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{n \geq 2^{a-1}} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\ & \quad + \sum_{t^2=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^1=t^2}^{\infty} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{n \geq 2^{a-1}} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\ & := R_1 + R_2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $2^A \leq n < 2^{A+1}$  we can write

$$n\dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) = \sum_{s=0}^A n_s \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) D_{n^{\oplus(n^{(s+1)+k})}}(x^2)$$

and since for  $|n| = A$  that is, for  $2^A \leq n < 2^{A+1}$  it holds  $n \geq 2^A$ , thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_1 &\lesssim \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{A \geq a-1} \sup_{n:|n|=A} \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{s=0}^A \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times D_{n \oplus (n^{(s+1)+k})}(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{n:|n|=A} \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{s=0}^A \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times D_{n \oplus (n^{(s+1)+k})}(x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{n:|n|=A} \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{s=0}^{t^1-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times D_{n \oplus (n^{(s+1)+k})}(x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{n:|n|=A} \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{s=t^1}^{t^2 \wedge A} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times D_{n \oplus (n^{(s+1)+k})}(x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{n:|n|=A} \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{s=t^2+1}^A \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times D_{n \oplus (n^{(s+1)+k})}(x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
 &= R_{11} + R_{12} + R_{13}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

Recall that  $s$  goes from 0 to  $A$  and therefore one can find in  $R_{12}$  that  $s$  goes to  $t^2 \wedge A$ . Remark that for  $k < 2^s$  we have

$$n \oplus (n^{(s+1)} + k) = n_{(s)} \oplus k.$$

Since

$$\left| D_{n_{(s)} \oplus k}(x^2) \right| \leq n_{(s)} \oplus k \lesssim 2^s$$

and

$$\left| D_{n^{(s+1)} \oplus k}(x^1) \right| \lesssim 2^{t^1}, x^1 \in J_{t^1}$$



for  $R_{11}$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{11} &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{n:|n|=A} \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{s=0}^{t^1-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times D_{n^{(s)} \oplus k}(x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{s=0}^{t^1-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} 2^{t^1+s} \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \frac{2^{3t^1}}{2^{t^1+t^2+a}} \lesssim 1.
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

From (3) we have ( $x^2 \in J_{t^2}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n^{(s)} \oplus k}(x^2) &= w_{n^{(s)} \oplus k}(x^2) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{t^2-1} (n \oplus k)_i 2^i - (n \oplus k)_{t^2} \wedge 2^{t^2} \right) \\
 &= w_{n^{(s)} \oplus k}(x^2) \left( \sum_{i=0}^{t^2-1} |n_i - k_i| 2^i - |n_{t^2} - k_{t^2}| 2^{t^2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for  $x^1 \in J_{t^1}$  and  $s \geq t^1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_{n^{(s+1)} \oplus k}(x^1) &= w_{n^{(s+1)} \oplus k}(x^1) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{t^1-1} k_j 2^j - k_{t^1} 2^{t^1} \right) \\
 &= w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^1) D_k(x^1), k < 2^s.
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for  $R_{13}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_{13} &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{A-1} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{n:|n|=A} \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{s=t^2+1}^A \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_k(x^1) w_k(x^2) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \left( \sum_{i=0}^{t^2-1} |n_i - k_i| 2^i - |n_{t^2} - k_{t^2}| 2^{t^2} \right) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\
 &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{t^2} \sum_{i=0}^{t^2} \int_{J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}} \sup_{n:|n|=A} \frac{1}{2^A} \sum_{s=t^2+1}^A \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_k(x^1) w_k(x^2) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times |n_i - k_i| 2^i \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned}
 D &:= \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_k(x^1) w_k(x^2) |n_i - k_i| 2^i \\
 &= \sum_{k_0=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{k_{s-1}=0}^1 D_k(x^1) w_k(x^2) |n_i - k_i| 2^i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $i \leq t^1$  and  $x_{t^1+l}^1 = 1$  for some  $l = 1, 2, \dots, t^2 - t^1 - 1$ . Then it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \sum_{k_0=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{k_{s-1}=0}^1 w_{k^{(t^1)}}(x^1) \left( \sum_{j=0}^{t^1-1} k_j 2^j - k_{t^1} 2^{t^1} \right) w_{k^{(t^2)}}(x^2) |n_i - k_i| 2^i \\ &= \sum_{k_{t^1+l}=0}^1 (-1)^{k_{t^1+l}} \Phi = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(x^1, x^2) \in J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}$  and the function  $\Phi$  does not depend on  $k_{t^1+l}$ . Let  $x_{t^2+l}^1 \neq x_{t^2+l}^2$  for some  $l = 1, 2, \dots, s - t^2 - 1$ . Then for  $(x^1, x^2) \in J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}$  analogously we can write

