

HIGHER-DIMENSIONAL WEIGHTED KNOPP TYPE INEQUALITIES

FAYOU ZHAO AND LIQIN MA

(Communicated by L. E. Persson)

Abstract. We give a necessary and sufficient condition on weight pairs for a class of higher-dimensional weighted Knopp type inequalities to hold. The corresponding result for the multivariate adjoint Hardy type operator is obtained.

1. Introduction

The classical one-dimensional Knopp inequality [3, Theorem 335, p.250]

$$\int_0^\infty \exp\left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \ln f(t) dt\right) dx \leq e \int_0^\infty f(x) dx, \text{ for } f > 0 \quad (1)$$

can be regarded as a continuous analogue of Carleman's inequality, where the discrete Carleman inequality [3, Theorem 334, p.249] is of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k)^{1/k} \leq e \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k, \text{ for all } a_k > 0, \quad (2)$$

and the constant e in (1) and (2) is the best possible.

The Knopp inequality is closely connected with the classical Hardy inequality

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt\right)^p dx \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_0^\infty f^p(x) dx \quad (3)$$

provided that $f > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. We usually denote by H the one-dimensional Hardy operator of the form

$$H(f)(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt, \quad x > 0.$$

In fact, the one-dimensional Knopp inequality can be obtained when we replace f by the function $f^{1/p}$ in (3) and let p tend to infinity. From this observation, the Knopp inequality may be regarded as a limit case (as $p \rightarrow \infty$) of the Hardy inequality.

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26D15, 26D10.

Keywords and phrases: Knopp type inequality, weight, Hardy inequality, adjoint Hardy type operator.

There are a whole slew of n -dimensional analogues of the Hardy operator. Here are two natural extensions. We now let $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 := (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ and $dx = dx_1 dx_2$. Pachpatte [6] considered the two-dimensional Hardy operator given by

$$P^2(f)(x) = \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \int_0^{x_1} \int_0^{x_2} f(y) dy, \quad f > 0$$

and proved that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} (P^2(f)(x))^p dx \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^{2p} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} f^p(x) dx \tag{4}$$

for $1 < p < \infty$. Taking $p \rightarrow \infty$ and replacing f by $f^{1/p}$ in (4), Heinig, Kerman, and Krbeč [5] characterized two positive weights (here and in what follows, a weight w will be a nonnegative locally integrable function) u and v for the following inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} u(x) \exp(P^2(\log f))(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^2} v(x) f(x) dx, \tag{5}$$

to hold if and only if for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$,

$$\sup_{y_1 > 0, y_2 > 0} y_1^{\alpha_1} y_2^{\alpha_2} \int_{y_1}^{\infty} \int_{y_2}^{\infty} x_1^{-(1+\alpha_1)} x_2^{-(1+\alpha_2)} w(x) dx < \infty, \tag{6}$$

where $w(x) = u(x) \exp(P^2(\log(1/v)))(x)$. The authors [5] also pointed out that the higher-dimensional result carries over in the same way. Precisely, the result similar to (5) and (6) is also valid for the n -dimensional Hardy operator P^n defined by

$$P^n f(x) = \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^n x_k} \int_0^{x_1} \cdots \int_0^{x_n} f(y) dy, \quad f > 0, \tag{7}$$

where $x_k > 0$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$), $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $dy = dy_1 \cdots dy_n$.

As another high-dimensional extension of the one-dimensional Hardy operator, Christ and Grafakos [1] introduced the n -dimensional averaging operator on the ball

$$\mathcal{H}f(x) = \frac{1}{|B(0, |x|)|} \int_{B(0, |x|)} f(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \tag{8}$$

defined for positive functions f on \mathbb{R}^n , where $B(0, r)$ is the ball of radius $r > 0$ centered at the origin in \mathbb{R}^n . In [1] they proved that for $1 < p < \infty$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\mathcal{H}f(x))^p dx \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^p(x) dx. \tag{9}$$

There are many different ways to obtain the inequality (9) and to show the constant $(p/(p-1))^p$ is sharp, see [2] and [4]. Drábek, Heinig and Kufner [2] obtained the characterization for the n -dimensional Knopp inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) \exp(\mathcal{H}(\log f))(x) dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(x) f(x) dx,$$

with two positive weights u and v on \mathbb{R}^n , which holds if and only if

$$\sup_{t>0} t^n \int_{|x|\geq t} \frac{w(x)}{|x|^{2n}} < \infty,$$

where $w(x) = u(x) \exp(\mathcal{H}(\log(1/v)))(x)$.

