

## A NEW GENERALIZED REFINEMENT OF THE WEIGHTED ARITHMETIC–GEOMETRIC MEAN INEQUALITY

MOHAMED AMINE IGHACHANE\*, MOHAMED AKKOUCHI AND EL HASSAN  
BENABDI

(Communicated by J.-C. Bourin)

*Abstract.* In this paper, we prove that for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $a_i \geq 0$  and  $\alpha_i > 0$  satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ , then for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we have

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i} \right)^m + r_0^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^m - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m} \right) \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \right)^m$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . This is a considerable generalization of the two refinements of the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality due to Furuichi [2], Manasrah and Kittaneh [7], which correspond to the cases  $m = 1$  and  $n = 2$ , respectively. As application we give some generalized inequalities of determinants for positive definite matrices.

### 1. Introduction

The celebrated weighted arithmetic-geometric mean (AM-GM) inequality states as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $a_i \geq 0$ , and let  $\alpha_i > 0$  satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ . Then, we have*

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i. \tag{1}$$

The inequality (1) is equivalent to

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i} \right)^m \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \right)^m, \tag{2}$$

for any positive integer  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 26D07, 26D15, 15A45.

*Keywords and phrases:* Arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, Young inequality.

\* Corresponding author.

The special case of the weighted AM-GM inequality ( $n = 2$ ) is the well-known Young’s inequality; for positive real numbers  $a, b$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , we have

$$a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} \leq \alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b. \tag{3}$$

Hirzallah and Kittaneh [4] refined Young’s inequality (3) to

$$(a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha})^2 + r_0^2(a - b)^2 \leq (\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b)^2, \tag{4}$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\}$ .

Kittaneh and Manasrah [6] refined Young’s inequality so that

$$a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha} + r_0(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 \leq \alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b, \tag{5}$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\}$ .

Furuichi [2] refined (1) as follows:

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i} + r_0 \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i, \tag{6}$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . This inequality generalizes the inequality (5).

Recently, Manasrah and Kittaneh [7] generalized the inequality (4) to

$$(a^\alpha b^{1-\alpha})^m + r_0^m \left( a^{\frac{m}{2}} - b^{\frac{m}{2}} \right)^2 \leq (\alpha a + (1 - \alpha)b)^m, \tag{7}$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha, 1 - \alpha\}$  and  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

### 2. Main result

In this section we refined the inequality (2) by adding the quantity

$$r_0^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^m - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m} \right),$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

Before giving the main result, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $n$  and  $m$  be two integers and let  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Set  $i_0 := m$ ,  $i_n := 0$  and*

$$A := \{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) : 0 \leq i_j \leq i_{j-1}, 1 \leq j \leq n - 1\}.$$

*Then, we have*

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^m = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in A} \binom{i_0}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{n-2}}{i_{n-1}} a_1^{i_0-i_1} a_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots a_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n} \tag{8}$$

and for all  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , it follows that

$$m a_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{m-1} = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in A} \binom{i_0}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{n-2}}{i_{n-1}} (i_{j-1} - i_j) a_1^{i_0-i_1} a_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots a_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n}. \tag{9}$$

*Proof.* The equality (8) is a formulation of the well-known multinomial formula (see, [1, p. 33]). And the equality (9) follows by derivation of (8) with respect to  $a_j$ . The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $a_i \geq 0$  and let  $\alpha_i > 0$  satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ . Then for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we have*

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i} \right)^m + r_0^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^m - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m} \right) \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \right)^m, \tag{10}$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha_j = \min\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . We claim that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \right)^m - \alpha_j^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^m - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m} \right) \geq \left( \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i} \right)^m.$$

Indeed, we have the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i \right)^m - \alpha_j^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^m - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m} \right) &= \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in A} \binom{i_0}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{n-2}}{i_{n-1}} \\ &\alpha_1^{i_0-i_1} \alpha_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots \alpha_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n} a_1^{i_0-i_1} a_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots a_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n} - \alpha_j^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^m - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $B$  be a subset of  $A$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in A} \binom{i_0}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{n-2}}{i_{n-1}} \alpha_1^{i_0-i_1} \alpha_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots \alpha_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n} a_1^{i_0-i_1} a_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots a_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n} \\ &= \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in B} \binom{i_0}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{n-2}}{i_{n-1}} \alpha_1^{i_0-i_1} \alpha_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots \alpha_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n} a_1^{i_0-i_1} a_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots a_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^m a_i^m. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i\right)^m - \alpha_j^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^m - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m}\right) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in B} \binom{i_0}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{n-2}}{i_{n-1}} \alpha_1^{i_0-i_1} \alpha_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots \alpha_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i^m - \alpha_j^m) a_i^m + n \alpha_j^m \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m}. \tag{11}$$

We have

$$\sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in B} \binom{i_0}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{n-2}}{i_{n-1}} \alpha_1^{i_0-i_1} \alpha_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots \alpha_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i^m - \alpha_j^m) + n \alpha_j^m = 1.$$

Thus (11) is a convex combination of positive numbers. Therefore, by (1),

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i\right)^m - \alpha_j^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^m - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m}\right) \geq \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i(m)},$$

where

$$\alpha_k(m) = m \alpha_k^m + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in B} \binom{i_0}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{n-2}}{i_{n-1}} (i_{k-1} - i_k) \alpha_1^{i_0-i_1} \alpha_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots \alpha_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n}$$

for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . It is immediate that

$$\alpha_k(m) = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}) \in A} \binom{i_0}{i_1} \binom{i_1}{i_2} \dots \binom{i_{n-2}}{i_{n-1}} (i_{k-1} - i_k) \alpha_1^{i_0-i_1} \alpha_2^{i_1-i_2} \dots \alpha_n^{i_{n-1}-i_n}.$$

By Lemma 1, we have  $\alpha_k(m) = m \alpha_k$  for all  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Thus

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i\right)^m - \alpha_j^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^m - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^m}\right) \geq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i}\right)^m.$$

This completes the proof.

