

## A RESULT CONCERNING TWO-SIDED CENTRALIZERS ON ALGEBRAS WITH INVOLUTION

NEJC ŠIROVNIK AND JOSO VUKMAN

*(Communicated by F. Kittaneh)*

*Abstract.* The purpose of this paper is to prove the following result. Let  $X$  be a complex Hilbert space, let  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  and let  $\mathcal{A}(X) \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$  be a standard operator algebra, which is closed under the adjoint operation. Let  $T : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  be a linear mapping satisfying the relation  $3T(AA^*A) = T(A)A^*A + AT(A^*)A + AA^*T(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ . In this case  $T$  is of the form  $T(A) = \lambda A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ , where  $\lambda$  is some fixed complex number.

Throughout,  $R$  will represent an associative ring with center  $Z(R)$ . Given an integer  $n \geq 2$ , a ring  $R$  is said to be  $n$ -torsion free, if for  $x \in R$ ,  $nx = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ . An additive mapping  $x \mapsto x^*$  on a ring  $R$  is called involution if  $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$  and  $x^{**} = x$  hold for all pairs  $x, y \in R$ . A ring equipped with an involution is called a ring with involution or  $*$ -ring. Recall that a ring  $R$  is prime, if for  $a, b \in R$ ,  $aRb = (0)$  implies that either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , and is semiprime in case  $aRa = (0)$  implies  $a = 0$ . We denoted by  $Q_r$  and  $C$  the Martindale right ring of quotients and the extended centroid of a semiprime ring  $R$ , respectively. For the explanation of  $Q_r$  and  $C$  we refer the reader to [2]. An additive mapping  $T : R \rightarrow R$  is called a left centralizer in case  $T(xy) = T(x)y$  holds for all pairs  $x, y \in R$ . In case  $R$  has the identity element,  $T : R \rightarrow R$  is a left centralizer iff  $T$  is of the form  $T(x) = ax$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $a$  is some fixed element of  $R$ . For a semiprime ring  $R$  all left centralizers are of the form  $T(x) = qx$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $q \in Q_r$  is some fixed element (see Chapter 2 in [2]). An additive mapping  $T : R \rightarrow R$  is called a left Jordan centralizer in case  $T(x^2) = T(x)x$  holds for all  $x \in R$ . The definition of right centralizer and right Jordan centralizer should be self-explanatory. We call  $T : R \rightarrow R$  a two-sided centralizer in case  $T$  is both a left and a right centralizer. In case  $T : R \rightarrow R$  is a two-sided centralizer, where  $R$  is a semiprime ring with extended centroid  $C$ , then  $T$  is of the form  $T(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $\lambda \in C$  is some fixed element (see Theorem 2.3.2 in [2]).

Zalar [21] has proved that any left (right) Jordan centralizer on a semiprime ring is a left (right) centralizer. Molnár [8] has proved that in case we have an additive mapping  $T : A \rightarrow A$ , where  $A$  is a semisimple  $H^*$ -algebra satisfying the relation  $T(x^3) = T(x)x^2$  ( $T(x^3) = x^2T(x)$ ) for all  $x \in A$ , then  $T$  is a left (right) centralizer. Let us recall that

*Mathematics subject classification* (2010): 16N60, 46B99, 39B42.

*Keywords and phrases:* Ring, ring with involution, prime ring, semiprime ring, Banach space, Hilbert space, standard operator algebra,  $H^*$ -algebra left (right) centralizer, two-sided centralizer.

