

WEIGHTED ITERATED DISCRETE HARDY-TYPE INEQUALITIES

B. K. OMARBAYEVA, L.-E. PERSSON* AND A. M. TEMIRKHANOVA

(Communicated by J. Pečarić)

Abstract. Necessary and sufficient conditions on functions u and ω are established ensuring boundedness of a discrete Hardy-type operator from a weighted sequence space $l_{p,u}$ to a weighted sequence space for a wide range of the numerical parameters p, u and θ .

1. Introduction

The original form of Hardy's integral inequality (see [7]) from 1925 reads: If $p > 1$ and f is a non-negative p -integrable function over $(0, \infty)$, then

$$\left(\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \left(\int_0^\infty (f(x))^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the constant $\frac{p}{p-1} = p'$ is sharp. This means that the Hardy operator H , defined by $H(f)(x) := \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(t) dt$ maps $L^p(0, \infty)$ to $L^p(0, \infty)$ with the norm $= p'$. The dramatic prehistory until G.H. Hardy discovered (1.1) is described in detail in [9]. After that it has been an almost unbelievable amount of research to develop (1.1) to what today is called Hardy-type inequalities. The history of this development up to 2007 was described in detail in the book [8]. But this development has continued also after that and the most important steps in this development can be found in the new book [10].

Most of the developments of (1.1) so far has been concentrated on the problem to characterize weighted versions of (1.1) and where p on one side is replaced by q which can be different from q and with $\frac{p}{p-1}$ replaced by a finite positive constant C . This means that Hardy-type inequalities so far have been mostly concentrated on the problem to characterize the weights so that the Hardy operator maps different weighted L^p -spaces to other weighted L^q spaces. However, there are also some results of this type also for other function spaces than weighted L^p spaces. A number of new such results are described in Chapter 7.6 of the book [10], for example when the weighted L^p spaces are replaced by Orlicz spaces, Lorentz spaces, r.i. invariant spaces, general Banach

Mathematics subject classification (2010): 26D15, 26D20.

Keywords and phrases: Inequalities, Hardy-type operator, weights, discrete Lebesgue spaces, characterizations.

* Corresponding author.

function spaces, Morrey-type spaces, Hölder-type spaces, variable $L^{p(\cdot)}$ - spaces, etc. For this paper the most interesting part is about weighted Morrey-type spaces (see [10], 7.6.2).

It is easy to see that (1.1) implies the following discrete form of Hardy’s inequality:

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \frac{p}{p-1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > 1, \tag{1.2}$$

still with $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ as the sharp constant, and where $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of non-negative numbers.

It has been a parallel development of (1.2) as what has been described above concerning the development of (1.1) to the theory of Hardy-type inequalities. Also in this case the development has been concentrated around mapping properties of the discrete Hardy operator between weighted l_p - spaces. This development up to 2007 is described in detail in Chapter 6 of the book [8]. See also the references therein. Here we just mention some important papers in this connection: [2],[3],[4],[6], [11] and [13].

In recent years, after the publication of [5], it begun some new interesting research concerning discrete Hardy-type inequalities with weighted discrete Hardy operators involved (see e.g. [14]). In this paper we consider the following case:

Let $\varphi = \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a non-negative sequence of real numbers and consider the following Hardy-type operator H_{φ} defined as follows for $\forall f \in l_1$:

$$(H_{\varphi}f)_k := \varphi_k \sum_{i=1}^k f_i, \tag{1.3}$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$ and $u = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\omega = \{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be positive sequences of real numbers, which will be referred to as weight sequences. We denote by $l_{p,u}$ the space of sequences $f = \{f_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ of real numbers such that

$$\|f\|_{p,u} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j f_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < q, \theta < \infty$. The aim of this paper is to characterize the following discrete Hardy-type inequalities:

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n^{\theta} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left| \varphi_k \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \right|^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \leq C \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j f_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \forall f \in l_{p,u}, \tag{1.4}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of f for the following cases:

- a) $1 < p \leq \min\{q, \theta\} < \infty$ (see Theorem 1 in Section 2);
- b) $0 < q < p \leq \theta < \infty$, $p > 1$ (see Theorem 2 in Section 3);
- c) $0 < q < \theta < p < \infty$, $p > 1$ (see Theorem 3 in Section 4).

Convention: The symbol $M \ll K$ means that $M \leq cK$, where $c > 0$ is a constant depending only on unessential parameters. If $M \ll K \ll M$, then we write $M \approx K$.

In the proofs of our main results we will need the following well-known results on the discrete weighted Hardy inequality (see [2], [3]) and boundedness of matrix operators (see [11]-[12] or [15]). More exactly, see [2], Theorem 1 (viii) and also [8], Theorem 7 (iii).

