

## MIXED TYPE WEIGHTED INTEGRAL INEQUALITIES FOR THE HARDY–STEKLOV INTEGRAL OPERATORS

RAJIB HALOI AND DURANTA CHUTIA \*

(Communicated by I. Perić)

*Abstract.* We characterize the weights  $\omega, \rho, \phi$  and  $\psi$  for which the integral operator of Hardy-Steklov type,  $\mathcal{S}f(t) = h(t) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\beta(t)} K(t, z) f(z) w(z) dz$  satisfies weak type mixed modular inequalities of the form

$$\mathcal{U}^{-1} \left( \int_{\{\mathcal{S}f > \gamma\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma \omega) \rho \right) \leq \mathcal{V}^{-1} \left( \int \mathcal{V}(Cf\phi) \psi \right),$$

where the functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are increasing and the kernel  $K$  satisfies certain monotone conditions. We also prove the following mixed integral inequalities of the extra-weak type under appropriate conditions on the weights  $\omega, \phi$  and  $\psi$ .

$$\omega(\{\mathcal{S}f > \gamma\}) \leq \mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}^{-1} \left( \int \mathcal{V} \left( \frac{Cf\phi}{\gamma} \right) \psi \right).$$

Further, we discuss the above two integral inequalities for the adjoint of the integral operator of Hardy-Steklov type.

### 1. Introduction

We consider the Hardy-Steklov integral operator  $\mathcal{S}$ , for a non-negative measurable function  $f$  on  $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$ , defined by

$$\mathcal{S}f(t) = h(t) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\beta(t)} K(t, z) f(z) w(z) dz, \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are continuous and increasing functions satisfying  $\alpha(z) \leq \beta(z)$  for each  $z \in (a, b)$ ,  $h$  and  $w$  are positive measurable functions, and the kernel  $K(t, z)$  defined on  $\{(t, z) : \alpha(t) \leq z \leq \beta(t)\}$  satisfies the following conditions.

- (a)  $K(t, z) \geq 0$ .
- (b)  $K(t, z)$  is non-decreasing in  $t$  and non-increasing in  $z$ .

*Mathematics subject classification* (2020): 42B25, 46E30.

*Keywords and phrases:* Hardy-Steklov operators, integral operators with kernel, modular inequalities, Young functions, weights.

\* Corresponding author.

(c) There exists a constant  $M \geq 1$  independent of  $t, z$  and  $\tau$  such that

$$K(t, z) \leq M \left[ K(t, \beta(\tau)) + K(\tau, z) \right], \quad (2)$$

where  $\tau \leq t$  and  $\alpha(t) \leq z \leq \beta(\tau)$ .

For  $K \equiv 1$ , the operator (1) is reduced to the Hardy-Steklov operator defined by

$$\mathcal{S}f(t) = h(t) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\beta(t)} f(z)w(z)dz. \quad (3)$$

From (3), it is observed that the Hardy-Steklov operator extends the notion of the Hardy operator to dynamic limits. We refer to [11, 15] and the references therein for a detailed investigation on Hardy operators. Riemann-Liouville integral operators of the form  $\int_{\alpha(t)}^{\beta(t)} (t-z)^\mu f(z)dz$ ,  $\mu > 0$ ; Steklov operators  $\int_{t-\gamma}^{t+\gamma} f(z)dz$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  are some particular cases of the Hardy-Steklov operators. Many fruitful applications of this operator mainly include the study of the abstract Cauchy problem with delay and analyzing the stock market [11].

Weighted weak and strong type estimates for the Hardy-Steklov operator and its integral version have been studied substantially by several authors [4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 19]. In [4], characterization of weights  $\rho$  and  $\psi$  has been established such that

$$\left( \int_{\{t \in (a,b) : \mathcal{S}f(t) > \gamma\}} \gamma^q \rho(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C \left( \int_{\alpha(a)}^{\beta(b)} f(y)^p \psi(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (4)$$

holds for a suitable constant  $C > 0$  and in the range  $0 < q < p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  with  $w = 1$ . In the case  $p \leq q$ , Gogatishvili and Lang [8] obtained the weak and strong type  $(p, q)$  estimates in Banach function spaces for the operator (1). Stepanov and Ushakova [19] proved  $L_p - L_q$  boundedness of (1) considering  $h$  and  $w$  as weight functions.

Through this article, we plan to address the inequality (4) in the Orlicz space setting for the Hardy-Steklov integral operator and its adjoint  $\mathcal{S}'$  defined by

$$\mathcal{S}'f(t) = w(t) \int_{\beta^{-1}(t)}^{\alpha^{-1}(t)} K(z, t) f(z) h(z) dz. \quad (5)$$

Among the various equivalent generalization of the estimate (4) in to the Orlicz space setting, we will consider the following form.

$$\mathcal{U}^{-1} \left( \int_{\{t \in (a,b) : \mathcal{S}f(t) > \gamma\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma \omega(y)) \rho(y) dy \right) \leq \mathcal{V}^{-1} \left( \int_{\alpha(a)}^{\beta(b)} \mathcal{V}(Cf(y)\phi(y)) \psi(y) dy \right), \quad (6)$$

where  $\gamma > 0$ ;  $\omega, \rho, \phi$  and  $\psi$  are weights and the conditions on  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  will be set down later.

The estimate (6) for the Hardy operators has been addressed in [6, 12, 14, 16]. Ortega Salvador and Ramírez Torrealblanca [18] have established the inequality (6) with

$\omega = 1 = \phi$  for the Hardy-Steklov operators. The second objective of our article is to prove the following weaker version of (6), that is

$$\omega\left(\{t \in (a, b) : \mathcal{I}f(t) > \gamma\}\right) \leq \mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}^{-1}\left(\int_{\alpha(a)}^{\beta(b)} \mathcal{V}\left(\frac{Cf(y)\phi(y)}{\gamma}\right)\psi(y)dy\right). \tag{7}$$

The estimate (7) is known as the extra-weak type mixed integral inequality as it follows from (6) but not contrariwise. It was proved in [1, 2] that the extra-weak type inequality provides exquisite bounds for the strong type integral estimates. Extra-weak type inequalities for Hilbert transform, maximal function and its one-sided version have been discussed in [3, 13, 17].

