

EXTENSIONS OF FEFFERMAN–STEIN MAXIMAL INEQUALITIES

WENHUA GAO AND GUOEN HU

(Communicated by L. Pick)

Abstract. Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in [0, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\beta}}$ be the maximal operator defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\beta}}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta_j}, Q}.$$

In this paper, we establish the weighted bounds in terms of the $A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$ constant for $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\beta}}$ from $L^{p_1}(I^{q_1}; \mathbb{R}^n, w_1) \times \dots \times L^{p_m}(I^{q_m}; \mathbb{R}^n, w_m)$ to $L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, v_{\vec{w}})$, where $p_1, \dots, p_m, q_1, \dots, q_m \in (1, \infty)$, $1/p = 1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m$, $1/q = 1/q_1 + \dots + 1/q_m$ and $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$ a multiple $A_{\vec{p}}$ weights. A weak type endpoint inequality for vector-valued operator $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\beta}}$ is also given.

1. Introduction

We will work on \mathbb{R}^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let M be the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. The well known Fefferman-Stein maximal inequalities (see [5, Theorem 1]) tell us that for all $p, q \in (1, \infty)$,

$$\|\{Mf_k\}\|_{L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and for each $\lambda > 0$,

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{Mf_k(x)\}\|_{I^q} > \lambda\}| \lesssim \lambda^{-1} \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^1(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n)},$$

where and in the following, for $q \in (0, \infty)$ and numbers $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, we denote $\|\{a_k\}\|_{I^q} = (\sum_k |a_k|^q)^{1/q}$; and for a weight w and $p \in (1, \infty)$, $L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, w)$ is the space defined as

$$L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, w) = \{\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} : \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, w)} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, w)} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{I^q}^p w(x) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

We denote $\|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, 1)}$ by $\|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n)}$ for simplicity. Anderson and John [1] considered weighted version of Fefferman-Stein maximal inequalities. For $p \in (1, \infty)$, let $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the weight functions class of Muckenhoupt, that is,

$$A_p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{w : w \text{ is nonnegative and locally integrable in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } [w]_{A_p} < \infty\},$$

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 42B15.

Keywords and phrases: Maximal inequality, weighted bound, dyadic grid, Lerner's formula, sparse operator.

with

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_Q \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w(x) dx \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}(x) dx \right)^{p-1},$$

if $p \in (1, \infty)$, and

$$[w]_{A_1} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{Mw(x)}{w(x)};$$

$[w]_{A_p}$ is called the A_p constant of w (for details of $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, see [6]). Anderson and John [1] proved that for $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\{Mf_k\}\|_{L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim_{p, q, w} \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, w)}, \tag{1.1}$$

and for each $\lambda > 0$ and $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{Mf_k(x)\}\|_{I^q} > \lambda\}) \lesssim_w \lambda^{-1} \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^1(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

It should be pointed out that (1.1) can be obtained from the boundedness of M on $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)$, see [7]. Cruz-Uribe, SFO, Martell and Pérez [3] considered the sharp weighted bounds for vector-valued Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, and proved that for $p, q \in (1, \infty)$ and $w \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\|\{Mf_k\}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)} \lesssim [w]_{A_p}^{\max\{\frac{1}{q}, \frac{1}{p-1}\}} \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, w)}.$$

For $\beta \in [0, \infty)$, a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and a function f with $\int_Q |f(t)| \log^\beta(1 + |f(t)|) dt < \infty$, define $\|f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q}$ by

$$\|f\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \log^\beta \left(1 + \frac{|f(y)|}{\lambda} \right) dy \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in [0, \infty)$, set $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$. Define the maximal operator $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}$ by

$$\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta_j}, Q}.$$

Operators of this type came from the study of the commutators of multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators, see [13, 15]. For the case of $\beta_1 = \dots = \beta_m = 0$, we denote $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}$ by \mathcal{M} ; for the case of $m = 1$, we denote $\mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}$ by $M_{L(\log L)^\beta}$ for simplicity. The operator \mathcal{M} was introduced by Lerner, Ombrosi, Pérez, Torres and Trujillo-González [13] and plays an important role in the study of weighted estimates for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund operators.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, w_1, \dots, w_m be weights, $p_1, \dots, p_m \in [1, \infty)$, $p \in (0, \infty)$ with $1/p = 1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m$. Set $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m)$, $\vec{P} = (p_1, \dots, p_m)$ and $v_{\vec{w}} = \prod_{k=1}^m w_k^{p/P_k}$. We say that $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{P}}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$ if

$$[\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{P}}} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q v_{\vec{w}}(x) dx \right)^{1/p} \prod_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w_k^{-\frac{1}{p_k-1}}(x) dx \right)^{1-1/p_k} < \infty,$$

when $p_k = 1$, $\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w_k^{-\frac{1}{p_k-1}}(x) dx\right)^{1-1/p_k}$ is understood as $(\inf_Q w_k)^{-1}$.