Throughout the paper we shall use the following notations: $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m}$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m}$ and $dy = dy_m \cdots dy_1$. The operators defined by (7) and (8) turn out to be enormously different. For $P^n(f)$, f is defined on the rectangle $[0, x_1] \times [0, x_2] \times \dots \times [0, x_n]$ in \mathbb{R}^n . While, for $\mathcal{H}(f)$, f is defined on the n -dimensional Euclidean ball $B(0, |x|)$. To generalize these two kinds of operators, Lu, Yan and Zhao [7] gave the definition of the Hardy operator \mathcal{H}_m on higher-dimensional product spaces, where

$$\mathcal{H}_m f(x) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{|B(0, |x_k|)|} \right) \int_{B(0, |x_1|)} \cdots \int_{B(0, |x_m|)} f(y) dy, \quad f > 0,$$

and $\prod_{i=1}^m |x_i| \neq 0$. They [7] established the boundedness inequality for \mathcal{H}_m on L^p with $1 < p < \infty$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} (\mathcal{H}_m f(x))^p dx \leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1} \right)^{mp} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} f^p(x) dx.$$

Also, it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \left(\mathcal{H}_m(f^{1/p})(x) \right)^p = \exp(\mathcal{H}_m(\log f))(x).$$

Inspired by the above work, it is natural to ask whether we can give a characterization for the weighted multi-dimensional Knopp type inequality on higher-dimensional product spaces. In this paper, we confirm this question and formulate our results as follows.

THEOREM 1. *Let f, U, V be positive measurable functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m}$. Define W by*

$$W(x) = U(x) \exp(\mathcal{H}_m(\log \frac{1}{V}))(x).$$

Then the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} U(x) \exp(\mathcal{H}_m(\log f))(x) dx \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} V(x) f(x) dx \tag{10}$$

holds if and only if for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m > 0$,

$$A_1 := \sup_{t_k > 0, 1 \leq k \leq m} \prod_{k=1}^m t_k^{n_k \alpha_k} \int_{|x_1| \geq t_1} \cdots \int_{|x_m| \geq t_m} \frac{W(x)}{\prod_{j=1}^m |x_j|^{n_j(\alpha_j+1)}} dx < \infty. \tag{11}$$

Let $\alpha_k > 0$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$. We define the analogous adjoint Hardy type operator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_m^*(f)(x) &= \prod_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{\alpha_k}{n_k} |B(0, |x_k|)|^{\frac{\alpha_k}{n_k}} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \setminus B(0, |x_1|)} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m} \setminus B(0, |x_m|)} \frac{f(y)}{\prod_{j=1}^m |B(0, |y_j|)|^{(1+\frac{\alpha_j}{n_j})}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

A similar characterization is given for the higher-dimensional Knopp inequality with the operator \mathcal{H}_m^* .

THEOREM 2. *Let f, U, V be positive measurable functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{R}^{n_m}$. Define W^* by*

$$W^*(x) = U(x) \exp \left(\mathcal{H}_m^* \left(\log \frac{1}{V} \right) \right) (x).$$

Then the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} U(x) \exp(\mathcal{H}_m^*(\log f))(x) dx \leq C_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1}} \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_m}} V(x) f(x) dx \tag{12}$$

holds if and only if for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m > 0$

$$A_2 := \sup_{t_k > 0, 1 \leq k \leq m} \prod_{k=1}^m t_k^{-\alpha_k} \int_{|x_1| \leq t_1} \cdots \int_{|x_m| \leq t_m} \frac{W^*(x)}{\prod_{j=1}^m |x_j|^{n_j - \alpha_j}} dx < \infty. \tag{13}$$

Especially, when $m = 1$ and $n_1 = n$, then \mathcal{H}_1^* is the analogous adjoint Hardy type operator \mathcal{H}^* given by

$$\mathcal{H}^*(f)(x) = \frac{\alpha}{n} |B(0, |x|)|^{\frac{\alpha}{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(0, |x|)} \frac{f(y)}{|B(0, |y|)|^{(1+\frac{\alpha}{n})}} dy, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

From Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY 1. *Let f, U, V be positive measurable functions defined on \mathbb{R}^n . The inequality*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U(x) \exp(\mathcal{H}^*(\log f))(x) dx \leq C_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V(x) f(x) dx$$

holds if and only if for any $\alpha > 0$,

$$A_3 := \sup_{t > 0} t^{-\alpha} \int_{|x| \leq t} \frac{W^*(x)}{|x|^{n-\alpha}} dx < \infty,$$

where

$$W^*(x) = U(x) \exp \left(\mathcal{H}^* \left(\log \frac{1}{V} \right) \right) (x).$$

It should be pointed out that Corollary 1 is new since it does not seem to have previously appeared in the literature. We will give the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 2 and of Theorem 2 in Section 3.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Proof. For simplicity we only provide the proof in the case $m = 2$. The case $m \geq 3$ presents only notational differences and does not require any new ideas.

We firstly assume that (11) holds and we shall prove (10). Writing $Vf = g$, we find that (10) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W(x) \exp(\mathcal{H}_2(\log g))(x) dx \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} g(x) dx, \tag{14}$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$, $dx = dx_2 dx_1$, and $\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2} = \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$. To shorten notation, write $B(r) = B(0, r)$ for $r > 0$. By changing variables, we can write the left hand side of (14) as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W(x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{v_{n_1} v_{n_2}} \int_{B(1)} \int_{B(1)} \log g(|x_1|z_1, |x_2|z_2) dz_2 dz_1\right) dx.$$

Here and in what follows, $v_N = \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{\Gamma(1+N/2)}$ is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^N and $\omega_{N-1} = Nv_N$ denotes the area of the unite sphere Σ_{N-1} for any positive integer $N \geq 2$.

An easy calculation shows that for any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$

$$\frac{1}{v_{n_1} v_{n_2}} \int_{B(1)} \int_{B(1)} \log(|z_1|^{n_1 \alpha_1} |z_2|^{n_2 \alpha_2}) dz_2 dz_1 = -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2).$$

Hence the left hand side of (14) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W(x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{v_{n_1} v_{n_2}} \int_{B(1)} \int_{B(1)} \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^2 |z_i|^{n_i \alpha_i} g(|x_1|z_1, |x_2|z_2)\right) dz_2 dz_1\right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{e^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}}{v_{n_1} v_{n_2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W(x) \left(\int_{B(1)} \int_{B(1)} |z_1|^{n_1 \alpha_1} |z_2|^{n_2 \alpha_2} g(|x_1|z_1, |x_2|z_2) dz_2 dz_1\right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the last estimate follows by Jensen’s inequality. Furthermore, the last integral above can be written in the polar coordinates form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t_1^{n_1-1} t_2^{n_2-1} W(t_1 x'_1, t_2 x'_2) \tag{15} \\ & \times \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^2 s_i^{n_i \alpha_i + n_i - 1} g(t_1 s_1 z'_1, t_2 s_2 z'_2) ds_2 ds_1 dt_2 dt_1 d\sigma(z'_2) d\sigma(z'_1) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1). \end{aligned}$$

