

BOUNDS FOR COMPLETELY MONOTONIC DEGREES OF REMAINDERS IN ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS OF THE DIGAMMA FUNCTION

MANSOUR MAHMOUD AND FENG QI*

Dedicated to Dr. Prof. Pietro Cerone retired at La Trobe University and Victoria University in Australia

(Communicated by I. Perić)

Abstract. Motivated by several conjectures posed in the paper “F. Qi and A.-Q. Liu, *Completely monotonic degrees for a difference between the logarithmic and psi functions*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **361** (2019), 366–371; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2019.05.001>”, the authors bound several completely monotonic degrees of the remainders in the asymptotic expansions of the logarithm of the gamma function and in the asymptotic expansions of the logarithm of the digamma function.

1. Preliminaries

The classical Euler gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ can be defined by

$$\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad \Re(z) > 0.$$

The logarithmic derivative of $\Gamma(x)$, denoted by $\psi(x) = \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}$, is called the digamma function and the derivatives $\psi^{(i)}(x)$ for $i \geq 0$ are called the polygamma functions. The digamma function $\psi(z)$ has the series expansion

$$\psi(1+z) = -\gamma + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z}{n(n+z)}, \quad z \neq -1, -1, -3, \dots$$

in [1, p. 259, 6.3.16] and has the asymptotic formula

$$\psi(z) \sim \ln z - \frac{1}{2z} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2n}}{2n} \frac{1}{z^{2n}}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty \text{ in } |\arg z| < \pi$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): Primary 33B15; Secondary 26A48, 41A60, 44A10.

Keywords and phrases: Completely monotonic degree, completely monotonic function, remainder, asymptotic expansion, logarithm of the gamma function, digamma function, Qi’s conjecture.

* Corresponding author.

in [1, p. 259, 6.3.18], where $\gamma = 0.57721566\dots$ stands for Euler–Mascheroni’s constant and the Bernoulli numbers B_{2n} are generated [23, 27, 33] by

$$\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{z^n}{n!} = 1 - \frac{z}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{2k} \frac{z^{2k}}{(2k)!}, \quad |z| < 2\pi. \quad (1.1)$$

For more information on $\Gamma(z)$ and $\psi^{(i)}(x)$, refer to [1, 21, 25, 40] and closely related references therein.

Recall from [32, Chapter 1] that, if a function h on an interval I has derivatives of all orders on I and

$$(-1)^n h^{(n)}(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in I, \quad n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N},$$

then we call h a completely monotonic function on I . In other words, a function h is completely monotonic on an interval I if its odd derivatives are negative and its even derivatives are positive on I . Theorem 12b in [35, p. 161] states that a necessary and sufficient condition for h to be completely monotonic on the infinite interval $(0, \infty)$ is that

$$h(t) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-ts} d\sigma(s), \quad s \in (0, \infty), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\sigma(s)$ is non-decreasing and the above integral converges for $s \in (0, \infty)$. In other words, a function is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if it is a Laplace transform of a non-negative measure.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $h(t)$ be a completely monotonic function on $(0, \infty)$ and let

$$h(\infty) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) \geq 0.$$

If the function

$$t^\alpha [h(t) - h(\infty)] \quad (1.3)$$

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $0 \leq \alpha \leq r \in \mathbb{R}$, then we say that $h(t)$ is of completely monotonic degree r ; if the function in (1.3) is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then we say that the completely monotonic degree of $h(t)$ is ∞ .

The function in (1.3) can be essentially regarded as the ratio $\frac{h(t) - h(\infty)}{(1/t)^\alpha}$ between the completely monotonic function $h(t) - h(\infty)$ and the α power of the completely monotonic function $\frac{1}{t}$ on $(0, \infty)$. This is the reason why we designed in [8] a notation $\text{deg}_{\text{cm}}^t [h(t)]$ to denote the completely monotonic degree r of $h(t)$ with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$. According to this idea, we can define the completely monotonic degree r of $h(t)$ with respect to $g(t)$, denoted by $\text{deg}_{\text{cm}}^{g(t)} [h(t)]$, as the largest number α such that the ratio $\frac{h(t) - h(\infty)}{[g(t) - g(\infty)]^\alpha}$ between the completely monotonic function $h(t)$ and the α power of the completely monotonic function $g(t)$ on $(0, \infty)$.

In [41, Definition 1.2], the integer part of the completely monotonic degree r of $h(t)$ with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$, that is, the quantity $\lfloor \text{deg}_{\text{cm}}^t [h(t)] \rfloor$, was needlessly and

unnecessarily called as the completely monotonic integer degree of the function $h(t)$, where the notation $[t]$ denotes the floor function whose value equals the largest integer less than or equal to t . Proposition 1.2 in [28] can be modified as that $\text{deg}_{\text{cm}}^t[h(t)] = r > 0$ if and only if

$$h(t) - h(\infty) = \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(r)} \int_0^s (s - \tau)^{r-1} d\mu(\tau) \right] e^{-ts} ds$$

for $0 < t < \infty$, where $\mu(\tau)$ is a bounded and non-decreasing measure on $(0, \infty)$. For more information on completely monotonic degrees and their properties, please refer to the papers [13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 24, 26] and closely related references therein.