Damián, Lerner and Pérez [4] considered the sharp weighted bound for \mathcal{M} and proved that for $p_1, \dots, p_m \in (1, \infty)$, $p \in (\frac{1}{m}, \infty)$ with $1/p = 1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m$, and $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, v_{\vec{w}})} \lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}}}^{\frac{1}{p}} \prod_{i=1}^m [w_i^{-\frac{1}{p_i-1}}]_{A_{\infty}}^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_j)}, \tag{1.2}$$

where and in the following, for a weight u , $[u]_{A_{\infty}}$ is defined by

$$[u]_{A_{\infty}} = \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{u(Q)} \int_Q M(u\chi_Q)(x) dx.$$

Li, Moen and Sun [14] established another sharp weighted bound for \mathcal{M} , which is independent of (1.2) each other. Li et al. [14] proved that for $p_1, \dots, p_m \in (1, \infty)$ and $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$,

$$\|\mathcal{M}(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, v_{\vec{w}})} \lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}}}^{\max\{1, \frac{p'}{p}, \dots, \frac{p'_m}{p}\}} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_j)}.$$

Our main purpose in this paper is to prove the following extension of Fefferman-Stein maximal inequalities.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in [0, \infty)$, $q_1, \dots, q_m \in (1, \infty)$ and $q \in (1/m, \infty)$ with $1/q = 1/q_1 + \dots + 1/q_m$, $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$.*

(i) *If $p_1, \dots, p_m \in (1, \infty)$ and $p \in (1/m, \infty)$ with $1/p = 1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \{ \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k) \} \right\|_{L^p(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, v_{\vec{w}})} \\ & \lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}}}^{\max\{\frac{1}{q}, \frac{p'_1}{p}, \dots, \frac{p'_m}{p}\}} \prod_{i=1}^m [w_i^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}]_{A_{\infty}}^{\beta_i} \prod_{j=1}^m \|\{f_j^k\}\|_{L^{p_j}(I^{q_j}; \mathbb{R}^n, w_j)}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

(ii) *If $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{1, \dots, 1}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$, then for each fixed $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{\vec{w}}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{ \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)(x) \}\|_{I^q} > \lambda\}) \\ & \lesssim_{\vec{w}} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\{f_j^k(y_j)\}\|_{I^{q_j}}}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{m}}} \log |\vec{\beta}| \left(1 + \frac{\|\{f_j^k(y_j)\}\|_{I^{q_j}}}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{m}}} \right) w_j(y_j) dy_j \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

here and in the following, for $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$, $|\vec{\beta}| = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_m$.

REMARK 1.3. For the case $m = 1$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \equiv 1$, the inequality (1.4) was proved by Hu [8]. However, the argument used in [8] does not apply to the case $\beta \in (0, \infty) \setminus \mathbb{N}$, and does not apply to the case $m \geq 1$.

In what follows, C always denotes a positive constant that is independent of the main parameters involved but whose value may differ from line to line. We use the symbol $A \lesssim B$ to denote that there exists a positive constant C such that $A \leq CB$. Specially, we use $A \lesssim_w B$ to denote that there exists a positive constant C depending only on w such that $A \leq CB$. Constant with subscript such as C_1 , does not change in different occurrences. For any set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, χ_E denotes its characteristic function. For a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, we use $\ell(Q)$ ($\text{diam}Q$) to denote the side length (diameter) of Q , and λQ to denote the cube with the same center as Q and whose side length is λ times that of Q .

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Recall that the standard dyadic grid in \mathbb{R}^n consists of all cubes of the form

$$2^{-k}([0, 1]^n + j), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Denote the standard dyadic grid by \mathcal{D}_0 . For a fixed cube Q , denote by $\mathcal{D}_0(Q)$ the set of dyadic cubes with respect to Q , that is, the cubes from $\mathcal{D}_0(Q)$ are formed by repeating subdivision of Q and each of descendants into 2^n congruent subcubes.

As usual, by a general dyadic grid \mathcal{D} , we mean a collection of cubes with the following properties: (i) for any cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, its side length $\ell(Q)$ is of the form 2^k for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$; (ii) for any cubes $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{D}$, $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \in \{Q_1, Q_2, \emptyset\}$; (iii) for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the cubes of side length 2^k form a partition of \mathbb{R}^n .