By Fubini’s Theorem and substitution $t_i s_i = r_i$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^2 t_i^{n_i-1} s_i^{n_i \alpha_i + n_i - 1} W(t_1 x'_1, t_2 x'_2) g(t_1 s_1 z'_1, t_2 s_2 z'_2) ds_2 ds_1 dt_2 dt_1 \\ & = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i^{n_i-1} s_i^{n_i \alpha_i - 1} g(r_1 z'_1, r_2 z'_2) W\left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} x'_1, \frac{r_2}{s_2} x'_2\right) ds_2 ds_1 dr_2 dr_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(substituting } \frac{r_i}{s_i} = \tau_i, ds_i = -\frac{r_i}{\tau_i^2} d\tau_i) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_{r_1}^\infty \int_{r_2}^\infty \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i^{n_i \alpha_i + n_i - 1} \tau_i^{-n_i \alpha_i - 1} g(r_1 z'_1, r_2 z'_2) W(\tau_1 x'_1, \tau_2 x'_2) d\tau_2 d\tau_1 dr_2 dr_1. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (15) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W(x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^2 |B(|x_k|)|} \int_{|y_1| \leq |x_1|} \int_{|y_2| \leq |x_2|} \log g(y_1, y_2) dy_2 dy_1\right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{e^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}}{v_{n_1} v_{n_2}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r_1^{n_1-1} r_2^{n_2-1} g(r_1 z'_1, r_2 z'_2) r_1^{n_1 \alpha_1} r_2^{n_2 \alpha_2} \\ & \quad \times \int_{r_1}^\infty \int_{r_2}^\infty \frac{\tau_1^{n_1-1} \tau_2^{n_2-1} W(\tau_1 x'_1, \tau_2 x'_2)}{\tau_1^{n_1(\alpha_1+1)} \tau_2^{n_2(\alpha_2+1)}} d\tau_2 d\tau_1 dr_2 dr_1 d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1) d\sigma(z'_2) d\sigma(z'_1) \\ & = \frac{e^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}}{v_{n_1} v_{n_2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} g(x) \\ & \quad \times \left(|x_1|^{n_1 \alpha_1} |x_2|^{n_2 \alpha_2} \int_{|y_1| \geq |x_1|} \int_{|y_2| \geq |x_2|} \frac{W(y_1, y_2)}{|y_1|^{n_1(\alpha_1+1)} |y_2|^{n_2(\alpha_2+1)}} dy_2 dy_1 \right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{e^{(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}}{v_{n_1} v_{n_2}} A_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} g(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used the condition (11).

Conversely, suppose that (14) holds, we shall show that (11) holds for the case $m = 2$. Fixing $t_1, t_2 > 0$, for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$, we take the piecewise function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} t_1^{-n_1} t_2^{-n_2} & |x_1| \leq t_1, |x_2| \leq t_2, \\ t_1^{-n_1} e^{-n_2(1+\alpha_2)} |x_2|^{-n_2(1+\alpha_2)} t_2^{n_2 \alpha_2} & |x_1| \leq t_1, |x_2| > t_2, \\ t_2^{-n_2} e^{-n_1(1+\alpha_1)} |x_1|^{-n_1(1+\alpha_1)} t_1^{n_1 \alpha_1} & |x_1| > t_1, |x_2| \leq t_2, \\ e^{-n_1(1+\alpha_1)-n_2(1+\alpha_2)} |x_1|^{-n_1(1+\alpha_1)} |x_2|^{-n_2(1+\alpha_2)} t_1^{n_1 \alpha_1} t_2^{n_2 \alpha_2} & |x_1| > t_1, |x_2| > t_2. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, g is radial and the right hand side of (14) is

$$\begin{aligned} & c_1 \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty s_1^{n_1-1} s_2^{n_2-1} g(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1 d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1) \\ & = c_1 \omega_{n_1-1} \omega_{n_2-1} \left(\int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} + \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_2}^\infty + \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_0^{t_2} + \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_{t_2}^\infty \right) \prod_{i=1}^2 s_i^{n_i-1} g(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1 \\ & = c_1 v_{n_1} v_{n_2} \left(1 + \frac{e^{-n_2(1+\alpha_2)}}{\alpha_2} + \frac{e^{-n_1(1+\alpha_1)}}{\alpha_1} + \frac{e^{-n_1(1+\alpha_1)-n_2(1+\alpha_2)}}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} \right) \\ & =: C_1(n_1, n_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the left hand side of (14) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & C_1(n_1, n_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \\ & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W(x) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^2 |B(|x_k|)|} \int_{|y_1| \leq |x_1|} \int_{|y_2| \leq |x_2|} \log g(y_1, y_2) dy_2 dy_1\right) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty s_1^{n_1-1} s_2^{n_2-1} W(s_1 x'_1, s_2 x'_2) \\
 &\quad \times \exp\left(\frac{n_1 n_2}{s_1^{n_1} s_2^{n_2}} \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} r_1^{n_1-1} r_2^{n_2-1} \log g(r_1, r_2) dr_2 dr_1\right) ds_2 ds_1 d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1) \\
 &= \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \sum_{j=1}^4 I_j(x'_1, x'_2) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(x'_1, x'_2) &= \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} \prod_{j=1}^2 s_j^{n_j-1} W(s_1 x'_1, s_2 x'_2) E(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1, \\
 I_2(x'_1, x'_2) &= \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_2}^\infty \prod_{j=1}^2 s_j^{n_j-1} W(s_1 x'_1, s_2 x'_2) E(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1, \\
 I_3(x'_1, x'_2) &= \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_0^{t_2} \prod_{j=1}^2 s_j^{n_j-1} W(s_1 x'_1, s_2 x'_2) E(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1, \\
 I_4(x'_1, x'_2) &= \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_{t_2}^\infty \prod_{j=1}^2 s_j^{n_j-1} W(s_1 x'_1, s_2 x'_2) E(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E(s_1, s_2) = \exp\left(\frac{n_1 n_2}{s_1^{n_1} s_2^{n_2}} \int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i^{n_i-1} \log g(r_1, r_2) dr_2 dr_1\right).$$

