Mathematical
Inequalities
& Applications
Volume 25, Number 4 (2022), 963–983 doi:10.7153/mia-2022-25-61

HARDY OPERATORS AND COMMUTATORS ON GENERALIZED CENTRAL FUNCTION SPACES

NGUYEN ANH DAO

(*Communicated by J. Pečarić*)

Abstract. In this paper, we would like to study the boundedness of operators of Hardy type on generalized central function spaces, such as the generalized central Hardy space $\mathbf{HA}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the generalized central Morrey space $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the generalized central Campanato space $\mathrm{C\dot{M}O}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $p\in(1,\infty)$, and $\varphi(t):(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$. We first show that $\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the predual of $\mathrm{C\dot{M}O}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. After that, we investigate the boundedness of operators of Hardy type on those spaces. By duality, we obtain the boundedness characterization of function $b\in\mathrm{C\dot{M}O}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via the $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$-boundedness of commutator $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$.

1. Introduction and main results

1.1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is twofold. First, we study some generalized central function spaces, such as $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathrm{C\dot{M}O}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\mathbf{HA}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $p\in(1,\infty)$. Through the paper, we always assume that $\varphi(t)$ is nonincreasing on $(0,\infty)$, and $t^{\frac{n}{p}}\varphi(t)$ is nondecreasing on $(0,\infty)$. Then, we prove that $\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the predual of $\mathrm{C\dot{M}O}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Second, we investigate the boundedness of operators of Hardy type on those spaces. By duality, we obtain the boundedness characterization of function b in $\mathrm{C\dot{M}O}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by means of the boundedness of commutators $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ and $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$ in the above central function spaces.

NOTATION. For any $q\in(1,\infty)$, we denote q' the conjugate exponent, $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q'}=1$. With $|\Omega|$ we denote the Lebesgue measure of a measurable set Ω in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and B_t is the ball centered at $0\in\mathbb{R}^n$ with radius t. As usual, we denote a constant by C, which may depend on p,n and is probably different at different occurrences. Finally, we denote $A\lesssim B$ if there exists a constant $C>0$ such that $A\leqslant CB$.

The Hardy operators are defined by

$$\mathscr{H}(f)(x)=\frac{1}{v_n|x|^n}\int_{|y|<|x|}f(y)\,dy,\quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\},\tag{1}$$

Mathematics subject classification (2020): 42B20, 42B35, 42B30, 46A20.
Keywords and phrases: Hardy operators, commutator, generalized central function space, central atomic space.

Paper MIA-25-61

and (dual form)

$$\mathscr{H}^*(f)(x) = \frac{1}{v_n}\int_{|y|\geqslant|x|}\frac{f(y)}{|y|^n}dy, \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}, \tag{2}$$

where $v_n = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(1+n/2)}$ is the volume of unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

In the pioneering work, Hardy [20] established the integral inequality

$$\int_0^\infty\left(\frac{1}{x}\int_0^x f(t)\,dt\right)^p dx \leqslant \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p\int_0^\infty f(x)^p\,dx \tag{3}$$

for all non-negative $f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+)$, with $1<p<\infty$. Note that the constant $\frac{p}{p-1}$ is sharp.

By considering two-sided averages of f instead of one-sided, (3) can be equivalently formulated as:

$$\|\mathscr{H}(f)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leqslant \frac{p}{p-1}\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4}$$

In [5], Christ–Grafakos extended (4) to n-dimension. Furthermore, a sharp bound of weak type (p,p) of $\mathscr{H}$ was obtained by the authors in [13]. Specifically, for any $1\leqslant p\leqslant\infty$ we have

$$\|\mathscr{H}(f)\|_{L^{p,\infty}} \leqslant \|f\|_{L^p}$$

for all $f\in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In addition,

$$\|\mathscr{H}\|_{L^p\to L^{p,\infty}} = 1.$$

It is known that the inequalities of Hardy type play important roles in many areas of mathematics such as analysis, probability and partial differential equations (see, e.g., [2, 3, 5, 12, 19, 21, 22, 27] and the references therein). For example, a slight modification of (3) by setting $F(x)=\int_0^x f(t)\,dt$ provides us

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{F(x)^p}{x^p}\,dx \leqslant \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p\int_0^\infty F'(x)^p\,dx.$$

The analogue of this inequality in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n>1$ is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|\frac{f(x)}{x}\right|^p \leqslant \left(\frac{p}{n-p}\right)^p\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\nabla f(x)|^p\,dx \tag{5}$$

where ∇f is the gradient of f as usual; this holds for all $f\in\mathscr{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\})$ if $n<p<\infty$, and for all $f\in\mathscr{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if $1\leqslant p<n$. The constant is sharp and equality can only be attained by functions $f=0$ a.e.

Since the Hardy operators are centrosymmetric, the function spaces, which are characterized by the boundedness of $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{H}^*$ are central ones. For example, Shi–Lu, [26] established the boundedness of $\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{H}^*$ in the central Morrey spaces $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see Definition 1).

THEOREM 1. (Shi–Lu, [26]) *Let* $1<p<\infty$, *and* $\lambda\in(0,\frac{n}{p})$. *Then,* $\mathscr{H}$ *(resp.* $\mathscr{H}^*$*) is a bounded operator from* $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)\to\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Moreover, the boundedness characterization of operators of Hardy type in the homogeneous Herz spaces has been studied by the authors in [14].

Inspired by the above results, we would like to study the boundedness of operators of Hardy type in generalized central function spaces. Therefore, it is convenient for us to introduce the notions of those spaces.

DEFINITION 1. A real-valued function f is said to belong to the generalized central Morrey space $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided the following norm is finite:

$$\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} = \sup_{B_t} \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the balls B_t in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

REMARK 1. A canonical example is $\varphi(t) = t^{-\lambda}$, $\lambda \in (0, \frac{n}{p})$. In this case, we denote $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next, let us define the φ-central Campanato space $C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

DEFINITION 2. A function $f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to belong to $C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if

$$\|f\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi} := \sup_{t>0} \frac{\|f - f_{B_t}\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} < \infty,$$

with $f_B = \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B f(y)\,dy$, for set B in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

REMARK 2. When $\varphi(t) \equiv 1$, we denote $C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $C\dot{M}O^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for short. And, if $\varphi(t) = t^{-\lambda}$, $\lambda \in (0, \frac{n}{p}]$, we denote $C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by $C\dot{M}O^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

REMARK 3. If there exists a constant $D_0 \in (0,1)$ such that $\varphi(2t) \leqslant D_0\varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$, then by using the same argument as in [31], we also obtain

$$\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) = C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{6}$$

In particular, we have $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) = C\dot{M}O^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $\lambda \in (0, \frac{n}{p}]$.

