

## A CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION TYPE OPERATOR ON $L^p$ SPACES

M. R. JABBARZADEH

(Communicated by N.-C. Wong)

*Abstract.* In this paper we discuss some of the basic operator-theoretic characterizations for conditional expectation type operator  $T = EM_\mu$  on  $L^p$  spaces.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $L(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. For any complete  $\sigma$ -finite subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \Sigma$  with  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , the  $L^p$ -space  $L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  is abbreviated by  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$ , and its norm is denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . We understand  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$  as a Banach subspace of  $L^p(\Sigma)$ . The support of a measurable function  $f$  is defined by  $\sigma(f) = \{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ . All comparisons between two functions or two sets are to be interpreted as holding up to a  $\mu$ -null set.

For any non-negative  $\Sigma$ -measurable function  $f$  as well as for any  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ , by the Radon-Nikodym theorem, there exists a unique  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function  $E(f)$  such that

$$\int_A E f d\mu = \int_A f d\mu, \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence we obtain an operator  $E$  from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  onto  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$  which is called conditional expectation operator associated with the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . This operator will play a major role in our work, and we list here some of its useful properties:

- If  $g$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable then  $E(fg) = E(f)g$ .
- $|E(f)|^p \leq E(|f|^p)$ .
- $\|E(f)\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$ .
- If  $f \geq 0$  then  $E(f) \geq 0$ ; if  $f > 0$  then  $E(f) > 0$ .

Let  $f$  be a real-valued measurable function. Consider the set  $B_f = \{x \in X : E(f^+)(x) = E(f^-)(x) = \infty\}$ . The function  $f$  is said to be conditionable with respect to  $\mathcal{A}$ , if  $\mu(B_f) = 0$ . If  $f$  is complex-valued, then  $f$  is conditionable if the real and imaginary parts of  $f$  are conditionable and their respective expectations are not both infinite on the same set of positive measure. We denote the linear space of all conditionable  $\Sigma$ -measurable functions on  $X$  by  $L^0(\Sigma)$ . It is known that  $|E(f)|^2 = E(|f|^2)$  if and only if  $f \in L^0(\mathcal{A})$ . For more details on the properties of  $E$  see [5], [6] and [9].

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 47B20, 46B38.

*Keywords and phrases:* Conditional expectation, multiplication operators, compact operators, operator matrices, polar decomposition.

Recall that an  $\mathcal{A}$ -atom of the measure  $\mu$  is an element  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\mu(A) > 0$  such that for each  $F \in \Sigma$ , if  $F \subseteq A$  then either  $\mu(F) = 0$  or  $\mu(F) = \mu(A)$ . A measure with no atoms is called non-atomic. It is well-known fact that every  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  can be partitioned uniquely as  $X = (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) \cup B$ , where  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a countable collection of pairwise disjoint  $\mathcal{A}$ -atoms and  $B$ , being disjoint from each  $A_n$ , is non-atomic (see [12]). Note that since  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $\sigma$ -finite, it follows that  $\mu(A_n) < \infty$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Combination of conditional expectation operator  $E$  and multiplication operator  $M_u$  appears more often in the service of the study of other operators such as multiplication operators, weighted composition operators and Lambert operators (see [8] and [7]). These operators are closely related to averaging operators on order ideals in Banach lattices and to operators called conditional expectation-type operators introduced in [1]. In this paper, we investigate some of the basic operator-theoretic questions for the conditional type operator  $T = EM_u$  between  $L^p$  spaces. For a beautiful exposition of the study of weighted conditional expectation operators on  $L^p$ -spaces, see [6] and the references therein.

### 2. The operator $T = EM_u$

Let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . We shall always take  $u \in L^0(\Sigma)$  for which  $uf \in L^0(\Sigma)$  for all  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$ . In other words, the operator  $T = EM_u$  is defined on all  $L^p(\Sigma)$ . A straightforward calculation shows that for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the adjoint operator  $T^* : L^q(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)$  is given by  $T^*f = \bar{u}f$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  (note that we can consider  $T^* : L^q(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^q(\Sigma)$  as  $T^* = M_{\bar{u}}E$ ). Let  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . It is proved by Alan Lambert in [8] that  $T^*$  is a bounded operator if and only if  $E(|u|^q) \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . In this case  $\|T^*\| = \|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty^{1/q}$ . In the case  $q = \infty$ , we claim that  $T^*$  is bounded if and only if  $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$  and its norm is given by  $\|T^*\| = \|u\|_\infty$ . Indeed, if  $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$  and  $f \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\bar{u}f\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{A})} &= \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}, 0 < \mu(A) < \infty} \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_A |\bar{u}f| d\mu \\ &\leq \|u\|_\infty \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}, 0 < \mu(A) < \infty} \frac{1}{\mu(A)} \int_A |f| d\mu = \|u\|_\infty \|f\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{A})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $T^*(L^\infty(\mathcal{A})) \subseteq L^\infty(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq L^\infty(\Sigma)$ , and  $\|T^*\| \leq \|u\|_\infty$ . On the other hand, if  $T^*$  is bounded, then