2. Motivations and main results

In [2, pp. 374–375, Theorem 1] and [11, Theorem 1], the function

$$t^\alpha [\ln t - \psi(t)], \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

was proved to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$ if and only if $\alpha \leq 1$. This means that completely monotonic degree of $\ln t - \psi(t)$ on $(0, \infty)$ is

$$\text{deg}_{\text{cm}}^t[\ln t - \psi(t)] = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

In [31, Theorem 1.7], the function

$$t^2[\psi(t) - \ln t] + \frac{t}{2}$$

was proved to be decreasing and convex on $(0, \infty)$ and, as $t \rightarrow \infty$, to tend to $-\frac{1}{12}$. In [5, Theorem 1], the function

$$t^2[\psi(t) - \ln t] + \frac{t}{2} + \frac{1}{12}$$

was verified to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. In [29, Theorem 2], the completely monotonic degree of

$$\phi(t) = \psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t} + \frac{1}{12t^2}$$

with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$ was proved to be

$$\text{deg}_{\text{cm}}^t[\phi(t)] = 2. \quad (2.2)$$

In [2, Theorem 8], [14, Theorem 2], and [39], the functions

$$R_n(t) = (-1)^n \left[\ln \Gamma(t) - \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln t + t - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)(2k-1)t^{2k-1}} \right]$$

for $n \geq 0$ were proved to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, where an empty sum is understood to be 0. This conclusion implies that the functions $(-1)^m R_n^{(m)}(t)$ for

$m, n \geq 0$ are completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. See also [12, Section 1.4] and [20, Theorem 3.1]. By the way, we call the function $(-1)^n R_n(t)$ for $n \geq 0$ remainders of the asymptotic formula for $\ln \Gamma(t)$. See [1, p. 257, 6.1.40] and [21, p. 140, 5.11.1].

In [2, Theorem 1], [11, Theorem 1], and [37, Theorem 3], completely monotonic degree $\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[-R_0'(t)] = 1$ was verified once again.

In [18, Theorem 2.1], it was proved that

$$\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[R_n(t)] \geq n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (2.3)$$

In [5, Theorem 1], [29, Theorem 2], and [37, Theorem 4], completely monotonic degree $\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[-R_1'(t)] = 2$ was proved once again.

In [37, Theorems 1 and 2], it was shown that

$$\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[(-1)^2 R_0''(t)] = 2$$

and

$$\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[(-1)^2 R_1''(t)] = 3.$$

In [22], Qi proved that

$$4 \leq \deg_{\text{cm}}^t[(-1)^2 R_2''(t)] \leq 5.$$

Due to the above results, we modify Qi's conjectures posed in [29] as follows:

1. the completely monotonic degrees of $R_n(t)$ for $n \geq 0$ with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$ satisfy

$$\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[R_0(t)] = 0, \quad \deg_{\text{cm}}^t[R_1(t)] = 1, \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[R_n(t)] = 2(n-1), \quad n \geq 2; \quad (2.5)$$

2. the completely monotonic degrees of $-R_n'(t)$ for $n \geq 0$ with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$ satisfy

$$\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[-R_0'(t)] = 1, \quad \deg_{\text{cm}}^t[-R_1'(t)] = 2, \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[-R_n'(t)] = 2n-1, \quad n \geq 2; \quad (2.7)$$

3. the completely monotonic degrees of $(-1)^m R_n^{(m)}(t)$ for $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 0$ with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$ satisfy

$$\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[(-1)^m R_0^{(m)}(t)] = m, \quad \deg_{\text{cm}}^t[(-1)^m R_1^{(m)}(t)] = m+1, \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\deg_{\text{cm}}^t[(-1)^m R_n^{(m)}(t)] = m+2(n-1), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (2.9)$$

In this paper, we will confirm that Qi's conjectures expressed in (2.4) and (2.6) are true and, via a double inequality, partially confirm that the conjecture expressed in (2.7) is almost true. Our main results can be stated as the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. For $n \geq 0$, the completely monotonic degrees of the remainder $R_n(t)$ with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$ satisfy the two equalities in (2.4).

For $n \geq 0$, the completely monotonic degrees of the functions

$$-R'_n(t) = (-1)^{n+1} \left[\psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \frac{1}{t^{2k}} \right]$$

with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$ satisfy the two equalities in (2.6) and a double inequality

$$2n - 1 \leq \deg_{\text{cm}}^t[-R'_n(t)] < 2n, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (2.10)$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

Now we start out to prove our main results stated in Theorem 2.1.