REMARK 4. Obviously, for $1 \leqslant p_1 < p_2$ we have

$$C\dot{M}O^{p_2}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset C\dot{M}O^{p_1}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{7}$$

Moreover, it is known that

$$\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq C\dot{M}O^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq C\dot{M}O^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{8}$$

We emphasize that $C\dot{M}O^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ depends on p. Therefore, there is no analogy of the famous John–Nirenberg inequality of $\mathrm{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for the space $C\dot{M}O^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Our last interested central function space is the generalized central Hardy space. To define this space, we first point out the definition of a central $(1,q,\varphi)$-atom.

DEFINITION 3. Let $1<q\leqslant\infty$, and $\varphi:(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$. A function $a(x)$ is called a central $(1,q,\varphi)$-atom, if there exists a ball B_t in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that

(i) $\operatorname{supp}(a)\subset B_t$,

(ii) $\displaystyle\int_{B_t} a(x)\,dx=0$,

(iii) $\displaystyle\|a\|_{L^q}\leqslant\frac{1}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{q'}}\varphi(t)}$.

Now, we are ready to define $\mathbf{HA}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see definition $\mathbf{H}^{p,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Zorko [31]).

DEFINITION 4. Let $1<p<\infty$, and let $\varphi(t):(0,\infty)\to(0,\infty)$. We denote, by $\mathbf{HA}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the family of distributions h that, in the sense of distributions, can be written as

$$h=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\lambda_j a_j,$$

where a_j, $j\geqslant 0$ are central $(1,p,\varphi)$-atoms, and $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|<\infty$.

It is clear that $\mathbf{HA}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a vector space. In addition, we denote

$$\|h\|_{\mathbf{HA}^p_\varphi}=\inf\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|\right\}$$

where *infimum* is taken over all possible decompositions of h as above.

Then, $\left(\mathbf{HA}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n),\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{HA}^p_\varphi}\right)$ becomes a normed space.

Such a space of this type has been studied by the authors in [4, 17, 18] and in the references cited therein when $\varphi(t)\equiv 1$. In fact, Chen–Lau, [4] studied a theory of Hardy spaces $\mathbf{HA}^p(\mathbb{R})$ associated with the Beurling algebras $\mathbf{A}^p$, $1<p<\infty$, the space consisting of functions f on $\mathbb{R}^n$ for which

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{A}^p}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}2^{\frac{kn}{p'}}\|f\chi_k\|_{L^p}<\infty,$$

where χ_k is the characteristic function on the set $\left\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n: 2^{k-1}<|x|\leqslant 2^k\right\}$, $k\geqslant 1$.

For convenience, we recall here the definition of $\mathbf{HA}^p(\mathbb{R})$ via the Beurling algebras $\mathbf{A}^p$.

DEFINITION 5. Let f^* be the vertical maximal function, defined by

$$f^*(x)=\sup_{t>0}\left|(f*\psi_t)(x)\right|,$$

where $\psi_t(x) = t^{-n}\psi(x/t)$, and ψ is an integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x)\,dx = 1$.

Then, we define $\mathbf{HA}^p(\mathbb{R})$ by the set of functions f such that $\|f^*\|_{\mathbf{A}^p}$ is finite. Moreover, if we set $\|f\|_{\mathbf{HA}^p} = \|f^*\|_{\mathbf{A}^p}$, then $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{HA}^p}$ is a norm.

The most interesting aspect of the theory constructed by Chen–Lau is the atomic decomposition of $\mathbf{HA}^p(\mathbb{R})$, for $1 < p \leqslant 2$. Thanks to this decomposition, they obtained the following duality

$$\mathbf{HA}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)^* = \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{9}$$

After that, García-Cuerva [17] extended their results for all $p \in (1,\infty)$ by using the characterizations via the grand maximal functions. Moreover, the associated spaces $\mathbf{HA}^{q,p}$, $0 < q < 1$, $1 < p \leqslant \infty$ was investigated by the authors in [18].

REMARK 5. Obviously, for any $1 < p_1 < p_2 \leqslant \infty$ we have

$$\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n). \tag{10}$$

It is interesting to emphasize that when $\varphi(t) \equiv 1$ the inclusion in (10) is strictly according to (8) and (9). This observation is different from the point of view of the classical Hardy spaces. That is

$$\mathbf{H}^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbf{H}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \tag{11}$$

for $1 < q < \infty$, see Theorem A, [7]. By (11), one can define $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the real Hardy space) to be any one of the spaces $\mathbf{H}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < q \leqslant \infty$.

Next, we discuss the commutators of Hardy operators. For any operator T, let us define

$$[b,T](f) := bT(f) - T(bf).$$

Note that b is called the symbol function of $[b,T]$. When T is an operator of Hardy type, the study of $[b,T]$ has been investigated by many authors in [13, 16, 14, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 29], and the references therein. In [29], Long–Wang proved Hardy's integral inequalities for commutators $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ and $[b,\mathscr{H}_\beta]$ (the fractional Hardy operator), $\beta \in (0,1)$, with b belongs to the one-sided dyadic functions $\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$. Moreover, Fu et al., [14] obtained some characterizations of $\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $1 < p < \infty$ via the L^p-boundedness of $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ and $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$ in the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. (Fu et al., [14]) *Let* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Then both* $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ *and* $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$ *are bounded on* L^p. *Conversely,*

(a) *if* $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ *is bounded on* L^p, *then* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;
(b) *if* $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$ *is bounded on* L^p, *then* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

We also mention that Komori, [16] obtained a characterization of function $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p(\mathbb{R}^+)$ by means of the L^p-boundedness of $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ and $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$. Note that his argument can be adapted for the setting of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ instead of $\mathbb{R}^+$.

Next, Lu–Zhao, [23] extended Theorem 2 to the space $\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,q'\},\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows.

THEOREM 3. (Lu–Zhao, [23]) *Let* $1 < q < p < \infty$ *be such that* $0 < \lambda = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{p} < \frac{1}{n}$. *Then* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,q'\},\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n) \Longleftrightarrow [b,\mathscr{H}],[b,\mathscr{H}^*] : L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

1.2. Main results

As mentioned at the beginning, our first result is the following duality.

