

## TWO MAPPINGS IN CONNECTION TO FEJÉR INEQUALITY WITH APPLICATIONS

M. ROSTAMIAN DELAVAR AND S. S. DRAGOMIR

(Communicated by S. Varošanec)

*Abstract.* By the use of two  $h$ -convex mappings  $H_g$  and  $F_g$ , some results and refinements related to the  $h$ -convex version of Fejér inequality are established. Also some applications for obtained inequalities in connection with Beta function of Euler are given.

### 1. Introduction

The following integral inequalities

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(x)dx \leq \int_a^b f(x)g(x)dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2} \int_a^b g(x)dx, \quad (1)$$

where  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex and  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is integrable and symmetric to  $x = \frac{a+b}{2}$  ( $g(x) = g(a+b-x), \forall x \in [a, b]$ ), known in the literature as Fejér inequality, has been proved in 1906 by L. Fejér [8].

In 2006, the concept of  $h$ -convex functions related to the nonnegative real functions has been introduced in [16] by S. Varošanec, although it was not a complete generalization of the concept of convexity. The class of  $h$ -convex functions is including a large class of nonnegative functions such as nonnegative convex functions, Godunova-Levin functions [9],  $s$ -convex functions in the second sense [2] and P-functions [7].

**DEFINITION 1.** [16] Let  $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function such that  $h \not\equiv 0$ . We say that  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is a  $h$ -convex function, if for all  $x, y \in I, \lambda \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$f(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq h(\lambda)f(x) + h(1-\lambda)f(y). \quad (2)$$

Also the function  $h$  is said to be supermultiplicative if

$$h(xy) \geq h(x)h(y),$$

for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ .

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 26D15, 26A51, 52A01.

*Keywords and phrases:*  $h$ -convex function, Fejér inequality.

The Fejér inequality related to  $h$ -convex functions has been introduced in [1] by M. Bombardelli et al. as the following without the assumption that  $h$  is nonnegative.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $h$ -convex,  $w : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $w \geq 0$ , symmetric with respect to  $\frac{a+b}{2}$  with nonzero integral. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b w(t)dt &\leq \int_a^b f(t)w(t)dt \\ &\leq (b-a)[f(a) + f(b)] \int_0^1 h(t)w(ta + (1-t)b)dt. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

For other inequalities in connection to Fejér inequality see [1, 6, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15] and references therein.

In this paper, by the use of two  $h$ -convex mappings  $H_g$  (4) and  $F_g$  (13), we establish some inequalities and refinements related to the left part of (3). Also some applications for obtained results in connection with Beta function of Euler are given.

## 2. Main results

### 2.1. The mapping $H_g$

The mapping  $H_g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$H_g(t) := \int_a^b f\left(tu + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}\right)g(u)du, \tag{4}$$

has been introduced in [6] and some basic properties and applications related to the Fejér inequality in convex version have been obtained where symmetric function  $g$  enjoyed the density property on  $[a, b]$ , i.e.

$$\int_a^b g(u)du = 1.$$

This mapping reduces to  $H(t)$  in the classical case if we consider  $g(u) = \frac{1}{b-a}$  (see [5]).

The following theorem is  $h$ -convex version of Theorem 84 in [6] without density condition for  $g$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $h$ -convex function with  $h(\frac{1}{2}) > 0$  and  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a symmetric function, then:*

- (i)  $H_g$  is  $h$ -convex on  $[0, 1]$ .
- (ii) For  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$ ,

$$H_g(0) = f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(u)du \quad \text{and} \quad H_g(1) = \int_a^b f(u)g(u)du.$$

(iii) For any  $t \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(u) du \leq H_g(t), \quad (5)$$

and for any  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$H_g(t) \leq \left[ h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t) \right] \int_a^b f(u)g(u) du. \quad (6)$$

(iv) There exist bounds,

$$\inf_{t \in [0,1]} H_g(t) \geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})}, 1 \right\} H_g(0),$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} H_g(t) \leq \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \left[ h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t) \right], 1 \right\} H_g(1).$$

(v) If  $h$  is nonnegative and supermultiplicative, then for any  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$  with  $h(t_2) \neq 0$  we have

$$H_g(t_1) \leq \alpha H_g(t_2),$$

where  $\alpha = \frac{2h(\frac{1}{2})h(t_2-t_1)+h(t_1)}{h(t_2)}$ .