Before presenting the result, we will briefly discuss some basics associated with  $N$ -functions [10]. An  $N$ -function  $\mathcal{U}$  is continuous and convex on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\mathcal{U}(0) = 0$  and  $\frac{\mathcal{U}(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$  (and  $\infty$ ) when  $t \rightarrow 0$  (and  $\infty$ ). It is always possible to write an  $N$ -function  $\mathcal{U}$  in the integral form as,  $\mathcal{U}(t) = \int_0^t u(y)dy$ , where  $u$  is non-decreasing and right continuous at each point and satisfies  $u(0) = 0$ ,  $u(r) > 0$  for  $r > 0$  and  $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . The complementary function  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$  corresponding to a given  $N$ -function  $\mathcal{U}$  is defined by  $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(t) = \sup_{\tau \geq 0}(t\tau - \mathcal{U}(\tau))$  also verifies properties of  $N$ -functions. For  $t, \tau > 0$ , the pair  $(\mathcal{U}, \tilde{\mathcal{U}})$  satisfies the following relations [6].

$$t\tau \leq \mathcal{U}(t) + \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(\tau). \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{U}\left(\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{U}}(t)}{t}\right) \leq \tilde{\mathcal{U}}(t). \tag{9}$$

$$\mathcal{U}(t) \leq tu(t) \leq \mathcal{U}(2t). \tag{10}$$

Now we state the main results of the article.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  be the complementary function corresponding to an  $N$ -function  $\mathcal{V}$ . Suppose that,  $\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$  is countably subadditive, where  $\mathcal{U}$  is strictly increasing and positive with  $\mathcal{U}(0) = 0$ . We assume that  $h$  is monotone on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let the function  $h(\cdot)K(\cdot, y)$  satisfies that*

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega} h(x)K(x, y) = \inf_{x \in (\inf \Omega, \sup \Omega)} h(x)K(x, y)$$

for all bounded set  $\Omega$  and all  $y$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) *There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\mathcal{U}^{-1}\left(\int_{\{t \in (a, b) : \mathcal{I}f(t) > \gamma\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(y))\rho(y)dy\right) \leq \mathcal{V}^{-1}\left(\int_{\alpha(a)}^{\beta(b)} \mathcal{V}(Cf(y)\phi(y))\psi(y)dy\right) \tag{11}$$

holds for each  $\gamma > 0$  and all  $f \geq 0$ .

(ii) There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\alpha(\tau)}^{\beta(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \left[ \frac{(\inf_{(t,\tau)} h)K(t,s)w(s)\eta(\gamma;t,\tau)}{C\gamma\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s)ds \leq \eta(\gamma;t,\tau) \quad (12)$$

and

$$\int_{\alpha(\tau)}^{\beta(z)} \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \left[ \frac{(\inf_{(t,\tau)} (h(y)K(y,\beta(z))))w(s)\eta(\gamma;t,\tau)}{C\gamma\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s)ds \leq \eta(\gamma;t,\tau) \quad (13)$$

hold, where  $a < z \leq t < \tau < b$  with  $\alpha(\tau) \leq \beta(z) \leq \beta(t)$  and

$$\eta(\gamma;t,\tau) = \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_t^\tau \mathcal{U} \left( \gamma\omega(z) \right) \rho(z)dz \right).$$

For  $K \equiv 1$ , estimates (12) and (13) are equivalent and reduced to the following form:

$$\int_{\alpha(\tau)}^{\beta(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \left[ \frac{(\inf_{(t,\tau)} h)w(s)\eta(\gamma;t,\tau)}{C\gamma\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s)ds \leq \eta(\gamma;t,\tau). \quad (14)$$

Thus (14) characterizes the estimate (11) for the Hardy-Steklov operators of the form  $\mathcal{S}f(t) = h(t) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\beta(t)} f(z)w(z)dz$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$  satisfy all the conditions stated in Theorem 1. Suppose that  $w$  is monotone on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let the function  $w(\cdot)K(y,\cdot)$  satisfies that

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega} w(x)K(y,x) = \inf_{x \in (\inf \Omega, \sup \Omega)} w(x)K(y,x)$$

for all bounded set  $\Omega$  and all  $y$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent.

(i) There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\mathcal{U}^{-1} \left( \int_{\{t \in (a,b) : \tilde{\mathcal{S}}f(t) > \gamma\}} \mathcal{U} \left( \gamma\omega(y) \right) \rho(y)dy \right) \leq \mathcal{V}^{-1} \left( \int_{\beta^{-1}(a)}^{\alpha^{-1}(b)} \mathcal{V} \left( Cf(y)\phi(y) \right) \psi(y)dy \right) \quad (15)$$

holds for each  $\gamma > 0$  and all  $f \geq 0$ .

(ii) There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\beta^{-1}(\tau)}^{\alpha^{-1}(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{Y}} \left[ \frac{(\inf_{(t,\tau)} w)K(s,\tau)h(s)\eta(\gamma;t,\tau)}{C\gamma\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s)ds \leq \eta(\gamma;t,\tau) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\int_{\beta^{-1}(z)}^{\alpha^{-1}(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left[ \frac{(\inf_{(t,\tau)} w(y)K(\beta^{-1}(z),y))h(s)\eta(\gamma;t,\tau)}{C\gamma\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s)ds \leq \eta(\gamma;t,\tau) \tag{17}$$

hold for each  $a < t < \tau \leq z < b$  satisfying  $\beta^{-1}(\tau) \leq \beta^{-1}(z) \leq \alpha^{-1}(t)$ , where

$$\eta(\gamma;t,\tau) = \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_t^\tau \mathcal{U} \left( \gamma\omega(z) \right) \rho(z)dz \right).$$

Similarly in the case of adjoint if we consider  $K \equiv 1$ , then estimates (16) and (17) are equivalent and reduced to the following form,

$$\int_{\beta^{-1}(\tau)}^{\alpha^{-1}(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left[ \frac{(\inf w)h(s)\eta(\gamma;t,\tau)}{C\gamma\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s)ds \leq \eta(\gamma;t,\tau). \tag{18}$$

Thus (18) characterizes the estimate (15) for the adjoint of Hardy-Steklov operators of the form  $\mathcal{S}f(t) = w(t) \int_{\beta^{-1}(t)}^{\alpha^{-1}(t)} f(z)h(z)dz$ .