Since each integral $\int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} I_j(x'_1, x'_2) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1)$ is positive, we have

$$C_1(n_1, n_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \geq \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} I_4(x'_1, x'_2) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1).$$

It is enough to estimate the term

$$\int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} I_4(x'_1, x'_2) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1).$$

Split the integral $\int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2}$ into four parts,

$$\int_0^{s_1} \int_0^{s_2} = \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} + \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_2}^{s_2} + \int_{t_1}^{s_1} \int_0^{t_2} + \int_{t_1}^{s_1} \int_{t_2}^{s_2},$$

and write

$$E_1(s_1, s_2) = \exp\left(\frac{2}{\prod_{k=1}^2 \frac{n_k}{s_k^{n_k}}} \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i^{n_i-1} \log(t_1^{-n_1} t_2^{-n_2}) dr_2 dr_1\right),$$

$$E_2(s_1, s_2) = \exp\left(\frac{2}{\prod_{k=1}^2 \frac{n_k}{s_k^{n_k}}} \int_0^{t_1} \int_{t_2}^{s_2} \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i^{n_i-1} \log(t_1^{-n_1} e^{-n_2(1+\alpha_2)} r_2^{-n_2(1+\alpha_2)} t_2^{n_2 \alpha_2}) dr_2 dr_1\right),$$

$$E_3(s_1, s_2) = \exp \left(\prod_{k=1}^2 \frac{n_k}{s_k^{n_k}} \int_{t_1}^{s_1} \int_0^{t_2} \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i^{n_i-1} \log(t_2^{-n_2} e^{-n_1(1+\alpha_1)} r_1^{-n_1(1+\alpha_1)} t_1^{n_1 \alpha_1}) dr_2 dr_1 \right),$$

$$E_4(s_1, s_2) = \exp \left(\prod_{k=1}^2 \frac{n_k}{s_k^{n_k}} \int_{t_1}^{s_1} \int_{t_2}^{s_2} \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i^{n_i-1} \log \left(\prod_{i=1}^2 e^{-n_i(1+\alpha_i)} r_i^{-n_i(1+\alpha_i)} t_i^{n_i \alpha_i} \right) dr_2 dr_1 \right).$$

We have

$$I_4(x'_1, x'_2) = \int_{t_1}^{\infty} \int_{t_2}^{\infty} s_1^{n_1-1} s_2^{n_2-1} W(s_1 x'_1, s_2 x'_2) \prod_{k=1}^4 E_k(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1.$$

It is easy to get

$$E_1(s_1, s_2) = \exp \left(\frac{t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2}}{s_1^{n_1} s_2^{n_2}} (-n_1 \log t_1 - n_2 \log t_2) \right).$$

An elementary calculation shows that

$$E_2(s_1, s_2) = \exp \left(\frac{t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2}}{s_1^{n_1} s_2^{n_2}} (n_1 \log t_1 + n_2 \log t_2 + (n_2 - 1)(1 + \alpha_2)) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{t_1}{s_1} \right)^{n_1} (-n_1 \log t_1 + n_2 \alpha_2 \log t_2 - n_2 (\alpha_2 + 1) \log s_2 + (1 - n_2)(1 + \alpha_2)) \right),$$