3.1. Proofs of equalities in (2.4)

From Binet's first formula

$$\ln \Gamma(t) = \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln t - t + \ln \sqrt{2\pi} + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{e^u - 1} - \frac{1}{u} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \frac{e^{-tu}}{u} du$$

for $t > 0$ in [3, p. 28, Theorem 1.6.3] and [19, p. 11], it is easy to see that

$$R_0(t) = \ln \Gamma(t) - \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln t + t - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi)$$

and

$$R_1(t) = - \left[\ln \Gamma(t) - \left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right) \ln t + t - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{12t} \right]$$

satisfy

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R_0(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R_1(t) = 0.$$

The inequality (2.3) means that the completely monotonic degree of the remainder $R_n(t)$ for $n \geq 0$ with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$ is at least n . In particular, we have

$$\deg^t[R_0(t)] \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \deg^t[R_1(t)] \geq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

If $t^\theta R_0(t)$ and $t^\lambda R_1(t)$ were completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then their first derivatives should be non-positive. As a result, using

$$R'_0(t) = \psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t}$$

and

$$-R'_1(t) = \psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t} + \frac{1}{12t^2},$$

we acquire

$$\theta \leq -\frac{tR'_0(t)}{R_0(t)} = \frac{t[\ln t - \psi(t)] - \frac{1}{2}}{\ln \Gamma(t) - (t - \frac{1}{2}) \ln t + t - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi)} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow 0^+$$

and

$$\lambda \leq -\frac{tR'_1(t)}{R_1(t)} = -\frac{t^2[\psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t} + \frac{1}{12t^2}]}{t[\ln \Gamma(t) - (t - \frac{1}{2}) \ln t + t - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - \frac{1}{12t}]} \rightarrow 1, \quad t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where we used the limits

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (t[\ln t - \psi(t)]) = 1, \quad (\text{see [2, p. 374]})$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left(t^2 \left[\psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t} + \frac{1}{12t^2} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{12}, \quad (\text{see [29, Theorem 1]})$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_0(t) &= \ln \frac{\Gamma(t+3)}{(t+2)(t+1)t} - \left(t - \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln t + t - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) \\ &= \ln \Gamma(t+3) - \ln(t+2) - \ln(t+1) - t \ln t - \frac{1}{2} \ln t + t - \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) \\ &\rightarrow \infty, \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} tR_1(t) &= -t \left[R_0(t) - \frac{1}{12t} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{12} - t \ln \Gamma(t+3) + t \ln \frac{t+2}{t+1} + \left(t + \frac{1}{2} \right) t \ln t - t^2 + \frac{\ln(2\pi)}{2} t \\ &\rightarrow \frac{1}{12}, \quad t \rightarrow 0^+. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it follows that

$$\deg' [R_0(t)] \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \deg' [R_1(t)] \leq 1. \tag{3.2}$$

Combining those inequalities in (3.1) and (3.2) concludes those equalities in (2.4).

3.2. Proofs of equalities in (2.6)

Since $-R'_1(t) = \phi(t)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t[\ln t - \psi(t)] &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad (\text{see [2, p. 374]}) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t \left[\frac{1}{2t^2} + \frac{1}{t} - \psi'(t) \right]}{\frac{1}{2t} - \ln t + \psi(t)} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{2} + t - t^2 [\psi'(t+1) + \frac{1}{t^2}]}{\frac{1}{2} - t[\ln t - \psi(t)]} = 1, \end{aligned}$$

by the equation (2.1), we conclude the first conclusion in (2.6).

The equation (2.2) established in [29, Theorem 2] is equivalent to the second conclusion in (2.6).

3.3. Proof of the double inequality (2.10)

Let

$$f_n(v) = (-1)^n \left[\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} v^{2k-1} \right]$$

for $n \geq 0$, where the empty sum is understood to be 0. Then, by virtue of the formulas

$$\coth v = \frac{1 + e^{-2v}}{1 - e^{-2v}} = \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2v}} - 1,$$

$$\psi(z) = \ln z + \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-v}} \right) e^{-zv} dv, \quad \Re(z) > 0$$

in [21, p. 140, 5.9.13], and

$$\frac{1}{z^w} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(w)} \int_0^\infty v^{w-1} e^{-zv} dv, \quad \Re(z), \Re(w) > 0$$

in [1, p. 255, 6.1.1], we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n \int_0^\infty f_n(v) e^{-tv} dv &= \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} v^{2k-1} \right] e^{-tv} dv \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{1 - e^{-v}} + \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} v^{2k-1} \right] e^{-tv} dv \\ &= \psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \frac{1}{t^{2k}} \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 0$. This means that

$$R'_n(t) = \int_0^\infty f_n(v) e^{-tv} dv, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

The inequality (2.3) tells us that the remainder $R_n(t)$ for $n \geq 0$ is completely monotonic in $t \in (0, \infty)$. Then, by definition of completely monotonic functions, it is ready that the remainder $-R'_n(t)$ for $n \geq 0$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

