

## APPROXIMATION BY MARCINKIEWICZ $\Theta$ -MEANS OF DOUBLE WALSH-FOURIER SERIES

ISTVÁN BLAHOTA, KÁROLY NAGY AND GEORGE TEPHNADZE

(Communicated by T. Erdélyi)

*Abstract.* In this article we discuss the behaviour of  $\Theta$ -means of quadratical partial sums of double Walsh series of a function in  $L^p(G^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). In case  $p = \infty$  by  $L^p(G^2)$  we mean  $C$ , the collection of continuous functions on  $G^2$ . We present the rate of the approximation by  $\Theta$ -means, in particular, in  $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

Our main theorem generalizes two result of Nagy on Nörlund means and weighted means of the cubical partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series [15, 16]. Specifically, we give the two-dimensional analogue of the two results of Móricz, Siddiqi on Nörlund means [14] and Móricz, Rhoades on weighted means [12].

### 1. One- and two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series and summation methods

Now, we give a brief introduction to the Walsh-Fourier analysis [1, 18].

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of positive natural numbers and  $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{P} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $G$  denote the Walsh group. The elements of Walsh group  $G$  are sequences of numbers 0 and 1, that is  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots)$  with  $x_k \in \{0, 1\}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

The group operation on  $G$  is the coordinate-wise addition modulo 2 (denoted by  $+$ ), the normalized Haar measure is denoted by  $\mu$ . Dyadic intervals are defined in usual way

$$I_0(x) := G, \quad I_n(x) := \{y \in G : y = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)\}$$

for  $x \in G, n \in \mathbb{P}$ . They form a base for the neighbourhoods of  $G$ . Let  $0 = (0 : i \in \mathbb{N}) \in G$  denote the null element of  $G$  and  $I_n := I_n(0)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Set  $e_i := (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ , where the  $i$ th coordinate is 1 and the rest are 0 ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Let  $L^p$  denote the usual Lebesgue spaces on  $G$  (with the corresponding norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ ). In the present paper we follow the notation of Móricz and Siddiqi [14]. For the sake of brevity in notation, we agree to write  $L^\infty$  instead of  $C$ , as Móricz and Siddiqi did, and set  $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in G\}$ .

---

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 42C10.

*Keywords and phrases:* Walsh group, Walsh system, Walsh-Fourier series, Nörlund mean, weighted mean, approximation, modulus of continuity, Lipschitz function,  $\Theta$ -mean, two-dimensional system, quadratical partial sum.

Research supported by TÁMOP-4.2.2.A-11/1/KONV-2012-0051, GINOP-2.2.1-15-2017-00055 and Shota Rustaveli National Science Foundation grant YS-18-043.

For  $x \in G$  we define  $|x|$  by

$$|x| := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{x_i}{2^{i+1}}.$$

The modulus of continuity in  $L^p, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , of a function  $f \in L^p$  is defined by

$$\omega_p(f, \delta) := \sup_{|t| < \delta} \|f(\cdot + t) - f(\cdot)\|_p, \quad \delta > 0.$$

The Lipschitz classes in  $L^p$  for each  $\alpha > 0$  are defined by

$$\text{Lip}(\alpha, p) := \{f \in L^p : \omega_p(f, \delta) = O(\delta^\alpha) \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0\}.$$

For  $x = (x^1, x^2) \in G^2$  we define  $|x|$  by  $|x|^2 := |x^1|^2 + |x^2|^2$ . Thus, for  $f \in L^p(G^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) the modulus of continuity  $\omega_p(f, \delta)$  and Lipschitz classes  $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$  are well defined ( $\delta > 0, \alpha > 0$ ). We define the mixed modulus of continuity as follows

$$\omega_{1,2}^p(f, \delta_1, \delta_2) := \sup\{\|f(\cdot + x^1, \cdot + x^2) - f(\cdot + x^1, \cdot) - f(\cdot, \cdot + x^2) + f(\cdot, \cdot)\|_p : |x^1| \leq \delta_1, |x^2| \leq \delta_2\},$$

where  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ .

The Rademacher functions are defined as

$$r_k(x) := (-1)^{x_k} \quad (x \in G, k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The Walsh-Paley functions are defined by the help of Rademacher functions. That is,  $w_0 = 1$  and for  $n \geq 1$

$$w_n(x) := \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (r_k(x))^{n_k} = r_{|n|}(x) (-1)^{\sum_{k=0}^{|n|-1} n_k x_k},$$

where the natural number  $n$  is expressed in the number system based 2, in the form

$$n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n_i 2^i, \quad n_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

(in this expression only a finite number of  $n_i$ 's different from zero). Let the order of  $n > 0$  be denoted by  $|n| := \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : n_j \neq 0\}$ . The Dirichlet kernels are defined by

$$D_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k,$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{P}, D_0 := 0$ . The  $2^n$ th Dirichlet kernels have a closed form (see e.g. [18])

$$D_{2^n}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^n, & \text{if } x \in I_n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise } (n \in \mathbb{N}). \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

It is also known that

$$D_{2^A+j}(x) = D_{2^A}(x) + r_A(x)D_j(x), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^A - 1. \tag{2}$$

(see [18]). The  $n$ th Fejér mean and Fejér kernel of Walsh-Fourier series are defined by

$$\sigma_n(f;x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_i(f;x), \quad K_n(x) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} D_i(x)$$

In 2018, Toledo [20] improved Yano’s [26] basic inequality. He proved that

$$\|K_n\|_1 \leq \frac{17}{15} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3}$$

A Sidon type inequality follows in the next lemma [13, Lemma 1], we will apply it, later.