For a dyadic grid \mathcal{D} and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in [0, \infty)$, let $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}$ be the operator defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x, Q \in \mathcal{D}} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta_j}, Q}.$$

For the case of $m = 1$, we denote $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}$ by $M_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\beta}}$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$. Then for any cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\| \{ \|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta}, Q} \} \|_{l^q} \lesssim \| \| \{ f_k \} \|_{l^q} \|_{L(\log L)^{\beta}, Q}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. For $s \in (0, \infty)$, we define $\|h\|_{\text{exp}L^s, Q}$ by

$$\|h\|_{\text{exp}L^s, Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \exp \left(\frac{|h(x)|}{\lambda} \right)^s dx \leq 2 \right\}.$$

We claim that if $s_1 \leq s_2$, then

$$\|h\|_{\text{exp}L^{s_1}, Q} \lesssim \|h\|_{\text{exp}L^{s_2}, Q}. \tag{2.2}$$

To see this, let $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \exp \left(\frac{|h(x)|}{\lambda_0} \right)^{s_2} dx \leq 2,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \exp\left(\frac{|h(x)|}{\lambda_0}\right)^{s_1} dx \leq e + \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\{x \in Q: |h(x)| > \lambda_0\}} \exp\left(\frac{|h(x)|}{\lambda_0}\right)^{s_1} dx \leq 8.$$

Thus by Hölder’s inequality,

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \exp\left(\frac{|h(x)|}{3^{\frac{1}{s_1}} \lambda_0}\right)^{s_1} dx \leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \exp\left(\frac{|h(x)|}{\lambda_0}\right)^{s_1} dx\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq 2.$$

This gives (2.2).

We now prove (2.1). By (2.2), it is easy to verify that

$$\left\| \left\{ |f_k| \right\}_{l^{q'}} \right\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q} = \left\| \sum_k |f_k|^{q'} \right\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q}^{\frac{1}{q'}} \leq \left\| \sum_k |f_k|^{q'} \right\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q}^{\frac{1}{q'}}.$$

On the other hand, by a standard duality argument (see [16, p. 20]), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_k |f_k|^{q'} \right\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q} &\approx \sup_{\|g\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q} \leq 1} \frac{1}{|Q|} \left| \int_Q \sum_k |f_k(y)|^{q'} g(y) dy \right| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|g\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q} \leq 1} \sum_k \left\| |f_k|^{q'} \right\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q} \|g\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q} \\ &\lesssim \sum_k \left\| |f_k|^{q'} \right\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\left\| \left\{ |f_k| \right\}_{l^{q'}} \right\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q} \lesssim \left\| \left\{ |f_k| \right\}_{l^{q'}} \right\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q}. \tag{2.3}$$

The inequality (2.1) is an easy consequence of inequality (2.3). In fact, by a duality argument, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left\{ \|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q} \right\}_{l^q} \right\|_{l^q} &\lesssim \sup_{\|g_k\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q} \leq 1} \sum_k \left| \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f_k(y) g_k(y) dy \right| \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|g_k\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q} \leq 1} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left\| \{f_k(y)\}_{l^q} \right\|_{l^q} \left\| \{g_k(y)\}_{l^q} \right\|_{l^q} dy \\ &\lesssim \sup_{\|g_k\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q} \leq 1} \left\| \left\{ |f_k| \right\}_{l^q} \right\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q} \left\| \left\{ |g_k| \right\}_{l^q} \right\|_{\exp L^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, Q} \\ &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ |f_k| \right\}_{l^q} \right\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Minkowski’s inequality, and the third inequality follows from the generalized Hölder’s inequality (see [16, p. 64]). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. \square

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $\beta \in [0, \infty)$ and $q \in (1, \infty)$. Then for each $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q} > \lambda\}| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda} \log^\beta \left(1 + \frac{\|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda}\right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. We employ the ideas of Fefferman and Stein in [5], together with some other tricks. By the well known one-third trick (see [10, Lemma 2.5]), we know that there exists 3^n dyadic grid $\mathcal{D}_1, \dots, \mathcal{D}_{3^n}$, such that for any f and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f(x) \lesssim \sum_{j=1}^{3^n} M_{\mathcal{D}_j, L(\log L)^\beta} f(x).$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that for each dyadic grid \mathcal{D} ,

$$\begin{aligned} &|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{M_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^\beta} f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q} > 1\}| \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q} \log^\beta (1 + \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Write

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^\beta} (\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q})(x) > 1\} = \cup_j Q_j,$$

with $\{Q_j\} \subset \mathcal{D}$ the maximal cubes such that $\|\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q}\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j} > 1$. Obviously,

$$1 < \frac{1}{|Q_j|} \int_{Q_j} \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q} \log^\beta (1 + \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}) dx \leq 2^n. \tag{2.6}$$