This research has been supported by the Research Council of Slovenia.

a semisimple  $H^*$ -algebra is a complex semisimple Banach  $*$ -algebra, whose norm is a Hilbert space norm such that  $(x, yz^*) = (xz, y) = (z, x^*y)$  is fulfilled for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . For basic facts concerning  $H^*$ -algebras we refer to [1]. Vukman [9] has proved that in case there exists an additive mapping  $T : R \rightarrow R$ , where  $R$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, satisfying the relation  $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ , then  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer. Kosi-Ulbl and Vukman [7] have proved the following result. Let  $A$  be a semisimple  $H^*$ -algebra and let  $T : A \rightarrow A$  be an additive mapping such that  $2T(x^{n+1}) = T(x)x^n + x^nT(x)$  holds for all  $x \in R$  and some fixed integer  $n \geq 1$ . In this case  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer. Recently, Benkovič, Eremita and Vukman [4] have considered the relation we have just mentioned above in prime rings with suitable characteristic restrictions. Vukman and Kosi-Ulbl [16] have proved that in case there exists an additive mapping  $T : R \rightarrow R$ , where  $R$  is a 2-torsion free semiprime  $*$ -ring, satisfying the relation  $T(xx^*) = T(x)x^*$  ( $T(xx^*) = xT(x^*)$ ) for all  $x \in R$ , then  $T$  is a left (right) centralizer. For results concerning centralizers on rings and algebras we refer to [3, 6–16, 18–21], where further references can be found. Let  $X$  be a real or complex Banach space and let  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  and the ideal of all finite rank operators in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , respectively. An algebra  $\mathcal{A}(X) \subset \mathcal{L}(X)$  is said to be standard in case  $\mathcal{F}(X) \subset \mathcal{A}(X)$ . Let us point out that any standard operator algebra is prime, which is a consequence of a Hahn-Banach theorem. In case  $X$  is a real or complex Hilbert space, we denote by  $A^*$  the adjoint operator of  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ . We denote by  $X^*$  the dual space of a real or complex Banach space  $X$ .

Vukman and Kosi-Ulbl [11] have proved the following result, which was motivated by the work of Brešar [5].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and let  $T : R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping satisfying the relation*

$$3T(xyx) = T(x)yx + xT(y)x + xyT(x) \quad (1)$$

for all pairs  $x, y \in R$ . In this case  $T$  is of the form  $T(x) = \lambda x$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $\lambda$  is some fixed element from the extended centroid  $C$ .

Putting  $x$  for  $y$  in the relation (1), one obtains the relation

$$3T(x^3) = T(x)x^2 + xT(x)x + x^2T(x), \quad x \in R. \quad (2)$$

In case we have a  $*$ -ring, we obtain, after putting  $x^*$  for  $y$  in the relation (1), the relation

$$3T(xx^*x) = T(x)x^*x + xT(x^*)x + xx^*T(x), \quad x \in R. \quad (3)$$

The relation (2) is considered in [6] and [20] (actually, much more general situation is considered). It is our aim in this paper to consider the relation (3).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a complex Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  be a standard operator algebra, which is closed under the adjoint operation. Suppose  $T : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  is a linear mapping satisfying the relation*

$$3T(AA^*A) = T(A)A^*A + AT(A^*)A + AA^*T(A) \quad (4)$$

for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ . In this case  $T$  is of the form  $T(A) = \lambda A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ , where  $\lambda$  is a fixed complex number.

*Proof.* Let us first consider the restriction of  $T$  on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . Let  $A$  be from  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  (in this case we have  $A^* \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ ). Let  $P \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  be a self-adjoint projection with the property  $AP = PA = A$  (we have also  $A^*P = PA^* = A^*$ ). Putting  $P$  for  $A$  in (4) we obtain  $3T(P) = T(P)P + PT(P)P + PT(P)$ , which gives after some calculations