LEMMA 1. (Móricz, Schipp [13]) *For every  $1 < p \leq 2$ , sequence  $\{a_k\}$  of real numbers, and integer  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_k D_k \right\|_1 \leq \frac{2p}{p-1} n^{1-1/p} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n |a_k|^p \right]^{1/p}.$$

On  $G^2$  we consider the two-dimensional system as  $\{w_{n^1}(x^1) \times w_{n^2}(x^2) : n := (n^1, n^2) \in \mathbb{N}^2\}$ . The two-dimensional Fourier coefficients, the rectangular partial sums of the Fourier series and Dirichlet kernels are defined in the usual way. The  $n$ th Marcinkiewicz mean and Marcinkiewicz kernel of Walsh-Fourier series are defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_n(f;x^1,x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} S_{i,i}(f;x^1,x^2), \quad \mathcal{K}_n(x^1,x^2) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} D_i(x^1)D_i(x^2).$$

Next lemma proved by Glukhov [8] is the two-dimensional analogue of Lemma 1 for  $p = 2$ .

LEMMA 2. (Glukhov [8]) *Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$  be real numbers. Then*

$$\frac{1}{n} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k D_k(\cdot) D_k(\cdot) \right\|_1 \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $c$  is an absolute constant.

As a corollary of Lemma 2 there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{K}_n\|_1 \leq c \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4}$$

Now, let us set the sequence of matrices  $\Theta_n$  in the next form

$$\Theta_n := \begin{pmatrix} \theta_{0,1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \theta_{0,2} & \theta_{1,2} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \theta_{0,3} & \theta_{1,3} & \theta_{2,3} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_{0,n} & \theta_{1,n} & \theta_{2,n} & \dots & \theta_{n-1,n} \end{pmatrix}$$

We always assume that  $\theta_{0,k} = 1$  for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Let the  $n$ th (one-dimensional)  $\Theta$ -mean and kernel be defined by

$$\sigma_n^\Theta(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \theta_{k,n} \hat{f}(k) w_k(x), \quad K_n^\Theta(x) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \theta_{k,n} w_k(x) \tag{5}$$

(see [5, 21]). It is easily seen that

$$\sigma_n^\Theta(f; x) := \int_G f(t) K_n^\Theta(t+x) d\mu(t).$$

Using Abel’s transformation we immediately have that

$$\sigma_n^\Theta(f; x) = - \sum_{l=1}^n \Delta \theta_{l-1,n} S_l(f; x), \tag{6}$$

with the notation  $\Delta \theta_{k,n} := \theta_{k+1,n} - \theta_{k,n}$  ( $\theta_{n,n} = 0$ ) for  $0 \leq k < n$ . Let us set  $\Delta^2 \theta_{k,n} := \Delta \theta_{k+1,n} - \Delta \theta_{k,n}$ , where  $0 \leq k < n$  and  $\theta_{n+1,n} := 0$  (it is natural, see the matrix  $\Theta_{n+2}$ ).

Taking into account equality (6) the  $n$ th  $\Theta$ -mean and kernel of quadratical partial sums defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^\Theta(f; x^1, x^2) &= - \sum_{l=1}^n \Delta \theta_{l-1,n} S_{l,l}(f; x^1, x^2), \\ \mathcal{H}_n^\Theta(x^1, x^2) &= - \sum_{l=1}^n \Delta \theta_{l-1,n} D_l(x^1) D_l(x^2). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

It is also called Marcinkiewicz  $\Theta$ -summation of double Walsh-Fourier series of a function  $f \in L^1(G^2)$  (see [24]).

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $\{q_n : n \geq 0\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative numbers. Let us set  $Q_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q_k$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). (It is always assumed that  $q_0 > 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n = \infty$ .) If we choose  $\theta_{k,n} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} q_i}{Q_n}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ), taking into account equality (7), we immediately have  $\sigma_n^\Theta(f) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{q_{n-k}}{Q_n} S_{k,k}(f)$ . It means that Nörlund-mean of quadratical partial sums is a special  $\Theta$ -mean of quadratical partial sums.

For the one-dimensional Nörlund means of Walsh-Fourier series of a function  $f$  in  $L^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) the rate of the approximation was given in terms of modulus of continuity [14]. In particular, functions in  $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  were

considered, also. As special cases Móricz and Siddiqi obtained the earlier results on the rate of the approximation by Cesàro means given by Yano [27], Jastrebova [10] and Skvortsov [19]. The approximation properties of the Cesàro means of negative order was studied by Goginava in 2002 [9]. Recently, Fridli, Manchanda and Siddiqi generalized the result of Móricz and Siddiqi for homogeneous Banach spaces and dyadic Hardy spaces [7]. A few years ago the second author investigated the rate of the approximation by Nörlund means of quadratical partial sums of double Walsh-Fourier series for functions in the space  $L^p(G^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) [15]. In 2012, the general Nörlund mean method in dimension two was discussed [17], also. Recently, the first author, Baramidze, Memić, Persson, Tephnadze and Wall have some new results with respect to this topic [2, 4, 11].