$$E_3(s_1, s_2) = \exp \left(\frac{t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2}}{s_1^{n_1} s_2^{n_2}} (n_2 \log t_2 + n_1 \log t_1 + (n_1 - 1)(1 + \alpha_1)) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{t_2}{s_2} \right)^{n_2} (-n_2 \log t_2 + n_1 \alpha_1 \log t_1 - n_1 (\alpha_1 + 1) \log s_1 + (1 - n_1)(1 + \alpha_1)) \right),$$

$$E_4(s_1, s_2) = \exp \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 (n_i \alpha_i \log t_i - n_i (\alpha_i + 1) \log s_i + (1 - n_i)(1 + \alpha_i)) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2}}{s_1^{n_1} s_2^{n_2}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 (1 - n_i)(1 + \alpha_i) - n_i \log t_i \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{t_1}{s_1} \right)^{n_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 (n_i - 1)(1 + \alpha_i) + n_1 \log t_1 + n_2 (\alpha_2 + 1) \log s_2 - n_2 \alpha_2 \log t_2 \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{t_2}{s_2} \right)^{n_2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 (n_i - 1)(1 + \alpha_i) + n_2 \log t_2 + n_1 (\alpha_1 + 1) \log s_1 - n_1 \alpha_1 \log t_1 \right) \right).$$

From these estimates we obtain that

$$\prod_{k=1}^4 E_k(s_1, s_2) = \frac{t_1^{n_1 \alpha_1} t_2^{n_2 \alpha_2}}{s_1^{n_1 (\alpha_1 + 1)} s_2^{n_2 (\alpha_2 + 1)}} e^{\sum_{i=1}^2 (1 - n_i)(1 + \alpha_i)} \\ \times \exp \left(\left(\frac{t_1}{s_1} \right)^{n_1} (n_1 - 1)(1 + \alpha_1) + \left(\frac{t_2}{s_2} \right)^{n_2} (n_2 - 1)(1 + \alpha_2) \right).$$

Clearly we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} I_4(x'_1, x'_2) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1) \\ & \geq e^{\sum_{i=1}^2 (1-n_i)(1+\alpha_i)} t_1^{n_1 \alpha_1} t_2^{n_2 \alpha_2} \int_{|x_1| \geq t_1} \int_{|x_2| \geq t_2} \frac{W(x_1, x_2)}{|x_1|^{n_1(\alpha_1+1)} |x_2|^{n_2(\alpha_2+1)}} dx_2 dx_1 \end{aligned}$$

and this implies (11) by taking the supremum over all $t_1, t_2 > 0$. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Theorem 2 can be proved in a similar way as Theorem 1. Hence, we only point out some differences of the corresponding relations. It is easy to conclude that (12) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W^*(x_1, x_2) \exp(\mathcal{H}_2^*(\log g))(x_1, x_2) dx \leq c_3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} g(x_1, x_2) dx. \tag{16}$$

Assume that (13) holds. For any $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 > 0$,

$$\frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\omega_{n_1-1} \omega_{n_2-1}} \int_{|z_1| \geq 1} \int_{|z_2| \geq 1} \frac{\log(|z_1|^{n_1} |z_2|^{n_2})}{|z_1|^{n_1+\alpha_1} |z_2|^{n_2+\alpha_2}} dz_2 dz_1 = \frac{n_1}{\alpha_1} + \frac{n_2}{\alpha_2},$$