Since the function $\frac{v}{e^v - 1} - 1 + \frac{v}{2}$ is even in $v \in \mathbb{R}$, by virtue of the equation (1.1), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(v) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{v} \left[1 - \frac{v}{1 - e^{-v}} + \frac{v}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} v^{2k} \right] \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{v} \left[- \left(\frac{v}{e^v - 1} - 1 + \frac{v}{2} \right) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} v^{2k} \right] \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \sum_{k=n+1}^\infty \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} v^{2k-1} \end{aligned}$$

for $|v| < 2\pi$ and $n \geq 0$. Accordingly, we have

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow 0} f_n^{(\ell)}(v) = (-1)^{n+1} \lim_{v \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \langle 2k-1 \rangle_{\ell} v^{2k-\ell-1} = 0 \tag{3.4}$$

for $0 \leq \ell \leq 2n$ or $\ell = 2m$ with $m, n \geq 0$, where

$$\langle \alpha \rangle_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha - k) = \begin{cases} \alpha(\alpha - 1) \cdots (\alpha - n + 1), & n \geq 1 \\ 1, & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

is called the falling factorial of α . For more information on the falling and rising factorials, refer to the papers [30].

Recall from [9, Theorem 2.1], [10, Theorem 2.1], and [38, Theorem 3.1] that, when $\vartheta > 0$ and $t \neq -\frac{\ln \vartheta}{\theta}$ or when $\vartheta < 0$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(\frac{1}{\vartheta e^{\theta t} - 1} \right) = (-1)^k \theta^k \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} (p-1)! S(k+1, p) \left(\frac{1}{\vartheta e^{\theta t} - 1} \right)^p \tag{3.5}$$

for $k \geq 0$, where

$$S(k, p) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{q=1}^p (-1)^{p-q} \binom{p}{q} q^k, \quad 1 \leq p \leq k$$

are the Stirling numbers of the second kind. Taking $\vartheta = \theta = 1$ in (3.5) leads to

$$\frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left(\frac{1}{e^t - 1} \right) = (-1)^k \sum_{p=1}^{k+1} (p-1)! S(k+1, p) \left(\frac{1}{e^t - 1} \right)^p \tag{3.6}$$

for $k \geq 0$. Utilizing (3.6) results in

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^{\ell}}{dv^{\ell}} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} \right) = \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^{\ell}}{dv^{\ell}} \left[\frac{1}{v} - \left(\frac{1}{e^v - 1} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] = \begin{cases} 0, & \ell \geq 1; \\ -\frac{1}{2}, & \ell = 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

Making use of (3.7) and

$$\lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} (v^m e^{-tv}) = 0, \quad t > 0, \quad m \geq 0$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} [f_n^{(\ell)}(v) e^{-tv}] &= (-1)^n \lim_{v \rightarrow \infty} \left[\left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} \right)^{(\ell)} e^{-tv} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} \langle 2k-1 \rangle_{\ell} v^{2k-\ell-1} e^{-tv} \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

for $\ell, n \geq 0$ and $t > 0$. Consequently, by the limits (3.4) and (3.8), integrating by parts inductively $2n - 1$ times in the equation (3.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} R'_n(t) &= -\frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty f_n(v) \, d e^{-tv} \\ &= -\frac{1}{t} \left[f_n(v) e^{-tv} \Big|_{v=0}^\infty - \int_0^\infty f'_n(v) e^{-tv} \, dv \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^\infty f'_n(v) e^{-tv} \, dv \\ &= \frac{1}{t^{2n-1}} \int_0^\infty f_n^{(2n-1)}(v) e^{-tv} \, dv \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{t^{2n-1}} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{(2k)!} v^{2k-1} \right]^{(2n-1)} e^{-tv} \, dv \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n}{t^{2n-1}} \int_0^\infty K_{2n-1}(v) e^{-tv} \, dv \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 1$, where

$$K_{2n-1}(v) = \frac{d^{2n-1}}{dv^{2n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} \right) + \frac{B_{2n}}{2n}, \quad v > 0, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.9}$$

Using the relation

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{2n+1} \cos(ax)}{e^x - 1} \, dx = (-1)^n \frac{d^{2n+1}}{da^{2n+1}} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \coth(a\pi) - \frac{1}{2a} \right], \quad a > 0, \quad n \geq 0$$

in [6, p. 48, (D20)], [7, p. 506, 3.951.13], and [36, p. 2], we arrive at

$$\frac{d^{2n-1}}{dv^{2n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} \right) = (-1)^n 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{w^{2n-1} \cos(wv)}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} \, dw \tag{3.10}$$

for $v > 0$ and $n \geq 1$. Using the relation

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{t^{2k-1}}{e^{2\pi t} - 1} \, dt = (-1)^{k-1} \frac{B_{2k}}{4k}, \quad k \geq 1$$

in [3, p. 29, (1.6.4)], [4, p. 220], and [34, p. 19], we obtain

$$(-1)^n 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{w^{2n-1}}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} \, dw = -\frac{B_{2n}}{2n}, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.11}$$

Substituting (3.10) and (3.11) into (3.9) reveals

$$K_{2n-1}(v) = (-1)^{n-1} 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{w^{2n-1} [1 - \cos(wv)]}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} \, dw, \quad v > 0, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Consequently, we have

$$t^{2n-1} [-R'_n(t)] = 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{w^{2n-1} [1 - \cos(wv)]}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} \, dw \right) e^{-tv} \, dv, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence, the function $t^{2n-1}[-R'_n(t)]$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. This means that

$$\text{deg}'_{\text{cm}}[-R'_n(t)] \geq 2n - 1, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.13}$$