$$\|u\|_\infty = \|\bar{u}\chi_X\|_\infty = \|T^*\chi_X\|_\infty \leq \|T^*\| < \infty.$$

These observations establish the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** (a)  $T = EM_u$  defines a bounded linear operator from  $L^1(\Sigma)$  into  $L^1(\mathcal{A})$  if and only if  $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma)$ . In this case  $\|T\| = \|u\|_\infty$ .

(b) Let  $1 < p < \infty$ .  $T$  defines a bounded operator from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$  if and only if  $E(|u|^q) \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . In this case  $\|T\| = \|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty^{1/q}$ .

In the following theorem we investigate a necessary and sufficient condition for  $T$  to be compact.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Suppose  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu|_{\mathcal{A}})$  can be partitioned as  $X = (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) \cup B$ . Then the bounded linear operator  $T = EM_u$  from  $L^p(\Sigma)$  into  $L^p(\mathcal{A})$  is compact if and only if  $u(B) = 0$  ( $u(x) = 0$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in B$ ) and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A_n \cap D_\varepsilon(u)) > 0\}$  is finite, where  $D_\varepsilon(u) = \{x \in X : E(|u|)(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $T$  is a compact operator. First we show that  $u(B) = 0$ . Suppose the contrary i.e.,  $\mu\{x \in B : u(x) \neq 0\} > 0$ . Then there is  $\delta > 0$  and  $B_0 \in \mathcal{A} \cap B$  such that  $0 < \mu(B_0 \cap D_\delta(u)) < \infty$ . Since  $J_0 := B_0 \cap D_\delta(u) \in \mathcal{A} \cap B_0$  has no atoms, hence we can choose a sequence  $\{B_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap B_0$ , such that  $J_{n+1} \subseteq J_n \subseteq J_0$ ,  $0 < \mu(J_{n+1}) = \frac{\mu(J_n)}{2}$ , where  $J_n := B_n \cap D_\delta(u)$ . Note that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $J_n$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable. Put

$$f_n = \frac{\bar{u}|u|^{\frac{q-p}{p}} \chi_{J_n}}{\{\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty \mu(J_n)\}^{\frac{1}{p}}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Boundedness of  $T$  implies that  $E(|u|^q) \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$  and hence  $\|f_n\|_p \leq 1$ . Now, for any  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf_n - Tf_m\|_p^p &= \int_X |E(u(f_n - f_m))|^p d\mu \\ &= \int_X \frac{[E(|u|^{\frac{q}{p}+1})]^p}{\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty} \left| \frac{\chi_{J_n}}{\mu(J_n)^{\frac{1}{p}}} - \frac{\chi_{J_m}}{\mu(J_m)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \right|^p d\mu \geq \frac{\delta^{\frac{(q}{p}+1)p}}{\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty} \int_{J_n \setminus J_m} \frac{d\mu}{\mu(J_n)} \\ &= \frac{\delta^{q+p}}{\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty} \frac{\mu(J_n \setminus J_m)}{\mu(J_n)} = \frac{\delta^{q+p}}{\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\mu(J_m)}{\mu(J_n)}\right) > \frac{\delta^{q+p}}{2\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that the sequence  $\{Tf_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  does not contain a convergent subsequence. But this is a contradiction.