$$D = \sum_{k_{t^2+l}=0}^1 (-1)^{k_{t^2+l}} \Phi_1 = 0,$$

where the function  $\Phi_1$  does not depend on  $k_{t^2+l}$ .

Consequently,  $D \neq 0$  imply that  $(i \leq t^1)$

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t^1+1}^1 &= \cdots = x_{t^2-1}^1 = 0; \\ x_{t^2+1}^1 &= x_{t^2+1}^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1 = x_{s-1}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Let  $t^1 < i < t^2$ . Then analogously, we can prove that  $D \neq 0$  imply that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t^1}^1 &= \cdots = x_{i-1}^1 = x_{i+1}^1 = \cdots = x_{t^2-1}^1 = 0; \\ x_{t^2+1}^1 &= x_{t^2+1}^1, \dots, x_{s-1}^1 = x_{s-1}^2. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Since

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_k(x^1) w_k(x^2) |n_i - k_i| 2^i \right| \lesssim 2^{s+t^1+i} \quad (x^1 \in J_{t^1})$$

from (12) and (13) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} R_{13} &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{A-1} \sum_{i=0}^{t^2} \sum_{n_i=0}^1 \sum_{s=t^2+1}^A \frac{2^{s+t^1+i}}{2^A} \frac{2^{s-t^2}}{2^{2^s}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{A-1} \sum_{s=t^2+1}^A 2^{t^1-A} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{A-1} 2^{t^1-A} (A - t^2) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} 2^{t^1-A} (A - t^1)^2 \\ &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \frac{(A-a)^2}{2^{A-a}} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Let  $t^1 \leq s < t^2$ . This gives

$$D_{n_{(s)} \oplus k}(x^2) = n_{(s)} \oplus k = \sum_{j=0}^s |n_j - k_j| 2^j, x^2 \in J_{t^2}$$

and

$$D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) = w_{n^{(s+1)}}(x^1) D_k(x^1), x^1 \in J_{t^1}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) D_{n_{(s)} \oplus k}(x^2) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_k(x^1) D_{n_{(s)} \oplus k}(x^2) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{t^1-1} k_i 2^i - k_{t^1} 2^{t^1} \right) w_{k^{(t^1)}}(x^1) \sum_{j=0}^s |n_j - k_j| 2^j \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{j=0}^s \sum_{k_0=0}^1 \cdots \sum_{k_{s-1}=0}^1 \left( \sum_{i=0}^{t^1-1} k_i 2^i - k_{t^1} 2^{t^1} \right) w_{k^{(t^1)}}(x^1) |n_j - k_j| 2^j \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, as above we can prove that the inner sum can be different from zero only in the case when

$$x_{t^1+1}^1 = \cdots = x_{s-1}^1 = 0 \quad (x^1 \in J_{t^1}),$$

where index  $j$  is excluded from the list of  $t^1 + 1, \dots, s - 1$ . That is, the measure of the subset of  $J_{t^1} \times J_{t^2}$ , where this sum can be different from zero is bounded by  $c2^{-s-t^2}$ . Taking account  $x^1 \in J_{t^1}$  and  $n_{(s)} \oplus k \lesssim 2^s$  also give

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1} D_{n^{(s+1)+k}}(x^1) D_{n_{(s)} \oplus k}(x^2) \right| \lesssim 2^{2s+t^1}.$$