If the function $t^\alpha[-R'_n(t)]$ were completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then its first derivative is negative, hence

$$\alpha < -\frac{t[-R'_n(t)]'}{-R'_n(t)} = -\frac{tR''_n(t)}{R'_n(t)}.$$

From

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[t^2 \left(\psi'(t) - \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{2t^2} \right) \right] = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[t^2 \left(\psi'(t+1) - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{2t^2} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[t \left(\psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t} \right) \right] = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[t \left(\psi(t+1) - \ln t - \frac{1}{2t} \right) \right] = -\frac{1}{2},$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[-\frac{tR''_n(t)}{R'_n(t)} \right] &= -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t \left[\psi'(t) - \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{2t^2} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{t^{2k+1}} \right]}{\left[\psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{2k} \frac{1}{t^{2k}} \right]} \\ &= -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t^2 \left[\psi'(t) - \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{2t^2} \right] - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B_{2k}}{t^{2k-1}}}{t \left[\psi(t) - \ln t + \frac{1}{2t} \right] + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2k} \frac{B_{2k}}{t^{2k-1}}} \\ &= 2n. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$\text{deg}'_{\text{cm}}[-R'_n(t)] \leq 2n, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{3.14}$$

We now prove that the inequality (3.14) is strict for $n \geq 2$, or say, when $n \geq 2$ the equality in (3.14) can be removed off. This proof is provided by an anonymous referee. In the formula (3.12), the integrand is non-negative and it is therefore permitted to interchange the order of integration. Doing so we obtain

$$t^{2n-1}[-R'_n(t)] = 2 \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^\infty [1 - \cos(wv)] e^{-tv} dv \right) \frac{w^{2n-1}}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} dw \tag{3.15}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Computing the inner integral in (3.15), which is a Laplace transform, we acquire

$$t^{2n}[-R'_n(t)] = 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{w}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} \frac{w^{2n}}{t^2 + w^2} dw \tag{3.16}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The right hand side of the relation (3.16) is a smooth function of $t > 0$. Straightforwardly differentiating under the integral in (3.16) with respect to $t > 0$ gives us

$$(t^{2n}[-R'_n(t)])'' = 4 \int_0^\infty \frac{w}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} \frac{3t^2 - w^2}{(t^2 + w^2)^3} w^{2n} dw \tag{3.17}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We want to let $t \rightarrow 0^+$ in (3.17) using dominated convergence, and thus need an integral majorant

$$\left| \frac{3t^2 - w^2}{(t^2 + w^2)^3} w^{2n} \right| \leq 3 \frac{t^2 + w^2}{(t^2 + w^2)^3} w^{2n} \leq 3 \frac{w^{2n}}{(t^2 + w^2)^2} \leq 3w^{2n-4}.$$

For $n \geq 2$, we thus have a suitable bound of the integrand and the Lebesgues theorem can be applied and gives

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left[(t^{2n} [-R'_n(t)])'' \right] = -4 \int_0^\infty \frac{w}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} w^{2n-4} dw < 0.$$

Since the second derivative is negative at $t = 0^+$, it must also be negative for all $t > 0$ sufficiently close to 0. Consequently, for $n \geq 2$, the inequality (3.14) is refined as

$$\text{deg}_{\text{cm}}^t [-R'_n(t)] < 2n, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (3.18)$$

Combining (3.18) with (3.13) gives the double inequality (2.10). The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

4. Remarks

Finally we list several remarks on main results and Qi's conjectures in this paper.

REMARK 4.1. As in the derivation of (3.9), integrating by parts $R'_n(t)$ inductively $2n \geq 2$ times in (3.3) yields

$$t^{2n} [-R'_n(t)] = \int_0^\infty K_{2n}(v) e^{-tv} dv$$

for $n \geq 1$, where, by (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} K_{2n}(v) &= \frac{d^{2n}}{dv^{2n}} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{d^{2n}}{dv^{2n}} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{e^v - 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{(2n)!}{v^{2n+1}} - \sum_{p=1}^{2n+1} (p-1)! S(2n+1, p) \left(\frac{1}{e^v - 1} \right)^p \end{aligned}$$