Now, we show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A_n \cap D_\varepsilon(u)) > 0\}$  is finite. By the way of contradiction, for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a subsequence  $\{A_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of disjoint atoms in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(A_k \cap D_\varepsilon(u)) > 0$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $G_k = A_k \cap D_\varepsilon(u)$ . Hence, we obtain a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $\{G_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $G_k \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $0 < \mu(G_k) = \mu(A_k) < \infty$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $f_n = \bar{u}|u|^{\frac{q-p}{p}} \chi_{G_n} / (\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty \mu(G_n))^{1/p}$ . Then  $\|f_n\|_p \leq 1$ . Since for each  $n \neq m$ ,  $G_n \cap G_m = \emptyset$ , it follows that

$$\|Tf_n - Tf_m\|_p^p \geq \int_X \frac{(E(|u|))^{q+p} \chi_{G_n}}{\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty \mu(G_n)} d\mu + \int_X \frac{(E(|u|))^{q+p} \chi_{G_m}}{\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty \mu(G_m)} d\mu \geq \frac{2\varepsilon^{q+p}}{\|E(|u|^q)\|_\infty},$$

which contradicts the compactness of  $T$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $u(B) = 0$  and for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist at most finite  $\mathcal{A}$ -atoms  $\{A_k^i\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\mu(A_k^i \cap D_\varepsilon(u)) > 0$ . Put  $B_\varepsilon = \bigcup_{k=1}^n A_k^i$ . Then  $E(|u|) < \varepsilon$  on  $X \setminus B_\varepsilon$  and hence  $|u| < \varepsilon$  on  $X \setminus (B_\varepsilon \cup B)$ . Set  $v = \chi_{B_\varepsilon} u$  and  $T_1 = EM_v$ . It is easy to see that  $u = v = 0$  on  $B$  and  $u = v$  on  $B_\varepsilon$ . Now, since

$B_\varepsilon \cup B \in \mathcal{A}$ , then for each  $f \in L^p(\Sigma)$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T - T_1)f\|_p^p &= \int_X |E(u - v)f|^p d\mu = \int_{X \setminus (B_\varepsilon \cup B)} |E(uf)|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{X \setminus (B_\varepsilon \cup B)} E(|uf|^p) d\mu = \int_{X \setminus (B_\varepsilon \cup B)} |uf|^p d\mu \leq \varepsilon^p \int_X |f|^p d\mu = \varepsilon^p \|f\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 f &= E(\chi_{B_\varepsilon} u f) = E\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{A_\varepsilon^k} u f\right) = \sum_{k=1}^n E(\chi_{A_\varepsilon^k} u f) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n E(uf)(A_\varepsilon^k) \chi_{A_\varepsilon^k} = \sum_{k=1}^n (Tf)(A_\varepsilon^k) \chi_{A_\varepsilon^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $T_1$  has finite rank and hence  $T$  is compact.  $\square$

REMARK 2.3. Under the same assumptions as in Theorem 2.2, if we take  $f_n = \bar{u} \chi_{J_n} / (\|u\|_\infty \mu(J_n))$ , then by the same method used in the proof of Theorem 2.2,  $T = EM_u$  from  $L^1(\Sigma)$  into  $L^1(\mathcal{A})$  is compact if and only if  $u(B) = 0$  and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\{x \in X : E(|u|)(x) \geq \varepsilon\}$  consists of finitely many atoms.

In the following theorem we show that if  $T = EM_u$  is weakly compact on  $L^1(\Sigma)$ , then it is compact. Recall that the operator  $T : L^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^1(\Sigma)$  is said to be weakly compact if it maps bounded subsets of  $L^1(\Sigma)$  into weakly sequentially compact subsets of  $L^1(\Sigma)$ . We begin with the following lemma, which can be deduced from Theorem IV.8.9, and its Corollaries 8.10, 8.11 in [4].

LEMMA 2.4. *Let  $H$  be a weakly sequentially compact set in  $L^1(\Sigma)$ . Then for each decreasing sequence  $\{E_n\}$  in  $\Sigma$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_n) = 0$  or  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty E_n = \emptyset$ , the sequence of integrals  $\{\int_{E_n} |h| d\mu\}$  converges to zero uniformly for  $h$  in  $H$ .*