Consequently, for  $R_{12}$  we can write (recall that  $s$  is not greater than  $A$  as one can see the formula for  $n\check{K}_n^\Delta$  after the definition of  $R_1$ .)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{12} &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^{\infty} \sum_{s=t^1}^{t^2 \wedge A} \frac{1}{2^A} \frac{2^{2s+t^1}}{2^{s+t^2}} \tag{15} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^A \sum_{s=t^1}^{t^2} \frac{2^{s+t^1}}{2^{A+t^2}} + \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=A+1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^A \frac{2^{s+t^1}}{2^{A+t^2}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{t^2=t^1}^A 2^{t^1-A} + \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \sum_{s=A+1}^{\infty} 2^{t^1-t^2} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \frac{A-t^1}{2^{A-t^1}} + \sum_{A=a-1}^{\infty} \sum_{t^1=0}^{a-1} \frac{1}{2^{A-t^1}} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (12)–(15) we conclude that

$$R_1 \lesssim 1. \quad (16)$$

Analogously, we can prove that

$$R_2 \lesssim 1. \quad (17)$$

From (9), (16) and (17) we obtain the proof of (8).

In the sequel we prove that the maximal operator  $\dot{\sigma}_*^\Delta$  is quasi-local. This reads as follows:

Let  $f \in L_1(G \times G)$ ,  $\text{supp } f \subset I_a(u^1) \times I_a(u^2)$  and

$$\int_{I_a(u^1) \times I_a(u^2)} f(x^1, x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2) = 0$$

for some  $u = (u^1, u^2) \in G$ . Then

$$\int_{(G \times G) \setminus (I_a(u^1) \times I_a(u^2))} \dot{\sigma}_*^\Delta f(x^1, x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2) \lesssim \|f\|_1.$$

Indeed, by the shift invariance of the Haar measure it can be supposed that  $u^1 = u^2 = 0$ . If  $n < 2^{a-1}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\sigma}_n^\Delta f(x^1, x^2) &= \int_{G \times G} f(u^1, u^2) \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1 + u^1, x^2 + u^2) d\mu(u^1, u^2) \\ &= \int_{I_a \times I_a} f(u^1, u^2) \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1 + u^1, x^2 + u^2) d\mu(u^1, u^2) \\ &= \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) \int_{I_a \times I_a} f(u^1, u^2) d\mu(u^1, u^2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $n \geq 2^{a-1}$  can be supposed. Then by  $\overline{I_a \times I_a} = (\overline{I_a} \times G) \cup (G \times \overline{I_a})$  and from (8) we have

$$\int_{\overline{I_a} \times G} \sup_{n \geq 2^{a-1}} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x) \lesssim 1.$$

Since for any fixed  $(u^1, u^1) \in I_a \times I_a$  we have that  $(x^1 + u^1, x^2 + u^2)$  ranges over  $\overline{I_a} \times G$  as  $(x^1, x^2)$  ranges over  $\overline{I_a} \times G$  ( $x^1 \in \overline{I_a}, u^1 \in I_a$  implies  $x^1 + u^1 \in \overline{I_a}$ ), then we also have

$$\int_{\overline{I_a} \times G} \sup_{n \geq 2^{a-1}} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1 + u^1, x^2 + u^2) \right| d\mu(x) \lesssim 1.$$

Similarly,

$$\int_{G \times \overline{I_a}} \sup_{n \geq 2^{a-1}} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1 + u^1, x^2 + u^2) \right| d\mu(x) \lesssim 1.$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{I_a \times I_a} \dot{\sigma}_*^\Delta f(x^1, x^2) d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\ & \lesssim \int_{I_a \times I_a} |f(u^1, u^2)| \left( \int_{I_a \times I_a} \sup_{n \geq 2^{a-1}} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1 + u^1, x^2 + u^2) \right| d\mu(x) \right) d\mu(u) \\ & \lesssim \|f\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove that the maximal operator  $\dot{\sigma}_*^\Delta$  is of type  $(\infty, \infty)$ . Let  $2^{A-1} \leq n < 2^A$ . Since

$$|D_{k \oplus n}| \lesssim 2^A, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

from (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{G \times G} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\ & = \int_{I_A \times I_A} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) + \int_{I_A \times I_A} \left| \dot{K}_n^\Delta(x^1, x^2) \right| d\mu(x^1, x^2) \\ & \lesssim 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the maximal operator  $\dot{\sigma}_*^\Delta$  is of type  $(\infty, \infty)$ .