We also prove extra-weak type integral inequalities and the results are as follows.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}, h$  and the function  $h(\cdot)K(\cdot, y)$  satisfy all the conditions stated in Theorem 1. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$\omega \left( \left\{ t \in (a,b) : \mathcal{S}f(t) > \gamma \right\} \right) \leq \mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}^{-1} \left( \int_{\alpha(a)}^{\beta(b)} \mathcal{V} \left( \frac{Cf(y)\phi(y)}{\gamma} \right) \psi(y)dy \right) \tag{19}$$

holds for each  $\gamma > 0$  and all  $f \geq 0$ .

(ii) *There exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\int_{\alpha(\tau)}^{\beta(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left[ \frac{(\inf_{(t,\tau)} h)K(t,s)w(s)\theta(t,\tau)}{C\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s)ds \leq \theta(t,\tau) \tag{20}$$

and

$$\int_{\alpha(\tau)}^{\beta(z)} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left[ \frac{(\inf h(y)K(y,\beta(z))w(s)\theta(t,\tau)}{C\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s)ds \leq \theta(t,\tau) \tag{21}$$

hold for each  $a < z \leq t < \tau < b$  with  $\alpha(\tau) \leq \beta(z) \leq \beta(t)$ , where

$$\theta(t,\tau) = \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_t^\tau \omega(z)dz \right).$$

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$  satisfy all the conditions stated in Theorem 1. Let the function  $w$  be monotone on  $\mathbb{R}$  and let the function  $w(\cdot)K(y, \cdot)$  satisfies that

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega} w(x)K(y, x) = \inf_{x \in (\inf \Omega, \sup \Omega)} w(x)K(y, x)$$

for all bounded set  $\Omega$  and all  $y$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) There exists a positive constant  $C$  such that

$$\omega\left(\{t \in (a, b) : \tilde{\mathcal{I}}f(t) > \gamma\}\right) \leq \mathcal{U} \circ \mathcal{V}^{-1} \left( \int_{\beta^{-1}(a)}^{\alpha^{-1}(b)} \mathcal{V} \left( \frac{Cf(y)\phi(y)}{\gamma} \right) \psi(y) dy \right) \tag{22}$$

holds for each  $\gamma > 0$  and  $f \geq 0$ .

(ii) There exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\beta^{-1}(\tau)}^{\alpha^{-1}(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left[ \frac{(\inf w)K(s, \tau)h(s)\theta(t, \tau)}{C\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s) ds \leq \theta(t, \tau) \tag{23}$$

and

$$\int_{\beta^{-1}(z)}^{\alpha^{-1}(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left[ \frac{(\inf w(y)K(\beta^{-1}(z), y)h(s)\theta(t, \tau)}{C\phi(s)\psi(s)} \right] \psi(s) ds \leq \theta(t, \tau) \tag{24}$$

hold for each  $a < t < \tau \leq z < b$  with  $\beta^{-1}(\tau) \leq \beta^{-1}(z) \leq \alpha^{-1}(t)$ , where

$$\theta(t, \tau) = \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_t^\tau \omega(z) dz \right).$$

Similarly, the extra-weak type integral inequalities for the Hardy-Steklov operators and its adjoint follow directly from the above two theorems by considering  $K \equiv 1$ . We skip the proof of Theorem 2 and Theorem 4 as those can be obtained with some modifications from Theorem 1 and Theorem 3 respectively. Based on the methods developed in [4, 5, 18] we will prove the Theorem 1 and give a sketch of the proof of Theorem 2. Next, we state the following lemma [5], which plays a pivotal role in the remaining sections.

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $\Gamma = \{z \in (a, b) : \alpha(z) < \beta(z)\}$ . Then there exists a countable collection of open intervals  $\{(a_m, b_m)\}$  such that  $\Gamma = \cup_m (a_m, b_m)$  and

(a)  $(\alpha(a_k), \beta(b_k)) \cap (\alpha(a_m), \beta(b_m)) = \emptyset$  for  $m \neq k$ ,

(b) for each  $m$ , there exists a sequence of real numbers  $\{\xi_k^m\}$  satisfying

(i)  $(a_m, b_m) = \cup_k (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m)$  a.e. for all  $m$ ,

- (ii)  $a_m \leq \xi_k^m < \xi_{k+1}^m \leq b_m$  for each  $m$  and  $k$ ,
- (iii)  $\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m) \leq \beta(\xi_k^m)$  for each  $m, k$  and also,  $\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m) = \beta(\xi_k^m)$  if  $a_m < \xi_k^m < \xi_{k+1}^m < b_m$ .

In section 2 we prove Theorem 1 and the proof of Theorem 3 is given in section 3. We use  $C$  to denote a positive constant not necessarily same in all cases.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof.* (ii)  $\implies$  (i).

Let  $\{\xi_k^m\}$  be the sequence given by Lemma 1, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left( \int_{\{t \in (a,b): \mathcal{I}f(t) > \gamma\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(y))\rho(y)dy \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{m,k} \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m): \mathcal{I}f(t) > \gamma\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(y))\rho(y)dy \right). \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Now for  $t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m)$ , we use Lemma 1 to break the integral (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}f(t) &= h(t) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} K(t,s)f(s)w(s)ds + h(t) \int_{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)}^{\beta(\xi_k^m)} K(t,s)f(s)w(s)ds \\ &+ h(t) \int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(t)} K(t,s)f(s)w(s)ds = \mathcal{I}_1f(t) + \mathcal{I}_2f(t) + \mathcal{I}_3f(t). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Thus from (26), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m): \mathcal{I}f(t) > \gamma\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(y))\rho(y)dy \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m): \mathcal{I}_i f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{3}\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(y))\rho(y)dy \right). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

We will first estimate  $\mathcal{I}_1f$ . Applying inequality (2), we now break the kernel  $K$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1f(t) &= h(t) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} K(t,s)f(s)w(s)ds \leq M \left[ h(t)K(t, \beta(\xi_k^m)) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} f(s)w(s)ds \right. \\ & \left. + h(t) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} K(\xi_k^m, s)f(s)w(s)ds \right] = M \left[ \mathcal{I}_{1,1}f(t) + \mathcal{I}_{1,2}f(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m) : \mathcal{S}_1 f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{3}\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma \omega(y)) \rho(y) dy \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m) : \mathcal{S}_{1,i} f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{6M}\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma \omega(y)) \rho(y) dy \right). \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