$$T(P) = T(P)P = PT(P) = PT(P)P. \tag{5}$$

Putting  $A + P$  for  $A$  in the relation (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 3T(A^2 + AA^* + A^*A) + 6T(A) + 3T(A^*) \\ &= T(A)(A + A^*) + T(A)P + T(P)A^*A + T(P)(A + A^*) + AT(A^*)P \\ & \quad + PT(A^*)A + PT(A^*)P + AT(P)A + AT(P)P + PT(P)A \\ & \quad + (A + A^*)T(A) + PT(A) + AA^*T(P) + (A + A^*)T(P). \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $-A$  for  $A$  in the above relation and comparing the relation so obtained with the above relation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 3T(A^2 + AA^* + A^*A) &= T(A)(A + A^*) + T(P)A^*A + AT(A^*)P + PT(A^*)A \\ & \quad + AT(P)A + (A + A^*)T(A) + AA^*T(P) \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 6T(A) + 3T(A^*) &= T(A)P + T(P)(A + A^*) + PT(A^*)P \\ & \quad + AT(P)P + PT(P)A + PT(A) + (A + A^*)T(P). \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Putting  $iA$  for  $A$  in the relations (6) and (7) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 3T(A^2 - AA^* - A^*A) &= T(A)(A - A^*) - T(P)A^*A - AT(A^*)P - PT(A^*)A \\ & \quad + AT(P)A + (A - A^*)T(A) - AA^*T(P) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 6T(A) - 3T(A^*) &= T(A)P + T(P)(A - A^*) - PT(A^*)P + AT(P)P \\ & \quad + PT(P)A + PT(A) + (A - A^*)T(P). \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Comparing (6) with (8) and (7) with (9) leads to

$$3T(A^2) = T(A)A + AT(P)A + AT(A) \tag{10}$$

and

$$6T(A) = T(A)P + T(P)A + AT(P)P + PT(P)A + PT(A) + AT(P).$$

After considering  $PT(P)A = T(P)A$  and  $AT(P)P = AT(P)$  from the relation (5), the above relation reduces to

$$6T(A) = T(A)P + PT(A) + 2T(P)A + 2AT(P). \tag{11}$$

Putting  $A^*$  for  $A$  in the relation (7) we obtain

$$6T(A^*) + 3T(A) = T(A^*)P + T(P)(A + A^*) + PT(A)P \\ + A^*T(P)P + PT(P)A^* + PT(A^*) + (A + A^*)T(P).$$

Putting  $iA$  for  $A$  in the above relation and comparing the relation so obtained with the above relation, we obtain

$$3T(A) = T(P)A + PT(A)P + AT(P). \quad (12)$$

Multiplying the relation (12) by 2 and comparing the relation so obtained with (11) gives  $T(A)P + PT(A) = 2PT(A)P$ , which after right multiplication by  $P$  gives  $T(A)P = PT(A)P$  and  $PT(A) = PT(A)P$  after left multiplication by  $P$ . Combining both identities, we get

$$T(A)P = PT(A) = PT(A)P. \quad (13)$$

Right multiplication by  $P$  in the relation (12) gives

$$3T(A)P = T(P)A + PT(A)P + AT(P)P.$$

After considering  $PT(A)P = T(A)P$  from the relation (13) and  $AT(P)P = AT(P)$  from the relation (5), the above relation reduces to

$$2T(A)P = T(P)A + AT(P).$$

According to the relation (13), we can write  $2T(A)P = T(A)P + PT(A)$  in the above relation, which can now be written as

$$T(A)P + PT(A) = T(P)A + AT(P).$$

The above relation reduces the relation (11) to

$$2T(A) = T(P)A + AT(P). \quad (14)$$

From the above relation we can conclude that  $T$  maps  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  into itself. Putting  $A^2$  for  $A$  in the relation (14) gives

$$2T(A^2) = T(P)A^2 + A^2T(P). \quad (15)$$

Right and left multiplication by  $A$  in the relation (14) gives, respectively,

$$T(P)A^2 = 2T(A)A - AT(P)A \quad (16)$$

and

$$A^2T(P) = 2AT(A) - AT(P)A. \quad (17)$$

Applying both (16) and (17) in the relation (15), we obtain

$$T(A^2) = T(A)A - AT(P)A + AT(A). \quad (18)$$

Adding the above relation to the relation (10) gives

$$2T(A^2) = T(A)A + AT(A). \tag{19}$$

We therefore have a linear mapping  $T : \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X)$ , satisfying the relation (19) for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is prime, one can conclude, according to Theorem 1 in [9] that  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . We intend to prove that there exists an operator  $C \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , such that