THEOREM A. *Let $0 < q < p < \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$. Then the inequality*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^i f_j \right|^q v_i^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |u_i f_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (1.5)$$

holds for some $C < \infty$ if and only if

$$H = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} v_i^q \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_k^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}} < \infty.$$

Moreover, $H \approx C$, where C is the best constant in (1.5).

DEFINITION. The matrix $\{a_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1}^{\infty}$, $i \geq j$ satisfies the (discrete) Oinarov condition, if there exist $d \geq 1$, a non-negative matrix $(a_{i,j})$, whose entries $a_{i,j}$ are almost non-decreasing in i and almost non-increasing in j such that the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{d}(a_{i,k} + a_{k,j}) \leq a_{i,j} \leq d(a_{i,k} + a_{k,j}),$$

or $a_{i,j} \approx a_{i,k} + a_{k,j}$ hold for all $i \geq k \geq j \geq 1$.

THEOREM B. (see [12] or [15]). *Let $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$ and the entries of the matrix $(a_{i,j})$ satisfies the discrete Oinarov condition. Then the inequality*

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} a_{i,j} f_i \right|^q u_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |v_i f_i|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (1.6)$$

holds for some $C < \infty$ if and only if $M = \max\{M_1, M_2\} < \infty$, where

$$M_1 = \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k u_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_{i,k}^{p'} v_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}},$$

$$M_2 = \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_{k,j}^q u_j^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} v_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}.$$

Moreover, $M \approx C$, where C is the best constant in (1.6).

THEOREM C. (see [11]). *Let $1 \leq q < p < \infty$ and the entries of the matrix $(a_{i,j})$ satisfies the discrete Oinarov condition. Then the inequality (1.6) holds for some $C < \infty$ if and only if $M^* = \max\{M_1^*, M_2^*\} < \infty$, where*

$$M_1^* = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_{i,k}^{p'} v_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k u_j^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} u_k^q \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}},$$

$$M_2^* = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k a_{k,j}^q u_j^q \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} v_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} v_k^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}}.$$

Moreover, $M^* \approx C$, where C is the best constant in (1.6).

For the proofs we need the following Lemma:

LEMMA 1. *Let $\gamma > 0$ and $\{B_k\}$ be a nonnegative sequence. Then*

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^j B_k \right)^\gamma \approx \sum_{k=1}^j B_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k B_i \right)^{\gamma-1}, \quad j \geq 1. \tag{1.7}$$

If $\sum_k B_k < \infty$, $1 \leq j, k < N \leq \infty$, then

$$\left(\sum_{k=j}^N B_k \right)^\gamma \approx \sum_{k=j}^N B_k \left(\sum_{i=k}^N B_i \right)^{\gamma-1}. \tag{1.8}$$

REMARK 1. The estimates (1.7) and (1.8), due to K.F. Andersen and H.P. Heinig [[1], p. 844], have been used by many authors including K. G. Grosse-Erdmann [[6], p. 12] and G. Bennett [[3], Lemmas 2 and 3].

We also need the following well-known version of the discrete Minkowski inequality:

LEMMA 2. *Let $\{a_{i,j}\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n \leq +\infty$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, be a positive matrix. Then the inequalities*

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^m a_{i,j} |^\sigma \right|^\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |a_{i,j}|^\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}, \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \left| \sum_{j=1}^i a_{i,j} |^\sigma \right|^\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=j}^n |a_{i,j}|^\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}, \tag{1.10}$$

holds, where $\sigma \geq 1$.

We also need the following elementary inequalities: If $a_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, then

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^k a_m\right)^\alpha \leq \sum_{m=1}^k a_m^\alpha, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq 1, \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^k a_m\right)^\alpha \geq \sum_{m=1}^k a_m^\alpha, \quad \alpha \geq 1. \tag{1.12}$$

2. The case $1 < p \leq q, \theta < \infty$

The main result in this section reads:

THEOREM 1. *Let $1 < p \leq \min\{q, \theta\} < \infty$. Then the inequality (1.4) holds for some $C < \infty$ if and only if $B_1 < \infty$, where*

$$B_1 := \sup_{r \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=r}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{k=r}^n \varphi_k^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}. \tag{2.1}$$

Moreover, $C \approx B_1$, where C is the best constant in (1.4).

Proof. Necessity: Suppose that the inequality (1.4) holds with the best constant $C > 0$. Let us show that $B_1 < \infty$. We choose $r \geq 1$ arbitrary and take a test sequence $\tilde{f}_r = \{\tilde{f}_{r,s}\}_{s=1}^\infty$ defined by $\tilde{f}_{r,s} = u_s^{-p'}$ for $1 \leq s \leq r$ and $\tilde{f}_{r,s} = 0$ for $s > r$.