To estimate  $\mathcal{S}_{1,1} f$ , we define a sequence  $\{x_j\}$  as  $x_0 = \xi_k^m$  and for each  $x_{j-1}$  let  $x_j$  be the number given by  $\int_{\alpha(x_j)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} f w = \int_{\alpha(x_{j-1})}^{\alpha(x_j)} f w$ . The sequence  $\{x_j\}$  increases and satisfies  $\int_{\alpha(x_j)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} f w = 4 \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} f w$ . Let us consider the set

$$\Omega_{1,1}^j = \left\{ t \in (x_j, x_{j+1}) : \mathcal{S}_{1,1} f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{6M} \right\}.$$

We define  $\delta_{1,1}^j = \inf \Omega_{1,1}^j$  and  $\varepsilon_{1,1}^j = \sup \Omega_{1,1}^j$ . For  $x \in \Omega_{1,1}^j$ , we have

$$\frac{\gamma}{6M} < 4h(x)K(x, \beta(\xi_k^m)) \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} f(z)w(z)dz. \tag{29}$$

As the estimate (29) holds for each  $x \in \Omega_{1,1}^j$ , thus

$$\gamma \leq 24M \left( \inf_{(\delta_{1,1}^j, \varepsilon_{1,1}^j)} h(x)K(x, \beta(\xi_k^m)) \right) \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} f(z)w(z)dz. \tag{30}$$

Let us denote  $\eta(\gamma; \delta_{1,1}^j, \varepsilon_{1,1}^j) = \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\delta_{1,1}^j}^{\varepsilon_{1,1}^j} \mathcal{U}(\gamma \omega(\tau)) \rho(\tau) d\tau \right)$ , then using (8) and (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta(\gamma; \delta_{1,1}^j, \varepsilon_{1,1}^j) & \leq \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \left[ 48MC f(z)\phi(z) \right] \left[ \frac{(\inf h(x)K(x, \beta(\xi_k^m)))w(z)\eta}{C\gamma\phi(z)\psi(z)} \right] \psi(z) dz \\ & \leq \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \mathcal{V} \left( 48MC f(z)\phi(z) \right) \psi(z) dz \\ & \quad + \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h(x)K(x, \beta(\xi_k^m)))w(z)\eta}{C\gamma\phi(z)\psi(z)} \right) \psi(z) dz. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

As  $\alpha(\varepsilon_{1,1}^j) \leq \alpha(x_{j+1}) \leq \alpha(x_{j+2}) \leq \alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m) \leq \beta(\xi_k^m) \leq \beta(x_j) \leq \beta(\delta_{1,1}^j)$ , thus from (13), we have

$$\int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h(x)K(x, \beta(\xi_k^m)))w(z)\eta}{C\gamma\phi(z)\psi(z)} \right) \psi(z) dz \leq \eta(\gamma; \delta_{1,1}^j, \varepsilon_{1,1}^j). \tag{32}$$



Combining (31) and (32), we obtain

$$(\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\Omega_{1,1}^j} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(\tau))\rho(\tau)d\tau \right) \leq \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \mathcal{V} \left( 48MCf(z)\phi(z) \right) \psi(z)dz. \tag{33}$$

Summing up over  $j$  and applying sub-additivity of  $\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m) : \mathcal{S}_{1,1}f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{6M}\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(z))\rho(z)dz \right) \\ & \leq \int_{\alpha(\xi_k^m)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} \mathcal{V} \left( 48MCf(z)\phi(z) \right) \psi(z)dz. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

To estimate  $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}$ , we define a sequence  $\{y_j\}$  as  $y_0 = \xi_k^m$  and for each  $y_{j-1}$  let  $y_j$  be the number given by  $\int_{\alpha(y_j)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} K(\xi_k^m, s)f(s)w(s)ds = \int_{\alpha(y_{j-1})}^{\alpha(y_j)} K(\xi_k^m, s)f(s)w(s)ds$ . Then  $\{y_j\}$  increases and satisfies  $\int_{\alpha(y_j)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} K(\xi_k^m, s)f(s)w(s)ds = 4 \int_{\alpha(y_{j+1})}^{\alpha(y_{j+2})} K(\xi_k^m, s)f(s)w(s)ds$ . As in the previous case, we define  $\Omega_{1,2}^j = \left\{ t \in (y_j, y_{j+1}) : \mathcal{S}_{1,2}f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{6M} \right\}$  with  $\delta_{1,2}^j = \inf \Omega_{1,2}^j$  and  $\varepsilon_{1,2}^j = \sup \Omega_{1,2}^j$ . For  $x \in \Omega_{1,2}^j$ , we have

$$\frac{\gamma}{6M} < 4h(x) \int_{\alpha(y_{j+1})}^{\alpha(y_{j+2})} K(\xi_k^m, z)f(z)w(z)dz. \tag{35}$$

As the estimate (35) holds for each  $x \in \Omega_{1,2}^j$ , thus

$$\gamma \leq 24M \left( \inf_{(\delta_{1,2}^j, \varepsilon_{1,2}^j)} h(x) \right) \int_{\alpha(y_{j+1})}^{\alpha(y_{j+2})} K(\xi_k^m, z)f(z)w(z)dz. \tag{36}$$

We denote  $\eta(\gamma; \delta_{1,2}^j, \varepsilon_{1,2}^j) = (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\delta_{1,2}^j}^{\varepsilon_{1,2}^j} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(\tau))\rho(\tau)d\tau \right)$ . Applying (8) and (36), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta(\gamma; \delta_{1,2}^j, \varepsilon_{1,2}^j) & \leq \int_{\alpha(y_{j+1})}^{\alpha(y_{j+2})} \mathcal{V} \left( 48MCf(z)\phi(z) \right) \psi(z)dz \\ & \quad + \int_{\alpha(y_{j+1})}^{\alpha(y_{j+2})} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h)K(\xi_k^m, z)h(z)\eta}{C\gamma\phi(z)\psi(z)} \right) \psi(z)dz. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

As  $\alpha(\varepsilon_{1,2}^j) \leq \alpha(y_{j+1}) \leq \alpha(y_{j+2}) \leq \beta(\delta_{1,2}^j)$ , thus (12) gives

$$\int_{\alpha(y_{j+1})}^{\alpha(y_{j+2})} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h)K(\xi_k^m, z)h(z)\eta}{C\gamma\phi(z)\psi(z)} \right) \psi(z)dz \leq \eta(\gamma; \delta_{1,2}^j, \varepsilon_{1,2}^j). \tag{38}$$