*Proof.* (i) It follows from  $h$ -convexity of  $f$  that

$$\begin{aligned} H_g(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) &= \int_a^b f\left(\left[\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2\right]u + \left[1 - \alpha t_1 - \beta t_2\right]\frac{a+b}{2}\right)g(u)du \\ &= \int_a^b f\left(\alpha\left[t_1u + (1-t_1)\frac{a+b}{2}\right] + \beta\left[t_2u + (1-t_2)\frac{a+b}{2}\right]\right)g(u)du \\ &\leq h(\alpha) \int_a^b f\left(t_1u + (1-t_1)\frac{a+b}{2}\right)g(u)du \\ &\quad + h(\beta) \int_a^b f\left(t_2u + (1-t_2)\frac{a+b}{2}\right)g(u)du \\ &= h(\alpha)H_g(t_1) + h(\beta)H_g(t_2), \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

(ii) It is obvious.

(iii) For inequality (5), consider the change of variable  $x = tu + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}$  ( $t > 0$ ) in (4). Then

$$H_g(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{ta+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}}^{tb+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}} f(x)g\left(\frac{x+(t-1)\frac{a+b}{2}}{t}\right) dx, \quad (7)$$

where

$$t = \frac{(tb + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}) - (ta + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2})}{b-a}.$$

On the other hand since  $g$  is symmetric to  $\frac{a+b}{2}$  and

$$\frac{a+b}{2} = \frac{(ta + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}) + (tb + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2})}{2},$$

then  $g$  remains symmetric on interval  $[ta + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}, tb + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}]$  and so from Theorem 5 in [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_{ta+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}}^{tb+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}} g\left(\frac{x+(t-1)\frac{a+b}{2}}{t}\right) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{ta+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2} + tb+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}}{2}\right) \\ & \quad \times \int_{ta+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}}^{tb+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}} g\left(\frac{x+(t-1)\frac{a+b}{2}}{t}\right) dx \\ & \leq \int_{ta+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}}^{tb+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}} f(x)g\left(\frac{x+(t-1)\frac{a+b}{2}}{t}\right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The relations (7) and (8) imply that

$$H_g(t) \geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \frac{1}{t} \int_{ta+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}}^{tb+(1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}} g\left(\frac{x+(t-1)\frac{a+b}{2}}{t}\right) dx. \tag{9}$$

Now using the change of variable  $u = \frac{x+(t-1)\frac{a+b}{2}}{t}$  in (9) we get desired inequality:

$$H_g(t) \geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(u)du.$$

The case that  $t = 1$ , follows from inequality

$$\frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(u)du \leq \int_a^b f(u)g(u)du,$$

obtained from Theorem 5 in [1].

For inequality (6), using the  $h$ -convexity of  $f$  we have

$$H_g(t) \leq h(t) \int_a^b f(u)g(u)du + h(1-t)f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(u)du. \tag{10}$$

Now from Theorem 5 in [1] and inequality (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} H_g(t) & \leq h(t) \int_a^b f(u)g(u)du + h(1-t)2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_a^b f(u)g(u)du \\ & = \left[ h(t) + h(1-t)2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \right] \int_a^b f(u)g(u)du. \end{aligned}$$

(iv) It is a consequence of (iii).

(v) According to Proposition 16 in [16], assertions (i) and (iii), if we Consider  $0 < t_1 < t_2 < 1$  and  $h(t_2) \neq 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} h(t_2)H_g(t_1) &\leq h(t_2 - t_1)H_g(0) + h(t_1)H_g(t_2) \\ &\leq 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(t_2 - t_1)H_g(t_2) + h(t_1)H_g(t_2) \\ &= \left[2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(t_2 - t_1) + h(t_1)\right]H_g(t_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$H_g(t_1) \leq \frac{2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(t_2 - t_1) + h(t_1)}{h(t_2)}H_g(t_2). \quad \square$$

If in Theorem 2, we consider  $h(t) = t$  and  $g(u) = \frac{1}{b-a}$  for  $a < b$  we recapture the following result.