Then

$$\|\tilde{f}_r\|_{l_{p,u}} = \left(\sum_{s=1}^\infty |\tilde{f}_r \cdot u_s|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_{s=1}^r |u_s^{-p'} \cdot u_s|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left(\sum_{s=1}^r u_s^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty. \tag{2.2}$$

Substituting \tilde{f}_r in the left hand side of inequality (1.4), we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} I(\tilde{f}) &\equiv \left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left| \varphi_k \sum_{i=1}^k \tilde{f}_i \right|^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \\ &\geq \left(\sum_{n=r}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{k=r}^n \left| \varphi_k \sum_{i=1}^r u_i^{-p'} \right|^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \geq \left(\sum_{n=r}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{k=r}^n \varphi_k^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r u_i^{-p'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

i.e. that

$$I(\tilde{f}) \geq \left(\sum_{n=r}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{k=r}^n \varphi_k^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r u_i^{-p'} \right). \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.2), (2.3) and (1.4) it follows that

$$C \geq \left(\sum_{n=r}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{k=r}^n \varphi_k^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}, \quad \forall r \geq 1.$$

Since $r \geq 1$ is arbitrary we have that

$$B_1 = \sup_{r \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=r}^{\infty} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{k=r}^n \varphi_k^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \leq C < \infty. \tag{2.4}$$

Sufficiency: Let $B_1 < \infty$. Now, we prove that (1.4) holds for a finite constant C . Let $0 \leq f \in l_{p,u}$.

Let $f_1 \neq 0$. If $f_i = 0, 1 \leq i < k, f_k \neq 0$, then $\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} f_i = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_{k-1+i} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_i$ and therefore $\tilde{f}_1 \neq 0$.

Let

$$\sup\{k \in \mathbb{Z} : 2^k \leq f_1\} = k_1,$$

then

$$2^{k_1} \leq f_1 < 2^{k_1+1}.$$

Hence,

$$k_\infty := \sup \left\{ k \geq 1 : 2^{k_1+k-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \right\}.$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i < \infty$, then $k_\infty < \infty$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i = \infty$, then $k_\infty = \infty$.

We consider the sequence $\{j_k\}$, where j_k are defined by

$$j_k := \min \left\{ j \geq 1 : \sum_{i=1}^j f_i \geq 2^{k_1+k-1} \right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq k_\infty.$$

We note that

$$j_1 = \min \left\{ j \geq 1 : \sum_{i=1}^j f_i \geq 2^{k_1} \right\} = 1,$$

and then obviously $j_{k_\infty} = \infty$ and if $k_\infty < \infty$, then

$$2^{k_1+k_\infty-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i < 2^{k_1+k_\infty}.$$

For all $k \geq 1$ it yields that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j_k-1} f_i < 2^{k_1+k-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j_k} f_i. \tag{2.5}$$

Therefore the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} can be written

$$\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{k=2}^{k_\infty} [j_{k-1}, j_k - 1],$$

Moreover,

$$2^{k_1+m-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j_m} f_i = \sum_{i=1}^{j_{m-1}-1} f_i + \sum_{i=j_{m-1}}^{j_m} f_i < 2^{k_1+m-2} + \sum_{i=j_{m-1}}^{j_m} f_i, \quad m \geq 3.$$

$$2^{k_1+m-2} \leq \sum_{i=j_{m-1}}^{j_m} f_i, \quad m \geq 3.$$

By substitution m by $m - 1$ we find that

$$2^{k_1+m-3} \leq \sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i, \quad m \geq 4.$$

Then we obtain that

$$2^{k_1+m-1} \leq 4 \sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i, \quad m \geq 4. \tag{2.6}$$

Let us consider special cases: if $m = 2$, then we have that

$$2^{k_1+2-1} = 2^{k_1+1} = 2^{k_1} \cdot 2 \leq 2f_1 = 2 \sum_{i=j_0}^{j_1} f_i, \quad f_{j_0} = 0,$$

$$2^{k_1+2-1} \leq 4 \sum_{i=j_0}^{j_1} f_i; \tag{2.7}$$

if $m = 3$, we apply (2.5) and get that

$$2^{k_1+3-1} = 2 \cdot 2^{k_1+2-1} \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{j_2} f_i \leq 4 \sum_{i=j_{3-2}}^{j_{3-1}} f_i. \tag{2.8}$$

By combining (2.6)-(2.8) we obtain that

$$2^{k_1+m-1} \leq 4 \sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i, \quad m \geq 2. \tag{2.9}$$

Therefore, by force of (2.5)

$$I^\theta(f) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=1}^n \left| \varphi_s \sum_{i=1}^s f_i \right|^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} = \sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=1}^n \left| \varphi_s \sum_{i=1}^s f_i \right|^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{m=2}^k \sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n, j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \left(\sum_{i=1}^s f_i \right)^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{m=2}^k \sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n, j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j_{m-1}-1} f_i \right)^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{m=2}^k \sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n, j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \left(2^{k_1+m-1} \right)^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}.$$

Hence, by applying (2.9) we have that

$$I^\theta(f) \leq 4^\theta \sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{m=2}^k \sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n, j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}. \tag{2.10}$$

We must now consider the cases $\theta \leq q$ and $\theta > q$ separately.