Theorem 1 is proved.  $\square$

Finally, we prove Corollary 1.

*Proof.* The only thing left to proof is the a.e. relation  $\dot{\sigma}_n^\Delta P \rightarrow P$  for each two-dimensional Walsh polynomial. We prove a bit more. That is, we prove everywhere convergence. Let  $P$  be a two-dimensional Walsh polynomial with

$$P(x^1, x^2) = \sum_{l_1=0}^{2^\tau-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{2^\tau-1} c_{l_1, l_2} w_{l_1}(x^1) w_{l_2}(x^2).$$

This gives that for any  $n_1, n_2 \geq 2^\tau$  we have  $S_n P = S_{n_1, n_2} P = P$ . Set

$$T_n^1 := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : j < 2^\tau\}, \quad T_n^2 := \{j \in \mathbb{N} : n \oplus j < 2^\tau\}.$$

If we suppose that  $n \geq 2^\tau$ , then one can find imeditely that  $T^1 \cap T^2 = \emptyset$ . Next, we prove the relation

$$\left| S_{j, n \oplus j} P(y) - P(y) \right| \leq 2^{\tau+1} \|P\|_\infty$$

for every  $y \in G \times G$  and  $j \in T_n := T_n^1 \cup T_n^2$ . Since  $T_n^1$  and  $T_n^2$  are disjoint, then either  $j \in T_n^1 \setminus T_n^2$  or  $j \in T_n^2 \setminus T_n^1$ . Since the two situations can be investigated in the same way

we can suppose the first one. That is,  $j < 2^\tau$  and  $n \oplus j \geq 2^\tau$ . This follows

$$\begin{aligned} S_{j,n\oplus j}P(y) &= \int_G D_j(y^1 + x^1) \int_G \sum_{l_1=0}^{2^\tau-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{2^\tau-1} c_{l_1,l_2} w_{l_1}(x^1) w_{l_2}(x^2) \\ &\quad \times D_{n\oplus j}(y^2 + x^2) d\mu(x^2) d\mu(x^1) \\ &= \int_G D_j(y^1 + x^1) \sum_{l_1=0}^{2^\tau-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{2^\tau-1} c_{l_1,l_2} w_{l_1}(x^1) w_{l_2}(y^2) d\mu(x^1) \\ &= \int_G D_j(y^1 + x^1) P(x^1, y^2) d\mu(x^1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\int_G D_j(y^1 + x^1) \mu(x^1) = 1$ , then we have

$$|S_{j,n\oplus j}P(y) - P(y)| = \left| \int_G D_j(y^1 + x^1) (P(x^1, y^2) - P(y^1, y^2)) d\mu(x^1) \right|.$$

This gives the relation for  $|S_{j,n\oplus j}P(y) - P(y)|$ . Since for  $j \notin T_n$  we have  $S_{j,n\oplus j}P(y) = P(y)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_{j,n\oplus j}P(y) - P(y) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |S_{j,n\oplus j}P(y) - P(y)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \in T_n} |S_{j,n\oplus j}P(y) - P(y)| + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \notin T_n} |S_{j,n\oplus j}P(y) - P(y)| \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \in T_n} |S_{j,n\oplus j}P(y) - P(y)| \leq \frac{\#T_n 2^{\tau+1} \|P\|_\infty}{n} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\#T_n = \#T_n^1 + \#T_n^2 \leq 2^{\tau+1}$ .  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* The authors would like to thank professor F. Schipp for rising these problems.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. FINE, *Cesàro summability of Walsh-Fourier series*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **41**, (1955), 588–591.
- [2] N. J. FUJII, *A maximal inequality for  $H^1$ -functions on a generalized Walsh-Paley group*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **77**, 1 (1979), 111–116.
- [3] G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA AND G. TKEBUCHAVA, *Convergence in measure of logarithmic means of quadratical partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **323**, 1 (2006), 535–549.
- [4] G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA AND K. NAGY, *On the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of double Fourier series with respect to the Walsh-Kaczmarz system*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., **46**, 3 (2009), 399–421.
- [5] G. GÁT, *On almost everywhere convergence and divergence of Marcinkiewicz-Like means of integrable functions with respect to the two-dimensional Walsh system*, Journal of Approx. Theory **164**, 1 (2012), 145–161.