Combining (37) and (38), we have

$$\left(\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}\right)\left(\int_{\Omega_{1,2}^j} \mathcal{U}\left(\gamma \omega(z)\right) \rho(z) d z\right) \leq \int_{\alpha\left(y_{j+1}\right)}^{\alpha\left(y_{j+2}\right)} \mathcal{V}\left(48 M C f(z) \phi(z)\right) \psi(z) d z .$$

Summing up in  $j$  and applying sub-additivity of  $\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ , hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}\right)\left(\int_{\left\{t \in\left(\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m\right): \mathcal{S}_{1,2} f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{6 M}\right\}} \mathcal{U}\left(\gamma \omega(z)\right) \rho(z) d z\right) \\ & \leq \int_{\alpha\left(\xi_k^m\right)}^{\alpha\left(\xi_{k+1}^m\right)} \mathcal{V}\left(48 M C f(z) \phi(z)\right) \psi(z) d z . \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

Arguing similarly as in the previous case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}\right)\left(\int_{\left\{t \in\left(\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m\right): \mathcal{S}_2 f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{3}\right\}} \mathcal{U}\left(\gamma \omega(z)\right) \rho(z) d z\right) \\ & \leq \int_{\alpha\left(\xi_{k+1}^m\right)}^{\beta\left(\xi_k^m\right)} \mathcal{V}\left(48 M C f(z) \phi(z)\right) \psi(z) d z . \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

For  $\mathcal{S}_3 f$ , we consider  $z_0 = \xi_{k+1}^m$  and define a decreasing sequence  $\left\{z_j\right\}$  as

$$\mathcal{L}\left(z_j\right)=\int_{\beta\left(\xi_k^m\right)}^{\beta\left(z_j\right)} K\left(z_j, \tau\right) f(\tau) w(\tau) d \tau=(M+1)^{-j} \mathcal{L}\left(z_0\right) .$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\left(z_j\right) & =\left(M+1\right)^2 \mathcal{L}\left(z_{j+2}\right) \\ & =\left(M+1\right)^2 \int_{\beta\left(\xi_k^m\right)}^{\beta\left(z_{j+2}\right)} K\left(z_{j+2}, \tau\right) f(\tau) w(\tau) d \tau \\ & =\left(M+1\right)^2\left[\int_{\beta\left(\xi_k^m\right)}^{\beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)}+\int_{\beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)}^{\beta\left(z_{j+2}\right)}\right] K\left(z_{j+2}, \tau\right) f(\tau) w(\tau) d \tau \\ & \leq\left(M+1\right)^2\left[\int_{\beta\left(\xi_k^m\right)}^{\beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)} M\left\{K\left(z_{j+2}, \beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)\right)+K\left(z_{j+3}, \tau\right)\right\}\right. \\ & \quad \left.+\int_{\beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)}^{\beta\left(z_{j+2}\right)} K\left(z_{j+2}, \tau\right)\right] f(\tau) w(\tau) d \tau \\ & \leq\left(M+1\right)^3\left\{K\left(z_{j+2}, \beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)\right) \int_{\beta\left(\xi_k^m\right)}^{\beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)}+\int_{\beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)}^{\beta\left(z_{j+2}\right)} K\left(z_{j+2}, \tau\right)\right\} f(\tau) w(\tau) d \tau \\ & \quad +M\left(M+1\right)^2 \int_{\beta\left(\xi_k^m\right)}^{\beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)} K\left(z_{j+3}, \tau\right) f(\tau) w(\tau) d \tau . \end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

From the construction of the sequence  $\left\{z_j\right\}$ ,

$$\int_{\beta\left(\xi_k^m\right)}^{\beta\left(z_{j+3}\right)} K\left(z_{j+3}, \tau\right) f(\tau) w(\tau) d \tau=\mathcal{L}\left(z_{j+3}\right)=\left(M+1\right)^{-\left(j+3\right)} \mathcal{L}\left(z_0\right)=\left(M+1\right)^{-3} \mathcal{L}\left(z_j\right) .$$

Thus (42) implies

$$\mathcal{L}(z_j) \leq (M + 1)^4 \left[ K(z_{j+2}, \beta(z_{j+3})) \int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(z_{j+3})} f(\tau)w(\tau)d\tau + \int_{\beta(z_{j+3})}^{\beta(z_{j+2})} K(z_{j+2}, \tau)f(\tau)w(\tau)d\tau \right].$$

Next, we define  $\delta_{3,l}^j = \inf \Omega_{3,l}^j$  and  $\varepsilon_{3,l}^j = \sup \Omega_{3,l}^j$  for  $l = 1, 2$ , where

$$\Omega_{3,1}^j = \left\{ y \in (z_{j+1}, z_j) : h(y)K(z_{j+2}, \beta(z_{j+3})) \int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(z_{j+3})} f(\tau)w(\tau)d\tau > \frac{\gamma}{6(M + 1)^4} \right\},$$

$$\Omega_{3,2}^j = \left\{ z \in (z_{j+1}, z_j) : h(z) \int_{\beta(z_{j+3})}^{\beta(z_{j+2})} K(z_{j+2}, \tau)f(\tau)w(\tau)d\tau > \frac{\gamma}{6(M + 1)^4} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\{t: \mathcal{L}_3 f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{6}\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(y))\rho(y)dy \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j \geq 0} \left\{ (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\Omega_{3,1}^j} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(y))\rho(y)dy \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\Omega_{3,2}^j} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(y))\rho(y)dy \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

For the first part, we define a decreasing sequence  $\{d'_i\}$  in  $(\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m)$  with the iteration  $d'_0 = \xi_{k+1}^m$  and

$$\int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(d'_i)} f(\tau)w(\tau)d\tau = 2^{-i} \int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(\xi_{k+1}^m)} f(\tau)w(\tau)d\tau.$$

We define  $d_0 = d'_0$  and if  $d'_i > z_j \geq d'_{i+1}$  then  $d_{n+1} = d'_{i+1}$ , otherwise we delete the term  $d'_{i+1}$  and continue the process. Thus, we get a subsequence  $\{d_n\}$  of  $\{d'_i\}$ . Let  $\tilde{\delta}_{3,1}^n = \inf \tilde{\Omega}_{3,1}^n$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{3,1}^n = \sup \tilde{\Omega}_{3,1}^n$ , where  $\tilde{\Omega}_{3,1}^n = \cup_{\{j: d_n > z_{j+3} \geq d_{n+1}\}} \Omega_{3,1}^j$ . Now, if  $d'_{i+1} = d_{n+1} \leq z_{j+3} < d_n$ , then  $z_{j+3} \leq d'_i$  and  $d_{n+2} \leq d'_{i+2}$ . We have

$$\int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(z_{j+3})} \leq \int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(d'_i)} = 4 \int_{\beta(d'_{i+2})}^{\beta(d'_{i+1})} \leq 4 \int_{\beta(d_{n+2})}^{\beta(d_{n+1})}. \tag{44}$$