2.1. The case $\theta \leq q$

We consider the inequality $I(f)$ and note that $\frac{\theta}{q} \leq 1$. By applying the elementary inequality (1.11) and using (2.10), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} I^\theta(f) &\leq 4^\theta \sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \sum_{m=2}^k \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n, j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^\theta \\ &= 4^\theta \sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{m=2}^k \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^\theta \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n, j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by changing the orders of sums, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} I^\theta(f) &= 4^\theta \sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{k_\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^\theta \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n, j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \\ &\leq 4^\theta \sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^\theta \sum_{n=j_{m-1}}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using Hölder’s inequality and (1.12), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I^\theta(f) &\leq 4^\theta \sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} |f_i \cdot u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p'}} \sum_{n=j_{m-1}}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \\ &\leq 4^\theta \left(\sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} |f_i \cdot u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \left[\sup_{m \geq 2} \left(\sum_{n=j_{m-1}}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j_{m-1}} u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right]^\theta \\ &\leq 4^\theta \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^\infty |f_i \cdot u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p}} \left[\sup_{r \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=r}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=r}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^r u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right]^\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left(2^{2+\frac{1}{p}} B_1 \|f\|_{p,u}\right)^\theta \ll \left(B_1 \|f\|_{p,u}\right)^\theta.$$

Hence,

$$I^\theta(f) \ll \left(B_1 \|f\|_{p,u}\right)^\theta,$$

so that

$$I(f) \ll B_1 \|f\|_{p,u}, \text{ if } \theta \leq q. \tag{2.11}$$

2.2. The case $\theta > q$

We start with the inequality (2.10):

$$I^\theta(f) \leq 4^\theta \sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{m=2}^k \sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n,j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}.$$

First we raise both sides in (2.10) to power $\frac{q}{\theta} \leq 1$

$$I^q(f) \leq 4^q \left[\sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \left(\sum_{m=2}^k \omega_n^q \sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n,j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right]^{\frac{q}{\theta}} \leq$$

Next we apply (1.9) in the inner sum with $\sigma = \frac{\theta}{q}$ and obtain that

$$I^q(f) \leq 4^q \left[\sum_{k=2}^{k_\infty} \left\{ \sum_{m=2}^k \left(\sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n,j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^\theta \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}} \right\} \right]^{\frac{\theta}{q}}.$$

Using (1.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I^q(f) &\leq 4^q \sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \left[\sum_{k=m}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n,j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^\theta \right]^{\frac{q}{\theta}} \\ &= 4^q \sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^q \left[\sum_{k=m}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{\min(n,j_{m-1})} \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right]^{\frac{q}{\theta}} \\ &\leq 4^q \sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^q \left[\sum_{n=j_{m-1}}^{j_{m-1}} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} + \sum_{k=m+1}^{k_\infty} \sum_{n=j_{k-1}}^{j_k-1} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^{j_{m-1}} \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right]^{\frac{q}{\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get that

$$I^q(f) \leq \sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^q \left[\sum_{n=j_{m-1}}^{j_{m-1}} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} + \sum_{n=j_m}^{\infty} \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right]^{\frac{q}{\theta}}$$

$$\leq 4^q \sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} f_i \right)^q \left[\sum_{n=j_{m-1}}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right]^{\frac{q}{\theta}}.$$

Hence, by using Hölder’s inequality,

$$I^q(f) \leq 4^q \sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} |f_i u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q}{p'}} \left[\sum_{n=j_{m-1}}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right]^{\frac{q}{\theta}}.$$

Therefore, by applying (1.12) with $\alpha = \frac{q}{p}$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} I^q(f) &\leq 4^q \left(\sum_{m=2}^{k_\infty} \sum_{i=j_{m-2}}^{j_{m-1}} |f_i u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left[\sup_{m \geq 2} \left(\sum_{n=j_{m-1}}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{s=j_{m-1}}^n \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{j_{m-1}} u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{1}{p'}} \right]^q \\ &\leq (4B_1)^q \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^\infty |f_i u_i|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \leq (2^{2+\frac{1}{p}} B_1 \|f\|_{p,u})^q \ll (B_1 \|f\|_{p,u})^q, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$I(f) \ll B_1 \|f\|_{p,u}, \tag{2.12}$$

also for the case $\theta > q$. From the inequalities (2.11) and (2.12), we have that

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^\infty \omega_n^\theta \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \left| \varphi_k \sum_{i=1}^k f_i \right|^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \ll B_1 \|f\|_{p,u}, \tag{2.13}$$

and $C \ll B_1$, where C is the best constant in (1.4).

