

A COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A QUESTION OF BAPAT & SUNDER

STEPHEN DRURY

(Communicated by J.-C. Bourin)

Abstract. The objective of this article is to provide a counterexample to a question of Bapat and Sunder concerning the relative magnitudes of the permanent of a positive semidefinite matrix and the largest eigenvalue of a related matrix. We also discuss the significance of this result in connection with the eigenvalues of the Schur matrix.

1. Introduction

The permanent of a $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{jk})$ is defined as the quantity

$$\text{per}(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{j=1}^n a_{j, \sigma(j)}.$$

It is an important concept useful in combinatorial applications. For a recent survey of permanent inequalities and open questions the reader is referred to [11] and the references therein.

Let A be a $n \times n$ positive semidefinite matrix. Denote by $A(i, j)$ the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix of A obtained by deleting the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of A . Now define a $n \times n$ matrix B by

$$b_{ij} = a_{ij} \text{per}(A(i, j)). \quad (1)$$

Then it is clear that B is again a positive semidefinite matrix and it follows from the Laplace expansion of the permanent that all its row and column sums are equal to $\text{per}(A)$. Thus, $\text{per}(A)$ is an eigenvalue of B and $\mathbb{1}$ is the corresponding eigenvector.

In [2, Conjecture 3], Bapat and Sunder raise the question of whether $\text{per}(A)$ is necessarily the largest eigenvalue of B . We provide a counterexample to this question.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 15A15.

Keywords and phrases: Permanent, Hadamard product, Oppenheim's inequality, permanent on top.

2. The counterexample

With $n = 8$, we take

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} -7 + 4i & 9 - 3i & -6 + 2i & 3 + 4i & 7 + 6i & 4 - 4i & i & 5 - 8i \\ 4 - 5i & 1 + 4i & -8 - 2i & -7 + 4i & 1 - 4i & 1 - 8i & 8 - 6i & 1 - 3i \end{pmatrix}$$

and set $A = X^*X$. Then we obtain

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 106 & -91 + 6i & 28 - 38i & -53 - 59i & -1 - 81i & -15i & 66 + 9i & -48 + 29i \\ -91 - 6i & 107 & -76 + 30i & 24 + 77i & 30 + 67i & 17 - 36i & -19 - 29i & 58 - 64i \\ 28 + 38i & -76 - 30i & 108 & 38 - 76i & -30 - 16i & -24 + 82i & -50 + 58i & -48 + 64i \\ -53 + 59i & 24 - 77i & 38 + 76i & 90 & 22 + 14i & -43 + 24i & -76 + 13i & -36 - 27i \\ -1 + 81i & 30 - 67i & -30 + 16i & 22 - 14i & 102 & 37 - 56i & 38 + 33i & -85i \\ 15i & 17 + 36i & -24 - 82i & -43 - 24i & 37 + 56i & 97 & 52 + 62i & 77 - 7i \\ 66 - 9i & -19 + 29i & -50 - 58i & -76 - 13i & 38 - 33i & 52 - 62i & 101 & 18 - 23i \\ -48 - 29i & 58 + 64i & -48 - 64i & -36 + 27i & 85i & 77 + 7i & 18 + 23i & 99 \end{pmatrix}$$

a rank two positive semidefinite matrix. Calculations show that

$$\text{per}(A) = 2977257622144118400$$

and that the largest eigenvalue of B exceeds 3028080150918724811.

This example was found using a hill-climbing computer search and the example found was rounded for easy presentation. The ratio $\lambda_1(B)/\text{per}(A)$ found in the search was approximately 1.01956. No example was found for $n = 7$.

3. The Schur matrix

For a positive semidefinite $n \times n$ matrix A , define the convolution operator $\Pi(A)$ on the symmetric group S_n by its matrix

$$\Pi(A)_{\sigma,\rho} = \prod_{j=1}^n a_{\sigma(j),\rho(j)}.$$

This (usually huge) matrix is known as the Schur matrix. As is well-known, $\Pi(A)$ is unitarily similar to a block diagonal matrix indexed by the set of irreducible representations of S_n . The diagonal block corresponding to the irreducible representation π is a matrix multiplication operator by a hermitian matrix $\widehat{\Pi(A)}(\pi)$. Thus, the eigenvalues of $\Pi(A)$ coming from the diagonal block corresponding to π are the eigenvalues of $\widehat{\Pi(A)}(\pi)$ each repeated d_π times where d_π is the dimension of π . The reader may consult [5] for the Fourier analysis of compact (and hence finite) groups.