THEOREM 4. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$, *and* $\varphi(t) : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$. *Then, we have*

$$\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)^* = \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{p}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

REMARK 6. As a consequence of Theorem 4, we observe that $\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{p}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space.

Next, we extend Theorem 1 to $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

THEOREM 5. *Let* $1 < p < \infty$. *Assume that there is a constant* $D_0 \in (0,1)$ *such that*

$$\varphi(2t) \leqslant D_0 \varphi(t), \quad \forall t > 0. \tag{12}$$

Then, $\mathscr{H}$ *(resp.* $\mathscr{H}^*$ *) is a bounded operator from* $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In addition, we have

$$\|\mathscr{H}(f)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}} \leqslant \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}} \tag{13}$$

for $f \in \dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; *and there is a constant* $C = C(n,p) > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\mathscr{H}^*(f)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}} \leqslant C\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}} \tag{14}$$

for $f \in \dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

REMARK 7. We emphasize that condition (12) can be relaxed in the $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p}_{\varphi}$-boundedness of $\mathscr{H}$, see the proof of Theorem 5. This means that one can take $\varphi(t) \equiv C > 0$ in (13).

As a consequence of Theorem 5, Remark 3, and Theorem4, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. *Same hypotheses as in Theorem* 5. *Then, the following statements hold true.*

(a) $\mathscr{H}$ *and* $\mathscr{H}^*$ *are bounded operators from* $\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{p}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{p}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(b) $\mathscr{H}$ *and* $\mathscr{H}^*$ *are bounded operators from* $\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_{\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Concerning the boundedness of commutators of Hardy operator, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 6. *Same hypotheses as in Theorem 5. If* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *then the following statements hold true*

(a) $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ *(resp.* $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$*) is a bounded operator from* $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$;

(b) $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ *(resp.* $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$*) is a bounded operator from* $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

REMARK 8. Similarly as in Remark 7, (12) can be relaxed for conclusion (a) of Theorem 6.

By duality, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 2. *Same hypotheses as in Corollary 1. If* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *then* $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ *(resp.* $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$*) is a bounded operator on* $\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Typical examples for the Corollaries 1, 2 are $\varphi(t) = t^{-\lambda}$, and $\varphi(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\log(1+t)}\right)^\lambda$, for $\lambda \in (0, \frac{n}{p}]$.

Our last result is a characterization of function b in $\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by means of the boundedness of $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$ in $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

THEOREM 7. *Same hypotheses as in Theorem 5. If* $b \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *and* $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$ *is a bounded operator on* $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *then* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Furthermore, there exists a constant $C > 0$ *depending on* n, p *such that*

$$\|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p} \leqslant C\|[b,\mathscr{H}^*]\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \tag{15}$$

By duality, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3. *Same hypotheses as in Theorem 7. If* $b \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *and* $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ *is a bounded operator on* $\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *then* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In addition, there exists a constant $C > 0$ *depending on* n, p *such that*

$$\|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p} \leqslant C\|[b,\mathscr{H}]\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi \to \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi}. \tag{16}$$

As a consequence of Theorem 7 and Corollary 3, we have the following result.

COROLLARY 4. *Same hypotheses as in Theorem 7. Suppose that* $t^{\min\{\frac{n}{p},\frac{n}{p'}\}}\varphi(t)$ *is nondecreasing on* $(0,\infty)$, *and* $b \in L^{\max\{p,p'\}}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Then, the following statements hold true.*

(a) *If* $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$ *is a bounded operator on* $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *then* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *In addition, there exists a constant* $C = C(n,p) > 0$ *such that*

$$\|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}} \leqslant C\left(\|[b,\mathscr{H}^*]\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} + \|[b,\mathscr{H}^*]\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p'}_\varphi \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^{p'}_\varphi}\right). \tag{17}$$

(b) If $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ *is a bounded operator on* $\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, then* $b \in \dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*. In addition, there exists a constant* $C = C(n,p) > 0$ *such that*

$$\|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}} \leqslant C\left(\|[b,\mathscr{H}\|_{\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'}\to\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'}} + \|[b,\mathscr{H}]\|_{\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p}\to\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p}} \right). \tag{18}$$

Typical examples for Corollary 4 are $\varphi(t) = t^{-\lambda}$, and $\varphi(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\log(1+t)}\right)^{\lambda}$, for $\lambda \in (0, \min\{\frac{n}{p}, \frac{n}{p'}\}]$.

Our paper is organized as follows. We study the generalized central Hardy space, and prove Theorem 4 in the next section. The last section is devoted to the proof of Theorems 5–7, and of Corollary 1–4.

2. $\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as the predual of $\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}_{\varphi}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

For any ball B in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we denote $L_0^p(B)$ by the subspace of $L^p(B)$ of functions having mean value zero. It is not difficult to verify that

$$L_0^p(B)^* = L^{p'}(B)/C(B), \tag{19}$$

where $C(B)$ is the set of the functions, which are constant on B. Then, we have the following embedding result.

PROPOSITION 1. *For any* $\tau > 0$*, and for* $f \in L_0^p(B_\tau)$*, we have*

$$\|\mathbf{1}_{B_\tau} f\|_{\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p}} \leqslant |B_\tau|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \varphi(\tau) \|f\|_{L^p(B_\tau)}.$$

Proof of Proposition 1. Let us set

$$a(x) = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_\tau} f(x)}{|B_\tau|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \varphi(\tau) \|f\|_{L^p(B_\tau)}}.$$

Since $\int_{B_\tau} f(x)\,dx = 0$, then it is not difficult to verify that a is a central $(1,p,\varphi)$-atom.