Set

$$f_k^1(x) = f_k(x) \chi_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \cup_j Q_j}(x), \quad f_k^2(x) = f_k(x) \chi_{\cup_j Q_j}(x).$$

Since $\|\{f_k^1\}\|_{L^\infty(l^q; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f_k^1(x)\}\|_{l^q} > 1\}| &\lesssim \left\| \{M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f_k^1\} \right\|_{L^q(l^q; \mathbb{R}^n)}^q \\ &\lesssim \|\{f_k\}\|_{L^1(l^q; \mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $E = \cup_j 4Q_j$. It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} |E| &\lesssim \sum_j \int_{Q_j} \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q} \log^\beta (1 + \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}) dx \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q} \log^\beta (1 + \|\{f_k(x)\}\|_{l^q}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

For each fixed k , set

$$f_k^3(y) = \sum_j \|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j} \chi_{Q_j}(y).$$

An application of Lemma 2.1 leads to that

$$\|\{f_k^3(y)\}\|_{l^q} \lesssim \sum_j \|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q} \|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j} \chi_{Q_j}(y).$$

It then follows from (2.6) that

$$\|\{f_k^3\}\|_{L^\infty(l^q; \mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\{f_k^3\}\|_{L^1(l^q, \mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \sum_j |Q_j| \|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q} \|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j} \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{f_k(y)\}\|_{l^q} \log^\beta(1 + \|\{f_k(y)\}\|_{l^q}) \, dy, \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where in the last inequality, we have invoked the fact that $\|\{f_k\}\|_{l^q} \|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j} \approx 1$. If we can prove that for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$,

$$M_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^\beta} f_k^2(x) \leq C_1 M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f_k^3(x), \tag{2.8}$$

with C_1 a positive constant, then by the inequality (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \|\{M_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^\beta} f_k^2(x)\}\|_{l^q} > 1 \right\} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E : \|\{M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f_k^3(x)\}\|_{l^q} > C_1 \right\} \right| \\ &\lesssim \|\{M_{L(\log L)^\beta} f_k^3\}\|_{L^q(l^q, \mathbb{R}^n)}^q \lesssim \|\{f_k^3\}\|_{L^q(l^q, \mathbb{R}^n)}^q \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{f_k(y)\}\|_{l^q} \log^\beta(1 + \|\{f_k(y)\}\|_{l^q}) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Our desired conclusion (2.5) follows directly.

It remains to prove (2.8). For each fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ and each cube $I \in \mathcal{D}$ containing x , note that $I \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ implies that $Q_j \subset I$. Thus, for each $\lambda > 0$, a straightforward computation tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_I \frac{|f_k^2(y)|}{\lambda} \log^\beta\left(1 + \frac{|f_k^2(y)|}{\lambda}\right) \, dy \\ &= \sum_{j: Q_j \subset I} \int_{Q_j} \frac{|f_k^2(y)|}{\lambda} \log^\beta\left(1 + \frac{|f_k^2(y)|}{\lambda}\right) \, dy \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j: Q_j \subset I} \int_{Q_j} \frac{\|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j}}{\lambda} \log^\beta\left(1 + \frac{\|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j}}{\lambda}\right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{|f_k(y)|}{\|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j}} \log^\beta\left(1 + \frac{|f_k(y)|}{\|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j}}\right) \, dy \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j: Q_j \subset I} |Q_j| \frac{\|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j}}{\lambda} \log^\beta\left(1 + \frac{\|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j}}{\lambda}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_I \frac{|f_k^3(y)|}{\lambda} \log^\beta \left(1 + \frac{|f_k^3(y)|}{\lambda} \right) dy \\ &= \sum_{j: Q_j \subset I} |Q_j| \frac{\|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j}}{\lambda} \log^\beta \left(1 + \frac{\|f_k\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, Q_j}}{\lambda} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\|f_k^2\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, I} \lesssim \|f_k^3\|_{L(\log L)^\beta, I}.$$

This establishes (2.8) and completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. \square

Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube, and f be a measurable real-valued function on Q . $m_f(Q)$, the median value of f on Q , is one of the real numbers such that

$$\max\{|\{x \in Q : f(x) > m_f(Q)\}|, |\{x \in Q : f(x) < m_f(Q)\}|\} \leq |Q|/2.$$

The decreasing rearrangement of a measurable function f is defined by

$$f^*(t) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \lambda\}| < t\}, t \in (0, \infty).$$

For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the local mean oscillation of f is defined by

$$\omega_\lambda(f; Q) = \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} ((f - c)\chi_Q)^*(\lambda|Q|).$$

The following lemma was proved by Hytönen [9], which improves original Lerner’s formula established in [12].