for $v > 0$ and $n \geq 1$. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(v) &= \frac{2}{v^3} - \frac{2}{(e^v - 1)^3} - \frac{3}{(e^v - 1)^2} - \frac{1}{e^v - 1} \\ &= \frac{2e^{3v} - e^{2v}(v^3 + 6) - e^v(v^3 - 6) - 2}{(e^v - 1)^3 v^3}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &[(e^v - 1)^3 v^3 K_2(v)]' = e^v [6e^{2v} - v^3 - 3v^2 + 6 - e^v(2v^3 + 3v^2 + 12)] \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad \rightarrow 0, \quad v \rightarrow 0^+, \\
 &([(e^v - 1)^3 v^3 K_2(v)]' e^{-v})' = 12e^{2v} - e^v(2v^3 + 9v^2 + 6v + 12) - 3v(v + 2) \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad \rightarrow 0, \quad v \rightarrow 0^+, \\
 &([(e^v - 1)^3 v^3 K_2(v)]' e^{-v})'' = 24e^{2v} - e^v(2v^3 + 15v^2 + 24v + 18) - 6(v + 1) \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad \rightarrow 0, \quad v \rightarrow 0^+ \\
 &([(e^v - 1)^3 v^3 K_2(v)]' e^{-v})^{(3)} = 48e^{2v} - e^v(2v^3 + 21v^2 + 54v + 42) - 6 \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad \rightarrow 0, \quad v \rightarrow 0^+ \\
 &([(e^v - 1)^3 v^3 K_2(v)]' e^{-v})^{(4)} = 96e^v \left(e^v - 1 - v - \frac{9}{16} \frac{v^2}{2!} - \frac{1}{8} \frac{v^3}{3!} \right) \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad > 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for $v \in (0, \infty)$. Therefore, the function $K_2(v)$ is positive on $(0, \infty)$. By Theorem 12b in [35, p. 161] stated in (1.2), we conclude that the function $t^2[-R'_1(t)]$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, that is,

$$\text{deg}_{\text{cm}}^t[-R'_1(t)] \geq 2.$$

This supplies an alternative and partial proof for the second equality in (2.6).

REMARK 4.2. Making use of (3.12) and integrating by parts lead to

$$t^{2n}[-R'_n(t)] = 2 \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{w^{2n} \sin(wv)}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} dw \right] e^{-tv} dv, \quad n \geq 1. \tag{4.1}$$

When $n = 1$, the second equality in (2.6) means that the function $t^2[-R'_n(t)]$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. By Theorem 12b in [35, p. 161] stated in (1.2), from the equality in (4.1), we conclude

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{u^2 \sin(su)}{e^u - 1} du \geq 0, \quad s \in (0, \infty).$$

Since the right hand side of the double inequality (2.10) in Theorem 2.1 is strict, when $n \geq 2$, the function $t^{2n}[-R'_n(t)]$ is not completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. Again by Theorem 12b in [35, p. 161] stated in (1.2), again from the equality in (4.1), we conclude that the function

$$s \in (0, \infty) \mapsto \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{2n} \sin(su)}{e^u - 1} du, \quad n \geq 2 \tag{4.2}$$

attains negative values somewhere on $(0, \infty)$. Consequently, the function

$$s \in (0, \infty) \mapsto \int_0^\infty \frac{u[1 - \cos(su)]}{e^u - 1} du$$

is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and the function

$$s \in (0, \infty) \mapsto \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{2n-1} [1 - \cos(su)]}{e^u - 1} du, \quad n \geq 2,$$

is decreasing on some subinterval $I \subset (0, \infty)$.

From the negativity somewhere on $(0, \infty)$ of the function in (4.2) for $n \geq 2$ and from the formula

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{2n} \sin(ax)}{e^x - 1} dx = (-1)^n \frac{d^{2n}}{da^{2n}} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \coth(a\pi) - \frac{1}{2a} \right], \quad a > 0, \quad n \geq 0$$

listed in [6, p. 48, (D19)], [7, p. 506, 3.951.12], and [36, p. 1], we see that the functions

$$s \in (0, \infty) \mapsto (-1)^n \left(\coth s - \frac{1}{s} \right)^{(2n)} \quad \text{and} \quad s \in (0, \infty) \mapsto (-1)^n \left(\frac{1}{e^s - 1} - \frac{1}{s} \right)^{(2n)}$$

for $n \geq 2$ attain negative values on some subinterval $I \subset (0, \infty)$.

REMARK 4.3. From (3.10), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{w^{2n-1} [1 - \cos(wv)]}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} dw &= \int_0^\infty \frac{w^{2n-1}}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} dw - \int_0^\infty \frac{w^{2n-1} \cos(wv)}{e^{2\pi w} - 1} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int_0^\infty \frac{w^{2n-1}}{e^w - 1} dw - \frac{(-1)^n}{2} \frac{d^{2n-1}}{dv^{2n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2n) \zeta(2n)}{(2\pi)^{2n}} - \frac{(-1)^n}{2} \frac{d^{2n-1}}{dv^{2n-1}} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{e^v - 1} \right) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(2n) \zeta(2n)}{(2\pi)^{2n}} - \frac{(-1)^n}{2} \left[\frac{(2n-1)!}{v^{2n}} - \sum_{p=1}^{2n} (p-1)! S(2n, p) \left(\frac{1}{e^v - 1} \right)^p \right], \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta(z)$ denotes the Riemann zeta function [21, Chapter 25]. Consequently, we obtain three inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(-1)^n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{2} \coth \frac{v}{2} \right)^{(2n-1)} &< \frac{\Gamma(2n) \zeta(2n)}{(2\pi)^{2n}}, \\ \frac{(-1)^n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{v} - \frac{1}{e^v - 1} \right)^{(2n-1)} &< \frac{\Gamma(2n) \zeta(2n)}{(2\pi)^{2n}}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{(-1)^n}{2} \left[\frac{(2n-1)!}{v^{2n}} - \sum_{p=1}^{2n} (p-1)! S(2n, p) \left(\frac{1}{e^v - 1} \right)^p \right] < \frac{\Gamma(2n) \zeta(2n)}{(2\pi)^{2n}}$$

for $n \geq 1$ and $v \in (0, \infty)$.