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $\{p_n : n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative numbers. (It is always assumed that  $p_1 > 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = \infty$ , which is the condition for regularity.) If we choose  $\theta_{k,n} = \frac{\sum_{i=k+1}^n p_i}{P_n}$  ( $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ), taking into account equality (7), we get  $\sigma_n^\Theta(f) = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{k=1}^n p_k S_{k,k}(f)$ . It means that weighted mean of Marcinkiewicz type is a special  $\Theta$ -mean of Marcinkiewicz type.

The rate of the approximation by weighted means of one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series of a function in  $L^p$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) was presented in terms of modulus of continuity [12]. In particular, functions in  $\text{Lip}(\alpha, p)$ , where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  were considered, also. As special cases Móricz and Rhoades obtained the earlier results given by Yano [27], Jastrebova [10] on the rate of the approximation by Cesàro means.

In 2010, the second author discussed the rate of the approximation by weighted means of quadratical partial sums of two-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series for functions in  $L^p(G^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) [16].

Our work is motivated by the paper of Móricz, Siddiqi [14] on Nörlund mean method and the paper of Móricz, Rhoades [12] on weighted mean method. Both of them present the result for one-dimensional Walsh-Fourier series. Recently, the results in papers [12, 14] were generalized by the authors in paper [3]. Namely the approximation properties of one-dimensional  $\theta$ -mean was discussed. It is important to note that some ideas are coming from the paper of Chripkó [5]. She studied the order of convergence of  $\Theta$ -mean with respect to Jacobi-Fourier series.

Our main aim is to investigate the rate of the approximation of Marcinkiewicz  $\Theta$ -mean in terms of modulus of continuity under some general conditions. Our main theorem (Theorem 1) give a common generalization of two result of the second author [15, 16] (see Example 1 and 2). Specifically, we give the two-dimensional analogue of two results of Móricz, Siddiqi on Nörlund means [14] and Móricz, Rhoades on weighted means [12]. Moreover, we present some new results under general conditions for Marcinkiewicz  $\Theta$ -summability.

It is important to note that other aspects of  $\Theta$ -summability methods with respect to Walsh-Fourier series are treated in [21, 22, 23, 24].

### 2. Auxiliary results

Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be the collection of one-dimensional Walsh polynomials of order less than  $n$ , that is, functions of the form

$$P(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k w_k(x),$$

where  $n \geq 1$  and  $\{a_k\}$  is a sequence of real numbers. On  $G^2$  we consider the two-dimensional Walsh polynomials of order less than  $(n, n)$  as

$$T(x^1, x^2) := \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_k D_k(x^1) D_k(x^2),$$

where  $n \geq 1$  and  $\{\alpha_k\}$  is a sequence of real numbers. We note that not every two-dimensional Walsh-polynomial can be written in this form. The set of this special type two-dimensional polynomials are denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_{n,n}$ .

The next Lemma can be derived from the method presented in [15, page 313-314].

LEMMA 3. (Nagy [15]) *Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{2^A, 2^A}, f \in L^p(G^2)$ , where  $A, B \in \mathbb{P}$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that*

$$\left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) P(x) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \leq c \|P\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(f, 2^{-A}, 2^{-A}),$$

with the notation  $x = (x^1, x^2) \in G^2$ .

As specially it is proved that

$$\left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) r_A(x^1) r_A(x^2) \mathcal{K}_j(x) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \leq c \omega_{1,2}^p(f, 2^{-A}, 2^{-A}),$$

for  $|j| \leq A$ .

We need the next Lemma proved in [17].

LEMMA 4. (Nagy [17]) *Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{2^A}, f \in L^p(G^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ) and  $A \in \mathbb{P}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that*

$$\left\| \int_{G^2} (f(\cdot + x) - f(\cdot)) D_{2^A}(x^2) r_A(x^1) P(x^1) d\mu(x) \right\|_p \leq c \|P\|_1 \omega_p(f, 2^{-A}).$$

For two-dimensional variable  $(x^1, x^2) \in G^2$  we use the notations

$$\begin{aligned} r_n^1(x^1, x^2) &:= r_n(x^1), & D_n^1(x^1, x^2) &:= D_n(x^1), & K_n^1(x^1, x^2) &:= K_n(x^1), \\ r_n^2(x^1, x^2) &:= r_n(x^2), & D_n^2(x^1, x^2) &:= D_n(x^2), & K_n^2(x^1, x^2) &:= K_n(x^2), \end{aligned}$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