LEMMA 2.3. *Let f be a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^n and $Q_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube. Then there exists a sparse family \mathcal{S} of cubes $Q \in \mathcal{D}_0(Q_0)$, such that for a. e. $x \in Q_0$,*

$$|f(x) - m_f(Q_0)| \leq 2 \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \omega_{\frac{1}{2^{n+2}}}(f; Q)\chi_Q(x).$$

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\rho \in [0, \infty)$ and $\delta \in (0, 1)$, T be a sublinear operator which satisfies the weak type estimate that*

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{Tf^k\}(x)\|_{l^q} > \lambda\}| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|\{f^k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda} \log^\rho \left(1 + \frac{\|\{f^k(x)\}\|_{l^q}}{\lambda} \right) dx.$$

Then for any cube I and appropriate functions $\{f^k\}$ with $\text{supp } f^k \subset I$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|I|} \int_I \|\{Tf^k(x)\}\|_{l^q}^\delta dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \lesssim \|\|\{f^k\}\|_{l^q}\|_{L(\log L)^\rho, I}.$$

Lemma 2.4 can be proved by mimicking the proof of Kolmogorov’s inequality, we omit the details for brevity.

Let $\eta \in (0, 1)$ and \mathcal{S} be a family of cubes. We say that \mathcal{S} is η -sparse, if for each fixed $Q \in \mathcal{S}$, there exists a measurable subset $E_Q \subset Q$, such that $|E_Q| \geq \eta|Q|$ and $\{E_Q\}$ are pairwise disjoint. Associated with the sparse family \mathcal{S} and nonnegative constants $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in [0, \infty)$, we define the sparse operator $\mathcal{A}_{m, \mathcal{S}, L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}^Q$ by

$$\mathcal{A}_{m, \mathcal{S}, L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}^Q f(x) = \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta_j}, Q}^q \chi_Q(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $p_1, \dots, p_m \in (1, \infty)$, $p \in (0, \infty)$ such that $1/p = 1/p_1 + \dots + 1/p_m$, and $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{nm})$. Set $\sigma_i = w_i^{-1/(p_i-1)}$. Let \mathcal{D} be a dyadic grid and $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}$ be a sparse family. Then for $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in [0, \infty)$,*

$$\|\mathcal{A}_{m, \mathcal{S}, L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}^Q(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, v_{\vec{w}})} \lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}}}^{\max\{\frac{1}{q}, \frac{p'_1}{p}, \dots, \frac{p'_m}{p}\}} \prod_{i=1}^m [\sigma_i]_{A_{\infty}}^{\beta_i} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, w_j)}.$$

Proof. We employ the ideas used in the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [14]. As it is well known, $\vec{w} \in A_{\vec{p}}(\mathbb{R}^{nm})$ implies $\sigma_j = w_j^{-1/(p_j-1)} \in A_{mp'_j}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and for $r_{\sigma_j} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^{11+n}[\sigma_j]_{A_{\infty}}}$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sigma_j^{r_{\sigma_j}}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r_{\sigma_j}}} \leq 2 \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sigma_j(x) dx,$$

see [11]. Let $\rho_j = (1 + p_j)/2$. Recalling that for $\delta > 1$

$$\|h\|_{L(\log L)^{\rho}, Q} \lesssim \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{(\delta - 1)^{\rho}} \right\} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |h(y)|^{\delta} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}},$$

we then have by the generalization of Hölder’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_j \sigma_j\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta_j}, Q} &\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j|^{\rho_j} \sigma_j \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho_j}} \|\sigma_j^{\frac{1}{\rho_j}}\|_{L^{\rho'_j}(\log L)^{\rho'_j \beta_j}, Q} \\ &\lesssim [\sigma_j]_{A_{\infty}}^{\beta_j} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f_j|^{\rho_j} \sigma_j \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho_j}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \sigma_j \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho_j}} \\ &\lesssim [\sigma_j]_{A_{\infty}}^{\beta_j} \inf_{y \in Q} M_{\sigma_j, \rho_j} f_j(y) \langle \sigma_j \rangle_Q, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

with

$$M_{\sigma_j, \rho_j} f_j(x) = \sup_{I \ni x, I \in \mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{1}{|\sigma_j(I)|} \int_I |f_j(y)|^{\rho_j} \sigma_j(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{\rho_j}}.$$

Let

$$\langle h \rangle_Q^{\sigma_j} = \frac{1}{|\sigma_j(Q)|} \int_Q h(y) \sigma_j(y) dy,$$

and define the operator $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m,\mathcal{S}}^q$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m,\mathcal{S}}^q(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) = \left(\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{j=1}^m (\langle f_j \rangle_Q^{\sigma_j} \langle \sigma_j \rangle_Q)^q \chi_Q(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Recall that M_{σ_j, p_j} is bounded on $L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma_j)$ with bound depending only on p_j . Our proof is now reduced to proving that