Thus, the desired result follows from the Definition 4. $\square$

REMARK 9. As a consequence of Proposition 1, if $f \in \mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$, then for any $\tau > 0$ we get

$$\mathbf{1}_{B_\tau} f \in L_0^p(B_\tau)^*.$$

Now, we prove Theorem 4.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let a be a central $(1,p',\varphi)$-atom with $\mathrm{supp}(a)\subset B_t$ for some $t>0$. Then, for any $f\in C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)a(x)\,dx\right| &= \left|\int_{B_t}(f(x)-f_{B_t})\,a(x)\,dx\right|\\ &\leqslant \|f-f_{B_t}\|_{L^p(B_t)}\|a\|_{L^{p'}(B_t)}\\ &\leqslant \frac{\|f-f_{B_t}\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \leqslant \|f\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi}.\end{aligned}$$

For every $g\in \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one can decompose $g=\sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$, where $\{a_j\}_{j\geqslant 0}$ is a sequence of central $(1,p',\varphi)$-atoms; and $\sum_{j=0}^\infty|\lambda_j|<\infty$. Thus, we deduce from the last inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)\,dx\right| &= \left|\sum_{j=0}^\infty\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\lambda_j f(x)a_j(x)\,dx\right|\\ &\leqslant \left(\sum_{j=0}^\infty|\lambda_j|\right)\|f\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi}\\ &\leqslant \|g\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi}\|f\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi}.\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

This yields

$$C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)\subset \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)^*.$$

It remains to show that

$$\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)^*\subset C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n).\tag{21}$$

Let $F\in \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. Thanks to Remark 9, we have that $\mathbf{1}_{B_\tau}F\in L^{p'}_0(B_\tau)^*$ for $\tau>0$. By (19), there exists $f_\tau\in L^p(B_\tau)/C(B_\tau)$ such that

$$\langle \mathbf{1}_{B_\tau}F,g\rangle_{L^p,L^{p'}} = \int_{B_\tau} f_\tau(x)g(x)\,dx,\ \forall g\in L^{p'}_0(B_\tau).\tag{22}$$

Thus, for every $0<\tau_1<\tau_2$, we have

$$f_{\tau_1}(x)=f_{\tau_2}(x)\ \text{for a.e. } x\in B_{\tau_1},$$

which makes sense by (22).

Next, let us define $f(x)=f_\tau(x)$ if $x\in B_\tau$. Obviously, we have $f\in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now, we show that $f\in C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, for any ball B_t in $\mathbb{R}^n$, let us fix $\tau_0>t$. Remind that $f(x)=f_t(x)\in L^p(B_t)/C(B_t)$ for $x\in B_t$. By duality (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\|f-f_{B_t}\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} &= \frac{1}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \sup_{\|h\|_{L_0^{p'}(B_t)}=1} \left| \int_{B_t} (f(x)-f_{B_t})\, h(x)\, dx \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \sup_{\|h\|_{L_0^{p'}(B_t)}=1} \left| \int_{B_t} f(x)\, (h(x)-h_{B_t})\, dx \right| \\
&= \sup_{\|h\|_{L_0^{p'}(B_t)}=1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_{\tau_0}(x) \frac{(h(x)-h_{B_t})\,\mathbf{1}_{B_t}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)}\, dx \right| . \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h \in L_0^{p'}(B_t)$ and $\|h\|_{L^{p'}(B_t)} = 1$, then $\dfrac{(h(x)-h_{B_t})\,\mathbf{1}_{B_t}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)}$ is a central $(1,p',\varphi)$-atom (see the proof of Proposition 1), and

$$
\left\| \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_t}\,(h(x)-h_{B_t})}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \right\|_{\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'}} \leqslant 1 .
$$

With this inequality noted, it follows from (23) that

$$
\frac{\|f-f_{B_t}\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \leqslant \|\mathbf{1}_{B_{\tau_0}} F\|_{(\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'})^*} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{1}_{B_t}\,(h(x)-h_{B_t})}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \right\|_{\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'}} \leqslant \|F\|_{(\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'})^*} .
$$

Since the last inequality holds for every $t > 0$, then we obtain

$$
\|f\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}_{\varphi}^{p}} \leqslant \|F\|_{(\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'})^*} ,
$$

which yields (21).

Hence, we have completed the proof of Theorem 4. □

Next, we exploit some properties of $\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ under certain conditions on φ.

PROPOSITION 2. *Suppose that $\varphi(t)$ is nonincreasing on $(0,\infty)$, and there exists $\tau_0 > 0$ such that $t^{\frac{n}{p}}\varphi(t)$ is nondecreasing on (τ_0,∞). Then, $\mathbf{HA}_{\varphi}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the subspace of $L_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$.*

Proof of Proposition 2. Let a be a central $(1,p',\varphi)$-atom with $\mathrm{supp}(a) \subset B_t$, and let ψ be a test function in $L_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (the space of bounded functions with compact support) with $\mathrm{supp}(\psi) \subset B_{t_0}$.

Applying Hölder's inequality yields

$$
\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)\psi(x)\, dx \right| \leqslant \|a\|_{L^{p'}(B_t)} \|\psi\|_{L^p(B_t \cap B_{t_0})} \leqslant \frac{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty} |B_t \cap B_{t_0}|^{1/p}}{|B_t|^{1/p}\varphi(t)} .
$$

If $t \leqslant \max\{t_0, \tau_0\}$, then it follows from the last inequality and the fact $\varphi(t) \geqslant \min\{\varphi(t_0), \varphi(\tau_0)\}$ that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)\psi(x)\,dx\right| \leqslant \frac{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}}{\min\{\varphi(t_0), \varphi(\tau_0)\}}.$$

Otherwise, we have $t_0^{\frac{n}{p}}\varphi(t_0) \leqslant t^{\frac{n}{p}}\varphi(t)$. Thus,

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)\psi(x)\,dx\right| \leqslant \frac{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}|B(z_0,t_0)|^{1/p}}{|B(z_0,t_0)|^{1/p}\varphi(t_0)} = \frac{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}}{\varphi(t_0)}.$$

By combining the two cases, we get

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)\psi(x)\,dx\right| \leqslant \frac{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}}{\min\{\varphi(t_0), \varphi(\tau_0)\}}. \tag{24}$$

Now, for any $h \in \mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can write $h = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$, where a_j, $j \geqslant 0$ are $(1, p', \varphi)$-atoms, and $\sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j| < \infty$.

Then, it follows from (24) that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(x)\psi(x)\,dx\right| &\leqslant \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j| \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_j(x)\psi(x)\,dx\right| \\ &\leqslant \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|\right) \frac{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}}{\min\{\varphi(t_0), \varphi(\tau_0)\}} \\ &\leqslant \|h\|_{\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}} \frac{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}}{\min\{\varphi(t_0), \varphi(\tau_0)\}}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we obtain the conclusion. □

REMARK 10. As a consequence of Proposition 2, if $h \in \mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $h = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \lambda_j a_j$, then the series converges to h in the norm of $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Same hypotheses as in Proposition 2. Then,* $\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is a Banach space.*

Proof of Proposition 3. Let $\{f_N\}_{N\geqslant 1}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, there exists a subsequence $\{f_{N_k}\}_{k\geqslant 1}$ such that

$$\left\|f_{N_k} - f_{N_{k-1}}\right\|_{\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leqslant 2^{-k}. \tag{25}$$

Put

$$f = f_{N_1} + \sum_{k\geqslant 2}\left(f_{N_k} - f_{N_{k-1}}\right).$$

Note that for any $k \geqslant 1$, we have

$$f_{N_k} - f_{N_{k-1}} = \sum_{j \geqslant 0} \lambda_j^k a_j^k,$$

where $\{a_j^k\}_{j \geqslant 0}$ is a sequence of central $(1, p', \varphi)$-atoms, and

$$\sum_{j \geqslant 0} |\lambda_j^k| \leqslant \|f_{N_k} - f_{N_{k-1}}\|_{\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + 2^{-k}.$$

With this inequality noted, and by (25), we obtain

$$\sum_{k \geqslant 1} \sum_{j \geqslant 0} |\lambda_j^k| \leqslant \sum_{k \geqslant 1} 2^{1-k} < \infty. \tag{26}$$

This implies that f can be decomposed into central $(1, p', \varphi)$-atoms.