LEMMA 5. *Let  $n > 2$  be a positive number, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_n^\Theta &= - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} D_{2^j}^1 D_{2^j}^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta \theta_{2^{|n|}+k-1,n} D_{2^{|n|}}^1 D_{2^{|n|}}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} D_{2^j}^2 r_j^1 \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} \Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} (k+1) K_{k+1}^1 - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} D_{2^j}^2 r_j^1 \Delta \theta_{2^j+1-2,n} 2^j K_{2^j}^1 \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} D_{2^j}^1 r_j^2 \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} \Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} (k+1) K_{k+1}^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} D_{2^j}^1 r_j^2 \Delta \theta_{2^j+1-2,n} 2^j K_{2^j}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} r_j^1 r_j^2 \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} \Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} (k+1) \mathcal{K}_{k+1} - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} r_j^1 r_j^2 \Delta \theta_{2^j+1-2,n} 2^j \mathcal{K}_{2^j} \\ &- D_{2^{|n|}}^2 r_{|n|}^1 R_n^1 - D_{2^{|n|}}^1 r_{|n|}^2 R_n^2 - r_{|n|}^1 r_{|n|}^2 \mathcal{R}_n, \end{aligned}$$

with the notation  $R_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta \theta_{2^{|n|}+k-1,n} D_k$  and  $\mathcal{R}_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta \theta_{2^{|n|}+k-1,n} D_k^1 D_k^2$ .

*Proof.* First, we use equality (2) for  $\mathcal{K}_n^\Theta$  (see equality (7), too)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_n^\Theta &= - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{l=2^j}^{2^{j+1}-1} \Delta \theta_{l-1,n} D_l^1 D_l^2 - \sum_{l=2^{|n|}}^n \Delta \theta_{l-1,n} D_l^1 D_l^2 \\ &= - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} D_{2^j+k}^1 D_{2^j+k}^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta \theta_{2^{|n|}+k-1,n} D_{2^{|n|}+k}^1 D_{2^{|n|}+k}^2 \\ &= - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} D_{2^j}^1 D_{2^j}^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} r_j^1 D_k^1 D_{2^j}^2 \\ &- \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} D_{2^j}^1 r_j^2 D_k^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} r_j^1 r_j^2 D_k^1 D_k^2 \\ &- \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta \theta_{2^{|n|}+k-1,n} D_{2^{|n|}}^1 D_{2^{|n|}}^2 - D_{2^{|n|}}^2 r_{|n|}^1 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta \theta_{2^{|n|}+k-1,n} D_k^1 \\ &- D_{2^{|n|}}^1 r_{|n|}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta \theta_{2^{|n|}+k-1,n} D_k^2 - r_{|n|}^1 r_{|n|}^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta \theta_{2^{|n|}+k-1,n} D_k^1 D_k^2 \\ &=: \sum_{l=1}^8 K_n^{\Theta,l}. \end{aligned}$$

For the expression  $K_n^{\Theta,2}$ ,  $K_n^{\Theta,3}$  and  $K_n^{\Theta,4}$  we use Abel’s transformation

$$\begin{aligned} K_n^{\Theta,2} &= - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} D_{2^j}^2 r_j^1 \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} D_k^1 \\ &= - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} D_{2^j}^2 r_j^1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} (\Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} - \Delta \theta_{2^j+k,n}) \sum_{i=0}^k D_i^1 + \Delta \theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} D_k^1 \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} D_{2^j}^2 r_j^1 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} \Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} (k+1) K_{k+1}^1 - \Delta \theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n} 2^j K_{2^j}^1 \right), \end{aligned}$$

( $K_n^{\Theta,3}$  has got a similar form) and

$$\begin{aligned} K_n^{\Theta,4} &= - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} r_j^1 r_j^2 \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} D_k^1 D_k^2 \\ &= - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} r_j^1 r_j^2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} (\Delta \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} - \Delta \theta_{2^j+k,n}) \sum_{i=0}^k D_i^1 D_i^2 + \Delta \theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} D_k^1 D_k^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} r_j^1 r_j^2 \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} \Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n} (k+1) \mathcal{K}_{k+1} - \Delta \theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n} 2^j \mathcal{K}_{2^j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Summarising our results on the expressions  $K_n^{\Theta,1}, \dots, K_n^{\Theta,8}$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

### 3. The rate of the approximation by $\Theta$ -mean of cubical partial sums

In the next theorem the coefficients  $\theta_{k,n} \in [0, 1]$  for all  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f \in L^p(G^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). Let  $n > 2$  be a positive integer. Let the finite sequence  $\{\theta_{k,n} : 0 \leq k \leq n-1\}$  of nonnegative numbers be nonincreasing (in sign  $\theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ ).*

a.) *Let the finite sequence of differences  $\{\Delta \theta_{k,n} : 0 \leq k < n\}$  be nonincreasing (in sign  $\Delta \theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ ). We suppose that*

$$\theta_{n-1,n} = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \tag{8}$$

*Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that*

$$\|\sigma_n^\Theta(f) - f\|_p \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j |\Delta \theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}) + O(\omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|})). \tag{9}$$

b.) *Let the finite sequence of differences  $\{\Delta \theta_{k,n} : 0 \leq k < n\}$  be nondecreasing (in sign  $\Delta \theta_{k,n} \uparrow$ ). Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that*

$$\|\sigma_n^\Theta(f) - f\|_p \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j |\Delta \theta_{2^j-1,n}| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}) + O(\omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|})). \tag{10}$$



REMARK 1. The condition  $0 \leq \theta_{k,n} \leq 1$  for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{P}$  is a usual condition, since in Example 1 and 2 it is satisfied.