$$T(A) = CA, A \in \mathcal{F}(X). \tag{20}$$

For any fixed  $x \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$  we denote by  $x \otimes f$  an operator from  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  defined by  $(x \otimes f)y = f(y)x, y \in X$ . For any  $A \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  we have  $A(x \otimes f) = (Ax) \otimes f$ . Now let us choose such  $f$  and  $y$  that  $f(y) = 1$  and define  $Cx = T(x \otimes f)y$ . Obviously,  $C$  is linear and applying the fact that  $T$  is a left centralizer on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ , we obtain

$$(CA)x = C(Ax) = T((Ax) \otimes f)y = T(A(x \otimes f))y = T(A)(x \otimes f)y = T(A)x,$$

for any  $x \in X$ . We therefore have  $T(A) = CA$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . As  $T$  is a right centralizer on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ , we obtain  $C(AB) = T(AB) = AT(B) = ACB$ . We therefore have  $[A, C]B = 0$  for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , whence it follows that  $[A, C] = 0$  for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . Using closed graph theorem one can easily prove that  $C$  is continuous. Since  $C$  commutes with all operators from  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ , we can conclude that  $Cx = \lambda x$  holds for any  $x \in X$  and some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , which gives together with the relation (20) that  $T$  is of the form

$$T(A) = \lambda A \tag{21}$$

for any  $A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  and some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . It remains to prove that the relation (21) holds on  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  as well. Let us introduce  $T_1 : \mathcal{A}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$  by  $T_1(A) = \lambda A$  and consider  $T_0 = T - T_1$ . The mapping  $T_0$  is, obviously, additive and satisfies the relation (4). Besides,  $T_0$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ . It is our aim to show that  $T_0$  vanishes on  $\mathcal{A}(X)$  as well. Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ , let  $P \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  be a one-dimensional self-adjoint projection and  $S = A + PAP - (AP + PA)$ . Such  $S$  can also be written in the form  $S = (I - P)A(I - P)$ , where  $I$  denotes the identity operator on  $X$ . Since  $S - A \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , we have  $T_0(S) = T_0(A)$ . It is easy to see that  $SP = PS = 0$ . By the relation (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & T_0(S)S^*S + ST_0(S^*)S + SS^*T_0(S) \\ &= 3T_0((S + P)(S + P)^*(S + P)) \\ &= T_0(S + P)(S + P)^*(S + P) + (S + P)T_0((S + P)^*)(S + P) \\ &\quad + (S + P)(S + P)^*T_0(S + P) \\ &= T_0(S)S^*S + T_0(S)P + ST_0(S^*)S + ST_0(S^*)P + PT_0(S^*)S \\ &\quad + PT_0(S^*)P + SS^*T_0(S) + PT_0(S). \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have

$$T_0(S)P + ST_0(S^*)P + PT_0(S^*)S + PT_0(S^*)P + PT_0(S) = 0.$$

Putting  $-A$  for  $A$  in the above relation (in this case  $S$  becomes  $-S$ ) and comparing the relation so obtained with the above relation, we obtain

$$T_0(S)P + PT_0(S^*)P + PT_0(S) = 0.$$

Putting  $iA$  for  $A$  in the above relation (in this case  $S^*$  becomes  $-S^*$ ) and comparing the relation so obtained with the above relation, we obtain

$$T_0(S)P + PT_0(S) = 0.$$

Considering  $T_0(S) = T_0(A)$  in the above relation, we obtain

$$T_0(A)P + PT_0(A) = 0. \tag{22}$$

Multiplication from both sides by  $P$  in the above relation leads to

$$PT_0(A)P = 0. \tag{23}$$

Right multiplication by  $P$  in the relation (22) and considering (23) gives

$$T_0(A)P = 0. \tag{24}$$

Since  $P$  is an arbitrary one-dimensional self-adjoint projection, it follows from (24) that  $T_0(A) = 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ , which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

It should be mentioned that in the proof of Theorem 2 we used some ideas which are similar to those used by Molnár in [8] and by Vukman in [17].