Now for  $x \in \tilde{\Omega}_{3,1}^n$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma}{6(M + 1)^4} < 4h(x)K(x, \beta(z_{j+3})) \int_{\beta(d_{n+2})}^{\beta(d_{n+1})} f(\tau)w(\tau)d\tau. \tag{45}$$

As (45) holds for each  $x \in \tilde{\Omega}_{3,1}^n$ , thus

$$\gamma \leq 24(M+1)^4 \inf_{(\tilde{\delta}_{3,1}^n, \tilde{\epsilon}_{3,1}^n)} (h(x)K(x, \beta(z_{j+3}))) \int_{\beta(d_{n+2})}^{\beta(d_{n+1})} f(\tau)w(\tau)d\tau. \tag{46}$$

We denote  $\eta(\gamma; \tilde{\delta}_{3,1}^n, \tilde{\epsilon}_{3,1}^n) = (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\tilde{\delta}_{3,1}^n}^{\tilde{\epsilon}_{3,1}^n} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(\tau))\rho(\tau)d\tau \right)$ . From (8) and (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta(\gamma; \tilde{\delta}_{3,1}^n, \tilde{\epsilon}_{3,1}^n) &\leq \int_{\beta(d_{n+2})}^{\beta(d_{n+1})} \mathcal{V} \left( 48(M+1)^4 Cf(\tau)\phi(\tau) \right) \psi(\tau)d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_{\beta(d_{n+2})}^{\beta(d_{n+1})} \mathcal{V} \left[ \frac{\inf(h(x)K(x, \beta(z_{j+3})))w(\tau)\eta}{C\gamma\phi(\tau)\psi(\tau)} \right] \psi(\tau)d\tau \\ &\leq \int_{\beta(d_{n+2})}^{\beta(d_{n+1})} \mathcal{V} \left( 48(M+1)^4 Cf(\tau)\phi(\tau) \right) \psi(\tau)d\tau + \eta(\gamma; \tilde{\delta}_{3,1}^n, \tilde{\epsilon}_{3,1}^n). \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\tilde{\delta}_{3,1}^n}^{\tilde{\epsilon}_{3,1}^n} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(\tau))\rho(\tau)d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \int_{\beta(d_{n+2})}^{\beta(d_{n+1})} \mathcal{V} \left( 48(M+1)^4 Cf(\tau)\phi(\tau) \right) \psi(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_{3,1}^n} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(\tau))\rho(\tau)d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \int_{\beta(d_{n+2})}^{\beta(d_{n+1})} \mathcal{V} \left( 48(M+1)^4 Cf(\tau)\phi(\tau) \right) \psi(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up over  $n$  and then applying sub-additivity of  $\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n \geq 0} (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\tilde{\Omega}_{3,1}^n} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(\tau))\rho(\tau)d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(\xi_{k+1}^m)} \mathcal{V} \left( 48(M+1)^4 Cf(\tau)\phi(\tau) \right) \psi(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j \geq 0} (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\Omega_{3,1}^j} \mathcal{U}(\gamma\omega(\tau))\rho(\tau)d\tau \right) \\ &\leq \int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(\xi_{k+1}^m)} \mathcal{V} \left( 48(M+1)^4 Cf(\tau)\phi(\tau) \right) \psi(\tau)d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

Next, for  $\Omega_{3,2}^j$  working as similar to the previous cases and thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \geq 0} \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\Omega_{3,2}^j} \mathcal{U} \left( \gamma \omega(z) \right) \rho(z) dz \right) \\ & \leq \int_{\beta(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(\xi_{k+1}^m)} \mathcal{V} \left( 12(M+1)^4 C f(z) \phi(z) \right) \psi(z) dz. \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Combining (27), (28), (34), (39), (40), (43), (48) and (49) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m) : \mathcal{I} f(t) > \gamma\}} \mathcal{U} \left( \gamma \omega(z) \right) \rho(z) dz \right) \\ & \leq \int_{\alpha(\xi_k^m)}^{\beta(\xi_{k+1}^m)} \mathcal{V} \left( 96(M+1)^4 C f(z) \phi(z) \right) \psi(z) dz. \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Summing up (50) over  $m$  and  $k$  we obtain the estimate (11) with constant  $96(M+1)^4 C$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (ii).

Conversely, let us assume  $t < \tau$  such that  $\alpha(\tau) < \beta(t)$ . For each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider the set  $E_N = \{ \alpha(\tau) < s < \beta(t) : \frac{1}{N} \leq K(t, s), w(s) < N \}$  has finite measure. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h) K(t, y) w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \left( \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda} \right) dy & \leq l N^2 |E_N| (\inf h) \tilde{\nu}(\lambda l k N^2 \inf h) \\ & < \infty \end{aligned}$$

for each  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Thus for each  $\mu > 0$  we can choose  $\lambda$  such that

$$\int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h) K(t, y) w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \left( \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda} \right) dy = (1 + \mu) C \gamma,$$

where  $C$  is the constant in (11). We consider

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{C} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h) K(t, y) w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda(\inf h) K(t, y) w(y)} \chi_{E_N}(y).$$

For  $t \leq x < \tau$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} f(x) &= h(x) \int_{E_N} K(x, y) \frac{1}{C} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h) K(t, y) w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda(\inf h) K(t, y) w(y)} w(y) dy \\ &\geq \int_{E_N} \frac{1}{C \lambda} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h) K(t, y) w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \left( \psi(y) + 1/k \right) dy \\ &= (1 + \mu) \gamma > \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$[t, \tau) \subset \{x : \mathcal{I} f(x) > \gamma\}.$$