For Example 1, easy to see that  $\Delta\theta_{2^j-1,n} = -\frac{q_{n-2^j}}{Q_n}$  and  $\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n} = -\frac{q_{n-2^{j+1}+1}}{Q_n}$ . Thus, as a consequence of our main theorem we get back an analogical form of result of second author on Nörlund means of Marcinkiewicz type [15].

For Example 2,  $\Delta\theta_{2^j-1,n} = -\frac{p_{2^j}}{P_n}$  and  $\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n} = -\frac{p_{2^{j+1}-1}}{P_n}$  hold. Thus, as a consequence of our theorem we have an analogical form of the result of Nagy on weighted means of Marcinkiewicz type [16].

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We carry out the proof for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , for  $p = \infty$  the proof is similar (where  $L^\infty = C$ ). During this proof  $c$  denotes a positive constant, which may vary at different appearances. Keeping in mind that  $\theta_{0,k} = 1$  for all  $k$ , we use Lemma 5 and the usual Minkowski's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_n^\Theta(f) - f\|_p &= \left( \int_{G^2} |\sigma_n^\Theta(f, x) - f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left( \int_{G^2} \left| \int_{G^2} \mathcal{K}_n^\Theta(t)(f(x+t) - f(x)) d\mu(t) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^8 \left( \int_{G^2} \left| \int_{G^2} K_n^{\Theta,k}(t)(f(x+t) - f(x)) d\mu(t) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &=: \sum_{k=1}^8 I_{k,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Using generalized Minkowski's inequality ([28], vol. 1, p. 19) for the expressions  $I_{1,n}$  and  $I_{5,n}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,n} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta\theta_{2^j+k-1,n} \right| \int_{G^2} D_{2^j}(t^1) D_{2^j}(t^2) \left( \int_{G^2} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta\theta_{2^j+k-1,n} \right| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}), \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{5,n} &\leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta\theta_{2^{|n|+k-1,n} \right| \int_{G^2} D_{2^{|n|}}(t^1) D_{2^{|n|}}(t^2) \left( \int_{G^2} |f(x+t) - f(x)|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} d\mu(t) \\ &\leq c \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta\theta_{2^{|n|+k-1,n} \right| \omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

In case a.) (in sign  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ ) we write  $\left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta\theta_{2^j+k-1,n} \right| \leq -2^j \Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}$  and

$$I_{1,n} \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j |\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}).$$

In case b.) (in sign  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \uparrow$ ) we have  $\left| \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-1} \Delta\theta_{2^j+k-1,n} \right| \leq -2^j \Delta\theta_{2^j-1,n}$  and

$$I_{1,n} \leq - \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j \Delta\theta_{2^j-1,n} \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}).$$

Since,  $\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta\theta_{2^{|n|+k-1,n}} \right| = \theta_{2^{|n|-1,n}} - \theta_{n,n} \leq 1$ , in case a.) and b.) we immediately write

$$I_{5,n} \leq c \omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}).$$

For the expression  $I_{2,n}$  usual Minkowski's inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,n} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} |\Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n}| (k+1) \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{G^2} \left| \int_{G^2} D_{2^j}(t^2) r_j(t^1) K_{k+1}(t^1) (f(x+t) - f(x)) d\mu(t) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} |\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| 2^j \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{G^2} \left| \int_{G^2} D_{2^j}(t^2) r_j(t^1) K_{2^j}(t^1) (f(x+t) - f(x)) d\mu(t) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &=: I_{2,n}^1 + I_{2,n}^2. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4 and inequality (3) we write

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,n}^1 &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} |\Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n}| (k+1) \|K_{k+1}\|_1 \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}) \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} |\Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n}| (k+1) \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}) \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

and

$$I_{2,n}^2 \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} |\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| 2^j \|K_{2^j}\|_1 \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}) \tag{14}$$

$$\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} |\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| 2^j \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}). \tag{15}$$

At first, we deal with expression  $I_{2,n}^1$ . In case a.) (in sign  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} |\Delta^2\theta_{2^j+k-1,n}|(k+1) &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} (\Delta\theta_{2^j+k-1,n} - \Delta\theta_{2^j+k,n})(k+1) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} \Delta\theta_{2^j+k-1,n} - (2^j-1)\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n} \\ &\leq -2^j\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_{2,n}^1 \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j |\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}).$$

In case b.) (in sign  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \uparrow$ ) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} |\Delta^2\theta_{2^j+k-1,n}|(k+1) &= (2^j-1)\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n} - \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} \Delta\theta_{2^j+k-1,n} \\ &\leq -\sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} \Delta\theta_{2^j+k-1,n} \leq -2^j\Delta\theta_{2^j-1,n} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_{2,n}^1 \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j |\Delta\theta_{2^j-1,n}| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}).$$

Now, we discuss expression  $I_{2,n}^2$ . In case a.) (in sign  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ ), we immediately write

$$I_{2,n}^2 \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j |\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}).$$

In case b.) (in sign  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \uparrow$ ) we have

$$I_{2,n}^2 \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j |\Delta\theta_{2^j-1,n}| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}).$$