THEOREM 2.5. *Suppose  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  can be partitioned as  $X = (\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n) \cup B$ . Then the bounded operator  $T = EM_u$  is a weakly compact operator on  $L^1(\Sigma)$  if and only if it is compact.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show the “only if” part. To prove the theorem, we use the method which inspired by Takagi [10]. Let  $T$  be a weakly compact operator on  $L^1(\Sigma)$ . We first show that  $u(B) = 0$ . To obtain a contradiction, we may assume that for some  $\delta > 0$  and  $B_0 \subseteq B$ ,  $0 < \mu(B_0 \cap D_\delta(u)) < \infty$ . By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 2.2, as  $B_0$  is non-atomic, we can find a decreasing sequence  $\{B_n\} \subseteq B_0 \cap \Sigma$  with  $0 < \mu(B_n) < \frac{1}{n}$  and  $0 < \mu(B_n \cap D_\delta(u)) < \infty$ . Let  $U$  be the closed unit ball of  $L^1(\Sigma)$ . Since  $T(U)$  is weakly sequentially compact, we can apply Lemma 2.4, with  $H = T(U)$  and  $E_n = B_n$ . Choose  $\varepsilon = \delta^2 / \|u\|_\infty$ . Then there exists an  $n_o \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\int_{B_{n_o}} |Tf| d\mu < \frac{\delta^2}{\|u\|_\infty}, \quad f \in U. \tag{2.1}$$

On the other hand if we take  $f_{n_0} = \bar{u}\chi_{J_{n_0}}/(\|u\|_\infty\mu(J_{n_0}))$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{n_0}} |Tf|d\mu &= \int_{B_{n_0}} \left| E \left( \frac{u\bar{u}\chi_{J_{n_0}}}{\|u\|_\infty\mu(J_{n_0})} \right) \right| d\mu \\ &= \int_{B_{n_0}} E \left( \frac{|u|^2\chi_{J_{n_0}}}{\|u\|_\infty\mu(J_{n_0})} \right) d\mu = \frac{1}{\|u\|_\infty\mu(J_{n_0})} \int_{B_{n_0}} |u|^2\chi_{J_{n_0}} d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{\|u\|_\infty\mu(J_{n_0})} \int_{J_{n_0}} |u|^2 d\mu \geq \frac{\delta^2}{\|u\|_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f_{n_0} \in U$ , this contradicts (2.1). According to the Theorem 2.2, it remains to show that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $A := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \mu(A_n \cap D_\varepsilon(u)) > 0\}$  is finite. To this end, without loss of generality, we can assume that  $A = \mathbb{N}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Put  $K_n = \{A_k : k \geq n\}$ . It follows that  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty K_n = \emptyset$ . Applying Lemma 2.4 once more, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\int_{K_N} |Tf|d\mu < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\|u\|_\infty}, \quad f \in U.$$

Now, for any  $n$  with  $n \geq N$ , let  $g_n = \bar{u}\chi_{A_n}/(\|u\|_\infty\mu(A_n))$ . Then we have

$$\int_{K_N} |Tg_n|d\mu = \int_{K_N} E \left( \frac{|u|^2\chi_{A_n}}{\|u\|_\infty\mu(A_n)} \right) d\mu = \frac{1}{\|u\|_\infty\mu(A_n)} \int_{A_n} |u|^2 d\mu \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\|u\|_\infty}.$$

Since  $g_n \in U$ , this contradicts (2.1). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $E(|u|) > 0$  a.e. on  $X$ . If the bounded operator  $T = EM_u : L^p(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^p(\mathcal{A})$  is (weakly) compact, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is purely atomic.*

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  be separable Hilbert spaces. The set of all bounded linear operators from  $\mathcal{K}$  into  $\mathcal{H}$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ . If  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$  will be written by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ , the range and the null-space of  $A$  are denoted by  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(A)$ , respectively. If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , the spectrum of  $A$  is denoted by  $\text{Sp}(A)$ .

Now, we consider matrix form of  $T = EM_u$ . Notice that  $L^2(\Sigma)$  is the direct sum of the  $\mathcal{R}(E) = L^2(\mathcal{A})$  with  $\mathcal{N}(E) = \{f - Ef : f \in L^2(\Sigma)\}$ . With respect to the direct sum decomposition,  $L^2(\Sigma) = L^2(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{N}(E)$ , the matrix form of  $T$  is

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} ETE & ET(I-E) \\ (I-E)TE & (I-E)T(I-E) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} M_{Eu} & EM_u \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

In this sequel, we investigate closedness of range and spectrum of  $T$  on  $L^2(\Sigma)$ . We begin with the following lemma, which can be deduced from Theorem 2.3 in [2] and Example 7 in [3].