From the inequalities (2.4) and (2.13), we get $C \approx B_1$. The proof is complete.

REMARK 2. Theorem 1 means that the inequality (1.4) holds for both cases $1 < p \leq \theta \leq q < \infty$ and $1 < p \leq q < \theta < \infty$ whenever (2.1) is satisfied.

3. The case $0 < q < p \leq \theta < \infty, p > 1$

For this case the main result is the following:

THEOREM 2. Let $0 < q < p \leq \theta < \infty, p > 1$. Then the inequality (1.4) holds for some $C < \infty$ if and only if $\max\{B_1, B_2\} < \infty$, where B_1 is defined by (2.1) and

$$B_2 := \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^k \left(\sum_{s=r}^k \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \varphi_r^q \left(\sum_{n=1}^r u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^\infty \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}.$$

Moreover, $C \approx \max\{B_1, B_2\}$ with the equivalency constants depending only on k, p, q and θ , where C is the best constant in (1.4).

Proof. Let $0 < q < p \leq \theta < \infty$, $p > 1$ and $g = \{g_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $g \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow g_i \geq 0, \forall i \geq 1$. From (1.4) we have that

$$C = \sup_{g \geq 0} \frac{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\omega_n^q \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \varphi_k \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right|^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j g_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} < \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

where C is the best constant in (1.4).

We raise both sides of (3.1) to power q and get that

$$C^q = \sup_{g \geq 0} \frac{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\omega_n^q \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \varphi_k \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right|^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j g_j|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}}, \quad (3.2)$$

We define $r := \frac{\theta}{q}$, $w_n^r := (\omega_n^q)^{\frac{\theta}{q}}$ and $\Phi_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\varphi_k \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right)^q$. Then

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\omega_n^q \cdot \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \varphi_k \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right|^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}} = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (w_n \Phi_n)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Let $h = \{h_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $h_i \geq 0, \forall i \geq 1$. Then, by the Hölder inequality,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \Phi_n \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |w_n \Phi_n|^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_k \cdot w_k^{-1}|^{r'} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}}, \quad \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1.$$

The sharpness of this inequality implies that

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (w_n \Phi_n)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = \sup_{h \geq 0} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \Phi_n}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k^r w_k^{-r'} \right)^{\frac{1}{r'}}} = \sup_{h \geq 0} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\varphi_k \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right)^q}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\omega_k^{-q} h_k)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta-q}{\theta}}}, \quad (3.3)$$

where $r' = \frac{r}{r-1} = \frac{\theta}{\theta-q}$.

Now, we put (3.3) into (3.2) and find that

$$C^q = \sup_{g \geq 0} \sup_{h \geq 0} \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\varphi_k \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right)^q}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j g_j|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\omega_k^{-q} h_k)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta-q}{\theta}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_k \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right)^q \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} h_n \\
 = & \sup_{g \geq 0} \sup_{h \geq 0} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_k \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right)^q \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} h_n}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j g_j|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\omega_k^{-q} h_k)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta-q}{\theta}}} \\
 = & \sup_{h \geq 0} \frac{1}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\omega_k^{-q} h_k)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta-q}{\theta}}} \sup_{g \geq 0} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\varphi_k \sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right)^q \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} h_n}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j g_j|^p \right)^{\frac{q}{p}}}. \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $H_k := \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} h_n$. We calculate the second supremum connected to g separately. By using Theorem A we obtain that

$$\sup_{g \geq 0} \frac{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k g_j \right)^q \varphi_k^q H_k \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}}{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |u_j g_j|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \approx \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varphi_k^q H_k \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq}}. \tag{3.5}$$

By inserting (3.5) into (3.4) we find that

$$C^q \approx \sup_{h \geq 0} \frac{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varphi_k^q H_k \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\omega_k^{-q} h_k)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta-q}{\theta}}}.$$

Next we note that

$$\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varphi_k^q H_k = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varphi_k^q \sum_{i=k}^{\infty} h_i = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} h_i \sum_{k=n}^i \varphi_k^q, \tag{3.6}$$

and define

$$U_n := \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \text{ and } W_k := \omega_k^{-q}. \tag{3.7}$$

Accordingly, we get that

$$C^q \approx \sup_{h \geq 0} \frac{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=n}^{\infty} h_i \sum_{k=n}^i \varphi_k^q \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} U_n^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (W_k h_k)^{\frac{\theta}{\theta-q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta-q}{\theta}}} = \sup_{h \geq 0} \frac{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(U_n \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_{i,n} h_i \right)^{\tilde{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}}}{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (W_k h_k)^{\tilde{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}}}}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $a_{i,n} = \sum_{k=n}^i \varphi_k^q$, $i \geq n$, $n \geq 1$, $\tilde{q} := \frac{p}{p-q}$ and $\tilde{p} := \frac{\theta}{\theta-q}$. We conclude that