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m,\mathcal{S}}^q(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, v_{\widetilde{w}})} \lesssim [\widetilde{w}]_{A_p}^{\max\{\frac{1}{q}, \frac{p'_1}{p}, \dots, \frac{p'_m}{p}\}} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma_j)}. \tag{2.10}$$

When $p \leq q$, the proof of (2.10) follows from the argument in [14, p. 757–759]. In fact, as in [14], we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{m,\mathcal{S}}^q(f_1, \dots, f_m)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, v_{\widetilde{w}})}^p &\leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{j=1}^m (\langle f_j \rangle_Q^{\sigma_j} \langle \sigma_j \rangle_Q)^p v_{\widetilde{w}}(Q) \\ &\lesssim [\widetilde{w}]_{A_p}^{\max\{p'_1, \dots, p'_m\}} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma_j)}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $p > q$ and $\tau = \max\{1, q \frac{p'_1}{p}, \dots, q \frac{p'_m}{p}\}$. It is obvious that $\tau p / p'_j \geq q$ and so

$$\{\sigma_j(Q)\}^{\tau \frac{p}{p'_j} - q} \geq \{\sigma_j(E_Q)\}^{\tau \frac{p}{p'_j} - q}.$$

By the fact that

$$|Q| \lesssim v_{\widetilde{w}}(E_Q)^{\frac{1}{mp}} \prod_{j=1}^m \{\sigma_j(E_Q)\}^{\frac{1}{mp_j}}$$

(see [14, p. 758] for details), as in the proof of Theorem B in [2], a straightforward computation gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \prod_{j=1}^m (\langle f_j \rangle_Q^{\sigma_j} \langle \sigma_j \rangle_Q)^q \int_Q g(x) v_{\widetilde{w}}(x) dx \\ &\lesssim [\widetilde{w}]_{A_p}^\tau \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \frac{|Q|^{m(\tau p - q)}}{(v_{\widetilde{w}}(Q))^\tau \prod_{i=1}^m \sigma_i(Q)^{\tau \frac{p}{p'_i}}} \int_Q g(x) v_{\widetilde{w}}(x) dx \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int_Q f_j(y_j) \sigma_j(y_j) dy_j \right)^q \\ &\lesssim [\widetilde{w}]_{A_p}^\tau \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{S}} \left(\frac{1}{v_{\widetilde{w}}(Q)} \int_Q g v_{\widetilde{w}}(x) dx \right) (v_{\widetilde{w}}(E_Q))^{\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)'} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_j(Q)} \int_Q f_j(y_j) \sigma_j(y_j) dy_j \right)^q (\sigma_j(E_Q))^{\frac{q}{p_j}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}}}^{\tau} \left\{ \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\frac{1}{v_{\vec{w}}(Q)} \int_Q g(x) v_{\vec{w}}(x) dx \right)^{\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)'} v_{\vec{w}}(E_Q) \right\}^{\frac{1}{\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)'}} \\
&\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{I}} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_j(Q)} \int_Q f_j(y_j) \sigma_j(y_j) dy_j \right)^{p_j} \sigma_j(E_Q) \right\}^{\frac{q}{p_j}} \\
&\lesssim [\vec{w}]_{A_{\vec{p}}}^{\tau} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j\|_{L^{p_j}(\mathbb{R}^n, \sigma_j)}^q \|g\|_{L^{\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)'(\mathbb{R}^n, v_{\vec{w}})}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We then deduce (2.10) for $p > q$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5. \square

LEMMA 2.6. Let $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in [0, \infty)$ and $\vec{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in A_{1, \dots, 1}(\mathbb{R}^{mn})$. Then for each $\lambda > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&v_{\vec{w}}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}(f_1, \dots, f_m)(x) > \lambda\}) \\
&\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|f_j(x)|}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{m}}} \log^{|\beta_j|} \left(1 + \frac{|f_j(x)|}{\lambda^{\frac{1}{m}}} \right) w_j(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This Lemma can be proved by repeating the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.17 in [13], see also [15]. We omit the details for brevity.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let \mathcal{D} be a dyadic grid. We claim that for each $Q \subset \mathcal{D}$ and each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$,

$$\omega_{\lambda}(\|\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)\|_{l^q, Q}\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta_j, Q}}^q) \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^m \|\{f_j^k\}\|_{l^{q_j}, Q}^q. \quad (2.11)$$