**COROLLARY 1.** (Theorem 71 in [6]) (see also [3, 5]) *For a given convex mapping  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , let  $H : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by*

$$H(t) := \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f\left(tu + (1-t)\frac{a+b}{2}\right) du.$$

Then

- (i)  $H$  is convex on  $[0, 1]$ .
- (ii) One has the bounds:

$$\inf_{t \in [0, 1]} H(t) = H(0) = f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right),$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} H(t) = H(1) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(u) du.$$

- (iii)  $H$  increases monotonically on  $[0, 1]$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *In Theorem 2, for  $0 \leq a \leq b$  consider*

$$\begin{cases} f(u) = u^r, & r \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (-1, 0] \cup [1, \infty); \\ h(t) = t^s, & s \leq 1; \\ g \equiv 1. \end{cases}$$

*From Example 7 in [16],  $f$  is  $h$ -convex and then from inequalities (5) and (6) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{s-1} \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^r (b-a) && (11) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t(r+1)} \left[ \left(\frac{(1-t)a + (1+t)b}{2}\right)^{r+1} - \left(\frac{(1+t)a + (1-t)b}{2}\right)^{r+1} \right] \\ &\leq [t^s + 2^{1-s}(1-t)^s] \left(\frac{b^{r+1} - a^{r+1}}{r+1}\right), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ . In more special case if we consider

$$\begin{cases} f(u) = u^r, r \in [1, \infty); \\ h(t) = t, \\ g \equiv 1, \end{cases}$$

then we get the following inequalities obtained in [5].

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^r (b-a) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{t(r+1)} \left[ \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - t\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right)^{r+1} - \left(\frac{a+b}{2} - t\left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)\right)^{r+1} \right] \\ & \leq \frac{b^{r+1} - a^{r+1}}{r+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ .

REMARK 1. Assertion (iii) in Theorem 2 can be stated as

$$\frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} H_g(0) \leq H_g(t) \leq \left[ h(t) + 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)h(1-t) \right] H_g(1)$$

for all  $t \in (0, 1)$  which gives a refinement for the left part of (3). Also assertions (i) and (iii) of Theorem 2 together give generalized form of Theorem 12 in [16] for  $t \in (0, 1)$  in general case.

### 2.2. The mapping $F_g$

Now we consider the second mapping  $F_g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$F_g(t) := \int_a^b \int_a^b f(tx + (1-t)y)g(x)g(y)dxdy, \tag{13}$$

which has been introduced in [6], where the function  $g$  assumed to be symmetric to  $\frac{a+b}{2}$  with density property on  $[a, b]$ . Clearly, it reduces to  $F$  in the classical case when  $g(u) = \frac{1}{b-a}$  (see [5]). The following theorem involved some results related to the mapping  $F_g$  when  $f$  is  $h$ -convex without density property for  $g$ .

THEOREM 3. If  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $h$ -convex with  $h(\frac{1}{2}) > 0$  and  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  a symmetric function, then

- (i)  $F_g$  is  $h$ -convex on  $[0, 1]$ .
- (ii) For any  $t \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$F_g(t) = F_g(1-t).$$

Specially

$$\begin{aligned} F_g(0) &= F_g(1) = \int_a^b \int_a^b f(y)g(y)g(x)dxdy \\ &= \int_a^b \int_a^b f(x)g(x)g(y)dxdy. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For any  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})}F_g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &\leq F_g(t) \leq [h(t) + h(1-t)]F_g(0) \\ &= [h(t) + h(1-t)]F_g(1). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Also for  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})}F_g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \leq F_g(0) = F_g(1).$$

(iv) For any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})}f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b \int_a^b g(x)g(y)dxdy \leq F_g(t). \quad (15)$$

(v) If  $g$  has density property, then for any  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$F_g(t) \geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} \max\{H_g(t), H_g(1-t)\}. \quad (16)$$

(vi) There exist bounds,

$$\inf_{t \in [0,1]} F_g(t) \geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})}F_g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0,1]} F_g(t) &\leq \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0,1)} [h(t) + h(1-t)], 1 \right\} F_g(1) \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{t \in (0,1)} [h(t) + h(1-t)], 1 \right\} F_g(0). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* (i) It follows from  $h$ -convexity of  $f$ .

(ii) It is obvious.

(iii) For any  $x, y \in [a, b]$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) &= f\left(\frac{tx + (1-t)x + ty + (1-t)y}{2}\right) \\ &\leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) [f(tx + (1-t)y) + f(ty + (1-t)x)]. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Multiplication by  $g(x)g(y)$  and integration over  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b \int_a^b f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)g(x)g(y)dxdy &\leq h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_a^b \int_a^b f(tx+(1-t)y)g(x)g(y)dxdy \\ &\quad + h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \int_a^b \int_a^b f(ty+(1-t)x)g(x)g(y)dxdy \\ &= 2h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)F_g(t), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the left side of (14).