We discuss expression  $I_{3,n}$  analogously. For expression  $I_{4,n}$  we apply usual Minkowski's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{4,n} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} |\Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n}| (k+1) \\
 &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{G^2} \left| \int_{G^2} r_j(t^1) r_j(t^2) \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(t) (f(x+t) - f(x)) d\mu(t) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} |\Delta \theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| 2^j \\
 &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{G^2} \left| \int_{G^2} r_j(t^1) r_j(t^2) \mathcal{K}_{2^j}(t) (f(x+t) - f(x)) d\mu(t) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &=: I_{4,n}^1 + I_{4,n}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 and inequality (4) we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{4,n}^1 &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} |\Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n}| (k+1) \|\mathcal{K}_{k+1}\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(f, 2^{-j}, 2^{-j}) \\
 &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2^j-2} |\Delta^2 \theta_{2^j+k-1,n}| (k+1) \omega_p(f, 2^{-j})
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{4,n}^2 &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} |\Delta \theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| 2^j \|\mathcal{K}_{2^j}\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(f, 2^{-j}, 2^{-j}) \\
 &\leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} |\Delta \theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| 2^j \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

In this point we can apply the same methods for  $I_{4,n}^1$  and  $I_{4,n}^2$  as we used for the expressions  $I_{2,n}^1$  and  $I_{2,n}^2$ , respectively.

Now, we discuss the expression  $I_{6,n}$  (we discuss  $I_{7,n}$  analogously). Lemma 4 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{6,n} &= \left( \int_{G^2} \left| \int_{G^2} D_{2^{|n|}}(t^1) r_{|n|}(t^2) R_n(t^2) (f(x+t) - f(x)) d\mu(t) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\leq c \|R_n\|_1 \omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

At last, by Lemma 3 we write

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{8,n} &= \left( \int_{G^2} \left| \int_{G^2} r_{|n|}(t^1) r_{|n|}(t^2) \mathcal{R}_n(t) (f(x+t) - f(x)) d\mu(t) \right|^p d\mu(x) \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\leq c \|\mathcal{R}_n\|_1 \omega_{1,2}^p(f, 2^{-|n|}, 2^{-|n|}) \leq c \|\mathcal{R}_n\|_1 \omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}).
 \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Lemma 1 with  $p = 2$  implies that

$$\|R_n\|_1 \leq c \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{P} \tag{20}$$

and Lemma 2 yields that

$$\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_1 \leq c \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{P} \tag{21}$$

in both cases a.) and b.). Namely, denote  $\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_1$  or  $\|R_n\|_1$  by  $H_n$ . From these lemmas we obtain

$$H_n \leq c(n - 2^{|n|})^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} |\Delta\theta_{2^{|n|+k-1},n}|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \tag{22}$$

Case a.) ( $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ ) then using condition (8)

$$H_n \leq c(n - 2^{|n|} + 1)|\Delta\theta_{n-1,n}| \leq cn\theta_{n-1,n} \leq c.$$

In case b.) ( $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \uparrow$ ) then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} |\Delta\theta_{2^{|n|+k-1},n}|^2 \leq (n - 2^{|n|} + 1)|\Delta\theta_{2^{|n|-1},n}|^2,$$

and  $|\theta_{k,n}| \leq c$  (here  $c = 1$ ). Since  $n - 2^{|n|} + 1 \leq 2^{|n|}$  we write

$$H_n \leq c(n - 2^{|n|} + 1)|\Delta\theta_{2^{|n|-1},n}| \leq c(|\Delta\theta_{0,n}| + \dots + |\Delta\theta_{2^{|n|-1},n}|) \leq c(\theta_{0,n} - \theta_{2^{|n|},n}) \leq c.$$

This yields that the inequalities (20) and (21) are proved for all  $n$ . We immediately get

$$I_{6,n} \leq c\omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}) \quad \text{for all } n$$

and

$$I_{8,n} \leq c\omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}) \quad \text{for all } n.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the next Theorem we allow that the finite sequence  $\{\theta_{k,n} : 0 \leq k \leq n - 1\}$  has some negative values. Namely,  $\theta_{k,n} \in [c_*, 1]$  with a negative number  $c_*$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $f \in L^p(G^2)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ). Let  $n > 2$  be a positive natural number. Let the finite sequence  $\{\theta_{k,n} : 0 \leq k \leq n - 1\}$  be nonincreasing (in sign  $\theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ ) and  $\theta_{n-1,n} < 0$ .*

*a.) Let the finite sequence of differences  $\{\Delta\theta_{k,n} : 0 \leq k \leq n - 2\}$  be nonincreasing (in sign  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ ). Moreover, we suppose that*

$$|\theta_{n-1,n}| = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad |\Delta\theta_{n-2,n}| = O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \tag{23}$$

Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$\|\sigma_n^\Theta(f) - f\|_p \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j |\Delta\theta_{2^{j+1}-2,n}| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}) + O(\omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|})). \tag{24}$$

b.) Let the finite sequence of differences  $\{\Delta\theta_{k,n} : 0 \leq k \leq n - 2\}$  be nondecreasing (in sign  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \uparrow$ ). Moreover, we suppose that there exists a negative constant  $c_*$ , such that  $\theta_{n-1,n} \geq c_*$  for all  $n$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $c$  such that

$$\|\sigma_n^\Theta(f) - f\|_p \leq c \sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} 2^j |\Delta\theta_{2^j-1,n}| \omega_p(f, 2^{-j}) + O(\omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|})) \tag{25}$$

holds.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We make the proof for such a finite sequence  $\{\theta_{k,n} : 0 \leq k \leq n - 1\}$  for which at least the last member  $\theta_{n-1,n}$  is negative.