then by using Jensen’s inequality, the integral becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W^*(x_1, x_2) \exp(\mathcal{H}_2^*(\log g))(x_1, x_2) dx \\ & = e^{-\frac{n_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{n_2}{\alpha_2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W^*(x_1, x_2) \exp\left(\frac{\int_{|z_1| \geq 1} \int_{|z_2| \geq 1} \frac{\log(|z_1|^{n_1} |z_2|^{n_2} g(|x_1|z_1, |x_2|z_2))}{|z_1|^{n_1+\alpha_1} |z_2|^{n_2+\alpha_2}} dz_2 dz_1}{\frac{\omega_{n_1-1} \omega_{n_2-1}}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}}\right) dx \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{n_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{n_2}{\alpha_2}} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\omega_{n_1-1} \omega_{n_2-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W^*(x_1, x_2) \int_{|z_1| \geq 1} \int_{|z_2| \geq 1} \frac{g(|x_1|z_1, |x_2|z_2)}{|z_1|^{\alpha_1} |z_2|^{\alpha_2}} dz_2 dz_1 dx. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar argument as in Theorem 1, the last integral above is expressed by the polar coordinates form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t_1^{n_1-1} t_2^{n_2-1} W^*(t_1 x'_1, t_2 x'_2) \\ & \times \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \prod_{i=1}^2 s_i^{n_i-1-\alpha_i} g(t_1 s_1 z'_1, t_2 s_2 z'_2) ds_2 ds_1 dt_2 dt_1 d\sigma(z'_2) d\sigma(z'_1) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Interchanging the order of integration and changing variables $t_i s_i = r_i$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \prod_{i=1}^2 t_i^{n_i-1} s_i^{n_i-1-\alpha_i} W^*(t_1 x'_1, t_2 x'_2) g(t_1 s_1 z'_1, t_2 s_2 z'_2) ds_2 ds_1 dt_2 dt_1 \\ & = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i^{n_i-1} s_i^{-1-\alpha_i} g(r_1 z'_1, r_2 z'_2) W^*\left(\frac{r_1}{s_1} x'_1, \frac{r_2}{s_2} x'_2\right) ds_2 ds_1 dr_2 dr_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(substituting } \frac{r_i}{s_i} = \tau_i, ds_i = -\frac{r_i}{\tau_i^2} d\tau_i) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^{r_1} \int_0^{r_2} \prod_{i=1}^2 r_i^{n_i-1-\alpha_i} \tau_i^{\alpha_i-1} g(r_1 z'_1, r_2 z'_2) W^*(\tau_1 x'_1, \tau_2 x'_2) d\tau_2 d\tau_1 dr_2 dr_1. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} W^*(x_1, x_2) \exp(\mathcal{A}_2^*(\log g))(x_1, x_2) dx \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{n_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{n_2}{\alpha_2}} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\omega_{n_1-1} \omega_{n_2-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty r_1^{n_1-1} r_2^{n_2-1} g(r_1 z'_1, r_2 z'_2) \\ & \quad \times \prod_{k=1}^2 r_k^{-\alpha_k} \int_0^{r_1} \int_0^{r_2} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^2 \tau_i^{n_i-1} W^*(\tau_1 x'_1, \tau_2 x'_2)}{\tau_1^{n_1-\alpha_1} \tau_2^{n_2-\alpha_2}} d\tau_2 d\tau_1 dr_2 dr_1 d\sigma(z'_2) d\sigma(z'_1) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1) \\ & = e^{-\frac{n_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{n_2}{\alpha_2}} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\omega_{n_1-1} \omega_{n_2-1}} \\ & \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} g(x) \left(|x_1|^{-\alpha_1} |x_2|^{-\alpha_2} \int_{|y_1| \leq |x_1|} \int_{|y_2| \leq |x_2|} \frac{W^*(y_1, y_2)}{|y_1|^{n_1-\alpha_1} |y_2|^{n_2-\alpha_2}} dy_2 dy_1 \right) dx \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{n_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{n_2}{\alpha_2}} \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}{\omega_{n_1-1} \omega_{n_2-1}} A_2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}} g(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which conclude that (16) holds under the assumption of $A_2 < \infty$.

On the other hand, we take the radial piecewise function

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-2t_1 - \alpha_1 t_2 - \alpha_2} |x_1|^{\alpha_1 - n_1} |x_2|^{\alpha_2 - n_2} & |x_1| \leq t_1, |x_2| \leq t_2, \\ e^{-1t_1 - \alpha_1 t_2 - \alpha_2} |x_1|^{-\alpha_1 - n_1} |x_2|^{\alpha_2 - n_2} & |x_1| > t_1, |x_2| \leq t_2, \\ e^{-1t_1 - \alpha_1 t_2 + \alpha_2} |x_1|^{\alpha_1 - n_1} |x_2|^{-\alpha_2 - n_2} & |x_1| \leq t_1, |x_2| > t_2, \\ t_1^{\alpha_1} t_2^{\alpha_2} |x_1|^{-\alpha_1 - n_1} |x_2|^{-\alpha_2 - n_2} & |x_1| > t_1, |x_2| > t_2 \end{cases}$$

with $t_1, t_2 > 0$ and $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1+n_2}$.