For the right side of (14), using the  $h$ -convexity of  $f$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_g(t) &\leq \int_a^b \int_a^b [h(t)f(x)g(x)g(y) + h(1-t)f(y)g(y)g(x)]dxdy \tag{18} \\ &= [h(t) + h(1-t)] \int_a^b \int_a^b f(x)g(y)g(x)dxdy \\ &= [h(t) + h(1-t)]F_g(0) = [h(t) + h(1-t)]F_g(1). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) For any  $t \in (0, 1]$  and constant  $y \in [a, b]$  define the function

$$F_g^y(t) = \int_a^b f(tx+(1-t)y)g(x)dx.$$

Using the change of variable  $u = tx + (1-t)y$  we obtain

$$F_g^y(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{ta+(1-t)y}^{tb+(1-t)y} f(u)g\left(\frac{u+(t-1)y}{t}\right)du. \tag{19}$$

Since  $g$  is symmetric to  $\frac{a+b}{2}$ , then it remains symmetric on interval  $[ta+(1-t)y, tb+(1-t)y]$  and so from Theorem 5 in [1] we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_g^y(t) &\geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{tb+(1-t)y+ta+(1-t)y}{2}\right) \tag{20} \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{t} \int_{ta+(1-t)y}^{tb+(1-t)y} g\left(\frac{u+(t-1)y}{t}\right)du. \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variable  $x = \frac{u+(t-1)y}{t}$  in (20), for any  $y \in [a, b]$  we have

$$F_g^y(t) \geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(x)dx. \tag{21}$$

Multiplying (21) by  $g(y)$  and then integrating over  $[a, b]$  with respect to  $y$ , we obtain

$$F_g(t) = \int_a^b F_g^y(t)g(y)dy \geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b \int_a^b g(x)g(y)dxdy,$$



for any  $t \in (0, 1]$ .

For  $t = 0$ , using Theorem 5 in [1] we can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} F_g(0) &= \int_a^b \int_a^b f(y)g(x)g(y)dx dy = \int_a^b \left[ \int_a^b f(y)g(y)dy \right] g(x)dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b \int_a^b g(x)g(y)dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

(v) From density of  $g$ , for any  $t \in (0, 1]$  we have

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_{ta+(1-t)y}^{tb+(1-t)y} g\left(\frac{u+(t-1)y}{t}\right) du = \int_a^b g(x)dx = 1.$$

So from inequality (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_g(t) &= \int_a^b \int_a^b f(tx+(1-t)y)g(x)g(y)dx dy = \int_a^b F_g^y(t)g(y)dy \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} \int_a^b f\left(t\frac{a+b}{2}+(1-t)y\right)g(y)dy = \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} H_g(t). \end{aligned}$$

In the case that  $t = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_g(0) &= \int_a^b \int_a^b f(y)g(x)g(y)dx dy = \int_a^b f(y)g(y)dy \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} \int_a^b f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \int_a^b g(y)dy = \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} H_g(0). \end{aligned}$$

Also it is not hard to see that  $F_g(t)$  is symmetric to  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ . So from assertion (ii) we obtain

$$F_g(t) \geq \frac{1}{2h(\frac{1}{2})} \max \left\{ H_g(t), H_g(1-t) \right\}.$$

(vi) It immediately follows from relation (14).  $\square$

If in Theorem 3, we consider  $h(t) = t$  and  $g(u) = \frac{1}{b-a}$  for  $a < b$  we recapture the following result.

**COROLLARY 3.** (Theorem 74 in [6]) (see also [3, 4]) *Let  $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a convex function and  $F : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$F(t) := \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b f(tx+(1-t)y)dx dy.$$

*Then*

- (i)  $F$  is convex on  $[0, 1]$ .
- (ii) For any  $t \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$F(t) = F(1-t).$$

(iii) *The following inequality holds:*

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq F\left(\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

(iv) *For any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$F(t) \geq H(t).$$

(v) *We have the bounds;*

$$\inf_{t \in [0,1]} F(t) = F\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) dx dy,$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [0,1]} F(t) = F(0) = F(1) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

REMARK 2. Assertions (i)–(iii) and (v) in Theorem 3 together, give generalized form of Theorem 14 and Remark 15 in [16] for  $t \in [0, 1]$  in general case.