In fact, we know from Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 that for each $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{mq})$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \|\{M_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\beta_j}}(f_j^k \chi_Q)(x)\}\|_{l^{q_j}}^{m\delta} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m\delta}} \lesssim \|\{f_j^k\}\|_{l^{q_j}, Q}^{\delta}. \quad (2.12)$$

Let $c_k = \sup_{I \ni x, \mathcal{D} \ni I \supset Q} \prod_{j=1}^m \|f_j^k\|_{L(\log L)^{\beta_j, I}}$ and $D = \|\{c_k\}\|_{l^q}$. For $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{mq})$, it follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \left\| \|\{ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)(x) \}\|_{l^q}^q - D^q \right\|^{\delta} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\
&\lesssim \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \|\{ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\vec{\beta}}}(f_1^k \chi_Q, \dots, f_m^k \chi_Q)(x) \}\|_{l^q}^{q\delta} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \\
&\lesssim \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \|\{M_{L(\log L)^{\beta_j}}(f_j^k \chi_Q)(x)\}\|_{l^{q_j}}^{mq\delta} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{m\delta}}.
\end{aligned}$$

This, via (2.12), yields (2.11).

We now prove (1.3). For each cube $Q \subset \mathcal{D}$, we deduce from Lemma 2.3, inequality (2.11) and Theorem 2.5 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \left| \|\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)(x)\}\|_{l^q}^q - m_{\|\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)\}\|_{l^q}^q}(Q) \right|^{\frac{p}{q}} v_{\bar{w}}(x) dx \\ & \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\mathcal{S}_{m; \mathcal{S}, L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}^q(\|f_1^k\|_{l^q}, \dots, \|f_m^k\|_{l^q})(x) \right)^p v_{\bar{w}}(x) dx \\ & \lesssim \left([\bar{w}]_{A_p}^{\max\{\frac{1}{q}, \frac{p'}{p}, \dots, \frac{p'_m}{p}\}} \prod_{i=1}^m [\sigma_i]_{A_\infty}^{\beta_i} \prod_{j=1}^m \|\{f_j^k\}\|_{L^{p_j}(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, w_j)} \right)^p, \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{D}_0(Q)$ being a sparse family. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1 in [3], we then obtain from the last inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)(x)\}\|_{l^q}^p v_{\bar{w}}(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \lesssim [\bar{w}]_{A_p}^{\max\{\frac{1}{q}, \frac{p'}{p}, \dots, \frac{p'_m}{p}\}} \prod_{i=1}^m [\sigma_i]_{A_\infty}^{\beta_i} \prod_{j=1}^m \|\{f_j^k\}\|_{L^{p_j}(I^q; \mathbb{R}^n, w_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

This, along with the one-third trick (see [10, Lemma 2.5]), leads to (1.3) for the case of $q \in (1, \infty)$.

It remains to prove (1.4). Again by the one-third trick, it suffices to prove that for each dyadic \mathcal{D} ,

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{\bar{w}}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)(x)\}\|_{l^q} > 1\}) \\ & \lesssim_{\bar{w}} \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{f_j^k(y_j)\}\|_{l^{q_j}} \log^{\beta_j} (1 + \|\{f_j^k(y_j)\}\|_{l^{q_j}}) w_j(y_j) dy_j \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Associated with \mathcal{D} , define the sharp maximal function $M_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}$ as

$$M_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp} f(x) = \sup_{\substack{Q \ni x \\ Q \in \mathcal{D}}} \inf_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f(y) - c| dy.$$

For $\delta \in (0, 1)$, let $M_{\mathcal{D}, \delta}^{\sharp} f(x) = [M_{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp} (|f|^{\delta})(x)]^{1/\delta}$. Repeating the argument in [17, p. 153], we can verify that if $u \in A_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Φ is a increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ which satisfies that

$$\Phi(2t) \leq C\Phi(t), t \in [0, \infty),$$

then

$$\sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi(\lambda) u(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |h(x)| > \lambda\}) \lesssim_u \sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi(\lambda) u(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\mathcal{D}, \delta}^{\sharp} h(x) > \lambda\}),$$

provided that $\sup_{\lambda > 0} \Phi(\lambda) u(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\mathcal{D}, \delta}^{\sharp} h(x) > \lambda\}) < \infty$. On the other hand, it follows from (2.11) that for each fixed $\delta \in (0, \min\{1, 1/q\})$,

$$M_{\mathcal{D}, \delta}^{\sharp} (\|\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)\}\|_{l^q})(x) \lesssim \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\bar{\beta}}}(\|\{f_1^k\}\|_{l^{q_1}}, \dots, \|\{f_m^k\}\|_{l^{q_m}})(x).$$