REMARK 4.4. From the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} R'_n(t) &= 0, & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [t^{2n-1} R'_n(t)] &= 0, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [t^{2n+3} R'_n(t)] &= (-1)^{n+1} \frac{B_{2n+2}}{2n+2}, & \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} [t^{2n+1} R'_n(t)] &= (-1)^n \frac{B_{2n}}{2n} \end{aligned}$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

REMARK 4.5. The conjectures in (2.5), (2.8), and (2.9) posed by Qi in [29] are still kept open. Theorem 2.1 does not give a full answer to the conjecture in (2.7), but it still demonstrates that these open conjectures posed by Qi should be true.

REMARK 4.6. This paper is a revised version of electronic preprints at the web sites

<https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02415224>

and

<https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.07989>.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank

1. anonymous referees for their careful corrections to, helpful suggestions to, and valuable comments on the original version of this paper;
2. Ms. Ling-Xiong Han (Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, China) for her careful computations and helpful discussions with the first author online via the Tencent QQ;
3. Mr. Li Yin (Binzhou University, China) for his frequent communications and helpful discussions with the first author online via the Tencent QQ.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. ABRAMOWITZ AND I. A. STEGUN (Eds), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55, 10th printing, Dover Publications, New York and Washington, 1972.
- [2] H. ALZER, *On some inequalities for the gamma and psi functions*, Math. Comp. **66** (1997), no. 217, 373–389; <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-97-00807-7>.
- [3] G. E. ANDREWS, R. ASKEY, AND R. ROY, *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications **71**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [4] B. C. BERNDT, *Ramanujan's Notebooks*, Part II, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989; <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4530-8>.
- [5] C.-P. CHEN, F. QI, AND H. M. SRIVASTAVA, *Some properties of functions related to the gamma and psi functions*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. **21** (2010), no. 2, 153–164; <https://doi.org/10.1080/10652460903064216>.
- [6] L. FLAX, *Theory of the Anisotropic Heisenberg Ferromagnet*, NASA Technical Note, NASA TN D-6037, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D. C., Oct. 1970; <https://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/19700033052.pdf>.

- [7] I. S. GRADSHTEYN AND I. M. RYZHIK, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Daniel Zwillinger and Victor Moll, Eighth edition, Revised from the seventh edition, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2015; <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-384933-5.00013-8>.
- [8] B.-N. GUO AND F. QI, *A completely monotonic function involving the tri-gamma function and with degree one*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218** (2012), no. 19, 9890–9897; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.03.075>.
- [9] B.-N. GUO AND F. QI, *Explicit formulae for computing Euler polynomials in terms of Stirling numbers of the second kind*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **272** (2014), 251–257; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2014.05.018>.
- [10] B.-N. GUO AND F. QI, *Some identities and an explicit formula for Bernoulli and Stirling numbers*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **255** (2014), 568–579; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2013.06.020>.
- [11] B.-N. GUO AND F. QI, *Two new proofs of the complete monotonicity of a function involving the psi function*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **47** (2010), no. 1, 103–111; <https://doi.org/10.4134/bkms.2010.47.1.103>.
- [12] B.-N. GUO, F. QI, J.-L. ZHAO, AND Q.-M. LUO, *Sharp inequalities for polygamma functions*, Math. Slovaca **65** (2015), no. 1, 103–120; <https://doi.org/10.1515/ms-2015-0010>.
- [13] S. KOUMANDOS, *Monotonicity of some functions involving the gamma and psi functions*, Math. Comp. **77** (2008), no. 264, 2261–2275; <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-08-02140-6>.
- [14] S. KOUMANDOS, *Remarks on some completely monotonic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **324** (2006), no. 2, 1458–1461; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.12.017>.
- [15] S. KOUMANDOS AND M. LAMPRECHT, *Some completely monotonic functions of positive order*, Math. Comp. **79** (2010), no. 271, 1697–1707; <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-09-02313-8>.
- [16] S. KOUMANDOS AND M. LAMPRECHT, *Complete monotonicity and related properties of some special functions*, Math. Comp. **82** (2013), no. 282, 1097–1120; <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-2012-02629-9>.
- [17] S. KOUMANDOS AND H. L. PEDERSEN, *Absolutely monotonic functions related to Euler’s gamma function and Barnes’ double and triple gamma function*, Monatsh. Math. **163** (2011), no. 1, 51–69; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-010-0197-9>.
- [18] S. KOUMANDOS AND H. L. PEDERSEN, *Completely monotonic functions of positive order and asymptotic expansions of the logarithm of Barnes double gamma function and Euler’s gamma function*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **355** (2009), no. 1, 33–40; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2009.01.042>.
- [19] W. MAGNUS, F. OBERHETTINGER, AND R. P. SONI, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*, Springer, Berlin, 1966.
- [20] C. MORTICI, *Very accurate estimates of the polygamma functions*, Asympt. Anal. **68** (2010), no. 3, 125–134; <https://doi.org/10.3233/ASY-2010-0983>.
- [21] F. W. J. OLVER, D. W. LOZIER, R. F. BOISVERT, AND C. W. CLARK (eds.), *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2010; <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>.
- [22] F. QI, *Bounds for completely monotonic degree of a remainder for an asymptotic expansion of the trigamma function*, Arab J. Basic Appl. Sci. **28** (2021), no. 1, 314–318; <https://doi.org/10.1080/25765299.2021.1962060>.
- [23] F. QI, *A double inequality for the ratio of two non-zero neighbouring Bernoulli numbers*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **351** (2019), 1–5; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2018.10.049>.
- [24] F. QI, *Completely monotonic degree of a function involving trigamma and tetragamma functions*, AIMS Math. **5** (2020), no. 4, 3391–3407; <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020219>.
- [25] F. QI, *Limit formulas for ratios between derivatives of the gamma and digamma functions at their singularities*, Filomat **27** (2013), no. 4, 601–604; <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1304601Q>.
- [26] F. QI AND R. P. AGARWAL, *On complete monotonicity for several classes of functions related to ratios of gamma functions*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2019**, Paper No. 36, 42 pages; <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-019-1976-z>.
- [27] F. QI AND R. J. CHAPMAN, *Two closed forms for the Bernoulli polynomials*, J. Number Theory **159** (2016), 89–100; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2015.07.021>.
- [28] F. QI AND W.-H. LI, *Integral representations and properties of some functions involving the logarithmic function*, Filomat **30** (2016), no. 7, 1659–1674; <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1607659Q>.