We conclude with the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 3. *Let  $R$  be a semiprime  $*$ -ring with suitable torsion restrictions and let  $T : R \rightarrow R$  be an additive mapping, satisfying the relation*

$$3T(xx^*x) = T(x)x^*x + xT(x^*)x + xx^*T(x)$$

*for all  $x \in R$ . In this case  $T$  is a two-sided centralizer.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] W. AMBROSE, *Structure theorems for a special class of Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1945), 364–386.
- [2] K. I. BEIDAR, W. S. MARTINDALE 3RD, A. V. MIKHALEV, *Rings with generalized identities*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, (1996).
- [3] D. BENKOVIČ, D. EREMITA, *Characterizing left centralizers by their action on a polynomial*, Publ. Math. (Debr.) **64** (2004), 343–351.
- [4] D. BENKOVIČ, D. EREMITA, J. VUKMAN, *A characterization of the centroid of a prime ring*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **45**, 3 (2008), 379–394.
- [5] M. BREŠAR, *Jordan mappings of semiprime rings*, J. Algebra **127** (1989), 218–228.
- [6] M. FOŠNER, J. VUKMAN, *An equation related to two-sided centralizers in prime rings*, Houston J. Math. **35**, 2 (2009), 353–361.
- [7] I. KOSI-ULBL, J. VUKMAN, *On centralizers of standard operator algebras and semisimple  $H^*$ -algebras*, Acta Math. Hungar. **110**, 3 (2006), 217–223.

- [8] L. MOLNÁR, *On centralizers of an  $H^*$ -algebra*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **46**, 1–2 (1995), 89–95.
- [9] J. VUKMAN, *An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. **40** (1999), 447–456.
- [10] J. VUKMAN, *Centralizers of semiprime rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. **42** (2001), 237–245.
- [11] J. VUKMAN, I. KOSI-ULBL, *An equation related to centralizers in semiprime rings*, Glas. Mat. **38**, 58 (2003), 253–261.
- [12] J. VUKMAN, I. KOSI-ULBL, *On centralizers of semiprime rings*, Aequationes Math. **66** (2003), 277–283.
- [13] J. VUKMAN, I. KOSI-ULBL, *On certain equations satisfied by centralizers in rings*, Internat. Math. J. **5** (2004), 437–456.
- [14] J. VUKMAN, I. KOSI-ULBL, *Centralizers on rings and algebras*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **71** (2005), 225–234.
- [15] J. VUKMAN, I. KOSI-ULBL, *A remark on a paper of L. Molnár*, Publ. Math. Debrecen. **67** (2005), 419–421.
- [16] J. VUKMAN, I. KOSI-ULBL, *On centralizers of semiprime rings with involution*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **43**, 1 (2006), 61–67.
- [17] J. VUKMAN, *On derivations of algebras with involution*, Acta Math. Hungar. **112**, 3 (2006), 181–186.
- [18] J. VUKMAN, *Identities related to derivations and centralizers on standard operator algebras*, Taiwan. J. Math. **11** (2007), 255–265.
- [19] J. VUKMAN, M. FOŠNER, *A characterization of two-sided centralizers on prime rings*, Taiwan. J. Math. **11**, 5 (2007), 1431–1441.
- [20] J. VUKMAN, I. KOSI-ULBL, *On two-sided centralizers of rings and algebras*, CUBO A Math. Journal **10**, 3 (2008), 211–222.
- [21] B. ZALAR, *On centralizers of semiprime rings*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carol. **32** (1991), 609–614.

(Received January 18, 2012)

*Nejc Širovnik*  
*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, FNM*  
*University of Maribor*  
*2000 Maribor, Slovenia*  
*e-mail: nejc.sirovnik@uni-mb.si*

*Joso Vukman*  
*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, FNM*  
*University of Maribor*  
*2000 Maribor, Slovenia*  
*e-mail: joso.vukman@uni-mb.si*