The permanent on top conjecture was originally formulated by G. Soules in his Ph.D. dissertation 1966 [10] and published in [7]. It asks if the largest eigenvalue of $\Pi(A)$ is $\text{per}(A)$, namely the eigenvalue arising from the trivial representation. In 2016, Shchesnovich [9] presented an example of a 5×5 positive semidefinite matrix A and a unit column vector X indexed by S_5 such that $X^*\Pi(A)X > \text{per}(A)$ thereby demolishing Soule’s conjecture.

The irreducible representations of S_n are well-known to be in one-to-one correspondence with the Ferrers diagrams with n entries. Details can be found in [6, 8]. Shchesnovich does not identify in his paper the representation that is responsible for his counterexample, but calculations reveal that it is the Ferrers diagram $(3, 2)$ that has 3 entries in the first row and 2 in the second.

It is well-known that the representation $\sigma \mapsto P(\sigma)$, the representation that takes each permutation to its permutation matrix decomposes as the direct sum of the trivial representation (Ferrers diagram (n)) and the representation π_1 with Ferrers diagram $(n - 1, 1)$. We have, denoting ε the identity permutation,

$$\sum_{\rho \in S_n} \Pi(A)_{\rho, \varepsilon} P_{j,k}(\rho) = \sum_{\rho \in S_n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_{\rho i, i} \right) \delta_{j, \rho k} = a_{jk} \text{per}(A(j, k)) = b_{jk}.$$

It follows that the eigenvalues of B are $\text{per}(A)$ together with the eigenvalues of $\widehat{\Pi(A)}(\pi_1)$. Thus for $n = 8$ we have yet another counterexample to the permanent on top conjecture [9, 3, 4].

4. A new question

So, the relevant question is now:

QUESTION 1. *For a given n , which irreducible representations π of S_n have the property that the largest eigenvalue of $\widehat{\Pi(A)}(\pi)$ is bounded above by $\text{per}(A)$ for every positive definite $n \times n$ hermitian matrix A ?*

The branching rule is a rule that determines how a given representation of S_n decomposes when it is restricted to S_m , the subgroup of S_n of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ for $m < n$. It is a consequence of the branching rule [8, §2.8] that if the Ferrers diagram of π contains either of the Ferrers diagrams $(3, 2)$ or $(7, 1)$ then the representation does not have the property of Question 1. Here, we are using the word ‘contains’ in a very loose sense. A Ferrers diagram α contains another β if each row count of β is dominated by the corresponding row count of α . This means that β ‘fits inside’ α .

CONJECTURE 1. *A representation satisfies the property asked in the question if it contains neither of the Ferrers diagrams $(3, 2)$ or $(7, 1)$.*

Some other conjectures that we believe might be true and that do not appear in [11] are:

CONJECTURE 2. *If A is a real rank two correlation matrix (i.e. $a_{jk} = \cos(\theta_j - \theta_k)$ with the θ_j real) then $\text{per}(A \circ A) \leq \text{per}(A)$. Here \circ denotes the Hadamard (entry-wise) product.*

This is a special case of a question raised in [1].

CONJECTURE 3. *If A is a real positive semidefinite matrix then $\lambda_1(B) = \text{per}(A)$. Here B is defined by (1) and $\lambda_1(B)$ is its largest eigenvalue.*

REFERENCES

- [1] R. B. BAPAT, V. S. SUNDER, *On majorization and Schur products*, Linear Algebra Appl. **72** (1985), 107–117.
- [2] R. B. BAPAT, V. S. SUNDER, *An extremal property of the permanent and the determinant*, Linear Algebra Appl. **76** (1986), 153–163.
- [3] S. W. DRURY, *A Counterexample to a Question of Bapat and Sunder*, Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra **31** (2016), 69–70.
- [4] S. W. DRURY, *A real counterexample to two inequalities involving permanents*, Mathematical Inequalities and Applications **20** (2017), 349–352.
- [5] C. F. DUNKL, D. E. RAMIREZ, *Topics in harmonic analysis*, Appleton–Century–Crofts, New York, 1971.
- [6] G. D. JAMES, *The Representation Theory of Symmetric Groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 682, Springer–Verlag, New York, 1978.
- [7] H. MINC, *Theory of permanents 1978–1981*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **12** (1983), 227–263.
- [8] B. E. SAGAN, *The Symmetric Group*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, 1991.
- [9] V. S. SHCHESNOVICH, *The permanent-on-top conjecture is false*, Linear Algebra Appl. **490** (2016), 196–201.
- [10] G. SOULES, *Matrix functions and the Laplace expansion theorem*, Ph. D. Dissertation, University of California – Santa Barbara, July, 1966.
- [11] F. ZHANG, *An update on a few permanent conjectures*, Special Matrices **4** (2016), 305–316.

(Received September 11, 2017)

Stephen Drury
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
McGill University
Montreal, Canada H3A 0B9
e-mail: stephen.drury@mcgill.ca