**LEMMA 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  be separable Hilbert spaces. Suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ .*

- (i) *If  $A$  and  $B$  are normal operators, then  $\text{Sp} \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{Sp}(A) \cup \text{Sp}(B)$ .*

(ii) If  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  and  $\mathcal{R}(B)$  are closed, then the range  $\mathcal{R} \left( \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix} \right)$  is closed if and only if at least one of  $\dim \mathcal{N}(A^*)$  or  $\dim \mathcal{N}(B)$  is finite.

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Suppose that the operator  $T = EM_u : L^2(\Sigma) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{A})$  is bounded. Then*

(i)  $Sp(T) \cup \{0\} = \text{ess range } \{E(u)\} \cup \{0\}$ .

(ii) Let  $|E(u)| \geq \delta$  a.e. on  $\sigma(E(u))$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Then  $T$  has closed range if and only if  $|E(u)| > 0$  a.e. on  $X$  except at most on finitely many atoms.

*Proof.* (i) If  $\mathcal{A} \neq \Sigma$ , then  $\mathcal{R}(T) \subseteq L^2(\mathcal{A}) \subset L^2(\Sigma)$ . Therefore  $T$  is not surjective and so  $0 \in Sp(T)$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 2.7 (i), since  $Sp(M_{E_u}) = \text{ess range } \{E(u)\}$ , the result holds.

(ii) It is known that the multiplication operator  $M_{E_u}$  has closed range if and only if  $|E(u)| \geq \delta$  a.e. on  $\sigma(E(u))$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Now, by Lemma 2.7 (i) and (2.2) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed} &\iff \mathcal{R} \left( \begin{bmatrix} M_{E_u} & EM_u \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{ is closed} \iff \dim \mathcal{N}(M_{E_u}) < \infty \\ &\iff |E(u)| > 0 \text{ a.e. on } X \text{ except at most on finitely many atoms.} \end{aligned}$$

It is well known that every operator  $T$  can be decomposed into  $T = U|T|$  with a partial isometry  $U$ , where  $|T| = (T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .  $U$  is determined uniquely by the kernel condition  $\mathcal{N}(U) = \mathcal{N}(T)$ , then this decomposition is called the polar decomposition.

Now, by the operator matrices method we obtain the polar decomposition of  $T = EM_u$ . Direct computations show that

$$T^*T = \begin{bmatrix} M_{|E(u)|^2} & EM_{u\bar{E}u} \\ M_{\bar{u}E(u)-|E(u)|^2} & M_{\bar{u}EM_u} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } |T| = \begin{bmatrix} M \frac{|E(u)|^2}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} & EM \frac{u\bar{E}u}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} \\ M \frac{\bar{u}E(u)-|E(u)|^2}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} & M \frac{\bar{u}-E\bar{u}}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} EM_u \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then for each  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |T| [Ef f - Ef] &= \begin{bmatrix} M \frac{|E(u)|^2}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} & EM \frac{u\bar{E}u}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} \\ M \frac{\bar{u}E(u)-|E(u)|^2}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} & M \frac{\bar{u}-E\bar{u}}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} EM_u \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Ef \\ f - Ef \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\bar{E}(u)E(uf)}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} & \frac{\bar{u}E(uf)}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} - \frac{\bar{E}(u)E(uf)}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that, since for each conditionable function  $u$ ,  $E(|u|) = 0$  implies that  $E(u) = 0 = u$ , we used the notational convention of  $\frac{u}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}}$  for  $\frac{u}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} \chi_{\sigma(u)}$ .

Now, since the mapping  $f \mapsto [Ef f - Ef]$  is an isometric isomorphism from  $L^2(\Sigma)$  onto  $L^2(\mathcal{A}) \oplus \mathcal{N}(E)$ , then we get that  $|T|(f) = \frac{\bar{u}E(uf)}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}}$ . Hence for any  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ ,  $E(uf) = U \left( \frac{\bar{u}E(uf)}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}} \right)$ . It is easy to check that  $U(f) = \frac{E(uf)}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}}$  and  $U$  is a partial isometry (see [6]). These calculations establish the following proposition.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.9. *The polar decomposition of  $T = EM_u$  on  $L^2(\Sigma)$  is  $U|T|$ , where  $U = M_{1/\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}}T$  and  $|T| = M_{\bar{u}/\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}}T$ .*

Let  $p \in (0, \infty)$ . Recall that an operator  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is  $p$ -hyponormal if  $(A^*A)^p \geq (AA^*)^p$ ;  $A$  is  $\infty$ -hyponormal if  $A$  is  $p$ -hyponormal for all  $p$ ; and  $A$  is  $p$ -quasihyponormal if  $A^*(A^*A)^pA \geq A^*(AA^*)^pA$ . For all unit vectors  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ , if  $\| |A|^p U |A|^p x \| \geq \| |A|^p x \|^2$ , then  $A$  is called a  $p$ -paranormal operator. By using the property of real quadratic forms (see [11]),  $A$  is  $p$ -paranormal if and only if

$$|A|^p U^* |A|^{2p} U |A|^p - 2k|A|^{2p} + k^2 \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

The following lemma is significant amount of consideration for the next computations.