Next, we claim that $f_{N_k} \to f$ as $k \to \infty$ in the norm of $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$. If this is true, then by (26) we can conclude that $f_N \to f$ in $\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $N \to \infty$.

Since $f = f_{N_{k_0}} + \sum_{k \geqslant k_0+1} (f_{N_k} - f_{N_{k-1}})$, then it suffices to prove that $\sum_{k \geqslant k_0+1} (f_{N_k} - f_{N_{k-1}})$ converges to 0 as $k_0 \to \infty$ with respect to the norm of $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$.

By (24), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{k \geqslant k_0+1} (f_{N_k} - f_{N_{k-1}})(x) \psi(x)\, dx \right| &\leqslant \sum_{k \geqslant k_0+1} \sum_{l \geqslant 0} |\lambda_l^k| \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a_l^k(x) \psi(x)\, dx \right| \\ &\leqslant \sum_{k \geqslant k_0+1} \sum_{l \geqslant 0} |\lambda_l^k| \frac{\|\psi\|_{L^\infty}}{\min\{\varphi(t_0), \varphi(\tau_0)\}}.\end{aligned}$$

With this inequality noted, it follows from (26) that

$$\lim_{k_0 \to \infty} \left\| \sum_{k \geqslant k_0+1} (f_{N_k} - f_{N_{k-1}}) \right\|_{L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)^*} = 0.$$

Thus, $f_{N_{k_0}} \to f$ in $L_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ as $k_0 \to \infty$.

This puts an end to the proof of Proposition 3. □

3. The boundedness of operators of Hardy type in generalized central function spaces

3.1. Hardy operators in generalized central function spaces

Proof of Theorem 5. We first prove the $\dot{\mathbf{M}}_\varphi^p$-boundedness of $\mathscr{H}$. In fact, for any ball B_t in $\mathbb{R}^n$, let us write

$$\mathscr{H}(f)(x) = \mathscr{H}(f_1)(x) + \mathscr{H}(f_2)(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

with $f_1 = f\mathbf{1}_{B_t}$, and $f_2 = f\mathbf{1}_{B_t^c}$, $B_t^c = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_t$.

For f_1, we apply (4) to obtain

$$\frac{\|\mathscr{H}(f_1)\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \leqslant \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)\frac{\|f_1\|_{L^p}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} = \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)\frac{\|f\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \leqslant \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \tag{27}$$

Next, since $f_2 = 0$ on B_t, then for any $x \in B_t$ we observe that

$$\mathscr{H}(f_2)(x) = \frac{1}{\nu_n|x|^n}\int_{|y|<|x|} f_2(y)\,dy = 0. \tag{28}$$

A combination of (27) and (28) yields

$$\frac{\|\mathscr{H}(f)\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} = \frac{\|\mathscr{H}(f_1)\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \leqslant \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}.$$

Since the last inequality holds for any $t > 0$, then we obtain

$$\|\mathscr{H}(f)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} \leqslant \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}.$$

It remains to prove the $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi$-boundedness of $\mathscr{H}^*$. We argue similarly as in (27) in order to obtain

$$\frac{\|\mathscr{H}^*(f_1)\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \tag{29}$$

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{H}^*(f_2)(x)| &= \left|\frac{1}{\nu_n}\int_{|y|\geqslant 2t}\frac{f(y)}{|y|^n}\,dy\right| = \frac{1}{\nu_n}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\int_{\{2^k t\leqslant|y|<2^{k+1}t\}}\frac{f(y)}{|y|^n}\,dy\right| \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2^k t)^{-n}\left|\int_{\{2^k t\leqslant|y|<2^{k+1}t\}} f(y)\,dy\right| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2^k t)^{-n}\int_{B_{2^{k+1}t}}|f(y)|\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Hölder's inequality, and (12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathscr{H}^*(f_2)(x)| &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(2^k t)^{-n}\|f\|_{L^p(B_{2^{k+1}t})}|B_{2^{k+1}t}|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{\|f\|_{L^p(B_{2^{k+1}t})}}{|B_{2^{k+1}t}|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(2^{k+1}t)}\varphi(2^{k+1}t) \\ &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\varphi(2^{k+1}t)\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} \\ &\leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}D_0^{k+1}\varphi(t)\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} \lesssim \varphi(t)\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce that

$$\|\mathscr{H}^*(f_2)\|_{L^p(B_t)} \lesssim |B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \tag{30}$$

Combing (29) and (30) yields the desired result.

Hence, we complete the proof of Theorem 5. □

Proof of Corollary 1. The proof of (a) just follows from Theorem 5 and Remark 3.

It remains to prove (b). Thanks to duality, for every $f \in \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathscr{H}(f)\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi} &= \sup_{\|g\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi}=1} \left|\int \mathscr{H}(f)(x)g(x)\,dx\right| = \sup_{\|g\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi}=1} \left|\int f(x)\mathscr{H}^*(g)(x)\,dx\right| \\
&\leqslant \sup_{\|g\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi}=1} \|f\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi}\|\mathscr{H}^*(g)\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi} \\
&\lesssim \sup_{\|g\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi}=1} \|f\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi}\|g\|_{C\dot{M}O^p_\varphi} = \|f\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we conclude that $\mathscr{H}$ maps $\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Similarly, the conclusion also holds for $\mathscr{H}^*$.

Thus, we obtain the proof of Corollary 1. □

3.2. Commutators of Hardy operators in generalized central function spaces

Before we prove Theorems 6 and 7, we recall a fundamental result being useful for our argument later.