- [29] F. QI AND A.-Q. LIU, *Completely monotonic degrees for a difference between the logarithmic and psi functions*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **361** (2019), 366–371; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2019.05.001>.
- [30] F. QI, D.-W. NIU, D. LIM, AND B.-N. GUO, *Closed formulas and identities for the Bell polynomials and falling factorials*, Contrib. Discrete Math. **15** (2020), no. 1, 163–174; <https://doi.org/10.11575/cdm.v15i1.68111>.
- [31] S.-L. QIU AND M. VUORINEN, *Some properties of the gamma and psi functions, with applications*, Math. Comp. **74** (2005), no. 250, 723–742; <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-04-01675-8>.
- [32] R. L. SCHILLING, R. SONG, AND Z. VONDRAČEK, *Bernstein Functions*, 2nd ed., de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics **37**, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, Germany, 2012; <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110269338>.
- [33] Y. SHUANG, B.-N. GUO, AND F. QI, *Logarithmic convexity and increasing property of the Bernoulli numbers and their ratios*, Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM **115** (2021), no. 3, Paper No. 135, 12 pages; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398-021-01071-x>.
- [34] E. C. TITCHMARSH, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*, second edition, edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1986.
- [35] D. V. WIDDER, *The Laplace Transform*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.
- [36] E. G. WINTUCKY, *Formulas for n th order derivatives of hyperbolic and trigonometric functions*, NASA Technical Note, NASA TN D-6403, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D. C., July 1971; <https://ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/19710020296.pdf>.
- [37] A.-M. XU AND Z.-D. CEN, *Qi's conjectures on completely monotonic degrees of remainders of asymptotic formulas of di- and tri-gamma functions*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2020**, Paper No. 83, 10 pages; <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-020-02345-5>.
- [38] A.-M. XU AND Z.-D. CEN, *Some identities involving exponential functions and Stirling numbers and applications*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **260** (2014), 201–207; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2013.09.077>.
- [39] Y. XU AND X. HAN, *Complete monotonicity properties for the gamma function and Barnes G -function*, Sci. Magna **5** (2009), no. 4, 47–51.
- [40] Z.-H. YANG AND J.-F. TIAN, *Monotonicity rules for the ratio of two Laplace transforms with applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **470** (2019), no. 2, 821–845; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.10.034>.
- [41] L. ZHU, *Completely monotonic integer degrees for a class of special functions*, AIMS Math. **5** (2020), no. 4, 3456–3471; <https://doi.org/10.3934/math.2020224>.

(Received July 13, 2021)

Mansour Mahmoud
 Mathematics Department
 Faculty of Science, Mansoura University
 Mansoura 35516, Egypt
 e-mail: mansour@mans.edu.eg
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5918-1913>

Feng Qi
 Institute of Mathematics
 Henan Polytechnic University
 Jiaozuo 454003, Henan, China
 and
 School of Mathematical Sciences
 Tiangong University
 Tianjin 300387, China
 e-mail: qifeng618@gmail.com
 qifeng618@hotmail.com
 qifeng618@qq.com
<https://qifeng618.wordpress.com>
<https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6239-2968>