Obviously, the right hand side of (16) is

$$\begin{aligned} & c_2 \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty s_1^{n_1-1} s_2^{n_2-1} g(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1 d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1) \\ & = \frac{c_2 \omega_{n_1-1} \omega_{n_2-1}}{\alpha_1 \alpha_2} (e^{-2} + 2e^{-1} + 1) \\ & =: C_2(n_1, n_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

Similar arguments as that of proof of Theorem 1, we have

$$C_2(n_1, n_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) \geq \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \mathbf{J}_1(s_1, s_2) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1),$$

where $\mathbf{J}_1(s_1, s_2)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{J}_1(s_1, s_2) &= \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} s_1^{n_1-1} s_2^{n_2-1} W^*(s_1 x'_1, s_2 x'_2) \exp(\alpha_1 \alpha_2 s_1^{\alpha_1} s_2^{\alpha_2} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{s_1}^{t_1} \int_{s_2}^{t_2} + \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_{s_2}^{t_2} + \int_{s_1}^{t_1} \int_{t_2}^\infty + \int_{t_1}^\infty \int_{t_2}^\infty \right) \frac{\log g(r_1, r_2)}{r_1^{1+\alpha_1} r_2^{1+\alpha_2}} dr_2 dr_1) ds_2 ds_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_0^{t_1} \int_0^{t_2} s_1^{n_1-1} s_2^{n_2-1} W^*(s_1 x'_1, s_2 x'_2) \prod_{k=1}^4 F_k(s_1, s_2) ds_2 ds_1.$$

By the tedious calculations without any technique, we obtain that

$$\prod_{k=1}^4 F_k(s_1, s_2) = e^{-2 - \frac{n_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{n_2}{\alpha_2}} \frac{t_1^{-\alpha_1} t_2^{-\alpha_2}}{s_1^{n_1 - \alpha_1} s_2^{n_2 - \alpha_2}} \exp\left(2 - \left(\frac{t_1}{s_1}\right)^{\alpha_1} - \left(\frac{t_2}{s_2}\right)^{\alpha_2}\right),$$

holds and then we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(n_1, n_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_2) &\geq \int_{\Sigma_{n_1-1}} \int_{\Sigma_{n_2-1}} \mathbf{J}_1(s_1, s_2) d\sigma(x'_2) d\sigma(x'_1) \\ &\geq e^{-2 - \frac{n_1}{\alpha_1} - \frac{n_2}{\alpha_2}} t_1^{-\alpha_1} t_2^{-\alpha_2} \int_{|x_1| \leq t_1} \int_{|x_2| \leq t_2} \frac{W^*(x_1, x_2)}{|x_1|^{n_1 - \alpha_1} |x_2|^{n_2 - \alpha_2}} dx_2 dx_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we finish the proof by taking the supremum over all $t_1, t_2 > 0$. \square

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions which help us improve the quality of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. CHRIST AND L. GRAFAKOS, *Best constants for two nonconvolution inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **123**, (1995), 1687–1693.
- [2] P. DRÁBEK, H. P. HEINIG AND A. KUFNER, *Higher-dimensional Hardy inequality*, General inequalities, 7 (Oberwolfach, 1995), 3–16, Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., **123**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1997.
- [3] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD, AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1952.
- [4] Z. FU, L. GRAFAKOS, S. LU AND F. ZHAO, *Sharp bounds for m -linear Hardy and Hilbert operators*, Houston J. Math. **38**, (2012), 225–244.
- [5] H. P. HEINIG, R. KERMAN AND M. KRBEK, *Weighted exponential inequality*, Georgian Math. J. **8**, (2001), 69–86.
- [6] B. G. PACHPATTE, *On multivariable Hardy type inequalities*, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat. (N.S.), **38**, (1992), 355–361.
- [7] S. LU, D. YAN AND F. ZHAO, *Sharp bounds for Hardy type operators on higher-dimensional product spaces*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2013**, (2013): 148, 11 pp.

(Received March 6, 2018)

Fayou Zhao
Department of Mathematics
Shanghai University
Shanghai 200444, P. R. China
e-mail: zhaofayou2008@163.com

Liqin Ma
Department of Mathematics
Shanghai University
Shanghai 200444, P. R. China
e-mail: mlqshu@163.com