LEMMA 1. *Let $1 \leqslant p < \infty$, and $k \geqslant 1$. For any ball B_t in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then we have*

$$\left\|b - b_{B_{2^k t}}\right\|_{L^p(B_t)} \leqslant 2^n(k+1)\|b\|_{C\dot{M}O^p}|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 1. For any $j \geqslant 1$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|b_{B_{2^{j+1}t}} - b_{B_{2^j t}}\right| &\leqslant \frac{1}{|B_{2^j t}|}\int_{B_{2^j t}} \left|b(y) - b_{B_{2^{j+1}t}}\right| dy \\
&\leqslant \frac{|B_{2^{j+1}t}|}{|B_{2^j t}|}\frac{1}{|B_{2^{j+1}t}|}\int_{B_{2^{j+1}t}} \left|b(y) - b_{B_{2^{j+1}t}}\right| dy \\
&\leqslant 2^n\|b\|_{C\dot{M}O^1} \leqslant 2^n\|b\|_{C\dot{M}O^p}.
\end{aligned}$$

With this inequality noted, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|b-b_{B_{2^k t}}\right\|_{L^p(B_t)} &\leqslant \|b-b_{B_t}\|_{L^p(B_t)}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left\|b_{B_{2^j t}}-b_{B_{2^{j+1}t}}\right\|_{L^p(B_t)} \\
&\leqslant |B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\|b-b_{B_t}\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}}+\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left|b_{B_{2^j t}}-b_{B_{2^{j+1}t}}\right||B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
&\leqslant 2^n(k+1)\|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p}|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 1. □

Next, we estimate $\|\mathbf{1}_{B_r}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}$ for any ball B_r in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that $\varphi(t)$ is nonincreasing, and $t^{\frac{n}{p}}\varphi(t)$ is nondecreasing. Then, for any ball B_r in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we have*

$$
\|\mathbf{1}_{B_r}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}=\frac{1}{\varphi(r)}.
$$

Proof of Lemma 2. We consider the following term $I(t):=\frac{\|\mathbf{1}_{B_r}\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)}$, $t>0$.
If $t\leqslant r$, then since $\varphi(t)$ is nonincreasing, then we obtain

$$
I(t)=\frac{|B_r\cap B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)}=\frac{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)}\leqslant\frac{1}{\varphi(r)}.
$$

Otherwise, it follows from the monotonicity of $|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)$ that

$$
I(t)\leqslant\frac{|B_r|^{\frac{1}{p}}}{|B_r|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(r)}\leqslant\frac{1}{\varphi(r)}.
$$

Combining the two inequalities yields

$$
\|\mathbf{1}_{B_r}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}\leqslant\frac{1}{\varphi(r)}. \tag{31}
$$

The reverse of (31) is obvious since $I(r)=\frac{1}{\varphi(r)}$.
Thus, the desired result follows. □

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6.
(a) Fix ball B_t in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We write

$$
[b,\mathscr{H}](f)=[b,\mathscr{H}](f_1)+[b,\mathscr{H}](f_2),
$$

with $f_1 = f\mathbf{1}_{B_t}$ and $f_2 = f\mathbf{1}_{B_t^c}$.

Since $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ maps $L^p \to L^p$, then we have

$$\|[b,\mathscr{H}](f_1)\|_{L^p(B_t)} \lesssim \|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}} \|f_1\|_{L^p} = \|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}} \|f\|_{L^p(B_{2t})}.$$

Thus, it follows from the monotonicity of φ that

$$\frac{\|[b,\mathscr{H}](f_1)\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \lesssim \|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}} \frac{\|f\|_{L^p(B_{2t})}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \leqslant \|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}} \|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \tag{32}$$

Next, for any $x \in B_t$ we observe that

$$[b,\mathscr{H}](f_2)(x) = 0.$$

A combination of this fact, and (32) provides us that

$$\frac{\|[b,\mathscr{H}](f)\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} = \frac{\|[b,\mathscr{H}(f_1)\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \lesssim \|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}} \|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the desired result.

(b) Since $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$ maps $L^p \to L^p$, then we can mimic the proof of (32) to obtain

$$\frac{\|[b,\mathscr{H}^*](f_1)\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \lesssim \|b\|_{\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^{\max\{p,p'\}}} \|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \tag{33}$$

Concerning f_2, we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\|[b,\mathscr{H}^*](f_2)\|_{L^p(B_t)} &= \left\| \frac{1}{\nu_n} \int_{|y|\geqslant 2t} (b(x)-b(y)) \frac{f(y)}{|y|^n}\, dy \right\|_{L^p(B_t)} \\
&\leqslant \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^k t)^{-n} \int_{\{2^k t \leqslant |y| < 2^{k+1} t\}} \left| b(x) - b_{B_{2^{k+1}t}} \right| |f(y)|\, dy \right\|_{L^p(B_t)} \\
&\quad + \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^k t)^{-n} \int_{\{2^k t \leqslant |y| < 2^{k+1} t\}} \left| b(y) - b_{B_{2^{k+1}t}} \right| |f(y)|\, dy \right\|_{L^p(B_t)} \\
&:= \mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

We first treat $\mathbf{I}_1$. Applying the triangle inequality, Minkowski's inequality, and the Hölder inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I}_1 &\leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^k t)^{-n} \int_{\{2^k t \leqslant |y| < 2^{k+1} t\}} \left\| b - b_{B_{2^{k+1}t}} \right\|_{L^p(B_t)} |f(y)|\, dy \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |B_{2^{k+1}t}|^{-1} \left\| b - b_{B_{2^{k+1}t}} \right\|_{L^p(B_t)} \|f\|_{L^p(B_{2^{k+1}t})} |B_{2^{k+1}t}|^{\frac{1}{p'}} \\
&\leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\| b - b_{B_{2^{k+1}t}} \right\|_{L^p(B_t)} \varphi(2^{k+1}t) \|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 1 and (12), we get from the last inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{I}_1 &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^n(k+2)\|b\|_{C\dot{M}O^p}|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}D_0^{k+1}\varphi(t)\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}\\ &\lesssim |B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)\|b\|_{C\dot{M}O^p}\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

Note that (35) was obtained by the fact $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k+2)D_0^{k+1}<\infty$.