We use the method and notations of the proof given in Theorem 1.

$$\|\sigma_n^\Theta(f) - f\|_p \leq \sum_{k=1}^8 I_{k,n}.$$

Since, the most part of the proof goes in the same way as above written (proofs for  $I_{1,n}$ ,  $I_{2,n}$ ,  $I_{3,n}$  and  $I_{4,n}$ ), we give details about the necessary changes.

For the expression  $I_{5,n}$  we have inequality (12). Since,  $\left| \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} \Delta\theta_{2^{|n|+k-1,n} } \right| = |\theta_{2^{|n|-1,n}} - \theta_{n,n}| \leq 1 + |c'|$  (where  $c'$  is coming from condition (23), in case a.) and  $|\theta_{2^{|n|-1,n}} - \theta_{n,n}| \leq 1 + |c_*|$  in case b.), we write

$$I_{5,n} \leq c \omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}).$$

For proving the necessary inequality for  $I_{6,n}$  (and analogously for  $I_{7,n}$ ) we get

$$I_{6,n} \leq c \|R_n\|_1 \omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}). \tag{26}$$

from (18).

Lemma 1 with  $p = 2$  implies that

$$\|R_n\|_1 \leq c \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{P} \tag{27}$$

and Lemma 2 yields that

$$\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_1 \leq c \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{P} \tag{28}$$

in both cases a.) and b.). Namely, denote  $H_n$  the expressions  $\|\mathcal{R}_n\|_1$  or  $\|R_n\|_1$ . From Lemmas 1. and 2. we obtain

$$H_n \leq c(n - 2^{|n|})^{1/2} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} |\Delta\theta_{2^{|n|+k-1,n}}|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \tag{29}$$

Case a.) ( $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ )

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-2^{|n|}} |\Delta\theta_{2^{|n|+k-1},n}|^2 \leq (n-2^{|n|})|\Delta\theta_{n-2,n}|^2 + |\Delta\theta_{n-1,n}|^2.$$

Using condition (23)

$$\begin{aligned} H_n &\leq c(n-2^{|n|})|\Delta\theta_{n-2,n}| + c(n-2^{|n|})^{1/2}|\theta_{n-1,n}| \\ &\leq cn|\Delta\theta_{n-2,n}| + cn^{1/2}|\theta_{n-1,n}| \leq c. \end{aligned}$$

In case b.) ( $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \uparrow$ )

$$H_n \leq c(n-2^{|n|} + 1)|\Delta\theta_{2^{|n|-1},n}| \leq c(\theta_{0,n} - \theta_{2^{|n|},n}) \leq c$$

(see the corresponding part in the proof of Theorem 1).

This yields that the inequality (27) and (28) are proved for all  $n$ . We immediately get

$$I_{6,n} \leq c\omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}) \quad \text{for all } n$$

and

$$I_{8,n} \leq c\omega_p(f, 2^{-|n|}) \quad \text{for all } n.$$

This completes the proof of our theorem.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f \in Lip(\alpha, p)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . For  $\Theta$ -mean  $\sigma_n^\Theta$  of quadratical partial sums we suppose that the conditions in Theorem 1 hold.*

*In case Theorem 1 a.) and Theorem 2 a.) the next equality holds*

$$\|\sigma_n^\Theta(f) - f\|_p = \begin{cases} O(n^{-\alpha}), & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ O(\log n/n), & \text{if } \alpha = 1, \\ O(1/n), & \text{if } \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

*In case Theorem 1 b.), Theorem 2 b.) we have*

$$\|\sigma_n^\Theta(f) - f\|_p = O\left(\sum_{j=0}^{|n|-1} |\Delta\theta_{2^j-1,n}| 2^{j(1-\alpha)} + 2^{-|n|\alpha}\right).$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of analogical theorem of Móricz and Siddiqi [14] (for more details see [12, 3]).  $\square$