COROLLARY 4. *In Theorem 3, for  $0 \leq a < b$  consider*

$$\begin{cases} f(u) = u^r, & r \in (-\infty, -2) \cup (-2, -1) \cup (-1, 0] \cup [1, \infty); \\ h(t) = t^s, & s \in (0, 1); \\ g \equiv 1. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{s-1} \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^r (b-a)^2 & (22) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{t(1-t)(r+1)(r+2)} \\ & \quad \times \left[ b^{r+2} - (tb + (1-t)a)^{r+2} - (ta + (1-t)b)^{r+2} + a^{r+2} \right] \\ & \leq [t^s + (1-t)^s] (b-a) \frac{b^{r+1} - a^{r+1}}{r+1}, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ . In (22), if we consider  $h(t) = t$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^r (b-a)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{1}{t(1-t)(r+1)(r+2)} \\ & \quad \times \left[ b^{r+2} - (tb + (1-t)a)^{r+2} - (ta + (1-t)b)^{r+2} + a^{r+2} \right] \\ & \leq (b-a) \frac{b^{r+1} - a^{r+1}}{r+1} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in (0, 1]$ . Furthermore in point  $t = \frac{1}{2}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^r (b-a)^2 &\leq \frac{4}{(r+1)(r+2)} \left[ b^{r+2} - 2\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)^{r+2} + a^{r+2} \right] \\ &\leq (b-a) \frac{b^{r+1} - a^{r+1}}{r+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which was obtained in [7].

### 3. Applications for the Beta function

In this section as an application we find some relations between the results obtained in Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 and the Beta function of Euler. Consider the Beta function of Euler, that is,

$$B(p, q) := \int_0^1 t^{p-1} (1-t)^{q-1} dt, \quad p, q > -1,$$

and

$$H_B^r(t, p) = \int_0^1 \left( tu + \frac{1-t}{2} \right)^r u^{p-1} (1-u)^{p-1} du,$$

where  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $p > -1$ ,  $r \geq 1$ . Also for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  define the following functions

$$\begin{cases} f(t) = \left( tu + \frac{1-t}{2} \right)^r, & r \geq 1, u \geq 0; \\ h(t) = t^k, & k \leq 1; \\ g(t) = t^{p-1} (1-t)^{p-1}, & p > -1. \end{cases}$$

According to Example 7 in [16], the function  $f$  is  $h$ -convex. Also the function  $g$  is symmetric to  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then from Theorem 2, the function  $H_B^r(\cdot, p)$  is  $h$ -convex on  $[0, 1]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^r \int_0^1 u^{p-1} (1-u)^{p-1} du \\ &\leq H_B^r(t, p) \leq \left[ t^k + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^k (1-t)^k \right] \int_0^1 u^r u^{p-1} (1-u)^{p-1} du, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$2^{k-r-1} B(p, p) \leq H_B^r(t, p) \leq \left[ t^k + 2^{1-k} (1-t)^k \right] B(r+p, p), \quad (23)$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,  $k \leq 1$  and  $p > -1$ .

Now define the function

$$F_B^r(t, p) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 (tx + (1-t)y)^r x^{p-1} y^{p-1} (1-x)^{p-1} (1-y)^{p-1} dx dy, \quad (24)$$

where  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r \geq 1$  and  $p > -1$  (also see [7]).

With assumptions

$$\begin{cases} f(t) = (tx + (1-t)y)^r, & r \geq 1, x, y \geq 0; \\ h(t) = t^k, & k \leq 1; \\ g(t) = t^{p-1}(1-t)^{p-1}, & p > -1, \end{cases}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , from Example 7 in [16], the function  $f$  is  $h$ -convex. Therefore from Theorem 3, the function  $F_B^r(\cdot, p)$  is  $h$ -convex on  $[0, 1]$  and symmetric to  $t = \frac{1}{2}$ . Also we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2(\frac{1}{2})^k} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)^r x^{p-1} y^{p-1} (1-x)^{p-1} (1-y)^{p-1} dx dy \\ & \leq F_B^r(t, p) \leq [h(t) + h(1-t)] \int_0^1 \int_0^1 x^r x^{p-1} y^{p-1} (1-x)^{p-1} (1-y)^{p-1} dx dy \\ & = [h(t) + h(1-t)] \int_0^1 \int_0^1 y^r x^{p-1} y^{p-1} (1-x)^{p-1} (1-y)^{p-1} dx dy, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$2^{k-r-1} B^2(p, p) \leq F_B^r(t, p) \leq [t^k + (1-t)^k] B(r+p, p) B(p, p), \tag{25}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $r \geq 1$ ,  $k \leq 1$  and  $p > -1$ .