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(U_n \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_{i,n} h_i \right)^{\tilde{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}} \leq C^q \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (W_k h_k)^{\tilde{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}}}, \quad h \geq 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Here

$$a_{i,n} = \sum_{k=n}^i \varphi_k^q \leq \sum_{k=n}^j \varphi_k^q + \sum_{k=j}^i \varphi_k^q = a_{i,j} + a_{j,n},$$

and

$$a_{i,n} \geq \sum_{k=n}^j \varphi_k^q, \quad a_{i,n} \geq \sum_{k=j}^i \varphi_k^q \quad \text{so that } a_{i,n} \geq \frac{1}{2}(a_{i,j} + a_{j,n}).$$

We conclude that

$$\frac{1}{2}(a_{i,j} + a_{j,n}) \leq a_{i,n} \leq a_{i,j} + a_{j,n} \Leftrightarrow a_{i,n} \approx a_{i,j} + a_{j,n}, \quad i \geq j \geq n, \tag{3.10}$$

which means that $(a_{i,n})$ satisfies the discrete Oinarov condition and, moreover, $1 < \tilde{p} \leq \tilde{q} < \infty$, and for the operator A defined by $(Ah)_n = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} a_{i,n}h_i$, $n \geq 1$, we have that

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (U_n(Ah)_n)^{\tilde{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}} \leq C^q \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (W_k h_k)^{\tilde{p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}}}, \quad h \geq 0. \tag{3.11}$$

Accordingly, if C^q is the best constant in (3.9), by Theorem B it yields that $C^q \approx \max\{\tilde{B}_1, \tilde{B}_2\}$, where

$$\tilde{B}_1 = \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k U_n^{\tilde{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_{i,k}^{\tilde{p}'} W_i^{-\tilde{p}'} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}'}}$$

and

$$\tilde{B}_2 = \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k a_{k,n}^{\tilde{q}} U_n^{\tilde{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} W_i^{-\tilde{p}'} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}'}}$$

Next we calculate the values of \tilde{B}_1 and \tilde{B}_2 using Lemma 1. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_1 &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k U_n^{\tilde{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_{i,k}^{\tilde{p}'} W_i^{-\tilde{p}'} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}'}} = \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k U_n^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} a_{i,k}^{\frac{\theta}{q}} W_i^{-\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}} \\ &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=k}^i \varphi_j^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} (\omega_i^{-q})^{-\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}} \\ &\approx \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega_i^{\theta} \left(\sum_{j=k}^i \varphi_j^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q}{p'}} = B_1^q, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\tilde{B}_1 \approx B_1^q. \tag{3.12}$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{B}_2 = \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k a_{k,n}^{\tilde{q}} U_n^{\tilde{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{q}}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} W_i^{-\tilde{p}'} \right)^{\frac{1}{\tilde{p}'}}$$

$$= \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \left(\sum_{r=n}^k \varphi_r^q \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}}.$$

Hence, by applying Lemma 1, we obtain that

$$\tilde{B}_2 \approx \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{r=n}^k \varphi_r^q \left(\sum_{s=r}^k \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}},$$

so that, by changing the orders of sums and by using Lemma 1 again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_2 &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^k \sum_{n=1}^r \varphi_r^q \left(\sum_{s=r}^k \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}} \\ &= \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^k \varphi_r^q \left(\sum_{s=r}^k \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \sum_{n=1}^r u_n^{-p'} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}} \\ &\approx \sup_{k \geq 1} \left(\sum_{r=1}^k \left(\sum_{s=r}^k \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^r u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-q}} \varphi_r^q \right)^{\frac{p-q}{pq} \cdot q} \left(\sum_{i=k}^{\infty} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{q}{\theta}} = B_2^q. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tilde{B}_2 \approx B_2^q$ so that, by also using (3.12), we find that $C^q \approx \max\{\tilde{B}_1, \tilde{B}_2\} \approx \{B_1^q, B_2^q\}$. We conclude that $C \approx \{B_1, B_2\}$ and the equivalence constants depend only on p, q and θ . The proof is complete.

4. The cases $0 < q < \theta < p < \infty, p > 1$

The main result in this section reads:

THEOREM 3. *Let $0 < q < \theta < p < \infty, p > 1$. Then the inequality (1.4) holds for some $C < \infty$ if and only if $\max\{D_1, D_2\} < \infty$, where*

$$D_1 := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=j}^i \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(\theta-1)}{p-\theta}} u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p-\theta}{p\theta}},$$

and

$$D_2 := \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=1}^j \left(\sum_{r=s}^j \varphi_r^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \varphi_s^q \left(\sum_{k=1}^s u_k^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta(p-q)}{q(p-\theta)}} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p-\theta}} \omega_j^\theta \right)^{\frac{p-\theta}{p\theta}}.$$

Moreover, $C \approx \max\{D_1, D_2\}$ with the equivalency constants depending only on k, p, q and θ , where C is the best constant in (1.4).