For $\mathbf{I}_2$, we use Hölder's inequality, and Lemma 1 in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{I}_2 &\leqslant \left\|\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(2^k t)^{-n}\left\|b-b_{B_{2^{k+1}t}}\right\|_{L^{p'}(B_{2^{k+1}t})}\|f\|_{L^p(B_{2^{k+1}t})}\right\|_{L^p(B_t)}\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\left\|b-b_{B_{2^{k+1}t}}\right\|_{L^{p'}(B_{2^{k+1}t})}}{|B_{2^{k+1}t}|^{\frac{1}{p'}}}\frac{\|f\|_{L^p(B_{2^{k+1}t})}}{|B_{2^{k+1}t}|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(2^{k+1}t)}\varphi(2^{k+1}t)|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &\leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\|b\|_{C\dot{M}O^{p'}}\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}D_0^{k+1}\varphi(t)|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\\ &\lesssim |B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)\|b\|_{C\dot{M}O^{p'}}\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Combining (34), (35), and (36) yields

$$\frac{\|[b,\mathscr{H}^*](f_2)\|_{L^p(B_t)}}{|B_t|^{\frac{1}{p}}\varphi(t)} \lesssim \|b\|_{C\dot{M}O^{\max\{p,p'\}}}\|f\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \tag{37}$$

Thus, the desired result follows from (33) and (37).

This ends the proof of Theorem 6. □

Proof of Corollary 2. The proof is similar to the one of Corollary 1, then we leave its details to the reader. □

Finally, we prove Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 7. The proof follows by way of the following lemma.

LEMMA 3. *Let a be a central $(1,p')$-atom. Then, there exist two functions $f\in \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $g\in\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$a(x)=f(x)\mathscr{H}^*(g)(x)-g(x)\mathscr{H}(f)(x), \tag{38}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi}\|g\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}\leqslant\frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\ln 2}. \tag{39}$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Suppose that $\operatorname{supp}(a) \subset B_\tau$ for some $\tau > 0$. Let us set

$$f(x) = \frac{a(x)}{\varphi(\tau)\ln 2}, \quad \text{and } g(x) = \varphi(\tau)\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau<|x|<2\tau\}}(x).$$

We first claim that the above construction satisfies (38). In fact, if $|x| \geqslant \tau$, then it is clear that

$$f(x) = \mathscr{H}(f)(x) = 0$$

since $\operatorname{supp}(a) \subset B_\tau$, and the cancellation property of a respectively. Thus, (38) is true for all $|x| \geqslant \tau$.

Otherwise, we have $g(x) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{H}^*(g)(x) &= \frac{1}{v_n}\int_{|y|\geqslant|x|} \frac{\varphi(\tau)\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau<|x|<2\tau\}}(y)}{|y|^n}\,dy \\ &= \frac{\varphi(\tau)}{v_n}\int_\tau^{2\tau} v_n s^{-n} s^{n-1}\,ds = \varphi(\tau)\ln 2.\end{aligned}$$

This yields the above claim.

Now, we prove (39). Since a is a central $(1,p')$-atom, then f is a multiple of central $(1,p',\varphi)$-atom, and

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi} \leqslant \frac{1}{\ln 2}. \tag{40}$$

Moreover, thanks to Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\|g\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} = \varphi(\tau)\|\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau<|x|<2\tau\}}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} \leqslant \frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\varphi(2\tau)} = 2^{\frac{n}{p}}\frac{\tau^{\frac{n}{p}}\varphi(\tau)}{(2\tau)^{\frac{n}{p}}\varphi(2\tau)} \leqslant 2^{\frac{n}{p}}. \tag{41}$$

The last inequality follows from the monotonicity of function $t^{\frac{n}{p}}\varphi(t)$.

As a result, (39) follows from (40) and (41).

Thus, we obtain Lemma 3. □

REMARK 11. The above construction demonstrates that $g \in L^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $f \in L^{p'}_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In addition, the result of Lemma 2 can be considered as a $\mathbf{HA}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ factorization. Note that the $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ factorization by means of the Calderón–Zygmund operators has been studied by the authors in [6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 28, 30] and the references therein.

Now, we are ready to end the proof of Theorem 7 by using the duality argument. Since $\dot{\mathrm{CMO}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbf{HA}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$, then for any $h \in \mathbf{HA}^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, one can decompose

$$h = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j a_j,$$

where $\{a_j\}_{j\geqslant 0}$ is a sequence of central $(1,p')$-atoms; and $\sum_{j=0}^\infty |\lambda_j| < \infty$.

For every $j \geqslant 0$, by applying Lemma 3 to a_j we have that there exist two functions $g_j \in \dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $f_j \in \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$a_j(x) = f_j(x)\mathscr{H}^*(g_j)(x) - g_j(x)\mathscr{H}(f_j)(x),$$

and

$$\|f_j\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi} \|g_j\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} \leqslant \frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\ln 2}. \tag{42}$$

Since $b \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and by Remark 11, the following integrals are well-defined, and satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)a_j(x)\,dx\right| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)\left[f_j(x)\mathscr{H}^*(g_j)(x) - g_j(x)\mathscr{H}(f_j)(x)\right]dx\right| \\ &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_j(x)[b,\mathscr{H}^*](g_j)(x)\,dx\right| \\ &\leqslant \|f_j\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi} \|[b,\mathscr{H}^*](g_j)\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Note that (43) was obtained from the fact $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathrm{C\dot{M}O}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$.

Since $[b,\mathscr{H}^*]$ is a bounded operator on $\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then it follows from (43) and (42) that

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)a_j(x)\,dx\right| \leqslant \|[b,\mathscr{H}^*]\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} \|g_j\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} \|f_j\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi} \leqslant \frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\ln 2} \|[b,\mathscr{H}^*]\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}.$$

With this inequality noted, for any $h \in \mathbf{HA}^{p'}_\varphi(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)h(x)\,dx\right| &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left|\lambda_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)a_j(x)\,dx\right| \\ &\leqslant \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |\lambda_j|\right) \frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\ln 2} \|[b,\mathscr{H}^*]\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} \\ &\leqslant \frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\ln 2} \|[b,\mathscr{H}^*]\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi} \|h\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}}. \end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

By duality, we obtain

$$\|b\|_{\mathrm{C\dot{M}O}^p} \leqslant \frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\ln 2} \|[b,\mathscr{H}^*]\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi \to \dot{\mathbf{M}}^p_\varphi}. \tag{45}$$