The following corollary is a consequence of Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY 1.** For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , let  $a_i \geq 0$ , and let  $\alpha_i > 0$  satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$ . Then for all integers  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i} + r_0^m \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i}\right) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^m \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i,$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

Moreover, if we set  $U_m := \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i^{\frac{1}{m}}\right)^m$ . Then  $\{U_m\}$  is a decreasing sequence and we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} U_m = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 2, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i} + r_0^m \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i} \right) \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)^m.$$

The function  $f(t) = t^m$  with  $m \geq 2$  is convex, then by Jensen’s inequality we find that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)^m \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i.$$

It follows that,

$$\prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i} + r_0^m \left( \sum_{k=1}^n a_k - n \sqrt[n]{\prod_{i=1}^n a_i} \right) \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i^{\frac{1}{m}} \right)^m \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i.$$

It is well-known (see, e.g., [3, p. 13, p. 26]) that  $\{U_m\}$  is a decreasing sequence and that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} U_m = \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

This ends the proof.

### 3. Application

In this section, we give some generalized inequalities of determinants for positive definite matrices.

Let  $\mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be the space of  $n \times n$  complex matrices. A matrix  $A$  is called positive definite denoted as  $A > 0$  if  $\langle Ax, x \rangle > 0$  for all nonzero  $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ . A determinant version of Young’s inequality is also known [5, p. 467]; for positive definite matrices  $A, B$  and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$

$$\det(A^\alpha B^{1-\alpha}) \leq \det(\alpha A + (1 - \alpha)B). \tag{12}$$

To prove the result of this section, we need the following lemma, which is a generalized Minkowski’s inequality for determinants (see, e.g., [5, p. 482]).

LEMMA 2. *Let  $p$  be a positive integer and let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be positive definite matrices. Then we have*

$$\det \left( \sum_{i=1}^p A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \sum_{i=1}^p \det(A_i)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \tag{13}$$

THEOREM 3. *Let  $p$  be a positive integer. Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_p \in \mathbf{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  be positive definite matrices and let  $\alpha_i > 0$  satisfy  $\sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i = 1$ . Then, for  $m = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we have*

$$\det \left( \prod_{i=1}^p A_i^{\alpha_i} \right)^m + r_0^{nm} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \det(A_i)^m - p \sqrt[p]{\prod_{i=1}^p \det(A_i)^m} \right) \leq \det \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i A_i \right)^m,$$

where  $r_0 = \min\{\alpha_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \det \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i A_i \right)^m \\ &= \left[ \det \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i A_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right]^{nm} \geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \det(\alpha_i A_i)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{nm} \\ &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i \det(A_i)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{nm} \\ &\geq \left( \prod_{i=1}^p \left( \det(A_i)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{\alpha_i} \right)^{nm} + r_0^{nm} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \left( \det(A_i)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{nm} - p \sqrt[p]{\prod_{i=1}^p \left( \det(A_i)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)^{nm}} \right) \\ &= \det \left( \prod_{i=1}^p A_i^{\alpha_i} \right)^m + r_0^{nm} \left( \sum_{i=1}^p \det(A_i)^m - p \sqrt[p]{\prod_{i=1}^p \det(A_i)^m} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality is by Lemma 2 and the second is by Theorem 2. The proof is thus complete.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for her/his remarks and comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. BERGE, *Principles of Combinatorics. Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Vol. 72, Edited by R. Bellman, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [2] S. FURUICHI, *On refined Young inequalities and reverse inequalities*, J. Math. Inequal., **5**, 1 (2011), 21–31.
- [3] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1988.
- [4] O. HIRZALLAH AND F. KITTANEH, *Matrix Young inequalities for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm*, Linear Algebra Appl., **308** (2000), 77–84.
- [5] R. A. HORN AND C. R. JOHNSON, *Matrix analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New-York, 1985.

- [6] F. KITTANEH AND Y. MANASRAH, *Improved Young and Heinz inequalities for matrices*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **361** (2010), 262–269.
- [7] Y. MANASRAH AND F. KITTANEH, *A generalization of two refined Young inequalities*, Positivity, **19** (2015), 757–768.

(Received March 6, 2020)

*Mohamed Amine Ighachane*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Sciences-Semlalia, University Cadi Ayyad*  
*Av. Prince My. Abdellah, BP: 2390, Marrakesh*  
*(40.000-Marrakech), Morocco (Maroc)*  
*e-mail: mohamedamineighachane@gmail.com*

*Mohamed Akkouchi*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Sciences-Semlalia, University Cadi Ayyad*  
*Av. Prince My. Abdellah, BP: 2390, Marrakesh*  
*(40.000-Marrakech), Morocco (Maroc)*  
*e-mail: akkm555@yahoo.fr*

*El Hassan Benabdi*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Faculty of Sciences-Semlalia, University Cadi Ayyad*  
*Av. Prince My. Abdellah, BP: 2390, Marrakesh*  
*(40.000-Marrakech), Morocco (Maroc)*  
*e-mail: elhassan.benabdi@gmail.com*