Proof. The proof of Theorem 3 is the same as the proof of Theorem 2 up to (3.9). But in Theorem 2, we proved that the inequality (3.9) holds for the case $1 < \frac{\theta}{\theta-q} = \tilde{p} \leq \tilde{q} = \frac{p}{p-q} < \infty$, from which it follows that $0 < q < p \leq \theta < \infty$.

Note that, $\frac{\theta}{\theta-q} > \frac{p}{p-q} \Rightarrow p\theta - \theta q - p\theta + pq > 0 \Rightarrow pq > q\theta \Rightarrow p > \theta, p > q, \theta > q$. Then the case $1 < \frac{p}{p-q} = \tilde{q} < \tilde{p} = \frac{\theta}{\theta-q} < \infty$ follows from the case $0 < q < \theta < p < \infty, p > 1$. Therefore we will consider for the inequality (3.9) for the following case: $1 < \frac{p}{p-q} = \tilde{q} < \tilde{p} = \frac{\theta}{\theta-q} < \infty$.

Let $1 < \frac{p}{p-q} = \tilde{q} < \tilde{p} = \frac{\theta}{\theta-q} < \infty$. We can estimate the value of best constant C^q in the inequality (3.9), where $(a_{i,j})$ satisfies the discrete Oinarov condition. In fact, by using Theorem C, we have that $C^q \approx \max\{\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_1 &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} a_{i,j}^{-p'} W_i^{-\tilde{p}'} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{q}(\tilde{p}-1)}{\tilde{p}-\tilde{q}}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j U_i^{\tilde{q}} U_j^{\tilde{q}} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{q}}{\tilde{p}-\tilde{q}}} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{p}-\tilde{q}}{p\tilde{q}}}, \\ \tilde{D}_2 &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j a_{j,k}^{\tilde{q}} U_k^{\tilde{q}} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{\tilde{p}-\tilde{q}}} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} W_i^{-\tilde{p}'} W_j^{-\tilde{p}'} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{p}(\tilde{q}-1)}{\tilde{p}-\tilde{q}}} \right)^{\frac{\tilde{p}-\tilde{q}}{p\tilde{q}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we rewrite the values of \tilde{D}_1 and \tilde{D}_2 by using (3.6) and (3.7). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_1 &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=j}^i \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} W_i^{-\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j U_i^{\frac{p}{p-q}} U_j^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p(\theta-q)}{q(p-\theta)}} \right)^{\frac{q(p-\theta)}{p\theta}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=j}^i \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j \left(\sum_{n=1}^i u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(\theta-q)}{q(p-\theta)}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\sum_{n=1}^j u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q(p-\theta)}{p\theta}}, \end{aligned}$$

so that, by applying Lemma 1, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_1 &\approx \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=j}^i \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-q} \cdot \frac{p(\theta-q)}{q(p-\theta)} + \frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q(p-\theta)}{p\theta}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=j}^i \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} \omega_i^\theta \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\theta}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^j u_i^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(\theta-1)}{p-\theta}} u_j^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q(p-\theta)}{p\theta}} = D_1^q. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\tilde{D}_2 = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j \left(\sum_{s=k}^j \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} U_k^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta(p-q)}{q(p-\theta)}} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} W_i^{-\frac{\theta}{q}} W_j^{-\frac{\theta}{q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p-\theta}} \right)^{\frac{q(p-\theta)}{p\theta}}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j \left(\sum_{s=k}^j \varphi_s^q \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \left(\sum_{n=1}^k u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_k^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{\theta(p-q)}{q(p-\theta)}} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \omega_i^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p-\theta}} \omega_j^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{q(p-\theta)}{p\theta}},$$

so that, by applying Lemma 1, we get that

$$\tilde{D}_2 \approx \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j \sum_{s=k}^j \left(\sum_{r=s}^j \varphi_r^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \varphi_s^q \left(\sum_{n=1}^k u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_k^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{\theta(p-q)}{q(p-\theta)}} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \omega_i^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p-\theta}} \omega_j^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{q(p-\theta)}{p\theta}}.$$