Thus using (9) and (11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(\gamma; t, \tau) &= \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_t^\tau \mathcal{U}(\gamma \omega(y)) \rho(y) dy \right) \\ &\leq \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\{\mathcal{E}f > \gamma\}} \mathcal{U}(\gamma \omega(y)) \rho(y) dy \right) \\ &\leq \int_{E_N} \mathcal{V} \left( \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)} \phi(y) \right) \psi(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \psi(y) dy \\ &\leq (1 + \mu)C\lambda\gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(r)/r$  increases as  $r$  increases, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)\eta(\gamma; t, \tau)}{(1 + \mu)C\gamma(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\eta(\gamma; t, \tau)} dy \\ &\leq \int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{(1 + \mu)C\lambda\gamma} dy = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the Monotone convergence theorem

$$\int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)\eta(\gamma; t, \tau)}{(1 + \mu)C\gamma(\phi(y) + 1/l)\psi(y)} \right) \frac{\psi(y)}{\eta(\gamma; t, \tau)} dy \leq 1.$$

Letting  $l, N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ , we thus obtain

$$\int_{\alpha(\tau)}^{\beta(t)} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)\eta(\gamma; t, \tau)}{C\gamma\phi(y)\psi(y)} \right) \psi(y) dy \leq \eta(\gamma; t, \tau).$$

In a similar way we can prove the estimate (13). Let  $a < z \leq t < \tau < b$  satisfying  $\alpha(\tau) \leq \beta(z) \leq \beta(t)$ . For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider the set  $E_N = \{ \alpha(\tau) < s < \beta(z) : \frac{1}{N} \leq w(s) < N \}$  has finite measure and we define

$$f(y) = \frac{1}{C} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h(s)K(s, \beta(z)))w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)} \chi_{E_N}(y).$$

And the rest of the proof proceeds similarly. Hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

**3. Proof of Theorem 3**

*Proof.* (ii)  $\implies$  (i).

Let  $\{\xi_k^m\}$  be the sequence given by Lemma 1, then using the identity (26) and applying subadditivity of  $\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m) : \mathcal{I}f(t) > \gamma\}} \omega(y) dy \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m) : \mathcal{I}_i f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{3}\}} \omega(y) dy \right). \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

We will first estimate  $\mathcal{I}_1 f$ . Applying inequality (2), we now break the kernel  $K$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 f(t) &= h(t) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} K(t, z) f(z) w(z) dz \\ &\leq M \left[ h(t) \left\{ K(t, \beta(\xi_k^m)) \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} + \int_{\alpha(t)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} K(\xi_k^m, z) \right\} f(z) w(z) dz \right] \\ &= M \left[ \mathcal{I}_{1,1} f(t) + \mathcal{I}_{1,2} f(t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m) : \mathcal{I}_1 f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{3}\}} \omega(y) dy \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m) : \mathcal{I}_{1,i} f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{6M}\}} \omega(y) dy \right). \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

To estimate  $\mathcal{I}_{1,1} f$ , we consider the sequence  $\{x_j\}$  as defined in section 2. Let us define  $\delta_{1,1}^j = \inf \Omega_{1,1}^j$  and  $\varepsilon_{1,1}^j = \sup \Omega_{1,1}^j$ , where

$$\Omega_{1,1}^j = \left\{ t \in (x_j, x_{j+1}) : \mathcal{I}_{1,1} f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{6M} \right\}.$$

Let us denote  $\theta(\delta_{1,1}^j, \varepsilon_{1,1}^j) = \left( \mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1} \right) \left( \int_{\delta_{1,1}^j}^{\varepsilon_{1,1}^j} \omega(z) dz \right)$ , then using (8) and (30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\theta(\delta_{1,1}^j, \varepsilon_{1,1}^j) &\leq \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \left[ \frac{48MCf(z)\phi(z)}{\gamma} \right] \left[ \frac{(\inf h(x)K(x, \beta(\xi_k^m)))w(z)\theta}{C\phi(z)\psi(z)} \right] \psi(z) dz \\ &\leq \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \mathcal{V} \left( \frac{48MCf(z)\phi(z)}{\gamma} \right) \psi(z) dz \\ &\quad + \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h(x)K(x, \beta(\xi_k^m)))w(z)\theta}{C\phi(z)\psi(z)} \right) \psi(z) dz. \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

As  $\alpha(\varepsilon_{1,1}^j) \leq \alpha(x_{j+1}) \leq \alpha(x_{j+2}) \leq \alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m) \leq \beta(\xi_k^m) \leq \beta(x_j) \leq \beta(\delta_{1,1}^j)$ , thus from (21), we have

$$\int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h(x)K(x, \beta(\xi_k^m)))w(z)\theta(\delta_{1,1}^j, \varepsilon_{1,1}^j)}{C\phi(z)\psi(z)} \right) \psi(z) dz \leq \theta(\delta_{1,1}^j, \varepsilon_{1,1}^j). \tag{54}$$

Combining (53) and (54), we obtain

$$(\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\Omega_{1,1}^j} \omega(z) dz \right) \leq \int_{\alpha(x_{j+1})}^{\alpha(x_{j+2})} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{48MCf(z)\phi(z)}{\gamma} \right) \psi(z) dz. \tag{55}$$

Summing up over  $j$  and applying subadditivity of  $\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\{t \in (\xi_k^m, \xi_{k+1}^m) : \mathcal{S}_{1,1}f(t) > \frac{\gamma}{6M}\}} \omega(z) dz \right) \\ & \leq \int_{\alpha(\xi_k^m)}^{\alpha(\xi_{k+1}^m)} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{48MCf(z)\phi(z)}{\gamma} \right) \psi(z) dz. \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Estimation of the integrals  $\mathcal{S}_{1,2}f, \mathcal{S}_2f$  and  $\mathcal{S}_3f$  also follows the similar pattern used to obtain (56) and in the proof of Theorem 1. Thus we obtain (19) with constant  $96(M + 1)^4C$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (ii).