This, together with Lemma 2.6, gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{\vec{w}}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\beta}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)(x)\}\|_{l^q} > 1\}) \\ & \lesssim \sup_{t>0} \psi(t) v_{\vec{w}}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_{\mathcal{D}, \delta}^{\sharp}(\|\{\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{D}, L(\log L)^{\beta}}(f_1^k, \dots, f_m^k)\}\|_{l^q})(x) > t\}) \\ & \lesssim \sup_{t>0} \psi(t) v_{\vec{w}}(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \mathcal{M}_{L(\log L)^{\beta}}(\|\{f_1^k\}\|_{l^{q_1}}, \dots, \|\{f_m^k\}\|_{l^{q_m}})(x) > t\}) \\ & \lesssim \prod_{j=1}^m \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|\{f_j^k(y_j)\}\|_{l^{q_j}} \log^{|\beta|} (1 + \|\{f_j^k(y_j)\}\|_{l^{q_j}}) w_j(y_j) dy_j \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \end{aligned}$$

here we take $\psi(t) = t^{1/m} \log^{-m|\beta|} (1 + t^{-1/m})$. This leads to (2.13) and completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Acknowledgement. This research of the first author was supported by the NNSF of China under grant #11461065 and Teacher Research Capacity Promotion Program of Beijing Normal University Zhuhai, the research of the second author was supported by the NNSF of China under grant #11871108.

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions, comments and corrections.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. F. ANDERSON AND R. T. JOHN, *Weighted inequality for vector-valued maximal functions and singular integrals*, Studia Math. **69** (1980), 19–31.
- [2] T. A. BUI, J. CONDE-ALONSO, X. T. DUONG AND M. HORMOZI, *A note on weighted bounds for singular integral operators with nonsmooth kernels*, Studia Math. **236** (2017), 245–269.
- [3] D. CRUZ-URIBE, SFO, J. MARTELL AND C. PÉREZ, *Sharp weighted estimates for classical operators*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), 408–441.
- [4] W. DAMIÁN, A. K. LERNER AND C. PÉREZ, *Sharp weighted bounds for multilinear maximal functions and Calderón-Zygmund operators*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **21** (2012), 751–765.
- [5] C. FEFFERMAN AND E. M. STEIN, *Some maximal inequalities*, Amer. J. Math. **93** (1971), 107–115.
- [6] L. GRAFAKOS, *Modern Fourier analysis, GTM250*, 2nd Edition, Springer, New York, 2008.
- [7] L. GRAFAKOS AND J. M. MARTELL, *Extrapolation of weighted norm inequalities for multivariable operators and applications*, J. Geom. Anal. **14** (2004), 19–46.
- [8] G. HU, *Weighted vector-valued estimates for a non-standard Calderón-Zygmund operator*, Nonlinear Anal. **165** (2017), 143–162.
- [9] T. HYTÖNEN, *The A_2 theorem: Remarks and complements*, Contemp. Math. 612, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, 2014, 91–106.
- [10] T. HYTÖNEN, M. T. LACEY AND C. PÉREZ, *Sharp weighted bounds for the q -variation of singular integrals*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **45** (2013), 529–540.
- [11] T. HYTÖNEN AND C. PÉREZ, *Sharp weighted bounds involving A_{∞}* , Anal. PDE. **6** (2013), 777–818.
- [12] A. K. LERNER, *A pointwise estimate for the local sharp maximal function with applications to singular integrals*, Bull. London. Math. Soc. **42** (2010), 843–856.
- [13] A. LERNER, S. OMBROSI, C. PÉREZ, R. H. TORRES AND R. TRUJILLO-GONZÁLEZ, *New maximal functions and multiple weights for the multilinear Calderón-Zygmund theory*, Adv. Math. **220** (2009), 1222–1264.
- [14] K. LI, K. MOEN AND W. SUN, *The sharp weighted bound for multilinear maximal functions and Calderón-Zygmund operators*, J. Four. Anal. Appl. **20** (2014), 751–765.
- [15] C. PÉREZ, G. PRADOLINI, R. H. TORRES AND R. TRUJILLO-GONZÁLEZ, *End-point estimates for iterated commutators of multilinear singular integrals*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **46** (2010), 26–42.

- [16] M. RAO AND Z. REN, *Theory of Orlicz spaces*, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 146, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1991.
- [17] E. M. STEIN, *Harmonic Analysis, Real Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ. 1993.

(Received March 12, 2017)

Wenhua Gao
School of Applied Mathematics
Beijing Normal University Zhuhai
Zhuhai 519087, P. R. China
e-mail: 88558509@qq.com

Guoen Hu
Department of Applied Mathematics
Zhengzhou Information Science and Technology Institute
Zhengzhou 450001, P. R. China
e-mail: guoenxx@163.com