LEMMA 2.10. *Let  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$  and  $Af := \bar{u}E(uf)$ . Then for all  $p \in (0, \infty)$*

$$A^p f = \bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{p-1} E(uf).$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$ , then by induction we obtain

$$A^{\frac{1}{n}} f = \bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{\frac{1-n}{n}} E(uf), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Now the reiteration of powers of operator  $A^{\frac{1}{n}}$ , yields

$$A^{\frac{m}{n}} f = \bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{\frac{(1-n)m}{n}} [E(|u|^2)]^{m-1} E(uf), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Finally, by using of the functional calculus the desired formula is proved.  $\square$

LEMMA 2.11. *Let  $T = EM_u$  be a bounded operator on  $L^2(\Sigma)$ . Then  $T$  is  $\infty$ -hyponormal if and only if  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.10, it is easy to verify that  $(T^*T)^p = M_{\bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{p-1}}T$  and  $(TT^*)^p = M_{[E(|u|^2)]^p}$ , for all  $0 < p < \infty$ . Then we get that  $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$  if and only if

$$M_{[E(|u|^2)]^{p-1}}(M_{\bar{u}}T - M_{E(|u|^2)}) \geq 0 \iff M_{\bar{u}}T - M_{E(|u|^2)} \geq 0,$$

where we have used the fact that  $T_1T_2 \geq 0$  if  $T_1 \geq 0, T_2 \geq 0$  and  $T_1T_2 = T_2T_1$  for all  $T_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . Thus for any  $0 < f \in L^2(\mathcal{A})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (M_{\bar{u}}Tf - M_{E(|u|^2)}f, f) = \int_X (\bar{u}E(uf) - E(|u|^2)f)\bar{f}d\mu \\ &= \int_X (\bar{u}E(u) - E(|u|^2))|f|^2d\mu = \int_X (|E(u)|^2 - E(|u|^2))|f|^2d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f > 0$ , this gives  $|E(u)|^2 \geq E(|u|^2)$ . On the other hand we always have  $|E(u)|^2 \leq E(|u|^2)$ . Hence  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . Notice that if  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ , then it is easy to see that  $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.12.** *Let  $T = EM_u$  be a bounded operator on  $L^2(\Sigma)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  is  $\infty$ -hyponormal.
- (ii)  $T$  is  $p$ -hyponormal.
- (iii)  $T$  is  $p$ -quasihyponormal.
- (iv)  $T$  is  $p$ -paranormal.
- (v)  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.11, we complete the proof by showing (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) and (iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) below.

(iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v) By Lemma 2.10, it is easy to verify that  $T^*(TT^*)^pT = M_{\bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^p}T$  and  $T^*(T^*T)^pT = M_{\bar{u}|E(u)|^2[E(|u|^2)]^{p-1}}T$ . Therefore,  $T^*(T^*T)^p \geq T^*(TT^*)^pT$  if and only if  $M_{[E(|u|^2)]^{p-1}}(M_{\bar{u}|E(u)|^2} - \bar{u}E(|u|^2)T) \geq 0$ . Therefore, for any  $0 < f \in L^2(\mathcal{A})$  we have

$$0 \leq \int_X (\bar{u}|E(u)|^2 - \bar{u}E(|u|^2))E(u)|f|^2 d\mu = \int_X (|E(u)|^4 - |E(u)|^2E(|u|^2))|f|^2 d\mu.$$

It follows that  $|E(u)|^2 \geq E(|u|^2)$  and hence  $|E(u)|^2 = E(|u|^2)$ . Thus  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . Conversely, if  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ , then

$$T^*(T^*T)^pT = T^*(TT^*)^pT = M_{\bar{u}|u|^{2p}}T,$$

which proves the desired implication.