Conversely, let us assume  $t < \tau$  for which  $\alpha(\tau) < \beta(t)$ . Corresponding to each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we consider the set  $E_N = \{\alpha(\tau) < s < \beta(t) : \frac{1}{N} \leq K(t, s), w(s) < N\}$  has finite measure. We have

$$\int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \left( \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda} \right) dy \leq lN^2|E_N|(\inf h)\tilde{v}(\lambda lkN^2 \inf h) < \infty$$

for each  $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Thus for each  $\mu > 0$  we choose  $\lambda$  such that

$$\int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \left( \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda} \right) dy = (1 + \mu)C,$$

where  $C$  is the constant in (19). For each  $\gamma > 0$  we consider

$$f_\gamma(y) = \frac{\gamma}{C} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda(\inf h)K(t, y)w(y)} \chi_{E_N}(y).$$



If  $t \leq x < \tau$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I} f_\gamma(x) &= h(x) \int_{E_N} K(x,y) \frac{\gamma}{C} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)} w(y) dy \\ &\geq \int_{E_N} \frac{\gamma}{C\lambda} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) (\psi(y) + 1/k) dy \\ &= (1 + \mu)\gamma > \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$[t, \tau) \subset \{x : \mathcal{I} f_\gamma(x) > \gamma\}.$$

Thus using (9) and (19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t, \tau) &= (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_t^\tau \omega(y) dy \right) \\ &\leq (\mathcal{V} \circ \mathcal{U}^{-1}) \left( \int_{\{\mathcal{I} f_\gamma > \gamma\}} \omega(y) dy \right) \\ &\leq \int_{E_N} \mathcal{V} \left( \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\lambda(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)} \phi(y) \right) \psi(y) dy \\ &\leq \int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \psi(y) dy \\ &\leq (1 + \mu)C\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(r)/r$  increases as  $r$  increases, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)\theta(t, \tau)}{(1 + \mu)C(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{\theta(t, \tau)} dy \\ &\leq \int_{E_N} \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \left( \frac{\lambda(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)}{(\phi(y) + 1/l)(\psi(y) + 1/k)} \right) \frac{\psi(y) + 1/k}{(1 + \mu)C\lambda} dy = 1. \end{aligned}$$

By the Monotone convergence theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{E_N} \mathcal{V} \left( \frac{(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)\theta(t, \tau)}{(1 + \mu)C(\phi(y) + 1/l)\psi(y)} \right) \frac{\psi(y)}{\theta(t, \tau)} dy \leq 1.$$

Letting  $l, N \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\mu \rightarrow 0^+$ , thus we obtain

$$\int_{\alpha(\tau)}^{\beta(t)} \mathcal{V} \left( \frac{(\inf h)K(t,y)w(y)\theta(t, \tau)}{C\phi(y)\psi(y)} \right) \psi(y) dy \leq \theta(t, \tau).$$

In a similar way we can prove the estimate (21). Hence the proof is complete.  $\square$

*Acknowledgement.* The authors are grateful to the reviewer for the valuable suggestions that substantially improved the manuscript. The first author thanks Department of Science and Technology, Government of India for the partial financial support DST MATRICS (SERB/F/12082/2018-2019). The second author thanks Department of Science and Technology, Government of India for the partial financial support DST/INSPIRE Fellowship/2017/IF170509.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. BAGBY, *Weak bounds for the maximal function in weighted Orlicz spaces*, *Studia Math.*, **95**, 3 (1990), 195–204.
- [2] R. J. BAGBY AND J. D. PARSONS, *Orlicz spaces and rearranged maximal functions*, *Math. Nachr.*, **132**, 1987, 15–27.
- [3] A. GOGATISHVILI AND L. PICK, *Weak and extra-weak type inequalities for the maximal operator and the Hilbert transform*, *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, **43**, 3 (1993), 547–566.
- [4] A. L. BERNARDIS, F. J. MARTÍN-REYES AND P. ORTEGA SALVADOR, *Weighted weak type inequalities for generalized Hardy operators*, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, (2006), Art. ID 62426, 1–10.
- [5] A. L. BERNARDIS, F. J. MARTÍN-REYES AND P. ORTEGA SALVADOR, *Weighted inequalities for Hardy-Steklov operators*, *Can. J. Math.*, **59**, 2 (2007), 276–295.
- [6] S. BLOOM AND R. KERMAN, *Weighted  $L_\phi$  integral inequalities for operators of Hardy type*, *Studia Math.*, **110**, 2 (1994), 149–167.
- [7] T. CHEN AND G. SINNAMON, *Generalized Hardy operators and normalizing measures*, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **7**, 6 (2002), 829–866.
- [8] A. GOGATISHVILI AND J. LANG, *The generalized Hardy operator with kernel and variable integral limits in Banach function spaces*, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **4**, 1 (1999), 1–16.
- [9] H. P. HEINIG AND G. SINNAMON, *Mapping properties of integral averaging operators*, *Studia Math.*, **129**, 2 (1998), 157–177.
- [10] V. KOKILASHVILI AND M. KRBEČ, *Weighted Inequalities in Lorentz and Orlicz Spaces*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1991.
- [11] A. KUFNER, L. E. PERSSON AND N. SAMKO, *Weighted Inequalities of Hardy Type*, second edition, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2017.
- [12] Q. LAI, *Weighted integral inequalities for the Hardy type operator and the fractional maximal operator*, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **49**, 2 (1994), 244–266.
- [13] Q. LAI, *Two weight mixed  $\phi$ -inequalities for the one-sided maximal function*, *Studia Math.*, **115**, 1 (1995), 1–22.
- [14] Q. LAI, *Weighted modular inequalities for Hardy type operators*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **79**, 3 (1999), 649–672.
- [15] F. J. MARTÍN-REYES AND P. ORTEGA SALVADOR, *On weighted weak type inequalities for modified Hardy operators*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **126**, 6 (1998), 1739–1746.
- [16] P. ORTEGA SALVADOR, *Weighted generalized weak type inequalities for modified Hardy operators*, *Collect. Math.*, **51**, 2 (2000), 149–155.
- [17] P. ORTEGA SALVADOR AND L. PICK, *Two-weight weak and extra-weak type inequalities for the one-sided maximal operator*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **123**, 1993, 1109–1118.

- [18] P. ORTEGA SALVADOR, C. RAMÍREZ TORREBLANCA, *Weighted modular inequalities for Hardy-Steklov operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **322**, 2006, 803–814.
- [19] V. D. STEPANOV AND E. P. USHAKOVA, *On boundedness of a certain class of Hardy-Steklov type operators in Lebesgue Spaces*, Banach J. Math. Anal., **4**, 1 (2010), 28–52.

(Received May 1, 2021)

*Rajib Haloi*  
*Department of Applied Sciences*  
*Tezpur University*  
*Sonitpur, Assam, Pin 784028, India*  
*e-mail: rajib.haloi@gmail.com*

*Duranta Chutia*  
*Department of Mathematics*  
*Dibru College*  
*Dibrugarh, Assam, Pin 786003, India*  
*e-mail: duranta@tezu.ernet.in*