Therefore, by changing the orders of sums and by using Lemma 1 again, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_2 &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=1}^j \left(\sum_{r=s}^j \varphi_r^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \varphi_s^q \sum_{k=1}^s \left(\sum_{n=1}^k u_n^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{p(q-1)}{p-q}} u_k^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{\theta(p-q)}{q(p-\theta)}} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \omega_i^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p-\theta}} \omega_j^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{q(p-\theta)}{p\theta}} \\ &\approx \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{s=1}^j \left(\sum_{r=s}^j \varphi_r^q \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}} \varphi_s^q \left(\sum_{k=1}^s u_k^{-p'} \right)^{\frac{q(p-1)}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{\theta(p-q)}{q(p-\theta)}} \left(\sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \omega_i^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{\theta}{p-\theta}} \omega_j^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{q(p-\theta)}{p\theta}} = D_2^q. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $C^q \approx \max\{\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2\} \approx \{D_1^q, D_2^q\}$ so that $C \approx \{D_1, D_2\}$ and the equivalency constants depend only on p, q and θ , where C is the best constant in (1.4).

The proof is complete.

Acknowledgement. We thank Professor Ryskul Oinarov for some generous advices, which have improved the final version of this paper. Moreover, we thank the referee for some good suggestions, which have improved the final version of this paper. This research was supported by the grant no: AP05130975 of Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan.

REFERENCES

- [1] K.F. ANDERSEN AND H. HEINIG, *Weighted norm inequalities for certain integral operators*, SIAM J. Math. **14** (1983), 834–844.
- [2] G. BENNETT, *Some elementary inequalities*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **38**, 2 (1987), 401–425.
- [3] G. BENNETT, *Some elementary inequalities*, III, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. **42**, 2 (1991), 149–174.
- [4] M.SH. BRAVERMAN AND V.D. STEPANOV, *On the discrete Hardy inequality*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **26** (1994), 283–287.
- [5] V.I. BURENKOV AND R. OINAROV, *Necessary and Sufficient conditions for boundedness of the Hardy-type operator from a weighted Lebesgue space to a Morrey-type space*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **16**, 1 (2013), 1–19.
- [6] K.G. GROSSE-ERDMANN, *The blocking technique, weighted mean operators and Hardy’s inequality*, Lecture Notes Math. **1679**, Springer Verlag 1998.

- [7] G.H. HARDY, *Notes on some points in the integral calculus, LX. An inequality between integrals, LX. An inequality between integrals*, Messenger of Math. **54** (1925), 150–156.
- [8] A. KUFNER, L. MALIGRANDA AND L.-E. PERSSON, *The Hardy Inequality About its History and Some Related Results*, Vydavatelský Servis Publishing House, Pilsen, 2007.
- [9] A. KUFNER, L. MALIGRANDA AND L.-E. PERSSON, *The prehistory of the Hardy inequality*, Amer. Math. Monthly **113** (2006), 715–732.
- [10] A. KUFNER, L.-E. PERSSON AND N. SAMKO, *Weighted inequalities of Hardy type, Second Edition*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte.Ltd., New Jersey, 2017.
- [11] R. OINAROV, C.A. OKPOTI AND L.-E. PERSSON, *Weighted inequalities of Hardy type for matrix operators: the case $q < p$* , Math. Inequal. Appl. **10**, 4 (2007), 843–861.
- [12] R. OINAROV AND S.KH. SHALGYNBAEVA, *Weighted additive estimate of a class of matrix operators*, Izvestiya NAN RK, serial Phys.-Mat. **7**, 1 (2004), 39–49. (in Russian).
- [13] C.A. OKPOTI, L.-E. PERSSON AND A. WEDESTIG, *Scales of weight characterizations for the discrete Hardy and Carleman type inequalities*, In: Proc.Conf. “Function Spaces, Differential Operators and Nonlinear Analysis”, FSDONA 2004 (Milovy, May 28-June 2, 2004), Math. Inst. Acad. Sci. Czech Republic, Prague 2005, 236–258.
- [14] D.V. PROKHOROV AND V.D. STEPANOV, *On weighted Hardy inequalities in mixed norms*, Proceedings of the Mathematical Institute. V.A. Steklova, **283** (2013), 155–170. (in Russian).
- [15] A. TEMIRKHANOVA, *Estimates for Discrete Hardy-type Operators in Weighted Sequence Spaces*, PhD thesis, Department of Mathematics, Luleå University of Technology, 2015.

(Received August 13, 2019)

B. K. Omarbayeva

Department of Fundamental Mathematics
L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Satpayev str. 2, Astana, 010000 Kazakhstan
e-mail: gaziza.omarbaeva@mail.ru

L.-E. Persson

Department of Computer Science and Computational Engineering
UIT The Arctic University of Norway
Norway

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science
Karlstad University
Sweden

e-mail: lars.e.persson@uit.no, larserik6pers@gmail.com

A. M. Temirkhanova

Department of Fundamental Mathematics
L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University
Satpayev str. 2, Astana, 010000 Kazakhstan
e-mail: ainura-t@yandex.kz