- [6] G. GÁT, U. GOGINAVA, *Triangular Fejér Summability of Two-Dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*, Anal. Math. **40**, 2 (2014), 83–104.
- [7] U. GOGINAVA, *Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of  $d$ -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **307**, 1 (2005), 206–218.
- [8] U. GOGINAVA, *The maximal operator of Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the  $d$ -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*, East J. Approx., **12**, 3 (2006), 295–302.
- [9] U. GOGINAVA, *Maximal operators of  $(C, \alpha)$ -means of cubic partial sums of  $d$ -dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*, Anal. Math., **33**, 4 (2007), 263–286.
- [10] U. GOGINAVA, *The weak type inequality for the Walsh system*, Studia Math., **185**, 1 (2008), 35–48.
- [11] U. GOGINAVA, *The weak type inequality for the maximal operator of the Marcinkiewicz-Fejér means of the two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*, J. Approx. Theory, **154**, 2 (2008), 161–180.
- [12] U. GOGINAVA AND F. WEISZ, *Maximal operator of the Fejér means of triangular partial sums of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*, Georgian Math. J. **19**, 1 (2012), 101–115.
- [13] H. LEBESGUE, *Recherches sur la convergence des séries de Fourier*, Math. Ann., **61**, 2 (1905), 251–280.
- [14] J. MARCINKIEWICZ, *Sur une méthode remarquable de sommation des séries doubles de Fourier*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., **8**, 2 (1939), 149–160.
- [15] J. MARCINKIEWICZ AND A. ZYGMUND, *On the summability of double Fourier series*, Fund. Math., **32**, (1939), 122–132.
- [16] F. SCHIPP, *Über gewisse Maximaloperatoren*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eotvos Sect. Math. **18** (1975), 189–195 (1976).
- [17] F. SCHIPP, W. R. WADE, P. SIMON AND J. PÁL, *Walsh Series. An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger, Ltd., Bristol, 1990.
- [18] P. SIMON, *Investigations with respect to the Vilenkin system*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eotvos Sect. Math., **27**, (1984), 87–101 (1985).
- [19] P. SIMON, *Cesàro summability with respect to two-parameter Walsh systems*, Monatsh. Math. **131**, 4 (2000), 321–334.
- [20] F. WEISZ, *Cesàro summability of one- and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series*, Anal. Math., **22**, 3 (1996), 229–242.
- [21] F. WEISZ, *Convergence of double Walsh-Fourier series and Hardy spaces*, Approx. Theory Appl. (N.S.), **17**, 2 (2001), 32–44.
- [22] F. WEISZ, *Summability of Multi-Dimensional Fourier Series and Hardy Spaces*, Mathematics and its Applications, 541. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2002.
- [23] F. WEISZ, *Triangular Cesàro summability of two-dimensional Fourier series*, Acta Math. Hungar. **132**, 1–2 (2011), 27–41.
- [24] L. ZHIZHIASHVILI, *Generalization of certain theorem of Marcinkiewicz*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **32**, (1968), 1112–1122 (in Russian); translation in Math. USSR, Izv. **2**, (1968), 1065–1075.
- [25] L. ZHIZHIASHVILI, *Trigonometric Fourier Series and Their Conjugates*, Mathematics and its Applications, 372. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996.
- [26] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric Series*, Vol. I, II, Third edition. With a foreword by Robert A. Fefferman, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.

(Received November 30, 2014)

György Gát  
 Institute of Mathematics, University of Debrecen  
 H-4002 Debrecen Pf. 400, Hungary  
 e-mail: gat.gyorgy@science.unideb.hu

Ushangi Goginava  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences  
 Ivane Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University  
 Chavchavadze str. 1, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia  
 e-mail: zazagoginava@gmail.com