**REMARK 2.** *Let us suppose that the finite sequence of  $\{\theta_{k,n} : 0 \leq k < n - 1\}$  is nondecreasing ( $\theta_{k,n} \uparrow$ ) and bounded by a positive constant. Then Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 do not guarantee the uniform boundedness of the  $L_1$ -norm of kernels  $R_n$  and  $\mathcal{R}_n$ , in both cases  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \uparrow$  and  $\Delta\theta_{k,n} \downarrow$ . So, we do not discuss this case. That is, the situation is the same as in the one-dimensional case.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] G.H. AGAEV, N.JA. VILENKIN, G.M. DZHAFARLI, AND A.I. RUBINSTEIN, *Multiplicative systems of functions and harmonic analysis on 0-dimensional groups*, Izd. ("ELM"), Baku, (1981) (in Russian).
- [2] L. BARAMIDZE, L.-E. PERSSON, G. TEPHNADZE AND P. WALL, *Sharp  $H_p - L_p$  type inequalities of weighted maximal operators of Vilenkin-Nörlund means and its applications*, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, **242**, (2016).
- [3] I. BLAHOTA AND K. NAGY, *Approximation by  $\Theta$ -means of Walsh-Fourier series*, Analysis Mathematica, **44**, (1) (2018), 57–71.
- [4] I. BLAHOTA AND G. TEPHNADZE, *On the Nörlund means of Vilenkin-Fourier series*, Acta Mathematica Academiae Paedagogicae Nyregyháziensis, **32**, (2) (2016), 203–213.
- [5] Á. CHRIPKÓ, *Weighted approximation via  $\Theta$ -summations of Fourier-Jacobi series*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar., **47**, (2) (2010), 139–154.
- [6] T. EISNER, *The  $\Theta$ -summation on local fields*, Annales Universitatis Scientiarum Budapestinensis de Rolando Eotvos Nominatae Sectio Computatorica, **33**, (2011), 137–160.
- [7] S. FRIDLI, P. MANCHANDA, AND A.H. SIDDIQI, *Approximation by Walsh-Nörlund means*, Acta Sci. Math., **74**, (2008), 593–608.
- [8] V.A. GLUKHOV, *On the summability of multiple Fourier series with respect to multiplicative systems*, Mat. Zametki, **39**, (1986), 665–673 (in Russian).
- [9] U. GOGINA, *On the approximation properties of Cesàro means of negative order of Walsh-Fourier series*, J. Approx. Theory, **115**, (2002), 9–20.
- [10] M.A. JASTREBOVA, *On approximation of functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition by arithmetic means of their Walsh-Fourier series*, Mat. Sb., **71**, (1966), 214–226 (in Russian).
- [11] N. MEMIĆ, L.-E. PERSSON AND G. TEPHNADZE, *A note on the maximal operators of Vilenkin-Nörlund means with non-increasing coefficients*, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, **53**, (4) (2016), 545–556.
- [12] F. MÓRICZ AND B. E. RHOADES, *Approximation by weighted means of Walsh-Fourier series*, Int. J. Math. Sci., **19**, (1) (1996), 1–8.
- [13] F. MÓRICZ AND F. SCHIPP, *On the integrability and  $L^1$ -convergence of Walsh series with coefficients of bounded variation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **146**, (1) (1990), 99–109.
- [14] F. MÓRICZ AND A. SIDDIQI, *Approximation by Nörlund means of Walsh-Fourier series*, J. Approx. Theory, **70**, (1992), 375–389.
- [15] K. NAGY, *Approximation by Nörlund means of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series*, Georgian Math. J., **18**, (1) (2011), 147–162.
- [16] K. NAGY, *Approximation by weighted means of Walsh-Kaczmarz-Fourier series*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, Serie II, **82**, (2010), 387–406.
- [17] K. NAGY, *Approximation by Nörlund means of double Walsh-Fourier series for Lipschitz functions*, Math. Ineq. Appl., **15**, (2) (2012), 301–322.
- [18] F. SCHIPP, W. R. WADE, P. SIMON, AND J. PÁL, *Walsh Series. An Introduction to Dyadic Harmonic Analysis*, Adam Hilger, (Bristol-New York 1990).
- [19] V.A. SKVORTSOV, *Certain estimates of approximation of functions by Cesàro means of Walsh-Fourier series*, Mat. Zametki, **29**, (1981), 539–547 (in Russian).
- [20] R. TOLEDO, *On the boundedness of the  $L^1$ -norm of Walsh-Fejer kernels*, Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl., **457**, (1) (2018), 153–178.
- [21] F. WEISZ,  *$\Theta$ -summability of Fourier series*, Acta Math. Hungar., **103**, (1-2) (2004), 139–175.
- [22] F. WEISZ,  *$\Theta$ -summation and Hardy spaces*, J. Approx. Theory, **107**, (2000), 121–142.
- [23] F. WEISZ, *Several dimensional  $\Theta$ -summability and Hardy spaces*, Math. Nachr., **230**, (2001), 159–180.
- [24] F. WEISZ, *Marcinkiewicz- $\Theta$ -summability of double Fourier series*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp., **24**, (2004), 103–118.
- [25] C. WATARI, *Best approximation by Walsh polynomials*, Tohoku Math. J., **15**, (1963), 1–5.
- [26] SH. YANO, *On Walsh-Fourier series*, Tohoku Math. J., **3**, (1951), 223–242.



- [27] SH. YANO, *On approximation by Walsh functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **2**, (1951), 962–967.
- [28] A. ZYGMUND, *Trigonometric series*, 3rd edition, Vol. 1 & 2 and combined, Cambridge Univ. Press, (2015).

(Received May 14, 2018)

*István Blahota*  
*Institute of Mathematics and Computer Sciences*  
*University of Nyíregyháza*  
*P.O. Box 166, H-4400 Nyíregyháza, Hungary*  
*e-mail: blahota.istvan@nye.hu*

*Károly Nagy*  
*Institute of Mathematics and Computer Sciences*  
*University of Nyíregyháza*  
*P.O. Box 166, H-4400 Nyíregyháza, Hungary*  
*e-mail: nagy.karoly@nye.hu*

*George Tephnadze*  
*The University of Georgia*  
*School of IT, Engineering and Mathematics, IV*  
*77a Merab Kostava St, Tbilisi, 0128, Georgia*  
*e-mail: g.tephnadze@ug.edu.ge*