We now prove (iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v). Since  $|T|(f) = \frac{\bar{u}}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}}E(\frac{uf}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}})$ , by Lemma 2.10 we get that

$$|T|^p(f) = \bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}E(uf), \quad f \in L^2(\Sigma).$$

Also since  $U^*(f) = \frac{\bar{u}}{\sqrt{E(|u|^2)}}E(f)$ , by a direct computation, we have

$$|T|^pU^*|T|^{2p}U|T|^p f = \bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{2p-2}|E(u)|^2E(uf), \quad f \in L^2(\Sigma).$$

By condition (2.3),  $T$  is  $p$ -paranormal if and only if

$$k^2 - 2kM_{\bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{p-1}}T + M_{\bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{2p-2}|E(u)|^2}T \geq 0, \quad \text{for all } k \geq 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow M_{\bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{2p-2}|E(u)|^2}T \geq (M_{\bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{p-1}}T)^2 = M_{\bar{u}[E(|u|^2)]^{2p-2}|E(|u|^2)}T.$$

Therefore, for any  $0 < f \in L^2(\mathcal{A})$  we have

$$\int_X |E(u)|^2(E(|u|^2)^{2p-2} (|E(u)|^2 - E(|u|^2)))|f|^2 d\mu \geq 0.$$

It follows that  $|E(u)|^2 \geq E(|u|^2)$  and hence  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ . Conversely, if  $u \in L^\infty(\mathcal{A})$ , it is easy to check that condition (2.3) holds for all  $k \geq 0$ . Hence the proof is complete.  $\square$



EXAMPLE 2.13. Let  $X = [-1, 1]$ ,  $d\mu = dx$ ,  $\Sigma$  the Lebesgue sets, and  $\mathcal{A}$  the  $\sigma$ -subalgebra generated by the symmetric sets about the origin. Now any real valued function on  $X$  can be written uniquely as a sum of an even function and an odd function, one simply uses the functions  $f_e(x) = (f(x) + f(-x))/2$  and  $f_o(x) = (f(x) - f(-x))/2$ . Put  $0 < a \leq 1$ . Then for each  $f \in L^2(\Sigma)$  we have  $\int_{-a}^a E(f)(x)dx = \int_{-a}^a f_e(x)dx$  and consequently,  $Ef = f_e$ . This example is due to Alan Lambert [8]. Now, if  $u$  is an even and continuous function on  $X$ , then  $T = EM_u$  is  $\infty$ -hyponormal and hence is  $p$ -paranormal. Note that if  $u(x) = 1 + x$ , then  $T$  is not  $p$ -paranormal.

*Acknowledgement.* The author would like to thank the referees for very helpful comments and valuable suggestions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. DODDS, C. HUIJSMANS AND B. DE PAGTER, *Characterizations of conditional expectation-type operators*, Pacific J. Math., **141** (1990), 55–77.
- [2] Y. N. DOU, G. CĎU, C. F. SHAO, H. K. DU, *Closedness of ranges of upper-triangular operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **356** (2009), 13–20.
- [3] H. K. DU AND P. JIN, *Perturbation of spectrums of  $2 \times 2$  operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **121** (1994), 761–766.
- [4] N. DUNFORD AND J. SCHWARTZ, *Linear operators, Part I, General Theory*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [5] J. J. GROBLER AND B. DE PAGTER, *Operators representable as multiplication-conditional expectation operators*, J. Operator Theory, **48** (2002), 15–40.
- [6] J. HERRON, *Weighted conditional expectation operators on  $L^p$  spaces*, UNC Charlotte Doctoral Dissertation, 2004.
- [7] M. R. JABBARZADEH AND S. KHALIL SARBAZ, *Lambert multipliers between  $L^p$  spaces*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, **60** (2010), 31–43.
- [8] A. LAMBERT,  *$L^p$  multipliers and nested sigma-algebras*, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl., **104** (1998), 147–153.
- [9] M. M. RAO, *Conditional measure and applications*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1993.
- [10] H. TAKAGI, *Compact weighted composition operators on  $L^p$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **116** (1992), 505–511.
- [11] T. YAMAZAKI, M. YANAGIDA, *A further generalization of paranormal operators*, Sci. Math., **3** (2000), 23–31.
- [12] A. C. ZAAENEN, *Integration*, 2nd ed., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1967.

(Received October 27, 2009)

M. R. Jabbarzadeh  
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Tabriz  
P. O. Box: 5166615648  
Tabriz, Iran  
e-mail: mjabbar@tabrizu.ac.ir