Furthermore since we have

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{B(p, p)} t^p (1-t)^{p-1} dt = 1,$$

then if we consider  $g(t) = \frac{1}{B(p, p)} t^p (1-t)^{p-1}$ , from inequality (16) we get

$$F_B^r(t, p) \geq 2^{k-1} \max \{H_B^r(t, p), H_B^r(1-t, p)\} B^2(p, p).$$

REMARK 3. Inequality (23) reduces to the convex version obtained in [6], if we consider  $k = 1$ ,

$$2^{-r} B(p, p) \leq H_B^r(t, p) \leq B(r+p, p),$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $p > -1$ ,  $r \geq 1$ .

Also the convex version of inequality (25) can be stated as the following.

$$2^{-r} B^2(p, p) \leq F_B^r(t, p) \leq B(r+p, p) B(p, p),$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $p > -1$ ,  $r \geq 1$ .

Furthermore we have

$$F_B^r(t, p) \geq \max \{H_B^r(t, p), H_B^r(1-t, p)\} B^2(p, p),$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $p > -1$ ,  $r \geq 1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. BOMBARDELLI AND S. VAROŠANEC, *Properties of  $h$ -convex functions related to the Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér inequalities*, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **58** (2009), 1869–1877.
- [2] W. W. BRECKNER, *Stetigkeitsaussagen für eine Klasse verallgemeinerter konvexer funktionen in topologischen linearen Räumen*, *Publ. Inst. Math.* **23** (1978), 13–20.
- [3] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *A mapping in connection to Hadamard's inequalities*, *An. Öster. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur.*, (Wien), **128** (1991), 17–20.
- [4] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *On Hadamard's inequalities for convex functions*, *Mat. Balkanica* **6** (1992), 215–222.
- [5] S. S. DRAGOMIR, *Two Mappings in Connection to Hadamard's Inequalities*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **167** (1992), 49–56.
- [6] S. S. DRAGOMIR AND C. E. M. PEARCE, *Selected topics on Hermite-Hadamard inequalities and applications*, *RGMA Monographs*, Victoria University, 2000, (online: <http://ajmaa.org/RGMA/monographs.php/>).
- [7] S. S. DRAGOMIR, J. PEČARIĆ, L. E. PERSSON, *Some inequalities of Hadamard type*, *Soochow J. Math.* **21** (1995), 335–341.
- [8] L. FEJÉR, *Über die fourierreihen, II*, *Math. Naturwiss. Anz. Ungar. Akad. Wiss.* **24** (1906), 369–390.
- [9] E. K. GODUNOVA, V. I. LEVIN, *Neravenstva dlja funkcii širokogo klassa, soderžiščego vypuklye, monotonnnye i neko-torye drugie vidy funkcii*, in: *Vyčislitel. Mat. i. Mat. Fiz. Mežvuzov. Sb. Nauč. Trudov*, pp. 138–142, MGPI, Moskva, 1985.
- [10] B. MICHERDA AND T. RAJBA, *On Some Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér inequalities for  $(k, h)$ -convex function*, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **4** (2012), 931–940.
- [11] J. PARK, *Inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér type for convex functions via fractional integrals*, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **8**, 59 (2014), 2927–2937.
- [12] A. W. ROBERT AND D. E. VARBEG, *Convex functions*, Academic Press, 1973.
- [13] M. ROSTAMIAN DELAVAR AND M. DE LA SEN, *On Generalization of Fejér Type Inequalities*, *Communications in Mathematics and Applications*, **8**, 1 (2017), 31–43.
- [14] M. Z. SARIKAYA, *On new Hermite Hadamard Fejér type integral inequalities*, *Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math.* **57**, 3 (2012), 377–386.
- [15] K.-L. TSENG, G.-S. YANG AND K.-C. HSU, *Some Inequalities for Differentiable Mappings and Applications to Fejér Inequality and Weighted Trapezoidal Formula*, *Taiwanese J. Math.* **15**, 4 (2011), 1737–1747.
- [16] S. VAROŠANEC, *On  $h$ -convexity*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **326** (2007), 303–311.

(Received December 18, 2017)

Corresponding author:

M. Rostamian Delavar  
 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences  
 University of Bojnord  
 Bojnord, Iran  
 e-mail: m.rostamian@ub.ac.ir

S. S. Dragomir  
 Mathematics, College of Engineering & Science  
 Victoria University  
 P. O. Box 14428, Melbourne City, MC 8001, Australia  
 and  
 DST-NRF Centre of Excellence in the Mathematical and Statistical Sciences  
 School of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics  
 University of the Witwatersrand  
 Johannesburg, Private Bag 3, Wits 2050  
 South Africa  
 e-mail: sever.dragomir@vu.edu.au