Hence, we have completed the proof of Theorem 7. □

Proof of Corollary 3. To obtain the result, we can repeat the proof of Theorem 7 with a slight modification in (43) as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)a_j(x)\,dx\right| &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)\left[f_j(x)\mathscr{H}^*(g_j)(x) - g_j(x)\mathscr{H}(f_j)(x)\right]dx\right| \\ &= \left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [b,\mathscr{H}](f_j)(x)g_j(x)\,dx\right| \\ &\leqslant \|[b,\mathscr{H}](f_j)\|_{\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}}\|g_j\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}_\varphi^p}. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Since $[b,\mathscr{H}]$ maps $\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'} \to \mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}$, then we deduce from (46) that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)a_j(x)\,dx\right| &\leqslant \|[b,\mathscr{H}]\|_{\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}\to\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}}\|f_j\|_{\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}}\|g_j\|_{\dot{\mathbf{M}}_\varphi^p} \\ &\leqslant \frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\ln 2}\|[b,\mathscr{H}]\|_{\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}\to\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}}.\end{aligned}$$

By arguing similarly as in (44), for any $h\in\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we also obtain

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b(x)h(x)\,dx\right| \leqslant \frac{2^{\frac{n}{p}}}{\ln 2}\|[b,\mathscr{H}]\|_{\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}\to\mathbf{HA}_\varphi^{p'}}\|h\|_{\mathbf{HA}^{p'}}.$$

This yields (16) □

Proof of Corollary 4. The proof of Corollary 4 is just a combination of the results in Theorem 7 and Corollary 3. Thus, we leave its details to the reader. □

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank the referees for their valuable comments which were very helpful for improving the manuscript. This research is funded by University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

REFERENCES

[1] W. BURNSIDE, *A rapidly convergent series for* $\log N!$, Messenger Math., **46**, 1 (1917), 157–159.
[2] K. ANDERSON AND B. MUCKENHOUPT, *Weighted weak type Hardy inequalities with application to Hilbert transforms and maximal functions*, Studia Math., **72** (1982), 9–26.
[3] H. BREZIS AND J. L. VÁZQUEZ, *Blow-up solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems*, Rev. Mat. Univ. Complut. Madrid, **10** (1997), 443–469.
[4] Y. CHEN AND K. LAU, *Some new classes of Hardy spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **84** (1989), 255–278.
[5] M. CHRIST AND L. GRAFAKOS, *Best constants for two nonconvolution inequalities*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **123** (1995), 1687–1693.
[6] R. COIFMAN, R. ROCHBERG AND G. WEISS, *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*, Ann. of Math., **103** (1976), 611–635.
[7] R. COIFMAN AND G. WEISS, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **83** (1977), 569–645.
[8] N. A. DAO, S. G. KRANTZ AND NGUYEN LAM, *Cauchy integral commutators and Hardy factorization on Lorentz spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **498** (2021) 124926.

[9] N. A. DAO AND BRETT D. WICK, *Hardy factorization in terms of multilinear Calderón–Zygmund operators using Morrey spaces*, Potential Analysis, **55** (2021), https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-021-09960-x.

[10] N. A. DAO, *Hardy factorization in terms of fractional commutators in Lorentz spaces*, Front. Math. China, (2021), https://doi.org/10.1007/s11464-021-0946-1.

[11] X. T. DUONG, RUMING GONG, MARIE-JOSE S. KUFFNER, JI LI, BRETT D. WICK AND DONGYONG YANG, *Two weight commutators on spaces of homogeneous type and applications*, J. Geom. Anal., **31** (2021), 980–1038.

[12] W. FARIS, *Weak Lebesgue spaces and quantum mechanical binding*, Duke Math. J., **43** (1976), 365–373.

[13] ZHAO FAYOU, FU ZUNWEI AND LU SHANZHEN, *Endpoint estimates for n-dimensional Hardy operators and their commutators*, Sci. China Ser. A, **55** (2012), 1977–1990.

[14] Z. FU, Z. LIU, S. LU AND H. WANG, *Characterization for commutators of n-dimensional fractional Hardy operators*, Sci. China Ser. A, **50** (2007), 1418–1426.

[15] Y. KOMORI AND T. MIZUHARA, *Factorization of functions in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and generalized Morrey spaces*, Math. Nachr., **279** (2006), 619–624.

[16] Y. KOMORI, *Notes on commutators of Hardy operators*, Int. J. Pure. Appl. Math., **7** (2003), 329–334.

[17] J. GARCÍA-CUERVA, *J. Hardy spaces and Beurling algebras*, J. London Math. Soc., **39** (1989), 499–513.

[18] J. GARCÍA-CUERVA AND M.-J. L. HERRERO, *A theory of Hardy spaces associated to the Herz spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **69** (1994), 605–628.

[19] N. GHOUSSOUB AND A. MORADIFAM, *Bessel pairs and optimal Hardy and Hardy-Rellich inequalities*, Math. Ann., **349** (2011), 1–57.

[20] G. HARDY, *Note on a theorem of Hilbert*, Math. Z., **6** (1920), 314–317.

[21] G. HARDY, J. LITTLEWOOD AND G. POLYA, *Inequalities*, London/New York: Cambridge Univeristy Press, 1934.

[22] N. LAM, G. LU AND L. ZHANG, *Geometric Hardy's inequalities with general distance functions*, J. Funct. Anal., **279** (2020), 108–673.

[23] S. LU AND F. ZHAO, *A characterization of λ-central* BMO *space*, Front. Math. China, **8** (2013), 229–238.

[24] S. SHI AND S. LU, *Some characterizations of Campanato spaces via commutators on Morrey spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **264** (2013), 221–234.

[25] S. SHI, Z. FU AND S. LU, *On the compactness of commutators of Hardy operators*, Pacific J. Math., **307** (2020), 239–256.

[26] S. SHI AND S. LU, *Characterization of the central Campanato space via the commutator operator of Hardy type*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **429** (2015), 713–732.

[27] S. LU, *Function characterizations via commutators of Hardy operator*, Front. Math. China, **16** (2021), 1–12.

[28] J. TAO, D. YANG AND D. YANG, *Boundedness and compactness characterizations of Cauchy integral commutators on Morrey spaces*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., **42** (2019), 1631–1651.

[29] LONG SHUNCHAO AND WANG JIAN, *Commutators of Hardy operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **274** (2002), 626–644.

[30] A. UCHIYAMA, *The factorization of H^p on the space of homogeneous type*, Pacific J. Math. **92** (1981), 453–468.

[31] C. ZORKO, *The Morrey space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **98** (1986), 586–592.

(Received July 26, 2021)

Nguyen Anh Dao
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Economics Ho Chi Minh City (UEH)
Ho Chi Minh City, Viet Nam
e-mail: anhdn@ueh.edu.vn